# Note on representations of generalized inverse \*-semigroups¹

Teruo IMAOKA and Takahide OGAWA (今岡 輝男,尾川 孝英)

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University Matsue, Shimane 690, Japan

#### **Abstract**

The Munn representation of an inverse semigroup S, in which the semigroup is represented by isomorphisms between principal ideals of the semilattice E(S), is not always faithful. By introducing a concept of a presemilattice, Reilly considered of enlarging the carrier set E(S) of the Munn representation in order to obtain a faithful representation of S as an inverse subsemigroup of a structure resembling the Munn semigroup  $T_{E(S)}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to obtain a generalization of the Reilly's results for generalized inverse \*-semigroups.

## 1 Introduction

A semigroup S with a unary operation  $*: S \to S$  is called a regular \*-semigroup if it satisfies

- $(x^*)^* = x,$
- $(ii) (xy)^* = y^*x^*,$
- $(iii) xx^*x = x.$

Let S be a regular \*-semigroup. An idempotent e in S is called a *projection* if it satisfies  $e^* = e$ . For any subset A of S, denote the sets of idempotents and projections of A by E(A) and P(A), respectively.

Let S be a regular \*-semigroup. It is called a *locally inverse* \*-semigroup if, for any  $e \in E(S)$ , eSe is an inverse subsemigroup of S. If E(S) is a normal band, then S is called a *generalized inverse* \*-semigroup.

Let S and T be regular \*-semigroups. A homomorphism  $\phi: S \to T$  is called a \*-homomorphism if  $(a\phi)^* = a^*\phi$ . A congruence  $\sigma$  on S is called a \*-congruence if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is the abstract and the details will be published elsewhere

 $(a\sigma)^* = a^*\sigma$ . A \*-congruence  $\sigma$  on S is said to be *idempotent-separating* if  $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is one of the Green's relations. Denote the maximum idempotent-separating \*-congruence on S by  $\mu_S$  or simply by  $\mu$ . If  $\mu_S$  is the identity relation on S, S is called *fundamental*. The following results are well-known, and we use them frequently throughout this paper.

Result 1.1 [2]. Let S be a regular \*-semigroup. Then we have the following:

- (1)  $E(S) = P(S)^2$ ;
- (2) for any  $a \in S$  and  $e \in P(S)$ ,  $a^*ea \in P(S)$ ;
- (3) each  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class have one and only one projection;
- (4)  $\mu_S = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : a^*ea = b^*eb \text{ and } aea^* = beb^* \text{ for all } e \in P(S)\}.$

For a mapping  $\alpha: A \to B$ , denote the domain and the range of  $\alpha$  by  $d(\alpha)$  and  $r(\alpha)$ , respectively. For a subset C of A,  $\alpha|_C$  means the restriction of  $\alpha$  to C.

As a generalization of the Preston-Vagner representations, one of the authors gave two types of representations of locally [generalized] inverse \*-semigroups in [3], [4] and [5]. In this paper, we follow [5]. A non-empty set X with a reflexive and symmetric relation  $\sigma$  is called an  $\iota$ -set, and denoted by  $(X; \sigma)$ . If  $\sigma$  is transitive, that is, if  $\sigma$  is an equivalence relation on X,  $(X; \sigma)$  is called a transitive  $\iota$ -set.

Let  $(X; \sigma)$  be an  $\iota$ -set. A subset A of X is called an  $\iota$ -single subset of  $(X; \sigma)$  if it satisfies the following condition:

for any  $x \in X$ , there exists at most one element  $y \in A$  such that  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ .

We consider the empty set to be an  $\iota$ -single subset. We remark that if  $(X; \sigma)$  is a transitive  $\iota$ -set, a subset A of X is an  $\iota$ -single subset if and only if, for  $x, y \in A$ ,  $(x, y) \in \sigma$  implies x = y. A mapping  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{I}_X$ , the symmetric inverse semigroup on X, is called a *partial one-to-one*  $\iota$ -mapping on  $(X; \sigma)$  if  $d(\alpha), r(\alpha)$  are both  $\iota$ -single subsets of  $(X; \sigma)$ , where  $d(\alpha)$  and  $r(\alpha)$  are the domain and the range of  $\alpha$ , respectively. Denote the set of all partial one-to-one  $\iota$ -mappings of  $(X; \sigma)$  by  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X; \sigma)}$ .

