Algebraic independence of certain power series associated with d-adic expansion of real numbers

慶應義塾大学理工学部 田中 孝明(Taka-aki Tanaka) Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio Univ.

## 1 Introduction.

Let  $\omega>0$  and let d be an integer greater than 1. The number  $\omega$  is expressed as a d-adic expansion

$$\omega = \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i d^{-i}, \quad l = \max\{[\log_d \omega], 0\}, \quad \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\},$$

where [x] denotes the largest integer not exceeding the real number x. For those  $\omega$  having two ways of expression such as 2 = 1.9999... (10-adic), we adopt only the left-hand side expression. Then this expansion is uniquely determined. Let

$$a_k = [\omega d^k] \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).$$

It is clear that

$$a_k = \sum_{i=-l}^k \varepsilon_i d^{k-i},$$

namely the integer  $a_k$  is expressed as the *d*-adic number  $\varepsilon_{-l}\varepsilon_{-l+1}\ldots\varepsilon_{k-1}\varepsilon_k$ . Hence we see that the sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$  satisfies the recurrence formula

$$a_0 = [\omega], \quad a_k = da_{k-1} + \varepsilon_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots).$$

The author [3] proved that the number  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{a_k}$  is transcendental for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ . In this paper we prove the following algebraic independence result. Let  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m > 0$ . Define

$$f_{id}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{[\omega_i d^k]} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; \ d = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$
 (1)

In what follows, Q and R denote the sets of rational and real numbers, respectively.

**Theorem 1.** If the numbers  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the numbers  $f_{id}(\alpha)$   $(i = 1, \ldots, m; d = 2, 3, 4, \ldots)$  are algebraically independent for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ .

Corollary 1. If the numbers  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the functions  $f_{id}(z)$   $(i = 1, \ldots, m; d = 2, 3, 4, \ldots)$  are algebraically independent over the field  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  of rational functions.

EXAMPLE. Let

$$\dot{f}_{1,d}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{d^k}, \qquad f_{2,d}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{[\sqrt{2}d^k]},$$

$$f_{3,d}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{[\sqrt{3}d^k]}, \qquad f_{4,d}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{[\pi d^k]} \qquad (d=2,3,4,\ldots).$$

For example we have

$$f_{2,10}(z) = z + z^{14} + z^{141} + z^{1414} + z^{14142} + z^{141421} + \cdots,$$
  

$$f_{3,10}(z) = z + z^{17} + z^{173} + z^{1732} + z^{173205} + z^{173205} + \cdots,$$

and

$$f_{4,10}(z) = z^3 + z^{31} + z^{314} + z^{3141} + z^{31415} + z^{314159} + \cdots$$

Then by Theorem 1 the numbers  $f_{i,d}(\alpha)$   $(i=1,\ldots,4;\ d=2,3,4,\ldots)$  are algebraically independent for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0<|\alpha|<1$  since the numbers  $1,\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3}$ , and  $\pi$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Theorem 1 is proved by using the method developed from that of Nishioka used for proving the following:

Theorem 2 (Nishioka [2, Theorem 1]). Let

$$f_d(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{dk} z^{d^k} \quad (d = 2, 3, 4, \ldots),$$

where the  $\sigma_{dk}$   $(k=0,1,2,\ldots)$  are in a finite set of nonzero algebraic numbers for every d. Then the numbers  $f_d(\alpha)$   $(d=2,3,4,\ldots)$  are algebraically independent for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0<|\alpha|<1$ .

We further obtain the following, which includes both Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. Let  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m > 0$ . Define

$$f_{id}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{idk} z^{[\omega_i d^k]} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; \ d = 2, 3, 4, \dots),$$

where the  $\sigma_{idk}$   $(k=0,1,2,\ldots)$  are in a finite set of nonzero algebraic numbers for every i and for every d. If the numbers  $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the numbers  $f_{id}(\alpha)$   $(i=1,\ldots,m;\ d=2,3,4,\ldots)$  are algebraically independent for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0<|\alpha|<1$ .

Theorem 3 implies the following result, which also includes Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m > 0$  and  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define

$$f_{id}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{[\omega_i d^k + \eta_i]} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; \ d = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

If the numbers  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the numbers  $f_{id}(\alpha)$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, m; \ d = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ ) are algebraically independent for any algebraic number  $\alpha$  with  $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ .

