Sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions

MAMORU NUNOKAWA, SHIGEYOSHI OWA, NORIHIRO TAKAHASHI and HITOSHI SAITOH

Abstract. For Carathéodory functions p(z) which are analytic in the open unit disk U with p(0) = 1, S.S.Miller(Bull.Amer.Math.Soc.81(1975),79-81) has shown some sufficient conditions applying the differential inequalities. The object of the present paper is to derive some improvements of results by S.S.Miller.

1 Introduction

Let A be the class of functions p(z) of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots {(1.1)}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If p(z) in A satisfies Re p(z) > 0 for $z \in U$, then we say that p(z) is the Carathéodory function. For Carathéodory functions, Miller [1] has given

Theorem A. Let p(z) be in the class A.

- (i) If $\text{Re } \{p(z)^2 + zp'(z)\} > 0$ $(z \in U)$, then Re p(z) > 0 $(z \in U)$.
- (ii) If $\operatorname{Re} \{p(z) + \alpha z p'(z)\} > 0$ $(z \in U)$ for some α $(\alpha \ge 0)$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$,
- (iii) If $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in U)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left\{p(z) \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)^2}\right\} > 0$ $(z \in U)$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$.

Let f(z) and g(z) be analytic in U. If there exists an analytic function w(z) with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1 $(z \in U)$ such that f(z) = g(w(z)), then f(z) is said to be subordinate to g(z) in U.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 30C45

Key Words and Phrases: Analytic function, Carathéodory function, subordination,

We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. We note that the subordination $f(z) \prec g(z)$ implies that $f(U) \subset g(U)$. Applying the subordination principles, we improve Theorem A by Miller [1]. To prove our results for Carathéodory functions, we have to recall here the following lemma due to Nunokawa [3] (also due to Miller and Mocanu [2]).

Lemma. Let $p(z) \in A$ and suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for $|z| < |z_0|$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z_0) = 0$ with $p(z_0) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$z_0 p'(z_0) \le -\frac{1}{2}(1+a^2),$$
 (1.2)

where $p(z_0) = ia$ $(a \neq 0)$.

2 Subordination theorems for Carathéodory functions

Our first result for Carathéodory functions is contained in

Theorem 1. Let $p(z) \in A$ and w(z) be analytic in U with $w(0) = \alpha$ and $w(z) \neq k$ $(k \in \mathbb{R}, z \in U)$. If

$$\alpha p(z)^2 + \beta z p'(z) \prec w(z), \tag{2.1}$$

then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$, where $\beta > 0$, $\alpha \ge -\frac{\beta}{2}$, and $k \le -\frac{\beta}{2}$.

Proof. Let us suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$$
 for $|z| < |z_0|$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z_0) = 0 \quad (p(z_0) \neq 0).$$

Then Lemma gives that $p(z_0) = ia$ $(a \neq 0)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+a^2)$. It follows that

$$\alpha p(z_0)^2 + \beta z_0 p'(z_0) = -\alpha a^2 + \beta z_0 p'(z_0)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \beta + (2\alpha + \beta) a^2 \right\}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\beta}{2}.$$
(2.2)

Since $w(0) = \alpha$ and $w(e^{i\theta}) \leq -\frac{\beta}{2}$, the inequality (2.2) contradicts our condition (2.1). Therefore $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for all $z \in U$.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is the improvement of (i) of Theorem A by Miller [1].

Corollary 1. If $p(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\alpha p(z)^2 + \beta z p'(z) \prec \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2},\tag{2.3}$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \ge -\frac{\beta}{2}$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$.

Proof. Taking

$$w(z) = \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2}$$
 (2.4)

in Theorem 1, we see that w(z) is analytic in U, $w(0) = \alpha$ and

$$w(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{2} \left(\frac{1 + e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}}\right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \le -\frac{\beta}{2}.$$
 (2.5)

Thus w(z) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $p(z) \in A$ and w(z) be analytic in U with $w(0) = \alpha$ and $w(z) \neq ik$ $(k \in \mathbb{R}, z \in U)$. If

$$\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec w(z),$$
 (2.6)

then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \ (z \in U)$, where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $k^2 \ge \beta(2\alpha + \beta)$.

Proof. From the subordination (2.6), we have $p(z) \neq 0$ in U, because if p(z) has a zero of order l at $z = z_0 \in U$, then we have $p(z) = (z - z_0)^l q(z)$, where q(z) is analytic in U, $q(z_0) \neq 0$, and l is a positive integer.

