ON SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MEROMORPHIC STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

NAK EUN CHO, IN HWA KIM AND SHIGEYOSHI OWA

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to show certain sufficient conditions for starlikeness and close-to-convexity of meromorphic functions in the punctured unit disk.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $D = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. For f and g which are analytic in $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, we say that f is subordinate to g, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if g is univalent, f(0) = g(0) and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

For $0 < \alpha \le 1$, let $SMS(\alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \Sigma$ which are starlike of order α ; that is, which satisfy

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} (z \in U). \tag{1.2}$$

We note that the equation (1.2) can be rewritten by the following form;

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \ (z \in U).$$

Also, we note that if $\alpha = 1$, $SMS(\alpha)$ coincides with Σ^* , the well known class of meromorphic starlike(univalent) functions with respect to origin.

In [1], Bajpai and Mehrok proved that the functions of the form (1.1) satisfying the condition

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases. subordinate, meromorphic starlike, meromorphic close-to-convex.

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-(\alpha+\beta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0 \quad (z\in U)$$

are univalent and meromorphic starlike, where α and β are real numbers. For various other interesting developments involving analytic functions in the open unit disk U, the reader may be referred (for example) to the recent work of Nunokawa[3].

In this paper, we investigate some sufficient conditions for starlikeness and close-to-convexity of functions belonging to Σ .

2. Main results

In proving our theorems, we need the following lemma due to Nunokawa [2].

Lemma 2.1 Let p be analytic in U, p(0) = 1 and $p(z) \neq 0$ in U. Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < |z_0|$$
 (2.1)

and

$$|\arg p(z_0)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \ (0 < \delta \le 1).$$
 (2.2)

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i\delta k, \qquad (2.3)$$

where

$$k \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a} \right)$$
 when $\arg p(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$, (2.4)

$$k \le -\frac{1}{2}\left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right)$$
 when $\arg p(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta$, (2.5)

and

$${p(z_0)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} = \pm ia \quad (a > 0).$$
 (2.6)

Applying Lemma 2.1, we have the following

Theorem 2.1. Let p be analytic in U with p(0) = 1. If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta p(z) + \alpha \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta) \ (\alpha, \beta > 0, 0 < \delta < 1, z \in U), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \frac{\alpha \delta}{\beta (1+\delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1-\delta)^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \delta} \right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta.$$

Proof. If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 2.1) we obtain (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

From (2.7), we note that $p(z) \neq 0$ in U. In fact, if p has a zero of order m at $z = z_1 \in U$, then p can be written as

$$p(z) = (z - z_1)^m q(z) \ (m \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$

where q is analytic in U and $q(z_1) \neq 0$. Hence we have

$$\beta p(z) + \alpha \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{\alpha mz}{z - z_1} + \alpha \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \beta (z - z_1)^m q(z). \tag{2.9}$$

But choosing $z \to z_1$ suitably, the argument of the right hand side of (2.9) can take any value between 0 and 2π . This contradicts (2.7). Hence we have $p(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U$). Then we obtain

$$\beta p(z_0) + \alpha \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = \beta (\pm ia)^{\delta} + i\alpha \delta k$$
$$= \beta a^{\delta} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + i \left\{ \beta a^{\delta} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \alpha \delta k \right\}.$$

Now we suppose that

$${p(z_0)}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} = ia \ (a > 0).$$

Then we have

$$\arg \left(\beta p(z_0) + \alpha \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)}\right) = \tan^{-1} \left\{\tan \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \frac{\alpha \delta k}{\beta \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \delta}\right\},\,$$

where

$$ka^{-\delta} \ge \frac{1}{2} (a^{1-\alpha} + a^{-1-\alpha}) \equiv g(a) (a > 0).$$

Hence, by a simple calculation, we see that the function g(a) takes the minimum value at $a = \sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$. Hence we have

$$\arg \left(\beta p(z_0) + \alpha \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)}\right) \le \tan^{-1} \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \frac{\alpha \delta}{\beta (1+\delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \delta} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta),$$

where $\gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$ is given by (2.8). This evidently contradicts the assumption of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we suppose that