## 2 Lemmas.

We prepare the notation for stating the lemmas. For any algebraic number  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $\boxed{\alpha}$  the maximum of the absolute values of the conjugates of  $\alpha$  and by den $(\alpha)$  the smallest positive integer such that den $(\alpha) \cdot \alpha$  is an algebraic integer and define

$$\|\alpha\| = \max\{\lceil \alpha \rceil, \operatorname{den}(\alpha)\}.$$

If  $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with nonnegative integer entries and if  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is a point of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  the set of complex numbers, we define the transformation  $\Omega : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$\Omega z = \left( \prod_{j=1}^n z_j^{\omega_{1j}}, \prod_{j=1}^n z_j^{\omega_{2j}}, \dots, \prod_{j=1}^n z_j^{\omega_{nj}} \right).$$

Let  $\{\Omega^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 0}$  be a sequence of  $n\times n$  matrices with nonnegative integer entries. We put

$$\Omega^{(k)} = (\omega_{ij}^{(k)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^{(k)} z = (z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)}).$$

In what follows, N and N<sub>0</sub> denote the sets of positive and nonnegative integers, respectively. For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ , we define  $\mathbf{z}^{\lambda} = z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n}$  and  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ . Let K be an algebraic number field. Let  $\{f_1^{(k)}(\mathbf{z})\}_{k\geq 0}, \ldots, \{f_m^{(k)}(\mathbf{z})\}_{k\geq 0}$  be sequences of power series in  $K[[z_1, \ldots, z_n]]$ . Let  $\chi = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$  be the maximal ideal generated by  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  in the ring  $K[[z_1, \ldots, z_n]]$ . In what follows,  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$  denote positive constants independent of k.

Lemma 1 (cf. Nishioka [2, Theorem 2]). Assume that

$$f_i^{(k)}(z) o f_i(z) \quad \text{as} \quad k o \infty$$

with respect to the topology defined by  $\chi$  for any i  $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ . Suppose that all the  $f_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{z})$   $(k \geq 0)$ ,  $f_i(\boldsymbol{z})$   $(1 \leq i \leq m)$  converge in the n-polydisc  $\{\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_j| < r \ (1 \leq j \leq n)\}$ . If  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  is a point of  $K^n$  with  $0 < |\alpha_j| < \min\{1,r\}$   $(1 \leq j \leq n)$  and if the following three properties are satisfied, then the values  $f_1^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \ldots, f_m^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$  are algebraically independent.

(I) There exists a sequence  $\{\rho_k\}_{k>0}$  of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_k = \infty, \qquad \omega_{ij}^{(k)} \le c_1 \rho_k, \qquad \log |\alpha_j^{(k)}| \le -c_2 \rho_k.$$

(II) If we put

$$f_i^{(0)}(\alpha) = f_i^{(k)}(\Omega^{(k)}\alpha) + b_i^{(k)} \quad (1 \le i \le m),$$

then  $b_i^{(k)} \in K$  and

$$\log ||b_i^{(k)}|| \le c_3 \rho_k \quad (1 \le i \le m).$$

(III) For any power series F(z) represented as a polynomial in  $z_1, \ldots, z_n, f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)$  with complex coefficients of the form

$$F(oldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{\lambda,\, \mu = (\mu_1,...,\mu_m)} a_{\lambda,\, \mu} oldsymbol{z}^{\lambda} f_1(oldsymbol{z})^{\mu_1} \cdots f_m(oldsymbol{z})^{\mu_m},$$

where  $a_{\lambda,\mu}$  are not all zero, there exists a  $\lambda_0 \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$  such that if k is sufficiently large, then

$$|F(\Omega^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\alpha})| \geq c_4 |(\Omega^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{\lambda_0}|.$$

Although Theorem 2 of Nishioka [2] requires the assumption that the coefficients of  $f_i^{(k)}(z)$  are in a finite set  $S \subset K$  for all i and k, it can be weakened as in Lemma 1, which is proved by the almost same way as in the proof of Theorem 2 of Nishioka [2].

**Lemma 2** (Nishioka [2]). Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n} c_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n} z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n} \in \mathbb{C}[[z_1,\ldots,z_n]]$  converge around the origin. If z is sufficiently close to the origin, then

$$\sum_{\lambda > H} |c_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}| \cdot |z_1|^{\lambda_1} \cdots |z_n|^{\lambda_n} \le \gamma^{H+1} \max_{1 \le i \le n} |z_i|^H,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant depending on f(z).

The following lemma is originally due to Masser [1] and improved by Nishioka [2].

