極大平面グラフの 独立全域木を求める 線形時間アルゴリズム

A Linear-Time Algorithm to Find Independent Spanning Trees in Maximal Planar Graphs

長井 さやか¹ 中野 眞一² Sayaka NAGAI Shin-ichi NAKANO

Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering Gunma University, Kiryu 376-8515, Japan ¹ sayaka@msc.cs.gunma-u.ac.jp ² nakano@cs.gunma-u.ac.jp

Abstract: Given a graph G, a designated vertex r and a natural number k, we wish to find k "independent" spanning trees of G rooted at r, that is, k spanning trees such that, for any vertex v, the k paths connecting r and v in the k trees are internally disjoint in G. In this paper we give a linear-time algorithm to find k independent spanning trees in a k-connected maximal planar graph rooted at any vertex.

Key ward: graph, algorithm, independent spanning trees

1 Introduction

Given a graph G = (V, E), a designated vertex $r \in V$ and a natural number k, we wish to find k spanning trees T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k of G such that, for any vertex v, the k paths connecting r and vin T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k are internally disjoint in G, that is, any two of them have no common intermediate vertices. Such k trees are called k independent spanning trees of G rooted at r. Five independent spanning trees are drawn in Fig. 1 by thick lines. Independent spanning trees have applications to fault-tolerant protocols in networks [BI96, DHSS84, IR88, OIBI96].

Given a graph G = (V, E) of *n* vertices and *m* edges, and a designated vertex $r \in V$, one can find two independent spanning trees of *G* rooted at any vertex in linear time if *G* is biconnected [BTV96, BTV99, IR88], and find three independent spanning trees of *G* rooted at any vertex in O(mn) and $O(n^2)$ time if *G* is triconnected [BTV96, BTV99, CM88]. It is conjectured that, for any $k \geq 1$, every k-connected graph has k independent spanning trees rooted at any vertex [KS92, ZI89]. For general graphs with $k \geq 4$ the conjecture is still open, however, for planar graphs the conjecture is verified by Huck for k = 4 [H94] and k = 5 [H99] (i.e., for all planar graphs, since every planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 5 [W96, p269] means there is no 6-connected planar graph). The proof in [H99] yields an algorithm to actually find k independent spanning trees in a k-connected planar graph, but it takes time $O(n^3)$. On the other hand, for k-connected maximal planar graphs we can find k independent spanning trees in linear time for k = 2 [BTV96, BTV99, IR88], k = 3[BTV96, BTV99, S90] and k = 4 [MTNN98].

In this paper we give a simple linear-time algorithm to find five independent spanning trees of a 5-connected maximal planar graph rooted at any designated vertex. Note that, since there



 \boxtimes 1: Five independent spanning trees T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 and T_5 of a graph G rooted at r.

is no 6-connected planar graph, our result, together with previous results [BTV96, BTV99, IR88, MTNN98, S90], yields a linear-time algorithm to find k independent spanning trees in a k-connected maximal planar graph rooted at any designated vertex. Our algorithm is based on a "5-canonical decomposition" of a 5-connected maximal planar graph, which is a generalization of an *st*-numbering [E79], a canonical ordering [K96], a canonical decomposition [CK93, CK97], a canonical 4-ordering [KH94] and a 4-canonical decomposition [MTNN98, NRN97].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some definitions. In Section 3 we present our algorithm to find five independent spanning trees based on a 5-canonical decomposition. In Section 4 we give an algorithm to find a 5-canonical decomposition. Finally we put conclusion in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some definitions.

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with vertex set V and edge set E. Throughout the paper we denote by n the number of vertices in G, and we always assume that n > 5. An edge joining vertices u and v is denoted by (u, v). The degree of a vertex v in G, denoted by d(v, G) or simply by d(v), is the number of neighbors of v in G. The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected graph or a single-vertex graph K_1 . A graph G is k-connected if $\kappa(G) \geq k$. A path in a graph is an ordered list of distinct vertices v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_l such that $v_{i-1}v_i$ is an edge for all i, $2 \leq i \leq l$. We say that two paths having common start and end vertices are internally disjoint if their intermediate vertices are disjoint. We also say that a set of paths having common start and end vertices are internally disjoint if every pair of paths in the set are internally disjoint.