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} = -ia \ (a > 0).$$

Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\arg \left(\beta p(z_0) + \alpha \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)}\right) \ge -\tan^{-1} \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \delta + \frac{\alpha \delta}{\beta (1+\delta)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \delta} \right\}$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta),$$

where $\gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$ is given by (2.8), which is a contradiction to the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Taking $p(z) = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ in Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.1. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the condition

$$\left|\arg\left\{\alpha\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-(\alpha+\beta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}\gamma(\alpha,\beta,\delta)\ (\alpha,\beta>0,0<\delta<1,z\in U),$$

where $\gamma(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$ is given by (2.8), then $f \in SMS(\delta)$.

Next, we prove

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha \leq -2\beta(\beta > 0)$. If p satisfies the condition

(2.10)
$$\beta p(z) + \alpha \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \neq ik \ (z \in U),$$

where k is a real number with $|k| \ge \sqrt{(\alpha + 2\beta)\alpha}$. Then $\text{Re } p(z) > 0 (z \in U)$.

Proof. For the case $\alpha = 0$, it is obvious and so we suppose $\alpha \neq 0$. By using the same method of the proof in Theorem 2.1, we can see easily that $p(z) \neq 0$ in U. Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

Re
$$p(z) > 0$$
 for $|z| < |z_0|$,

Re
$$p(z_0) = 0$$
 and $p(z_0) = ia \ (a \neq 0)$.

For the case $\alpha > 0$, from Lemma 2.1 with $\delta = 1$, we have

$$\beta p(z_0) + \alpha \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i(\beta a + \alpha k),$$

and

$$\beta a + \alpha k \ge \frac{1}{2} \left((\alpha + 2\beta)a + \frac{\alpha}{a} \right) \ge \sqrt{(\alpha + 2\beta)\alpha}$$
 when $a > 0$,

and

$$\beta a + \alpha k \le -\frac{1}{2} \Big((\alpha + 2\beta)|a| + \frac{\alpha}{|a|} \Big) \le -\sqrt{(\alpha + 2\beta)\alpha}$$
 when $a < 0$,

which contradict (2.10). Therefore we have Re p(z) > 0 in U. For the case $a \le -2b$, applying the same method as the above, we easily have the same conclusion. This completes the proof of our theorem.

Letting $p(z) = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ in Theorem 2.2, we easily have the following

Corollary 2.2. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha \leq -2\beta(\beta > 0)$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the condition

$$\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) - (\alpha + \beta)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq ik \quad (z \in U),$$

where k is real number with $|k| \geq \sqrt{(\alpha + 2\beta)\alpha}$, then $f \in \Sigma^*$.

Making $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Corollary 2.2, we obtain

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that there exists a real number R for which

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - R \right| < \sqrt{(R+1)^2 + 3} \ (z \in U).$$

Then f is meromorphic starlike in U.

Putting $p(z) = -z^2 f'(z)$ in Theorem 2.2, we get

Corollary 2.4. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ or $\alpha \leq -2\beta(\beta > 0)$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the condition

$$\alpha \left(2 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) - \beta z^2 f'(z) \neq ik \quad (z \in U),$$

where k is given by Corollary 2.2. Then f is meromorphic univalent(or close-to-convex) in U.

Similarly, from Corollary 2.4, we have

Corollary 2.5. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that there exists a real number R for which

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - z^2 f'(z) - R \right| < \sqrt{(R+2)^2 + 3} \ (z \in U).$$

Then f is meromorphic univalent (or close-to-convex) in U.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Program, Ministry of Education, Project No. BSRI-98-1440.

References

- 1. S. K. Bajpai and T. S. J. Mehrok, A note on the class of meromorphic functions I, Ann. Pol. Math., 31(1975), 43-46.
- 2. M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, Proc. Japan Acad., 69, Ser. A(1993), 234-237.
- 3. M. Nunokawa, On α-starlike functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 22(1994), 319-322.

Nak Eun Cho and In Hwa Kim Department of Applied Mathematics Pukyong National University Pusan 608-737, Korea Shigeyoshi Owa Department of Mathematics Kinki University Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502 Japan