HIGH ENERGY RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR ACOUSTIC PROPAGATORS IN A STRATIFIED MEDIA

MITSUTERU KADOWAKI 門脇光輝

§1 Introduction.

Let $n \ge 2$ and $x = (y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$. In this report we study the following operator:

$$(1.1) L_0 = -a_0(z)^2 \triangle,$$

where

$$a_0(z) = \begin{cases} c_+ & (z \ge h) \\ c_h & (0 < z < h) \\ c_- & (z \le 0), \end{cases}$$

and c_{\pm}, c_h and h are positive constants and

$$\triangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.$$

We consider only the case $c_h < \min(c_+, c_-)$ because we can find the guided waves (cf. Wilcox [9] or Weder [6]). It seems that there are no works dealing with high energy resolvent estimates for acoustic propagators in stratified media. Here we shall prove high energy resolvent estimates for the case $c_h < c_+ = c_-$.

Kikuchi-Tamura [3] and Kadowaki [2] have proved low energy resolvent estimates for the case $c_h < \min(c_+, c_-)$ and $c_+ \neq c_-$ and the case $c_h < c_+ = c_-$ respectively. Both works were used Mourre's commutator method (cf. Mourre[4]). But the conjugate operator in Kadowaki [2] is different from Kikuchi-Tamura [3]. Kikuchi-Tamura [3] took the generator of dailation in \mathbf{R}^3 as the conjugate operator. They dealt with only media of \mathbf{R}^3 but their result can be extended for media of \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 3$) (cf. Kadowaki [2]). Kadowaki [2] has constructed the conjugate operator by using the generator of dailation in \mathbf{R}^n and \mathbf{R}^{n-1} ($n \geq 3$) togerther with the generalized Fourier transform of a related operator (cf. Weder [6]). The generator of dailation in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} has been used to estimate the guided wave (see §2). In this report, we also use Mourre's method. Our conjugate operator is similar to Kadowaki [2] (see §2).

Let $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; a_0^{-2}(z)dx)$ be Hilbert space with inner products

$$< u, v>_0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x) \overline{v(x)} a_0^{-2}(z) dx.$$

In particular $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ is the usual L^2 space defined on \mathbf{R}_x^n with inner products

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} = \int_{\mathbf{R}_x^n} u(x) \overline{v(x)} dx$$

and the corresponding norms $|\cdot|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_n^n)}$.

 L_0 is admits a unique self-adjoint realizations in \mathcal{H}_0 . Then L_0 is a non-negative operator (zero is not an eigenvalue) and the $D(L_0)$ is given by $H^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$, $H^s(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ being Sobolev space of order s over \mathbf{R}_x^n . We also denoted by $R(z; L_0)$ the resolvent $(L_0 - z)^{-1}$ of L for $Imz \neq 0$.

A is considered as an operator from $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ into itself, then its norm is denoted by the notation ||A||.

We remark that Weder [6] has showed the absence of eigenvalues and the limiting absorption principle for L_0 . Our result is :

Theorem1.1. Let $\alpha > 1/2$. Assume that $c_h < c_+ = c_-$. Then, we have

$$||X_{\alpha}R(\lambda \pm i\kappa; L_0)X_{\alpha}|| = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

uniformly in $\kappa > 0$, where $X_{\alpha} = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$.

We define the self-adjoint operator $L_0(\lambda)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$,

$$\begin{cases} L_0(\lambda) = -\Delta - \lambda (a_0^{-2}(z) - c_+^{-2}) \\ D(L_0(\lambda)) = H^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n). \end{cases}$$

This operator has been introduced by Weder [7]. Theorem 1.1 is obtained as an immediate consequence of the following proposition

Proposition 1.2. Assume that $c_h < c_+ = c_-$. Then we have

$$||X_{\alpha}G_{\kappa}(0;\lambda)X_{\alpha}|| = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

uniformly in $\kappa > 0$, where

$$G_{\kappa}(0;\lambda) = (L_0(\lambda) - \lambda c_+^{-2} - i\kappa a_0^{-2}(z))^{-1}$$

for $\kappa > 0$

In $\S\S2,3$ we shall give the proof of above proposition.