A graph is *planar* if it can be embedded in the plane so that no two edges intersect geometrically except at a vertex to which they are both incident. A planar graph G is *maximal* if all faces including the outer face are triangles in some planar embedding of G. Essentially each maximal planar graph has a unique planar embedding except for the choice of the outer face. A *plane graph* is a planar graph with a fixed planar embedding. The *contour* $C_o(G)$ of a biconnected plane graph G is the clockwise (simple) cycle on the outer face. We write $C_o(G) = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_h)$ if the vertices w_1, w_2, \dots, w_h on $C_o(G)$ appear in this order.

3 Algorithm

In this section we give our algorithm to find five independent spanning trees of a 5-connected maximal planar graph rooted at any designated vertex.

Given a 5-connected maximal planar graph G = (V, E) and a designated vertex $r \in V$, we

first find a planar embedding of G in which r is located on $C_o(G)$. Let $G' = G - \{r\}$ be the plane subgraph of G induced by $V - \{r\}$. In Fig. 2 (a) G is drawn by solid and dotted lines, and G' by solid lines. Since G is 5-connected, $d(r) \ge 5$. We may assume that all the neighbors $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{d(r)}$ of r in G appear on $C_o(G')$ clockwise in this order. Now $C_o(G') = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{d(r)})$. We add to G' two new vertices r_b and r_t , join r_b with r_1, r_2 and r_3 , and join r_t with $r_4, r_5, \dots, r_{d(r)}$. Let G'' be the resulting plane graph, where vertices r_1, r_b, r_3, r_4, r_t and $r_{d(r)}$ appear on $C_o(G'')$ clockwise in this order. Fig. 2 (b) illustrates G''.

Let $\Pi = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ be a partition of the vertex set $V - \{r\}$ of G'. We denote by G_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, the plane subgraph of G'' induced by $\{r_b\} \bigcup W_1 \bigcup W_2 \bigcup \dots \bigcup W_k$. We denote by $\overline{G_k}$, $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, the plane subgraph of G'' induced by $W_{k+1} \bigcup W_{k+2} \bigcup \dots \bigcup W_m \bigcup \{r_t\}$. We assume that if $1 < k \leq m$ and $W_k = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$ then vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l consecutively appear on $C_o(G_k)$ clockwise in this order. Note that for k = 1 we don't assume such a condition. A partition $\Pi = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ of $V - \{r\}$ is called a 5-canonical decomposition of G' if the following three conditions (co1)-(co3) are satisfied.

- (co2) For each $k, 1 \leq k \leq m, G_k$ is triconnected, and for each $k, 0 \leq k \leq m - 1, \overline{G_k}$ is biconnected (See Fig. 3.); and
- (co3) For each k, 1 < k < m, one of the following two conditions holds (See Fig. 3. The vertices in W_k are drawn in black dots):
 - (a) $|W_k| \ge 2$, and each vertex $u \in W_k$ satisfies $d(u, G_k) = 3$ and $d(u, \overline{G_{k-1}}) \ge 3$; and
 - (b) $|W_k| = 1$, and the vertex $u \in W_k$ satisfies $d(u, G_k) \ge 3$ and $d(u, \overline{G_{k-1}}) \ge 2$.

Fig. 2 (b) illustrates a 5-canonical decomposition of $G' = G - \{r\}$, where G' are drawn in solid lines and each set W_i is indicated by an oval drawn in a dotted line. A 5-canonical decomposition is a generalization of an "st-numbering" [E79], a "canonical ordering" [K96], a "canonical decomposition" [CK93, CK97], a "canonical 4ordering" [KH94] and a "4-canonical decomposition" [MTNN98, NRN97].



 \boxtimes 2: (a) Five-connected plane graph G and (b) plane graph G''.