**Lemma 3** (Masser [1], Nishioka [2]). Let  $b_1 > \cdots > b_n \geq 2$  be pairwise multiplicatively independent integers. Let  $\theta = \log b_1$  and  $\theta_j = \theta/\log b_j$   $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha$  in a finite set A we are given real numbers  $\lambda_{1\alpha}, \ldots, \lambda_{n\alpha}$ , not all zero, and define the sequence

$$S_{\alpha}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j\alpha} b_j^{[\theta_j k]} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).$$

If  $\{k_l\}_{l\geq 1}$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers with  $\{k_{l+1}-k_l\}_{l\geq 1}$  bounded, then there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that

$$R(\delta) = \{k_l \mid \min_{\alpha \in A} |S_{\alpha}(k_l)| \ge \delta b_1^{k_l}\} = \{m_l\}_{l \ge 1}, \qquad m_l < m_{l+1},$$

is an infinite set and  $\{m_{l+1} - m_l\}_{l \ge 1}$  is bounded.

Using Lemma 3, we have the following:

Lemma 3. Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  be integers as in Lemma 3 and let  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$  be defined in Lemma 3. Let  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m > 0$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then there exist an infinite set  $\Lambda$  of positive integers, a sequence  $\{\delta(l)\}_{l\geq 1}$  of positive numbers, and a total order  $\succ$  in  $(\mathbb{N}_0)^{mn}$  such that if  $\lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \succ \mu = (\mu_{ij})$  with  $|\lambda| = \lambda_{11} + \cdots + \lambda_{mn}, |\mu| = \mu_{11} + \cdots + \mu_{mn} \leq l$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} [\omega_i b_j^{[\theta_j q]}] - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{ij} [\omega_i b_j^{[\theta_j q]}] \ge \delta(l) b_1^q$$

for all sufficiently large  $q \in \Lambda$ . Moreover, any subset S of  $(\mathbb{N}_0)^{mn}$  has the minimal element with respect to the total order  $\succ$ .

Lemma 5 (Nishioka [2]). Let d be an integer greater than 1 and let

$$f_l(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} s_h^{(l)} z^{d^{lh}} \quad (l = 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where the coefficients  $s_h^{(l)}$  are nonzero complex numbers. Then  $f_l(z)$  (l = 1, 2, ...) are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ .

## 3 Proof of Theorems 1 and 4.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$D = \{ d \in \mathbb{N} \mid d \neq a^n \ (a, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \ge 2) \}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}=\bigcup_{d\in D}\{d,d^2,\ldots\},$$

which is a disjoint union since any two distinct elements of D are multiplicatively independent by the definition of D. Let  $d_1 > \cdots > d_n$  be elements of D and let  $z = (z_{11}, \ldots, z_{m1}, \ldots, z_{1n}, \ldots, z_{mn})$ , where  $z_{11}, \ldots, z_{m1}, \ldots, z_{1n}, \ldots, z_{mn}$  are distinct variables. For any i  $(1 \le i \le m)$  and for any  $d_j \in D$   $(1 \le j \le n)$ , we define the sequence  $\{r_k^{(i,j)}\}_{k \ge 0}$  by

$$r_0^{(i,j)} = 1, \quad r_k^{(i,j)} = [\omega_i d_j^k] \quad (k \ge 1)$$
 (2)

and define

$$f_{ijl0}(m{z}) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} lpha^{r_{lh}^{(i,j)} - d_j^{lh}} z_{ij}^{d_j^{lh}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m, \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \ 1 \leq l \leq t).$$

Letting  $\alpha = (\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \dots, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ , we have

$$f_{ijl0}(oldsymbol{lpha}) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} lpha^{r_{lh}^{(i,j)}} = lpha + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} lpha^{[\omega_i d_j^{lh}]} = f_{id_j^l}(lpha) - lpha^{[\omega_i]} + lpha,$$

where  $f_{id}$  is defined by (1). Hence it suffices to prove the algebraic independency of the values  $f_{ijl0}(\alpha)$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le l \le t)$ . For the purpose we apply Lemma 1.