We give a comment for the assumption of Theorem 1.1. This follows from our method. Applying Mourre's method to the original operator, L_0 , we do not get the Mourre's estimates on the neighborhood of threshods of L_0 (cf. Wilcox [9] or Weder [6]). The conjugate operator for L_0 is contructed by using generator of dailation in \mathbf{R}^n and exterior domains of ball in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} together with the generalized Fourier transform for L_0 (cf. Kadowaki [1]). While, since $L_0(\lambda)$ dose not have threshods on $[0,\infty)$ (see Weder [7]), we can obtain Mourre's estimates. But, to prove only Lemma 3.6 in §3, we need the assumption $c_h < c_+ = c_-$. In brief we deal with only $c_h < c_+ \le c_-$.

As an application of our theorem, we can consider scattering problem for wave equations with disspative terms in stratified media. This is due to Mochizuki [4]. He has proved existence of scattering states for wave equations with disspative terms in the case $c_h = c_+ = c_- = 1$. His idea is due to Kato's smooth pertabation theory together with low and high energy resolvent estimates for Laplacian in $\mathbf{R}^n (n \neq 2)$. To consider scattering problem for stratified media, we need low energy estimates which is required in Mochizuki [4]. Kikuchi-Tamura [3] and Kadowaki [2] have proved low energy etimates in perturbed stratified media. But the 3-demensional case in Kadowaki [3] and Kikuchi-Tamura [2] do not satisfy Mochizuki's condition (for detail see Mochizuki [4]). For Kikuchi-Tamura's result, we can remake it to satisfy Mochizuki's condition (see Kadowaki [3]). We will give low energy etimates for stratified media of $\mathbf{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ elsewhere and consider scattering problem.

§2 Conjugate operator and Mourre's estimates.

In this section we conctruct the conjugate operators and show Mourre's estimates (2.1). First we define conjugate operator, $D(\lambda)$, as follows:

$$D(\lambda) = F_0(\lambda)^* (-D_n) F_0(\lambda) + F_1(\lambda)^* (-D_{n-1}) F_1(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{Q(\lambda)} G_j(\lambda)^* (-D_{n-1}) G_j(\lambda),$$

where $k = (\overline{k}, k_0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$, $F_0(\lambda), F_1(\lambda)$ and $G_j(\lambda)$ are partially isometric operators for $L_0(\lambda)$ (see Appendix) and

$$D_n = \frac{1}{2i}(k \cdot \nabla_k + \nabla_k \cdot k), \quad D_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2i}(\overline{k} \cdot \nabla_{\overline{k}} + \nabla_{\overline{k}} \cdot \overline{k}).$$

We consider the commutator $i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]$ as a form on $H^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n) \cap D(D(\lambda))$ as follows:

$$\langle i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)}$$

$$= i(\langle D(\lambda)u, L_0(\lambda)u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} - \langle L_0(\lambda)u, D(\lambda)u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)})$$

for $u \in H^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap D(D(\lambda))$. Then Lemma A of the Appendix implies that

$$< i[L_{0}(\lambda), D(\lambda)]u, u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n})}$$

$$= i\{<|k|^{2}F_{0}(\lambda)u, D_{n}F_{0}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{k}^{n})} - < D_{n}F_{0}(\lambda)u, |k|^{2}F_{0}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{k}^{n})}$$

$$+ <|\overline{k}|^{2}F_{1}(\lambda)u, D_{n-1}F_{1}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\Omega_{0})} - < D_{n-1}F_{1}(\lambda)u, |\overline{k}|^{2}F_{1}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\Omega_{0})}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{Q(\lambda)} (<|\overline{k}|^{2}G_{j}(\lambda)u, D_{n-1}G_{j}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{k}^{n-1})}$$

$$- < D_{n-1}G_{j}(\lambda)u, |\overline{k}|^{2}G_{j}(\lambda)u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{k}^{n-1})})\}.$$

Thus we have by integral by parts

$$< i[L_{0}(\lambda), D(\lambda)]u, u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n})}$$

$$= < 2(F_{0}(\lambda)^{*}|k|^{2}F_{0}(\lambda) + F_{1}(\lambda)^{*}|\overline{k}|^{2}F_{1}(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{Q(\lambda)} G_{j}(\lambda)^{*}|\overline{k}|^{2}G_{j}(\lambda))u, u >_{L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n})}$$

for $u \in H^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x) \cap D(D(\lambda))$. Thus the form $i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbf{R}^n_x)$ to $H^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n_x)$ which is denoted by $i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]^0$. Let $\lambda > 1$, take $f_{\lambda}(r) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), 0 \le f_{\lambda} \le 1$ such that f_{λ} has support in $((c_+^{-2} - c_-^{-2}/2)\lambda, 2c_+^{-2}\lambda)$ and $f_{\lambda} = 1$ on $[(c_+^{-2} - c_-^{-2}/4)\lambda, 3c_+^{-2}\lambda/2]$. Noting that