 \boxtimes 3: Two conditions for (co3).

We have the following lemma. We will give a proof of Lemma 3.1 in Section 4.

Lemma 3.1 Let G = (V, E) be a 5-connected maximal plane graph, and let r be a designated vertex on $C_o(G)$. Then $G' = G - \{r\}$ has a 5canonical decomposition Π . Furthermore Π can be found in linear time. We need a few more definitions to describe our algorithm. For a vertex $v \in V - \{r\}$ we write $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(v)}\}$ if $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(v)}$ are the neighbors of vertex v in G'' and appear around v clockwise in this order. To each vertex $v \in V - \{r\}$ we assign five edges incident to vin G'' as the right leg rl(v), the tail t(v), the left leg ll(v), the left hand lh(v) and the right hand rh(v) as follows. We will show later that such an assignment immediately yields five independent spanning trees of G. Let $v \in W_k$ for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$, then there are the following four cases to consider.

Case 1: k = 1. (See Fig. 4(a).)

Now $W_1 = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\} \bigcup \{u_2, u_3, \cdots, u_{d(r_2)-2}\}.$ We may assume that vertices $u_2, u_3, \cdots, u_{d(r_2)-2}$ consecutively appear on $C_o(G_1)$ clockwise in this order. Let $u_1 = r_3, u_0 = r_b, u_{d(r_2)-1} = r_1$ and $u_{d(r_2)} = r_b$. For each $u_i \in W_1 - \{r_2\}$ we define $rl(u_i) = (u_i, u_{i+1}), t(u_i) = (u_i, r_2),$ $ll(u_i) = (u_i, u_{i-1}), lh(u_i) = (u_i, v_1), and$ $rh(u_i) = (u_i, v_{d(u_i)-3})$ where we assume $N(u_i) = \{u_{i-1}, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{d(u_i)-3}, u_{i+1}, r_2\}.$ For r_2 we define $rl(r_2) = (r_2, r_1), t(r_2) =$ $(r_2, r_b), ll(r_2) = (r_2, r_3), lh(r_2) = (r_2, u_2),$ and $rh(r_2) = (r_2, u_{d(r_2)-2}).$

Case 2: W_k satisfies Condition (a) of (co3). (See Fig. 4(b).)

Let $W_k = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$. Since $d(u_i, G_k) =$ 3 for each vertex u_i and G is maximal planar, vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l have exactly one common neighbor, say v, in G_k . Let u_0 be the vertex on $C_o(G_k)$ preceding u_1 , and let u_{l+1} be the vertex on $C_o(G_k)$ succeeding u_l . For each $u_i \in W_k$ we define $rl(u_i) =$ $(u_i, u_{i+1}), t(u_i) = (u_i, v), ll(u_i) = (u_i, u_{i-1}),$ $lh(u_i) = (u_i, v_1), and <math>rh(u_i) = (u_i, v_{d(u_i)-3})$ where we assume $N(u_i) = \{u_{i-1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(u_i)-3}, u_{i+1}, v\}$.

Case 3: W_k satisfies Condition (b) of (co3). (See Fig. 4(c).) Let $W_k = \{u\}$, let u' be the vertex on $C_o(G_k)$ preceding u, and let u'' be the vertex on $C_o(G_k)$ succeeding u. Let $N(u) = \{u', v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(u)-1}\}$, and let $u'' = v_x$ for some $x, 3 \leq x \leq d(u) - 2$. Then $rl(u) = (u, u''), t(u) = (u, v_{d(u)-1}), ll(u) = (u, u'), lh(u) = (u, v_1), and <math>rh(u) = (u, v_{x-1}).$

Case 4: k = m. (See Fig. 4(d).)

