# INTEGRAL MEANS OF THE FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

# Yong Chan Kim and Jae Ho Choi [Yeungnam University] [崔 宰豪, 福岡大学]

ABSTRACT. By using the definition of fractional derivative (cf., [2]), we investigate the sharp integral means inequalities of the fractional derivatives of univalent functions with negative coefficients and extend the sharp results of H. Silverman [5, Theorem 2.2].

### 1. Introduction and Definitions

Let A denote the class of f(z) normalized by

$$(1.1) f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then a function f(z) belonging to the class  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{K}$  if and only if

(1.2) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > 0 \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$  whose functions may be represented by

(1.3) 
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad (a_k \ge 0).$$

Silverman [4] showed that f of the form (1.3) is in  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k \leq 1$ , and that the extreme points of  $\mathcal{T}$  are

(1.4) 
$$f_1(z) = z$$
 and  $f_m(z) = z - z^m/m$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \cdots$ 

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. fractional derivative, univalent, integral means.

This work was supported by KOSEF, BSRI-98-1401 and TGRC-KOSEF.

Further a function f of the form (1.3) is in  $C = T \cap K$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 a_k \leq 1$ , and that the extreme points of C are  $g_1(z) = z$  and  $g_2(z) = z - z^m/m^2$   $(m = 2, 3, \cdots)$ .

For analytic functions g(z) and h(z) with g(0) = h(0), g(z) is said to be subordinate to h(z) if there exists an analytic function w(z) so that w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 ( $z \in \mathcal{U}$ ) and g(z) = h(w(z)), we denote this subordition by  $g(z) \prec h(z)$ .

Many essentially equivalent definition of fractional calculus (that is, fractional derivatives and fractional integrals) have been given in the literature (cf., e.g., [3], [6, p 45] and [7]). We find it to be convenient to recall here the following definition which were used recently by Owa [2] (and by Srivastava and Owa [7]).

**Definition 1.** The fractional derivative of order  $\lambda$  is defined, for a function f(z), by

(1.5) 
$$\mathcal{D}_{z}^{\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{\lambda}} d\zeta \qquad (0 \le \lambda < 1),$$

where f(z) is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z-plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z-\zeta)^{-\lambda}$  is removed by requiring for  $\log(z-\zeta)$  to be real for  $z>\zeta$ .

**Definition 2.** Under the hypotheses of Definition 1, the fractional derivative of order  $n + \lambda$  is defined by

$$(1.6) \mathcal{D}_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f(z) (0 \le \lambda < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}).$$

In [5] it is proven that

(1.7) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^{\beta} d\theta$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and 0 < r < 1. In this paper, by using the fractional derivative, we prove that

(1.8) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , 0 < r < 1 and  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . We also obtain the integral means inequality for  $\mathcal{D}_z^{n+\lambda} f(z)$  (n=1,2) if  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\text{or }\mathcal{T})$ .

# 2. Main Results

The following result will be required in our investigation.

**Lemma.** (Littlewood [1]) If f and g are analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $g \prec f$ , then, for  $\beta > 0$  and 0 < r < 1,

(2.1) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| g(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta.$$

Applying the above lemma, we prove

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $f_2(z)$  is defined by (1.4). If  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ , then for  $z = re^{i\theta}$  and 0 < r < 1.

(i) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f_2(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta$$
 (0 \le \lambda < 1)

(ii) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} f(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} f_2(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

*Proof.* We prove (i). The proof of (ii) is similar and will be omitted. If  $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$   $(a_k \ge 0)$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) k a_k z^{k-1} \right),$$

where

(2.2) 
$$\Phi(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} \qquad (k \ge 2).$$

Note that  $\Phi(k)$  is a non-increasing function of k,

(2.3) 
$$0 < \Phi(k) \le \Phi(2) = \frac{1}{2 - \lambda}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{\lambda} f_2(z) = \frac{z^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2-\lambda} z \right),\,$$

we must show that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) k a_{k} z^{k-1} \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2 - \lambda} z \right|^{\beta} d\theta.$$

By Lemma, it sufficies to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) k a_k z^{k-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1}{2 - \lambda} z.$$

Setting

(2.4) 
$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(k) k a_k z^{k-1} = 1 - \frac{w(z)}{2 - \lambda}.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$|w(z)| \le \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2 - \lambda) \Phi(2) k a_k z^{k-1} \right| \le |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k \le |z|.$$

This completes the proof of (i).