$$f_{\lambda}(L_{0}(\lambda))i[L_{0}(\lambda),D(\lambda)]^{0}f_{\lambda}(L_{0}(\lambda))$$

$$=2(F_{0}(\lambda)^{*}|k|^{2}f_{\lambda}(|k|^{2}+q_{-}(\lambda))^{2}F_{0}(\lambda)+F_{1}(\lambda)^{*}|\overline{k}|^{2}f_{\lambda}(|\overline{k}|^{2}-k_{0}^{2}+q_{-}(\lambda))^{2}F_{1}(\lambda)$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{Q(\lambda)}G_{j}(\lambda)^{*}|\overline{k}|^{2}f_{\lambda}(|\overline{k}|^{2}-\omega_{j}^{2}(\lambda))^{2}G_{j}(\lambda).$$

Then there exists a positive constant C which is independent of λ such that

$$(2.1) f_{\lambda}(L_0(\lambda))i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]^0 f_{\lambda}(L_0(\lambda)) \ge C\lambda f_{\lambda}(L_0(\lambda))^2$$

in the form sense.

§3 Proof of Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.2 follows from lemmas in this section. But we omit the proof of lemmas and give only a comment of the proof.

We can prove the following lemmas in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 of Weder [7].

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. Then

(i) $f(L_0(\lambda))$ sends $D(D(\lambda))$ into $D(D(\lambda))$.

 $(ii)[f(L_0(\lambda)), D(\lambda)]$ defined as operator on $D(D(\lambda))$ is extended to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ which is denoted by $[f(L_0(\lambda)), D(\lambda)]^0$.

It follows from (2.1) that $M_0(\lambda)$ is non-negative and hence we define an operator, $G_{\kappa}(\epsilon; \lambda)$, on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ by

(3.1)
$$G_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda) = (L_0(\lambda) - \lambda c_+^{-2} - i\kappa a_0^{-2}(z) - i\epsilon M_0(\lambda))^{-1}$$

for $\kappa > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Using (2.1), we can prove the following lemma (for detail, see that of Lemma 5.3 of Kikuchi-Tamura [3]).

Lemma 3.2. For $\epsilon > 0$, as $\lambda \to \infty$, one has

$$||G_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda)|| = \epsilon^{-1}O(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (\lambda \to \infty)$$

uniformly in $\kappa > 0$.

We write

$$F_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda) G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda) Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda),$$

where $Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon, \lambda) = (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + |D(\lambda)|)^{-\alpha} (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + \epsilon |D(\lambda)|)^{\alpha - 1}$.

This is due to Yafaev [8]. But we do not use the scaling argument for λ (cf. (3.1)).

Let $g_{\lambda}(p) = 1 - f_{\lambda}(p)$. We write in brief f_{λ} and g_{λ} for $f_{\lambda}(L_0(\lambda))$ and $g_{\lambda}(L_0(\lambda))$ respectively.

Noting that

$$G_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda)D(D(\lambda)) \subset D(D(\lambda)) \cap H^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$$

(cf. Kadowaki [2]), we decompose $(d/d\epsilon)F_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda)$ as a form on $L^{2}(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n})$

(3.2)
$$(d/d\epsilon)F_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{8} Y_{\kappa}^{j}(\epsilon;\lambda),$$

where

$$\begin{split} Y_{\kappa}^{1} &= iZ_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)g_{\lambda}[L_{0}(\lambda),D(\lambda)]^{0}f_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{2} &= iZ_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)g_{\lambda}[L_{0}(\lambda),D(\lambda)]^{0}g_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{3} &= iZ_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)f_{\lambda}[L_{0}(\lambda),D(\lambda)]^{0}g_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{4} &= -iZ_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)\{D(\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)+G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)D(\lambda)\}Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{5} &= \kappa Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)[a_{0}(z)^{-2},D(\lambda)]G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{6} &= \epsilon Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)[M_{0}(\lambda),D(\lambda)]G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{7} &= \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{\frac{d}{d\epsilon}Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)\}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda), \\ Y_{\kappa}^{8} &= \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda)G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)\frac{d}{d\epsilon}Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\lambda). \end{split}$$

We need the following lemmas (Lemma 3.3~Lemma 3.5) to estimate each term of the right side of (3.2).

Note that there is $c_0, c_0 > 0$ suth that $(L_0(\lambda) + c_0\lambda)^{-1}$ exists.