Now $W_m = \{r_{d(r)-1}, r_{d(r)}\}$. Let $u_0 = r_t$, $u_1 = r_{d(r)-1}$, $u_2 = r_{d(r)}$ and $u_3 = r_t$. For each $u_i \in W_k$ we define $rl(u_i) = (u_i, v_1)$, $t(u_i) = (u_i, v_{d(u_i)-3})$, $ll(u_i) = (u_i, v_{d(u_i)-2})$, $lh(u_i) = (u_i, u_{i-1})$, and $rh(u_i) = (u_i, u_{i+1})$ where we assume $N(u_i) = \{u_{i+1}, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{d(u_i)-2}, u_{i-1}\}$.



🛛 4: Assignment.

We are now ready to give our algorithm.

Procedure FiveTrees(G, r) begin

- 1 Find a planar embedding of G such that $r \in C_o(G)$;
- 2 Find a 5-canonical decomposition $\Pi = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ of $G \{r\}$;
- 3 For each vertex $v \in V \{r\}$ find rl(v), t(v), ll(v), lh(v) and rh(v);
- 4 Let T_{rl} be a graph induced by the right legs of all vertices in $V - \{r\}$;
- 5 Let T_t be a graph induced by the tails of all vertices in $V \{r\}$;
- 6 Let T_{ll} be a graph induced by the left legs of all vertices in $V \{r\}$;
- 7 Let T_{lh} be a graph induced by the left hands of all vertices in $V - \{r\}$;
- 8 Let T_{rh} be a graph induced by the right hands of all vertices in $V - \{r\}$;
- 9 Regard vertex r_b in trees T_{rl} , T_t and T_{ll} as vertex r;
- 10 Regard vertex r_t in trees T_{lh} and T_{rh} as vertex r;
- 11 return $T_{rl}, T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh}$ and T_{rh} as five independent spanning trees of G. end

We then verify the correctness of our algorithm. Assume that G = (V, E) is a 5-connected maximal planar graph with a designated vertex $r \in V$, and that Algorithm FiveTrees finds a 5-canonical decomposition $\Pi = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m)$ of $G - \{r\}$ and outputs $T_{rl}, T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh}$ and T_{rh} . We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 Let $1 \le k \le m$, and let T_{rl}^k be a graph induced by the right legs of all vertices in $G_k - \{r_b\}$. Then T_{rl}^k is a spanning tree of G_k .

Proof We prove the claim by induction on k.

Clearly the claim holds for k = 1.

We assume that $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ and T_{rl}^k is a spanning tree of G_k , and we shall prove that T_{rl}^{k+1} is a spanning tree of G_{k+1} . There are the following three cases to consider.

Case 1: $k \leq m-2$ and W_{k+1} satisfies Condition (a) of (co3).



 \boxtimes 5: The three cases for Lemma 3.2.

Case 2: $k \leq m-2$ and W_{k+1} satisfies Condition (b) of (co3).

Case 3: k = m - 1.

For each case T_{rl}^{k+1} is a spanning tree of G_{k+1} as shown in Fig. 5; (a) for Case 1; (b) for Case 2; and (c) for Case 3. Q.E.D.

We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 $T_{rl}, T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh}$ and T_{rh} are spanning trees of G.

Proof By Lemma 3.2, T_{rl}^m is a spanning tree of G_m , and hence T_{rl} in which r_b is regarded as r is a spanning tree of G.

Similarly T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh} and T_{rh} are spanning trees of G. Q.E.D.

Let v be any vertex in $V - \{r\}$, and let $P_{rl}, P_t, P_{ll}, P_{lh}$ and P_{rh} be the paths connecting r and v in $T_{rl}, T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh}$ and T_{rh} , respectively. For any vertex u in $V - \{r\}$ we write rank(u) = kif $u \in W_k$; rank(r) is undefined. If an edge (v, u) of G' is either a leg or a tail of vertex v, and (v, w) of G' is a hand of v, then $rank(u) \leq rank(v) \leq rank(w)$, and additionally if $v \neq r_2$ then rank(u) < rank(w). See Fig. 4. Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 Every pair of paths $P_1 \in \{P_{rl}, P_t, P_{ll}\}$ and $P_2 \in \{P_{lh}, P_{rh}\}$ are internally disjoint.