For any  $\iota$ -single subsets A and B of  $(X; \sigma)$ , define  $\theta_{A,B}$  by

$$\theta_{A,B} = \{(a,b) \in A \times B : (a,b) \in \sigma\} = (A \times B) \cap \sigma.$$

Since a subset of an  $\iota$ -single subset is also an  $\iota$ -single subset,  $\theta_{A,B} \in \mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$ , define  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$  by  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = \theta_{r(\alpha),d(\beta)}$ , and let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in \mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}\}$ , an indexed set of one-to-one partial functions. Now, define a multiplication  $\circ$  and a unary operation \* on  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$  as follows:

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \beta$$
 and  $\alpha^* = \alpha^{-1}$ ,

where the multiplication of the right side of the first equality is that of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ . Denote  $(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_{(X;\sigma)}, \circ, *)$  by  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_{(X;\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  or simply by  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_{(X;\sigma)}$ . In this paper, we use  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_{(X;\sigma)}$  rather than  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}_{(X;\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Result 1.2 [5]. For any  $\iota$ -set  $(X;\sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$ , defined above, is a locally inverse \*-semigroup. If  $(X;\sigma)$  is a transitive  $\iota$ -set, then  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$  is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup. In this case, we denote it by  $\mathcal{GI}_{(X;\sigma)}$  instead of  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$ .

Moreover, if  $\sigma$  is the identity relation on X, then  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$  is the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_X$  on X.

We call  $\mathcal{LI}_{(X;\sigma)}$  [ $\mathcal{GI}_{(X;\sigma)}$ ] the  $\iota$ -symmetric locally [generalized] inverse \*-semigroup on the  $\iota$ -set [the transitive  $\iota$ -set]  $(X;\sigma)$  with the structure sandwich set  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let S be a regular \*-semigroup, and define a relation  $\Omega$  on S as follows:

$$(x,y) \in \Omega \iff \text{there exists } e \in E(S) \text{ such that } x\rho_e = y,$$

where  $\rho_a(a \in S)$  is the mapping of  $Sa^*$  onto Sa defined by  $x\rho_a = xa$ .

Result 1.3 [5]. Let S be a locally inverse \*-semigroup. For each  $a \in S$ , let

$$\rho_a: x \mapsto xa \quad (x \in d(\rho_a) = Sa^*).$$

Then a mapping

$$\rho: a \mapsto \rho_a$$

is a \*-monomorphism of S into  $\mathcal{LI}_{(S;\Omega)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

For a partial groupoid X, if there exist a semilattice Y, a partition  $\pi: X \sim \sum \{X_e : e \in Y\}$  of X and mappings  $\varphi_{e,f}: X_e \to X_f$  ( $e \ge f$  in Y) such that

- (1) for any  $e \in Y$ ,  $\varphi_{e,e} = 1_{X_e}$ ,
- (2) if  $e \geq f \geq g$ , then  $\varphi_{e,f}\varphi_{f,g} = \varphi_{e,g}$ ,
- (3) for  $x \in X_e$ ,  $y \in X_f$ , xy is defined in X if and only if  $x\varphi_{e,ef} = y\varphi_{f,ef}$ , and in this case  $xy = x\varphi_{e,ef}$ ,

then X is called a *strong*  $\pi$ -groupoid with mappings  $\{\varphi_{e,f}: e, f \in Y, e \geq f\}$ , and it is denoted by  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  or simply by  $X(\pi)$ .

Let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid. A subset A of X is called a  $\pi$ -singleton subset of  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$ , if there exists  $e \in Y$  such that

$$|A \cap X_f| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \in \langle e \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(A \cap X_f)\varphi_{f,g} = A \cap X_g$$
 for any  $f,g \in \langle e \rangle$  such that  $f \geq g$ ,

where  $\langle e \rangle$  is the principal ideal of Y generated by e. In this case, we sometimes denote the  $\pi$ -singleton subset A by A(e). If A(e) is a  $\pi$ -singleton subset, then  $|A \cap X_f| = 1$  for any  $f \in \langle e \rangle$ . We denote the only one element of  $A \cap X_f$  by  $a_f$ . We remark that, for any  $\pi$ -singleton subset A(e),  $A(e) = \{a_e \varphi_{e,f} : f \in \langle e \rangle\}$ . Denote the set of all  $\pi$ -singleton subsets of  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Two  $\pi$ -singleton subsets A(e) and B(f) are said to be  $\pi$ -isomorphic to each other, if there exists an isomorphism  $\overline{\alpha}: \langle e \rangle \to \langle f \rangle$  as semilattices. In this case, the mapping  $\alpha: A(e) \to B(f)$  defined by  $a_g \alpha = b_{g\overline{\alpha}} \ (g \in \langle e \rangle)$  is called a  $\pi$ -isomorphism of A(e) to B(f). It is obvious that  $\alpha$  is a bijection of A(e) onto B(f), and hence  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_X$ .