Lemma 3.3. As $\lambda \to \infty$, one has:

(i)
$$||g_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)|| = O(\lambda^{-1}),$$

(ii) $||(I_{\Omega}(\lambda) + c_{\Omega}\lambda)^{1/2}f_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon;\lambda)||$

(ii)
$$\|(L_0(\lambda) + c_0\lambda)^{1/2} f_{\lambda} G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon; \lambda) Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon, \lambda)\| = \epsilon^{-1/2} \|F_{\kappa}\|^{1/2} O(1),$$

$$(iii) \| (L_0(\lambda) + c_0 \lambda)^{1/2} g_{\lambda} G_{\kappa}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon; \lambda) Z_{\alpha}(\epsilon, \lambda) \| = O(\lambda^{-1}),$$

$$(iv) \|F_{\kappa}(\epsilon; \lambda)\| = \epsilon^{-1} O(\lambda^{-1}),$$

uniformly in $\kappa > 0$.

For a proof of Lemma 3.5 (i), see that of Lemma 5.4 of Kikuchi- Tamura [3]. Also, for a proof of (ii) and (iii), see that of Lemma 5.5 of Kikuchi-Tamura [3]. (ii) and (iii) imply (iv).

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $c_h < c_+ = c_-$. Then $[a_0^{-2}(z), D(\lambda)]$ defined as a form on $D(D(\lambda))$ is extended to a bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ to $H^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ which is denoted by $[a_0^{-2}(z), D(\lambda)]^0$. Moreover we have

$$i[a_0^{-2}(z), D(\lambda)]^0$$

$$= (c_h^{-2} - 1)((n-1)\chi_{0 < z < h}(z) - (\partial_{k_0} F_0(\lambda)\chi_{0 < z < h}(z))^* k_0 F_0(\lambda)$$

$$- (k_0 F_0(\lambda))^* \partial_{k_0} F_0(\lambda)\chi_{0 < z < h}(z) + F_0(\lambda)^* F_0(\lambda)$$

and

$$\|(L_0(\lambda) + c_0\lambda)^{-1/2}i[a_0^{-2}(z), D(\lambda)]^0(L_0(\lambda) + c_0\lambda)^{-1/2}\| = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

proof. Noting that the representation of $F_0(\lambda)$, we show this lemma by straighforward calculation (cf. Kadowaki [2]).

Using Lemma 3.1 and the representation of $i[L_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]^0$ we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. As $\lambda \to \infty$, one has :

$$||[M_0(\lambda), D(\lambda)]^0|| = O(\lambda).$$

Using Lemma 3.2 \sim 3.5, we can evaluate the norm of Y_{κ}^{j} , $1 \leq j \leq 8$ (see Kikuchi-Tamura [3]). Thus we obtain the following differential inequality:

(3.3)
$$||(d/d\epsilon)F_{\kappa}(\epsilon;\lambda)|| \leq C(\lambda^{-1}\epsilon^{\alpha-1} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}}||F_{\kappa}||^{1/2} + ||F_{\kappa}||)$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3(iv) and (3.3) that

(3.4)
$$\|(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + |D(\lambda)|)^{-\alpha} G_{\kappa}(0; \lambda) (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + |D(\lambda)|)^{-\alpha}\| = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}), \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

uniformly in $\kappa > 0$.

Noting Lemma 3.1 we rewrite $D(\lambda) f_{\lambda} X_1$ as

(3.5)
$$\frac{1}{i} (f_{\lambda} \nabla_{y} \cdot yX_{1} + \frac{n-1}{2} f_{\lambda} X_{1}) \\
- \frac{1}{i} (f_{\lambda} F_{0}(\lambda)^{*} k_{0} \partial_{k_{0}} F_{0}(\lambda) X_{1} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\lambda} F_{0}(\lambda)^{*} F_{0}(\lambda) X_{1}) \\
+ [D(\lambda), f_{\lambda}]^{0} X_{1}.$$

We can show that

(3.6)
$$||[D(\lambda), f_{\lambda}]^{0}|| = O(1), \quad (\lambda \to \infty),$$

(for proof, see that of Lemma 5.6 of Kikuchi-Tamura [3]). By straighforward calculation we can show next lemma

Lemma 3.6. As $\lambda \to \infty$, one has :

$$||f_{\lambda}F_0(\lambda)^*k_0\partial_{k_0}F_0(\lambda)X_1|| = O(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.6) and Lemma 3.8 that

$$||D(\lambda)f_{\lambda}X_{1}|| = O(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

Thus we obtain by interpolation

(3.)
$$\|(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + |D(\lambda)|)^{\alpha} f_{\lambda} X_{\alpha}\| = O(\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

Note that

$$||g_{\lambda}G_{\kappa}(0;\lambda)|| = O(\lambda^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

(3.4) and (3.7) imply that

$$||X_{\alpha}G_{\kappa}(0;\lambda)X_{\alpha}|| = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (\lambda \to \infty).$$

Now the proof of Proposition 1.2 is complete.