Proof We prove only that P_{rl} and P_{rh} are internally disjoint. Proofs for the other pairs are similar. If $v = r_1$ then $P_{rl} = (v, r)$. If $v = r_{d(r)}$ then $P_{rh} = (v, r)$. If $v = r_2$ then $P_{rl} = (v, r_1, r)$

and $P_{rh} = (v, u_{d(r_2)-2}, \cdots)$. Therefor P_{rl} and P_{rh} are internally disjoint if v is r_1, r_2 or $r_{d(r)}$. Thus we may assume that $v \neq r_1, r_2, r_{d(r)}$. Let $P_{rl} = (v, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_l, r)$, then $v_l = r_1$. Let $P_{rh} = (v, u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{l'}, r)$, then $u_{l'} = r_{d(r)}$. The definition of a right leg implies that $rank(v) \geq rank(v_1) \geq rank(v_2) \geq \cdots \geq rank(v_l)$, and the definition of a right hand implies that $rank(v) \leq rank(u_1) \leq rank(u_2) \leq \cdots \leq rank(u_l)$. Thus $rank(v_l) \leq \cdots \leq rank(v_1) < rank(u_l)$. We furthermore have $rank(v_1) < rank(u_1)$ since $v \neq r_2$. Therefore P_{rl} and P_{rh} are internally disjoint. Q.E.D.

If rl(v) = (v, u) then we say (v, u) is an *incom*ing right leg of u. Similarly, if t(v) = (v, u) then (v, u) is an *incoming tail* of u, and if ll(v) = (v, u)then (v, u) is an *incoming left leg of u*.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 Let $u \in V - \{r\}$, ll(u) = (u, u'), rl(u) = (u, u''), and $N(u) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{d(u)-1}\}$. One may assume that $u' = v_0$ and $u'' = v_z$ for some $z, 3 \le z \le d(u) - 2$. Then all incoming right legs of u appear consecutively around u. Also all incoming tails of u appear consecutively around u, and all incoming left legs of u appear consecutively around u. Furthermore ll(u), the incoming right legs, incoming tails, incoming left legs and rl(u) appear clockwise around u in this order.



🖾 6: Illustration for Lemma 3.5.

Proof If $u = r_2$ then the claim is clearly holds. (In this case there is no incoming legs of u.) Thus we assume $u \neq r_2$.

If (u_i, u) is the tail of $u_i \in W_k$ then $u \in C_o(G_{k-1})$ and $u \notin C_o(G_k)$. (See Fig. 4.) Thus if $t(u_i) = (u_i, u)$ and $t(u_j) = (u_j, u)$ then $\{u_i, u_j\} \in W_k$ for some k. Therefore all incoming tails of u appear consecutively around u. (See Fig. 4.)

If $1 \leq i \leq z-1$ and $rl(v_i) = (v_i, u)$, then $(v_{i-1}, u) \notin C_o(G_k)$, and either $t(u) = (u, v_{i-1})$, $rl(v_{i-1}) = (v_{i-1}, u)$ or $ll(u) = (u, v_{i-1})$ hold. (If $rank(v_i) = rank(u)$ then $t(u) = (u, v_{i-1})$. Otherwise assume $rank(v_i) = k$. Now edge (v_{i-1}, u) is on $C_o(G_{k-1})$. If $rank(v_{i-1}) \leq rank(u)$ then $ll(u) = (u, v_{i-1})$. If $rank(v_{i-1}) \ge rank(u)$ then $rl(v_{i-1}) = (v_{i-1}, u)$. See Fig. 4.) Thus if u has an incoming right leg e then the edge preceding earound u clockwise is either an incoming right leg of u, t(u) or ll(u). Since t(u) and ll(u) always appear consecutively around u, therefore all incoming right legs of u appear consecutively around u and ll(u) precedes them. Similarly all incoming left legs of u appears consecutively around uand rl(u) succeeds them. Thus the claim holds. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.5 immediately implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6 A pair of paths $P_1, P_2 \in \{P_{rl}, P_t, P_{ll}\}$ may cross at a vertex u, but do not share a vertex u without crossing at u.