Let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid. Define an equivalence relation  $\mathcal U$  on  $\mathcal X$  by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(A(e), B(f)) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \langle e \rangle \cong \langle f \rangle \text{ (as semilattices)} \}.$$

For  $(A(e), B(f)) \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $T_{A(e),B(f)}$  be the set of all  $\pi$ -isomorphisms of A(e) onto B(f), and let

$$T_{X(\pi)} = \bigcup_{(A(e),B(f))\in\mathcal{U}} T_{A(e),B(f)}.$$

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in T_{X(\pi)}$ , define a mapping  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} d(\theta_{\alpha,\beta}) &= \{a \in r(\alpha) : \text{there exist } e \in Y \text{ and } b \in d(\beta) \text{ such that } a,b \in X_e\}, \\ r(\theta_{\alpha,\beta}) &= \{b \in d(\beta) : \text{there exist } e \in Y \text{ and } a \in r(\alpha) \text{ such that } a,b \in X_e\}, \\ a\theta_{\alpha,\beta} &= b \quad \text{if } r(\alpha) \cap X_e = \{a\} \text{ and } d(\beta) \cap X_e = \{b\}. \end{split}$$

Then  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in T_{X(\pi)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in T_{X(\pi)}\}$ , and define a multiplication  $\circ$  and a unary operation \* on  $T_{X(\pi)}$  by

$$lpha \circ eta = lpha heta_{lpha,eta} eta, \ lpha^* = lpha^{-1}.$$

Then  $T_{X(\pi)}(\circ, *)$  is a regular \*-semigroup. We denote it by  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Result 1.4 [4]. A regular \*-semigroup  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup whose set of projections is partially isomorphic to X.

Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup. Hereafter, denote E(S) and P(S) simply by E and P, respectively. Let  $E \sim \sum \{E_i : i \in I\}$  be the structure decomposition of E, and let  $P_i = P(E_i)$ . Then  $\pi : P \sim \sum \{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a partition of P. For any  $i, j \in I$  ( $i \geq j$ ), define a mappig  $\varphi_{i,j} : P_i \to P_j$  by

$$e\varphi_{i,j} = efe$$
 for some (any)  $f \in P_j$ .

Then  $P(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$  is a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid.

Result 1.5 [4]. Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup. For each  $a \in S$ , let

$$\tau_a: e \mapsto a^*ea \quad (e \in d(\tau_a) = P(Sa^*)).$$

Then a mapping  $\tau : a \mapsto \tau_a$  is a \*-homomorphism of S into  $T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\tau \circ \tau^{-1} = \mu$ .

A regular \*-subsemigroup T of a regular \*-semigroup S is said to be  $\mathcal{P}$ -full if P(T) = P(S).

Result 1.6 [4]. A generalized inverse \*-semigroup S is fundamental if and only if it is \*-isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse \*-subsemigroup of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  on a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$  such that  $P(T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}))$  is partially isomorphic to P(S).

In § 2, by introducing the concept of partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$ , we construct a fundamental generalized inverse \*-semigroup  $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$ . Also, we shall see that  $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$  has similar properties with  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ , where  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  has been given by T. Imaoka, I. Inata and H. Yokoyama [4]. And we shall show that two concepts, strong  $\pi$ -groupoids and partially ordered  $\varrho$ -sets, are equivalent.

In § 3, we shall introduce the notion of  $\omega$ -set  $(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)$ , and construct a generalized inverse \*-semigroup  $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ . Furthermore, let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup with the set of projections P, we shall make two generalized inverse \*-semigroups  $T_{P(\preceq)}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $T_{(S(\preccurlyeq);\Omega)}(\mathcal{M})$ , where the former is obtained in § 2, and the latter is constructed in this section. Then we shall show that these three semigroups make a commutative diagram.