Appendix.

In this Appendix we state the generalized Fourier transform of $L_0(\lambda)$ established by Weder (cf. Weder [6]).

For $\lambda >> 1$ large enough, we consider the following operator :

$$\begin{cases} h(\lambda) = -\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \lambda (a_0^{-2}(z) - c_+^{-2}), \\ D(h(\lambda)) = H^2(\mathbf{R}_z). \end{cases}$$

This is the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbf{R}_z)$.

 $h(\lambda)$ has finite number $Q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{N}$, of eigenvalues, $-\omega_j^2(\lambda), 0 < \omega_j^2(\lambda) < q_h(\lambda) = \lambda(c_h^{-2} - c_+^{-2}), 1 \leq j \leq Q(\lambda)$, of multiplicity one. There exist $F_0(\lambda), F_1(\lambda)$ and $G_j(\lambda)(j=1,2,3\cdots Q(\lambda))$ which are partially isometric operators from $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ onto $L^2(\mathbf{R}_k^n), L^2(\Omega_0)$ and $L^2(\mathbf{R}_k^{n-1})$ respectively, where $\Omega_0 = \{k \in \mathbf{R}^n; 0 < k_0 < \sqrt{q_-(\lambda)} = \sqrt{\lambda(c_+^{-2} - c_-^{-2})}\}$. Defining the operator $F(\lambda)$ as

$$F(\lambda)u = (F_0(\lambda)u, F_1(\lambda)u, G_1(\lambda)u, G_2(\lambda)u, G_3(\lambda)u \cdots G_{Q(\lambda)u}(\lambda))$$

for $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}_r^n)$, we have

Lemma A. $F(\lambda)$ is unitary operator from $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$ onto

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = L^2(\mathbf{R}_k^n) \bigoplus L^2(\Omega_0) \bigoplus_{j=1}^{Q(\lambda)} L^2(\mathbf{R}_{\overline{k}}^{n-1})$$

and for every $u \in D(L_0(\lambda)) = H^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)$

$$F(\lambda)L_{0}(\lambda)u = ((|k|^{2} + q_{-}(\lambda))F_{0}(\lambda)u, (|\overline{k}|^{2} - k_{0}^{2} + q_{-}(\lambda))F_{1}(\lambda)u, (|\overline{k}|^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2}(\lambda))G_{1}(\lambda)u, (|\overline{k}|^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}(\lambda))G_{2}(\lambda)u, \cdots, (|\overline{k}|^{2} - \omega_{Q(\lambda)}^{2}(\lambda))G_{Q(\lambda)}(\lambda)u).$$

For the proof, see Weder [6].

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Kadowaki, Asymptotic completeness for acoustic propagators in perturbed stratified media, Integral Eq. Operator Th. 26 (1996), 432-459.
- 2. _____, Low energy resolvent estimates for acoustic propagators in perturbed stratified media, J. Differential Equations. 141 (1997), 25-53.
- 3. K. Kikuchi and H. Tamura, The limiting amplilude principle for acoustic propagators in perturbed stratified fluids, J. Differential Equations. 93 (1991), 260-282.
- 4. K. Mochizuki, Scattering theory for wave equations with disspative terms, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ 12 (1976), 383-390.
- 5. E. Mourre, Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators, Comm. Math. Phys **78** (1981), 391-408.
- 6. R. Weder, Spectral and scattering theory for wave propagation in perturbed stratified media, Applied Mathematical Sciences 87, Springer-Verlag, New york, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1991.

- 7. _____, Spectral Analysis of strongly propagator systems, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 95-122.
- 8. D.R. Yafaev, Eikonal approximation and the aymptotics of the total scattering cross-section for the Schrödinger equation, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. théor 44 (1986), 397-425.
- 9. C. Wilcox, Sound propagation in stratified fluids, Applied Mathematical Sciences 50, Springer-Verlag, New york, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1984.

Tokyo Metoropolitan College of Aeronautical Eingineering 8-52-1, Minamisenju, Arakawaku, Tokyo 116-0003 Japan