Put  $b_j = d_j^{t!}$ ,  $\theta = \log b_1$ , and  $\theta_j = \theta / \log b_j$   $(1 \le j \le n)$ . Noting that

$$0 \leq r_{lh+t![\theta_{j}q]}^{(i,j)} - r_{t![\theta_{j}q]}^{(i,j)} d_{j}^{lh} \leq d_{j}^{lh} - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

we put

$$\Sigma_{q} = \left(\alpha^{r_{lh+t![\theta_{j}q]}^{(i,j)} - r_{t![\theta_{j}q]}^{(i,j)} d_{j}^{lh}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m, \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \ 1 \leq l \leq t, \ h \geq 0}$$

$$\in \prod_{h=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{l=1}^{t} \{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d_{j}^{lh}-1}\}^{m}$$

for any  $q \in \Lambda$  with the  $\Lambda$  defined in Lemma 4. Since the right-hand side is a compact set, there exists a converging subsequence  $\{\Sigma_{q_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$  of  $\{\Sigma_q\}_{q\in\Lambda}$ , where  $q_1$  will be chosen sufficiently large. Let

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \Sigma_{q_k} = \left(\alpha^{s_h^{(i,j,l)}}\right)_{1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le l \le t, \ h \ge 0}$$

and define

$$f_{ijlk}(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{r_{lh+t![\theta_j q_k]}^{(i,j)} - r_{t![\theta_j q_k]}^{(i,j)} d_j^{lh}} z_{ij}^{d_j^{lh}}$$
 $(1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le l \le t, \ k \ge 1)$ 

and

$$f_{ijl}(m{z}) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} lpha^{s_h^{(i,j,l)}} z_{ij}^{d_j^{lh}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m, \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \ 1 \leq l \leq t).$$

Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}f_{ijlk}(z)=f_{ijl}(z).$$

Define the  $mn \times mn$  matrix

$$\Omega^{(k)} = \operatorname{diag}\left([\omega_1 b_1^{[\theta_1 q_k]}], \dots, [\omega_m b_1^{[\theta_1 q_k]}], \dots, [\omega_1 b_n^{[\theta_n q_k]}], \dots, [\omega_m b_n^{[\theta_n q_k]}]\right)$$

We assert first that  $\{\Omega^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ ,  $\alpha=(\alpha,\ldots,\alpha,\ldots,\alpha,\ldots,\alpha)$ , and  $\rho_k=b_1^{q_k}$   $(k\geq 1)$  satisfy the assumptions (I) and (II) of Lemma 1. Since  $b_1>\cdots>b_n$ , we have

$$b_1^{q_k-1} \le b_j^{-1} b_1^{q_k} < b_j^{[\theta_j q_k]} \le b_1^{q_k}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_i \right) b_1^{q_k-1} \leq \left( \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_i \right) b_1^{q_k-1} - 1 < [\omega_i b_j^{[\theta_j q_k]}] \leq b_1^{q_k} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_i$$

for any i  $(1 \le i \le m)$ , j  $(1 \le j \le n)$ , and for all  $k \ge 1$ , if  $q_1$  is sufficiently large. Hence the assumption (I) is satisfied.

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Then  $f_{ijlk}(z) \in K[[z]]$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le l \le t, k \ge 0)$  and

$$f_{ijlk}(\Omega^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{r_{lh+t![\theta_j q_k]}^{(i,j)}} = f_{ijl0}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \sum_{h=0}^{(t!/l)[\theta_j q_k]-1} \alpha^{r_{lh}^{(i,j)}}$$
 $(1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le l \le t, \ k \ge 1).$ 

Since  $r_{l(k+1)}^{(i,j)} > r_{lk}^{(i,j)}$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le l \le t)$  for all sufficiently large k by the definition, there is a positive constant C such that  $\max_{0 \le h \le k-1} r_{lh}^{(i,j)} \le C r_{lk}^{(i,j)}$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le l \le t)$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Hence

$$\log \left\| - \sum_{h=0}^{(t!/l)[\theta_j q_k]-1} \alpha^{r_{lh}^{(i,j)}} \right\| \leq \log(t!/l)[\theta_j q_k] + \left( \max_{0 \leq h \leq (t!/l)[\theta_j q_k]-1} r_{lh}^{(i,j)} \right) \log \|\alpha\|$$

$$\leq \left( 1 + C(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_i) \log \|\alpha\| \right) \rho_k,$$

and the assumption (II) is satisfied.