# 2 Fundamental generalized inverse \*-semigroups

## 2.1 $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$

Let  $X(\unlhd)$  be a partially ordered set and , for each  $x \in X$ , consider an order-preserving mapping  $\phi_x: X \to X$ . If a relation  $\varrho = \{(x,y) \in X \times X : y\phi_x = x, x\phi_y = y\}$  is an equivalence relation on X such that

- (P1)  $x \leq y \Longrightarrow$  for each  $y' \in y\varrho$ , there exists  $x' \in x\varrho$  such that  $x' \leq y'$ ,
- (P2) a relation  $\leq = \{(x\varrho, y\varrho) \in X/\varrho \times X/\varrho : \text{ there exists } x' \in x\varrho \text{ such that } x' \leq y\}$  is a partial order and  $X/\varrho(\leq)$  is a semilattice,
- (P3)  $x_1 \leq y, x_2 \leq y$  and  $x_1 \varrho \leq x_2 \varrho \Longrightarrow x_1 \leq x_2$ ,

then  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  is called a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set.

Let  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  be a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set. Define an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ (\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \langle a \rangle \simeq \langle b \rangle (order\ isomorphic) \},$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the set of all principal ideals of  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$ . For  $(\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle) \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $T_{\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle}$  be the set of all (order) isomorphisms of  $\langle a \rangle$  onto  $\langle b \rangle$ , and let

$$T_{X( riangleleft)} = igcup_{(\langle oldsymbol{a}
angle, \langle oldsymbol{b}
angle) \in \mathcal{U}} T_{\langle oldsymbol{a}
angle, \langle oldsymbol{b}
angle}.$$

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in T_{X(\underline{\triangleleft})}$ , define a mapping  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$  as follows:

$$heta_{lpha,eta}=\{(x,y)\in r(lpha) imes d(eta):\, (x,y)\in arrho\},$$

where  $\varrho$  is defined in  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$ .

Then  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in T_{X(\unlhd)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in T_{X(\unlhd)}\}$ , and define a multiplication o and a unary operation \* on  $T_{X(\unlhd)}$  by

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \beta,$$

$$\alpha^* = \alpha^{-1}.$$

Then it is clear that  $T_{X(\underline{\triangleleft})}(\circ, *)$  is a regular \*-subsemigroup of the  $\iota$ -symmetric generalized inverse \*-semigroup  $\mathcal{GI}_{(X;\varrho)}(\mathcal{M})$ . Hence it is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup and denoted by  $T_{X(\underline{\triangleleft})}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup and P = P(S). We consider P as a partially ordered set with respect to the natural order. Now, we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.1** A regular \*-semigroup  $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup whose set of projections is order isomorphic to  $X(\unlhd)$ .

Corollary 2.2 A partially ordered set X is order isomorphic to the set of projections of a generalized inverse \*-semigroup if and only if it is a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set.

#### 2.2 Representations

Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup. Hereafter, denote E(S) and P(S) simply by E and P, respectively. Let  $E \sim \sum \{E_i : i \in I\}$  be the structure decomposition of E, and let  $P_i = P(E_i)$ . For any  $e \in P$ , define a mapping  $\phi_e : P \to P$  by

$$f\phi_e = efe$$
.

Let  $e, f \in P$ , define a relation  $\leq$  on P by

$$e \trianglelefteq f \iff e = fef$$

that is,  $\leq$  is the restriction of natural order on S to P.

Lemma 2.3 The set  $(P(\unlhd); \{\phi_e\})$ , defined above, is a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set.

Now, we can consider the generalized inverse \*-semigroup  $T_{P(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are order isomorphisms among principal ideals of } (P(\unlhd); \{\phi_e\})\}.$ 

Lemma 2.4 For any  $a \in S$ ,  $P(Sa) (= P(Sa^*a))$  is a principal ideal of  $(P(\unlhd); \{\phi_e\})$ .

For any  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\tau_a : \langle aa^* \rangle \to \langle a^*a \rangle$  by

$$e\tau_a = a^*ea$$

where  $e \in \langle aa^* \rangle$ . It follows from [4] that  $\tau_a \in T_{S(\unlhd)}$  and  $\tau_a^* = \tau_{a^*}$ . Moreover, for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $\theta_{\tau_a,\tau_b} = \tau_{a^*abb^*}$ . And we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup such that E(S) = E and P(S) = P. Let  $E \sim \sum \{E_i : i \in I\}$  be the structure decomposition of E and  $P_i = P(E_i)$ . Denote the restriction of the natural order on S to P by  $\subseteq$ . For any  $e \in P$ , define a mapping  $\phi_e : P \to P$  by  $f\phi_e = efe$ . Then  $(P(\subseteq); \{\phi_e\})$  is a partially ordered e-set and  $T_{P(\subseteq)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup.