Letting $z \to z_0$ such that

$$\arg(z-z_0)=\arg(z_0)-\frac{\pi}{2},$$

we have

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \operatorname{Im} \left(\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \operatorname{Im} \left(\alpha p(z) + \frac{\beta z (l q(z) + (z - z_0) q'(z))}{(z - z_0) q(z)} \right)$$
$$= +\infty.$$

This contradicts (2.6) and so we conclude that $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. We assume that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$$
 for $|z| < |z_0|$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z_0)=0.$$

Then using Lemma, we have

$$\alpha p(z_0) + \beta \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i\alpha a + \frac{\beta}{ia} z_0 p'(z_0)$$

$$= i \left(\alpha a - \frac{\beta}{a} z_0 p'(z_0)\right)$$

$$= iv,$$
(2.7)

where v is real, because $z_0p'(z_0) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+a^2)$. Furthermore, we have, if a>0, then

$$v \ge \alpha a + \frac{\beta}{2a}(1 + a^2)$$

$$\ge \sqrt{\beta(2\alpha + \beta)},$$
(2.8)

and if a < 0, then

$$v \le -\alpha b - \frac{\beta}{2b}(1+a^2) \quad (b=-a>0)$$

$$\le -\sqrt{\beta(2\alpha+\beta)}.$$
 (2.9)

This contradicts our condition that $w(e^{i\theta})=ik$ $(|k| \ge \sqrt{\beta(2\alpha+\beta)})$. Thus we conclude that $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for all $z\in U$.

Using Theorem 2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. If $p(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+4z+z^2}{1-z^2},$$
 (2.10)

then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \ (z \in U)$.

Proof. Let us consider the case of $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Theorem 2. Defining the function w(z) by

$$w(z) = \frac{1 + 4z + z^2}{1 - z^2},\tag{2.11}$$

we know that w(z) is analytic in U, w(0) = 1, and

$$w(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2 + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} i. \tag{2.12}$$

Letting

$$g(\theta) = \left(\frac{2 + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right)^2 \quad (0 \le \theta \le 2\pi),\tag{2.13}$$

we have $g'(\theta) = 0$ when $\cos \theta = -\frac{1}{2}$.

If follows from the above that $g(\theta) \ge 3$, that is, that $w(z) \ne ik$ $(|k| \ge \sqrt{3})$.

Next, we derive

Theorem 3. If $p(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z) - \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)^2}\right\} > -\frac{\beta}{2} \quad (z \in U)$$
(2.14)

for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$.

Proof. Applying the same method as the proof of Theorem 2, the condition (2.14) gives us that $p(z) \neq 0$ in U, because if p(z) has a zero of order l at a point $z = z_0 \in U$, then we have $p(z) = (z - z_0)^l q(z)$, where q(z) is analytic in U, $q(z_0) \neq 0$ and l is a positive integer. Letting $z \to z_0$ such that

$$\arg(z - z_0) = \frac{\arg(z_0) - \arg(q(z_0))}{l+1},$$

we see that

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \left(\alpha p(z) - \beta \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)^2} \right) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \left(\alpha p(z) - \beta \frac{l z q(z) + (z - z_0) z q'(z)}{(z - z_0)^{l+1} q(z)^2} \right)$$

$$= -\infty.$$

This contradicts our condition (2.14) and so we have $p(z) \neq 0$ in U. By means of Lemma, if there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \quad for \quad |z| < |z_0|$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z_0) = 0,$$

then $p(z_0) = ia$ $(a \neq 0)$ and $z_0 p'(z_0) \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + a^2)$.

This implies that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha p(z_0) - \beta \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)^2}\right\} \le -\frac{\beta}{2a^2} (1 + a^2) \le -\frac{\beta}{2}$$
 (2.15)

which contradicts our condition (2.14). Thus $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for all $z \in U$.

Remark 2. Theorem 3 is the improvement of (iii) of Theorem A by Miller [1].

Finally we have

Corollary 3. If $p(z) \in A$ satisfies

$$\alpha p(z) - \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)^2} \prec \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2}$$
 (2.16)

for some $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta > 0$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ $(z \in U)$.

Proof. Since the function

$$w(z) = \frac{2\alpha + \beta}{2} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 - \frac{\beta}{2}$$
 (2.17)

maps the open unit disk U onto the complex domain which has the slit

$$\delta = \left\{ w : \operatorname{Re}\left(w
ight) < -rac{eta}{2}
ight\},$$

the proof of Corollary 3 follows from the above.

References

- [1] S.S.Miller, Differential inequalities and Carathéodory functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1975),79-81.
- [2] S.S.Miller and P.T.Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 65(1978),289-305.
- [3] M.Nunokawa, On properties of Non-Carathéodory functions, Proc. Japan. Acad. 68(1992),152-153.

Mamoru Nunokawa Department of mathematics University of Gunma Aramaki, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8510 Japan

> Shigeyoshi Owa Department of Mathematics Kinki University Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502 Japan

Norihiro Takahashi
Department of Mathematics
University of Gunma
Aramaki, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8510
Japan

Hitoshi Saitoh
Department of Mathematics
Gunma College of Technology
Toriba, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8530
Japan