**Remark.** If  $\lambda = 0$  in (i) of Theorem 1, then it would immediately yield the result of Silverman [5, Theorem 2.2].

For the fractional derivative of order  $1 + \lambda$ , we have

**Theorem 2.** If  $f \in C$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then for  $z = re^{i\theta}$  and 0 < r < 1,

(i) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f_2(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta$$
  $(0 \le \lambda < 1)$ 

(ii) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} g_2(z) \right|^{\beta} d\theta$$
  $(0 \le \lambda \le 2/3).$ 

*Proof.* (i) From the definition (1.6), we have

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi(k) k(k-1) a_k z^{k-1} \right),$$

where

$$\Psi(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k-1)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k-\lambda)} \qquad (k \ge 2).$$

Note that  $0 < \Psi(k) \le \Psi(2) = 1/(1-\lambda)$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f_2(z) = \frac{z^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1-\lambda} z \right),\,$$

it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi(k)k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1}{1-\lambda}z.$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi(k)k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} = 1 - \frac{w(z)}{1-\lambda},$$

$$|w(z)| \le \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1} \right| \le |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 a_k \le |z|.$$

By Lemma, the proof of (i) is completed.

(ii) Making use of (1.6) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{z}^{1+\lambda}f(z) = \frac{z^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Theta(k)k^{2}a_{k}z^{k-1} \right),$$

where

$$\Theta(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{k\Gamma(k-\lambda)} \qquad (k \ge 2).$$

We note that  $0 < \Theta(k) \le \Theta(2) = 1/2(1-\lambda)$  for  $0 \le \lambda \le 2/3$ . Thus the proof of (ii) is much akin to that of (i), and we omit the details involved.

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the subclasses of  $\mathcal{T}$  that are, respectively, starlike of order  $\alpha$  and convex of order  $\alpha$ . In [4], Silverman showed that  $f \in \mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ((k-\alpha)/(1-\alpha))a_k \leq 1$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k(k-\alpha)/(1-\alpha))a_k \leq 1$ . In addition, the extreme points of  $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$  are  $h_m(z) = z - ((1-\alpha)/(m-\alpha))z^m$  and  $k_m(z) = z - ((1-\alpha)/m(m-\alpha))z^m$  for  $m \geq 2$ . For the cases of  $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ , the proof is much akin to that of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, and we omit the details involved.

**Theorem 3.** (i) If  $f \in \mathcal{T}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then for 0 < r < 1,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_{z}^{\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_{z}^{\lambda} h_{2}(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 \leq \lambda < 1)$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} h_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

(ii) If  $f \in C(\alpha)$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then for 0 < r < 1,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_{z}^{\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_{z}^{\lambda} k_{2}(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 \le \lambda < 1),$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} h_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 \le \lambda < 1),$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{1+\lambda} k_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 \le \lambda \le 2/3)$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{D}_z^{2+\lambda} k_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\beta} d\theta \qquad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

## References

- 1. J.E. Littlewood, On inequalities in the theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 23 (1925), 481-519.
- 2. S. Owa, On the distortion theorems, I. Kyungpook Math. J. 18 (1978), 53-59.
- 3. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, Fractional Integral and Derivatives, Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, New York-Philadelphia-London-Paris-Montreux-Toronto-Melbourne, 1993.
- 4. H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109-116.
- 5. H. Silverman, Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients, Houston J. Math. 23 (1997), 169-174.
- 6. H.M. Srivastava and R.G. Buschman, Theory and Applications of Convolution Integral Equations, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1992.
- 7. H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 383-389.

#### Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics Yeungnam University Gyongsan 712-749 Korea Jae Ho Choi

Department of Applied Mathematics Fukuoka University Fukuoka 814-0180 Japan