Moreover, for any  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\tau_a : \langle aa^* \rangle \to \langle a^*a \rangle$  by  $e\tau_a = a^*ea$ . Then a mapping  $\tau : S \to T_{P(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$   $(a \mapsto \tau_a)$  is a \*-homomorphism and the kernel of  $\tau$  is the maximum idempotent-separating \*-congruence on S.

Now, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 A generalized inverse \*-semigroup S is fundamental if and only if it is \*-isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse \*-subsemigroup of  $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$  on a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  such that  $P(T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M}))$  is order isomorphic to P(S).

Denote the sets of all partially ordered  $\varrho$ -sets and the set of all strong  $\pi$ -groupoids by  $\mathbb P$  and  $\mathbb S$ , respectively.

Remark 2.7 Let  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  be any element of  $\mathbb{P}$ . For any  $x\varrho, y\varrho \in X/\varrho$   $(x\varrho \ge y\varrho)$ , define a mapping  $\overline{\varphi}_{x\varrho,y\varrho}: X_{x\varrho} \to X_{y\varrho}$  by

$$x'\overline{\varphi}_{x\varrho,y\varrho} = y'$$
, where  $y' \in y\varrho$  such that  $y' \leq x'$ .

Moreover, we define a partial product on X as follows:

$$xy = egin{cases} x\overline{arphi}_{xarrho,(xarrho)(yarrho)} & if\ x\overline{arphi}_{xarrho,(xarrho)(yarrho)} = y\overline{arphi}_{yarrho,(xarrho)(yarrho)} \ undefined & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})\lambda = X(\pi_\varrho; X/\varrho; \{\overline{\varphi}_{x\varrho,y\varrho}\})$  is a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid, where  $\pi_\varrho$  is the partition of X induced by  $\varrho$ .

Conversely, let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be any element of S. For any  $x \in X$ , define a mapping  $\overset{\sim}{\phi}_x : X \to X$  by

$$y\widetilde{\phi}_{m{x}} = x \varphi_{m{e},m{e}m{f}},$$

where  $x \in X_e$  and  $y \in X_f$ . If we define  $\blacktriangleleft = \{(x,y) \in X \times X : x\widetilde{\phi}_y = x\}$ , then  $X(\pi;Y;\{\varphi_{e,f}\})\mu = (X(\blacktriangleleft);\{\widetilde{\phi}_x\})$  is a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set.

Hence the mappings  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  from  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{S}$  and from  $\mathbb{S}$  to  $\mathbb{P}$ , respectively, are well-defined. Moreover  $\mu\lambda = 1_{\mathbb{S}}$ , and for any  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\}) \in \mathbb{P}$ , if  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})\lambda\mu = (X(\blacktriangleleft); \{\widetilde{\phi}_x\})$ , then  $\unlhd = \blacktriangleleft$ .

By the above argument, for any  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  in  $\mathbb{P}$ , without loss of generality, we can consider  $(X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$  as a member of  $\mathbb{P}\lambda\mu$ .

Now, let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be any element of  $\mathbb{S}$ . If  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})\mu = (X(\unlhd); \{\phi_x\})$ . Then we can construct two generalized inverse \*-semigroups  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$ . In this case, these two generalized inverse \*-semigroups are \*-isomorphic.

# 3 Extensions of $T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$

# 3.1 $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$

By a *pre-order* on a set X we shall mean a reflexive and transitive relation. Let  $X(\leq)$  be a pre-ordered set and let  $\nu = \{(a,b) \in X \times X : a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq a\}$ . Then  $\nu$  is an equivalence relation on X and  $X/\nu$  is a partially ordered set with respect to the induced relation

(C1) 
$$a\nu \leq b\nu$$
 if and only if  $a \leq b$ .

We call  $\leq$  the naturally induced order on  $X/\nu$  from  $\leq$ . Clearly  $\nu$  is the smallest equivalence relation on X for which (C1) defines a partial order on  $X/\nu$ . We call  $\nu$  the minimum partial order congruence (mpo-congruence) on X from  $\leq$ .