From the definitions of a left leg , a tail and a right leg one can immediately have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 Let $1 \le k \le m, u \ne r_2$ and $u \in W_k$. Then u is on $C_o(G_k)$. Let u' be the succeeding vertex of u on $C_o(G_k)$. Assume that the ordered set N(u) starts with u'. Let rl(u) = (u, v'), t(u) = (u, v'') and ll(u) = (u, v'''). Then v', v'', v''' appear in N(u) in this order.

We then have the following lemma.

30

Lemma 3.8 A pair of paths $P_1, P_2 \in \{P_{rl}, P_t, P_{ll}\}$ are internally disjoint. Also P_{lh}, P_{rh} are internally disjoint.

Proof We prove only that P_{rl} and P_{ll} are internally disjoint. Proofs for the other cases are similar. Suppose for a contradiction that P_{rl} and P_{ll} share an intermediate vertex. Let w be the intermediate vertex that is shared by P_{rl} and P_{ll} and appear last on the path P_{rl} going from r to v. Now $w \neq r_2$ because r_2 has degree one in both T_{rl} and T_{ll} . Then P_{rl} and P_{ll} cross at w by Lemma 3.6. However, the claim in Lemma 3.7 holds both for k = rank(v) and u = v and for k = rank(w) and u = w, and hence P_{rl} and P_{ll} do not cross at w, a contradiction. Q.E.D.

By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.8 we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9 $T_{rl}, T_t, T_{ll}, T_{lh}$ and T_{rh} are five independent spanning trees of G rooted at r.

Clearly the running time of Algorithm Five-Trees is O(n). Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10 Five independent spanning trees of any 5-connected maximal planar graph rooted at any designated vertex can be found in linear time.

4 Proof of Lemma 3.1

In this section we give an algorithm to find a 5-canonical decomposition. Then we show it runs in linear time. First we need some definitions.

Let G = (V, E) be a 5-connected maximal plane graph, let r be a designated vertex on $C_o(G)$, and let H be a triconnected plane subgraph of G'' such that $r_b \in C_o(H)$. Let $C_o(H) = (r_b = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_l)$.

A set of edges $(v_1, u), (v_2, u), \dots, (v_h, u)$ in His called a fan with center u if (1) $u \notin C_o(H)$, (2) the neighbors of u on $C_o(H)$ are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_h , called *leaves*, and they appear in $C_o(H)$ clockwise in this order, and (3) either h = 2 and H does not have $edge(v_1, v_2)$, or $h \geq 3$. Assume a set of edges $(v_1, u), (v_2, u), \cdots, (v_h, u)$ is a fan F with center u Now, for $1 \leq i \leq h-1$, $v_i = w_a$ and $v_{i+1} = w_b$ hold for some a, b such that $1 \leq a < b \leq l$, and let C_i be the cycle consisting of the subpath $(w_a, w_{a+1}, \dots, w_b)$ of $C_o(H)$ and two edges $(w_b, u), (u, w_a)$. Each plane subgraph F_i of H inside C_i (including C_i) is called a *piece* of F. F_i is called an *empty piece* if a + 1 = b. If F_i is an empty piece then C_i is a triangle face of H. (Since G is 5-connected, if a + 1 = b then F_i has no vertex in the proper inside.) Note that by the definition if a fan has exactly two leaves then it has exactly one piece and the piece is not empty. Also note that F has exactly h-1 pieces, and if $v_1 \neq r_b$ then none of pieces of F contains r_b . If none of pieces of F contains a distinct fan, then F is a *minimal* fan.

A cut-set is a set of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected graph. Since G is 5connected and maximal planar, every cut-set of H consisting of three vertices has (1) exactly one vertex not in $C_o(H)$ and (2) exactly two vertices in $C_o(H)$. Thus each cut-set of H consisting of three vertices corresponds to a center of a fan and its two leaves.