Therefore, if the assumption (III) is also satisfied, the proof is completed. Noting that  $z_{11}, \ldots, z_{m1}, \ldots, z_{1n}, \ldots, z_{mn}$  are distinct variables, we see by Lemma 5 that the functions  $f_{ijl}(z)$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n, 1 \le l \le t)$  are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}(z_{11}, \ldots, z_{m1}, \ldots, z_{1n}, \ldots, z_{mn})$ . Let

$$F(z) = \sum_{\mu = (\mu_{ij}), \nu = (\nu_{ijl})} a_{\mu,\nu} z^{\mu} f_{111}^{\nu_{111}} \cdots f_{mnt}^{\nu_{mnt}} = \sum_{\lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^{mn}} c_{\lambda} z^{\lambda},$$

where the coefficients  $a_{\mu,\nu}$  are not all zero, and let  $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_{ij}^{(0)})$  be the minimal element in  $(\mathbb{N}_0)^{mn}$  with respect to the total order  $\succ$  defined in Lemma 4 among  $\lambda$  with  $c_{\lambda} \neq 0$ . Let

$$l = 2(|\lambda_0| + 1) \left( \left[ \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le m} \omega_i}{\min_{1 \le i \le m} \omega_i} \right] + 1 \right) b_1. \text{ If } k \text{ is sufficiently large, then by Lemma 2}$$

$$\sum_{|\lambda| \ge l} |c_{\lambda}| \cdot |\alpha|^{\lambda_{11}[\omega_1 b_1^{[\theta_1 q_k]}]} \cdots |\alpha|^{\lambda_{m1}[\omega_m b_1^{[\theta_1 q_k]}]} \cdots |\alpha|^{\lambda_{1n}[\omega_1 b_n^{[\theta_n q_k]}]} \cdots |\alpha|^{\lambda_{mn}[\omega_m b_n^{[\theta_n q_k]}]}$$

$$\leq \gamma^{l+1} \left( |\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}(\min_{1 \le i \le m} \omega_i)b_1^{q_k}(|\lambda_0| + 1)} \right)^{l}$$

$$\leq \gamma^{l+1} |\alpha|^{(\max_{1 \le i \le m} \omega_i)b_1^{q_k}(|\lambda_0| + 1)}.$$

Since

$$\lambda_{11}^{(0)}[\omega_{1}b_{1}^{[\theta_{1}q_{k}]}] + \dots + \lambda_{m1}^{(0)}[\omega_{m}b_{1}^{[\theta_{1}q_{k}]}] + \dots + \lambda_{1n}^{(0)}[\omega_{1}b_{n}^{[\theta_{n}q_{k}]}] + \dots + \lambda_{mn}^{(0)}[\omega_{m}b_{n}^{[\theta_{n}q_{k}]}]$$

$$\leq |\lambda_{0}|(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_{i})b_{1}^{q_{k}},$$

we have

$$\frac{\left|\sum_{|\lambda| \geq l} c_{\lambda}(\Omega^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{\lambda}\right|}{\left|(\Omega^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\alpha})^{\lambda_{0}}\right|} \leq \gamma^{l+1} |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|^{(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \omega_{i}) b_{1}^{q_{k}}}$$

if k is sufficiently large. If  $|\lambda| < l$  and  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ , then by Lemma 4

$$\frac{|c_{\lambda}(\Omega^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{\lambda}|}{|(\Omega^{(k)}\boldsymbol{\alpha})^{\lambda_0}|} \leq |c_{\lambda}| \cdot |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|^{\delta(l)b_1^{q_k}}$$

for all sufficiently large k. Therefore

$$|F(\Omega^{(k)}\alpha)/(\Omega^{(k)}\alpha)^{\lambda_0}-c_{\lambda_0}|\to 0 \quad (k\to\infty),$$

which implies (III), and the proof of the theorem is completed.

Proof of Theorem 4. Define

$$g_{id}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{[\omega_i d^k + \eta_i] - [\omega_i d^k]} z^{[\omega_i d^k]} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m; \ d = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

Then

$$\alpha^{[\omega_i d^k + \eta_i] - [\omega_i d^k]} \in \{\alpha^{[\eta_i]}, \alpha^{[\eta_i] + 1}\},$$

since  $0 \leq [\omega_i d^k + \eta_i] - [\omega_i d^k] - [\eta_i] \leq 1$  for any i, d, and for all k. By Theorem 3 the numbers  $g_{id}(\alpha)$  (i = 1, ..., m; d = 2, 3, 4, ...) are algebraically independent, which implies the theorem.

## References

- [1] D. W. Masser, Algebraic independence properties of the Hecke-Mahler series, Quart. J. Math. 50 (1999), 207-230.
- [2] K. Nishioka, Algebraic independence of Fredholm series, Acta Arith. 100 (2001), 315-327.
- [3] T. Tanaka, Transcendence of the values of certain series with Hadamard's gaps, Arch. Math. 78 (2002), 202-209.