A subset A of X is an *ideal* of X provided that  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in A$  implies  $x \in A$ . For  $a \in X$ , we call  $\{x \in X : x \leq a\}$  the *principal ideal generated* by a and denote it by  $\langle a \rangle$ .

A bijection  $\alpha$  of one pre-ordered set X onto another Y will be called an *isomorphism* provided that, for  $a, b \in X$ ,  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a\alpha \leq b\alpha$ . In particular, if  $\nu_X$  and  $\nu_Y$  denote the respective mpo-congruences then  $(a,b) \in \nu_X$  if and only if  $(a\alpha,b\alpha) \in \nu_Y$ .

Let  $X(\preccurlyeq)$  be a pre-ordered set and  $\nu$  the mpo-congruence from  $\preccurlyeq$ . Then X is a partially pre-ordered  $\varrho$ -set if and only if  $X/\nu$  is a partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set with respect to the naturally induced order  $\preceq$  from  $\preccurlyeq$ .

Let  $X(\preceq)$  be a partially pre-ordered  $\varrho$ -set and  $\sigma$  an equivalence relation on X such that

- (O1) for any x in X,  $\langle x \rangle$  is an  $\iota$ -single subset with respect to  $\sigma$ ,
- (O2) for x, y in X, if  $(x, y) \in \sigma$  then  $(x\nu, y\nu) \in \varrho$ ,
- (O3) for x, y, z in X, if  $(x\nu)\varrho \wedge (y\nu)\varrho = (z\nu)\varrho$ ,  $z_1\nu \leq x\nu$  and  $z_2\nu \leq y\nu$   $(z_1\nu, z_2\nu \in (z\nu)\varrho)$ , then for any  $a \in \langle z_i \rangle$ , there exists  $b \in \langle z_j \rangle$  such that  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ .

Then  $(X(\preccurlyeq); \sigma)$  is called an  $\omega$ -set.

Let  $(X(\preceq); \sigma)$  be an  $\omega$ -set and let  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}$  denote the set of all isomorphisms from a principal ideal onto another one.

For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}$ , define a mapping  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta}$  as follows:

$$\theta_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(a,b) \in r(\alpha) \times d(\beta) : (a,b) \in \sigma\}.$$

Then  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta} \in T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha,\beta \in T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}\}$ , and denote a multiplication  $\circ$  and a unary operation \* on  $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}$  by

$$lpha \circ eta = lpha heta_{lpha,eta} eta, \ lpha^* = lpha^{-1}.$$

Clearly,  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is an isomorphism from  $\langle z_1 \alpha^{-1} \rangle$  onto  $\langle z_2 \beta \rangle$ . It is obvious that  $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}(\circ, *)$  is a regular \*-semigroup. Hence it is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup and denoted by  $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Theorem 3.1 A regular \*-semigroup  $T_{(X(\preccurlyeq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse \*-subsemi-group of  $\mathcal{GI}_{(X;\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  whose set of projections is order isomorphic to  $X/\nu$ .

Remark 3.2 In  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ , if  $\preceq = \subseteq$  and  $\sigma = \varrho$  then  $T_{(X(\unlhd);\varrho)}(\mathcal{M}) = T_{X(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Let  $(X(\preceq); \sigma)$  be an  $\omega$ -set and let  $Y = X/\nu$ , where  $\nu$  is the mpo-congruence from  $\preceq$ . For any element  $\alpha$  in  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}$ , assume that  $d(\alpha) = \langle a \rangle$ . Then we can define a new mapping  $\alpha' \in T_{Y(\preceq)}$  as follows:

$$d(lpha') = \{x
u : x \in d(lpha)\}, \ (x
u)lpha' = (xlpha)
u.$$

Then  $\alpha' \in T_{Y(\underline{\triangleleft})}$ . Now, define a mapping  $\xi : T_{(X(\underline{\triangleleft});\sigma)}(\mathcal{M}) \to T_{Y(\underline{\triangleleft})}(\mathcal{M})$  by  $\alpha \xi = \alpha'$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $\xi$  is a \*-homomorphism.