We have the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 If a vertex $v \in C_o(H)$ is contained in none of fans of H (Note that, however, v may be contained in a piece of a fan.), then $H - \{v\}$ is triconnected, where $H - \{v\}$ is the plane subgraph of H obtained from H by deleting v and all edges incident to v.

Lemma 4.2 If all pieces of a fan $F = (v_1, u), (v_2, u), \dots, (v_h, u)$ of H is empty (Now $d(v_1) \ge 4, d(v_h) \ge 4$ and, for $j = 2, 3, \dots, h - 1, d(v_j) = 3$.) and $u \ne r_2$, then $H - \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{h-1}\}$ is triconnected, where $H - \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{h-1}\}$ is a plane subgraph of H obtained from H by deleting v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{h-1} and all edges incident to them.

Now we give our algorithm to find a 5-canonical decomposition.

First, by Condition (co1) we can find W_m . Now $\overline{G_{m-1}}$ is biconnected since $\overline{G_{m-1}}$ is a triangle cycle. Since G = (V, E) is 5-connected, the vertex set $V - \{r\}$ induces a 4-connected graph G'. And G_m is obtained from G' by adding a new vertex r_b adjacent three vertices of G'. Now G_m is triconnected since a graph obtained from a k-connected graph G by adding a new vertex adjacent k vertices of G is also k-connected [W96, p145]. Also G_{m-1} is triconnected, since otherwise G_{m-1} has a cut-set S with two or less vertices and then $S \bigcup W_m$ is a cut-set of G with four or less vertices, a contradiction. Thus for k = m - 1 and m, G_k is triconnected, and for $k = m - 1, \overline{G_k}$ is biconnected. Clearly $r_1, r_2, r_3 \notin W_m$.

Then, inductively assume that we have chosen $W_m, W_{m-1}, \dots, W_{i+1}$ such that for each $k = i, i+1, \dots, m, G_k$ is triconnected, and for each $k = i, i+1, \dots, m-1$, $\overline{G_k}$ is biconnected, $r_1, r_2, r_3 \notin W_m \bigcup W_{m-1} \bigcup \dots \bigcup W_{i+1}$ and each $W_k, k = i + 1, i+2, \dots, m$, satisfies either (co1) or (co3). Now we can choose W_i as follows. We have two cases. If G_i has exactly one vertices in the proper inside of G_i then it is r_2 and we have done by setting all vertices in G_i except r_b as W_1 . Otherwise we can find $W_i \subseteq V - W_m \bigcup W_{m-1} \bigcup \dots \bigcup W_{i+1}$ such that (1) G_{i-1} is triconnected, (2) $\overline{G_{i-1}}$ is biconnected, (3) $r_1, r_2, r_3 \notin W_i$, (4) W_i satisfies (co3), as follows.

Let $F = (v_1, u), (v_2, u), \dots, (v_h, u)$ be a minimal fan of G_i . Note that G_i always has a fan $(r_b, r_2), (r_3, r_2), \dots, (r_1, r_2)$ with center r_2 implies G_i always has a fan.

If every piece of F is empty then F has three or more leaves, and we can set $W_i = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{h-1}\}$. Now if $h \ge 4$ then W_i satisfies (a) of (co3) and G_{i-1} is triconnected by Lemma 4.2, and $\overline{G_{i-1}}$ is biconnected since each vertex in W_i has degree exactly three in G_i means each vertex in W_i has two or more neighbors in $\overline{G_i}$. Similarly if h = 3 then W_i satisfies (b) of (co3), and G_{i-1} is triconnected by Lemma 4.2, and $\overline{G_{i-1}}$ is biconnected as above.

Otherwise, let F' be a non-empty piece of F. Now F' has four or more vertices on $C_o(G_i)$ since otherwise G has a cut-set with four or less vertices, a contradiction. Now there exists at least one vertex of F' on $C_o(G_i)$ such that (1) it is not a leaf of F, and (2) it has two or more neighbors in $\overline{G_i}$. (Since otherwise each vertices of F'on $C_o(G_i)$ except the two leaves w_a, w_b of F has at most one neighbor in $\overline{G_i}$, and for G is maximal planar each neighbor in $\overline{G_i}$ is a common vertices, say x, and $\{u, w_a, w_b, x\}$ forms a cut-set, a contradiction.) Thus we can find W_i satisfying (b) of (co3). Now G_{i-1} is triconnected by Lemma 4.1, and $\overline{G_{i-1}}$ is biconnected.

Thus we can find a 5-canonical decomposition. By maintaining a data-structure to keep fans and the number of neighbors in $\overline{G_i}$ for each vertex, the algorithm runs in linear time.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we give a linear-time algorithm to find k independent spanning trees of a kconnected maximal planar graph rooted at any designated vertex. It is remained as future work to find a linear-time algorithm for planar graphs, which are not always maximal planar.

参考文献

- [BI96] F. Bao and Y. Igarashi, Reliable broadcasting in product networks with Byzantine faults, Proc. 26th Annual International Symposium on Fault-Tolelant Computing (FTCS'96) (1996) 262-271.
- [BTV96] G. Di Battista, R. Tamassia and L. Vismara, Output-sensitive reporting of disjoint paths, Technical Report CS-96-25, Department of Computer Science, Brown University (1996).

- [BTV99] G. Di Battista, R. Tamassia and L.Vismara, Output-sensitive reporting of disjoint paths, Algorithmica, 23 (1999) 302-340.
- [CK93] M. Chrobak and G. Kant, Convex grid drawings of 3-connected planar graphs, Technical Report RUU-CS-93-45, Department of Computer Science, Utrecht University (1993).
- [CK97] M. Chrobak and G. Kant, Convex grid drawings of 3-connected planar graphs, International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications, 7 (1997) 211-223.
- [CM88] J. Cheriyan and S. N. Maheshwari, Finding nonseparating induced cycles and independent spanning trees in 3connected graphs, J. Algorithms, 9 (1988) 507-537.
- [DHSS84] D. Dolev, J. Y. Halpern, B. Simons and R. Strong, A new look at fault tolerant network routing, Proc. 16th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (1984) 526-535.
- [E79] S. Even, *Graph Algorithms*, Computer Science Press, Potomac (1979).
- [H94] A. Huck, Independent trees in graphs, Graphs and Combinatorics, 10 (1994) 29-45.
- [H99] A. Huck, Independent trees in planar graphs, Graphs and Combinatorics, 15 (1999) 29-77.
- [IR88] A. Itai and M. Rodeh, The multi-tree approach to reliability in distributed networks, Information and Computation, 79 (1988) 43-59.
- [K96] C. Kant, Drawing planar graphs using the cononical ordering, Algorithmica, 16 (1996) 4-32.

- [KH94] G. Kant and X. He, Two algorithms for finding rectangular duals of planar graphs, Proc. 19th Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG'93), Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci., 790, Springer (1994) 396-410.
- [KS92] S. Khuller and B. Schieber, On independent spanning trees, Information Processing Letters, 42 (1992) 321-323.
- [MTNN98] K. Miura, D. Takahashi, S. Nakano and T. Nishizeki, A Linear-Time Algorithm to Find Four Independent Spanning Trees in Four-Connected Planar Graphs, WG'98, Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci., 1517, Springer (1998) 310-323.
- [NRN97] S. Nakano, M. S. Rahman and T. Nishizeki, A linear time algorithm for four-partitioning four-connected planar graphs, Information Processing Letters, 62 (1997) 315-322.
- [OIBI96] K. Obokata, Y. Iwasaki, F. Bao and Y. Igarashi, Independent spanning trees of product graphs and their construction, Proc. 22nd Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG'96), Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci., 1197 (1996) 338-351.
 - W. Schnyder, Embedding planar graphs on the grid, Proc. 1st Annual ACMSIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms, San Francisco (1990) 138-148
- [W96] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Teory, Prentice Hall (1996)
- [ZI89] A. Zehavi and A. Itai, *Three tree-paths*,
 J. Graph Theory, 13 (1989) 175-188.