Proposition 3.3 The mapping  $\xi : \alpha \mapsto \alpha'$  of  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  into  $T_{Y(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a \*-homomorphism of  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  onto a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse \*-subsemigroup of  $T_{Y(\unlhd)}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $\xi \circ \xi^{-1} = \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the maximum idempotent separating \*-congruence on  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Hereafter, we shall refer to  $\xi$  as the *natural projection* of  $T_{(X(\preceq);\sigma)}(\mathcal{M})$  to  $T_{Y(\preceq)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

## 3.2 Inflated representations

Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup. Hereafter, denote E(S) and P(S) simply by E and P, respectively. Define a relation  $\leq$  on S by:

$$a \leq b$$
 if and only if  $a^*a \leq b^*b$ ,

for  $a, b \in S$ . Then clearly  $\preceq$  is a pre-order on S for which the mpo-congruence from  $\preceq$  is  $\nu = \mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $S/\mathcal{L} = S/\nu$ , under the naturally induced order  $\preceq$  from  $\preceq$ , is just the set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes of S under the usual partial ordering of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes of a generalized inverse \*-semigroup and so is order isomorphic to the partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set P of S. Hence S is a partially pre-ordered  $\varrho$ -set under  $\preceq$ . Then  $\varrho = \mathcal{J}^E|_P$  and hence  $(a\nu)\varrho(b\nu) \iff a^*a\mathcal{J}^Eb^*b$ . Hereafter, for any  $a \in S$ , we think  $a\nu = L_{a^*a}$  as  $a^*a$ .

For any  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\rho_a : Sa^* \to Sa$  as follows:

$$d(
ho_a) = Sa^* (= Saa^*), \ x
ho_a = xa.$$

Let  $\rho: S \to \mathcal{GI}_{(S;\Omega)}(\mathcal{M})$  by  $a\rho = \rho_a$ , where the relation  $\Omega$  defined by: for  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$(x,y) \in \Omega \iff x\rho_e = y \text{ for some } e \in E.$$

Since S is a regular \*-semigroup, the representation  $\rho$  is faithful. Moreover, it follows from [6, Lemma 3.3] that it is a \*-monomorphism.

**Lemma 3.4** The set  $(S(\preceq); \Omega)$ , defined above, is an  $\omega$ -set.

Again, we consider  $\rho_a: Sa^* \to Sa$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $d(\rho_a) = \langle a^* \rangle$  and  $r(\rho_a) = \langle a \rangle$ . For  $x, y \in d(\rho_a)$ ,  $x^*x, y^*y \leq a^*a$ . Now  $x \preccurlyeq y$  if and only if  $x^*x \leq y^*y$  while  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$  if and only if  $a^*x^*xa = (xa)^*(xa) \leq (ya)^*(ya) = a^*y^*ya$ . But, since  $x^*x, y^*y \leq a^*a$  it follows that  $x^*x \leq y^*y$  if and only if  $a^*x^*xa \leq a^*y^*ya$ . Therefore  $x \preccurlyeq y$  if and only if  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$ . Thus  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$  is an isomorphism of  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$  onto  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$ , and hence  $xa \preccurlyeq ya$ .

Now, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 Let S be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup and define the relation  $\preceq$  on S by  $a \preceq b$  if and only if  $a^*a \leq b^*b$ . Then  $\preceq$  is a pre-order on S with respect to which S is a partially pre-ordered  $\varrho$ -set, moreover  $(S(\preceq);\Omega)$  is an  $\omega$ -set. The faithful representation  $\varrho$  of S embeds S as a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse \*-subsemigroup of  $T_{(S(\preceq);\Omega)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

If  $\nu$  is the mpo-congruence on S from  $\preccurlyeq$ , then  $\nu = \mathcal{L}$  and  $S/\nu$  is order isomorphic to the partially ordered  $\varrho$ -set P of S. Moreover,  $\rho \xi = \tau$ , where  $\xi$  is the natural projection and  $\tau$  is the representation which is defined in Theorem 2.5.

### References

[1] J. M. Howie, An introduction to semigroup theory, Academic Press, London, 1976.

- [2] T. Imaoka, On fundamental regular \*-semigroups, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. 14(1980), 19–23.
- [3] T. Imaoka, Representations of generalized inverse \*- semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 61(1995), 171–180.
- [4] T. Imaoka, I. Inata and H. Yokoyama, Fundamental generalized inverse \*-semigroups, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. 29(1995), 11-17.
- [5] T. Imaoka, I. Inata and H. Yokoyama, Representations of locally inverse \*-semigroups, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., to appear.
- [6] T. Imaoka and M. Katsura, Representations of locally inverse \*-semigroups II, Semigroup Forum, to appear.
- [7] N. R. Reilly, Enlarging the Munn representation of inverse semigroups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 23(1977), 28-41.