Existence of global solutions for a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem

明治大学理工学部 廣瀬 宗光 (Munemitsu Hirose)

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \Delta w + |x|^l w^p, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ w(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where p > 1, l > -2 and $n \ge 3$ are parameters, and f is a nonnegative bounded continuous function in \mathbb{R}^n . This problem is more general version of

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \Delta w + w^p, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ w(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

In 1966, Fijita [2] has proved that if p < 1 + 2/n, then the solution of (1.2) blows up in finite time for all $f \ge 0$ and $f \not\equiv 0$, and if p > 1 + 2/n, then (1.2) has a global classical solution when f satisfies $f(x) < \delta \exp(-|x|^2)$ where δ is sufficiently small positive number. Moreover, Lee and Ni [4] have shown that the global existence when the initial value f has polynomial decay near $x = \infty$. Their result is that if p > 1 + 2/n and f satisfies $f(x) \sim (1+|x|^2)^{-1/(p-1)}$, then (1.2) has a global classical solution and the solution w(x,t) satisfies $||w(\cdot,t)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim t^{-1/(p-1)}$ as $t \to \infty$. Furthermore, for the problem (1.1), Wang [5] has derived the following result.

Theorem A. ([5]) Suppose $n \geq 3$, l > -2 and $p \geq (n+2+2l)/(n-2)$, then there exists a small $\mu > 0$ such that if $0 \leq f(x) \leq \mu(1+|x|)^{-(2+l)/2(p-1)}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , then (1.1) has global solution w(x,t) with $||w(\cdot,t)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Mt^{-(2+l)/2(p-1)}$.

In order to prove Theorem A, we introduce the following semilinear elliptic equation with a gradient term

$$\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}x \cdot \nabla u + \lambda u + |x|^l u^p = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$
(1.3)

where, n, l, p and λ are parameters. It is easily seen that w(x, t) given by

$$w(x,t) = t^{-(2+l)/2(p-1)}u\left(x/\sqrt{t}\right) \tag{1.4}$$

satisfies the equation of (1.1) if and only if $u(x) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ in (1.4) satisfies

$$\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}x \cdot \nabla u + \frac{2+l}{2(p-1)}u + |x|^l u^p = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$
 (1.5)

Since we will consider the radial solutions (u = u(r) with r = |x|) to (1.3), we need the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \left(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\right)u' + \lambda u + r^l u^p = 0, & r > 0, \\ u(0) = \alpha > 0, & u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.6)

Note that when l > -2, (1.6) has a unique solution $u(r) \in C^1([0,\infty)) \cap C^2((0,\infty))$, which is denoted by $u(r;\alpha)$. Then Wang has shown the following result.

Theorem B. ([5]) Suppose $n \ge 3$, l > -2 and $p \ge (n + 2 + 2l)/(n - 2)$. Then,

- (i) $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{2\lambda}u(r;\alpha)$ exists.
- (ii) If $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{2\lambda}u(r;\alpha) = 0$, then $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^m u(r;\alpha) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^m u_r(r;\alpha) = 0$ for all positive m.
- (iii) Let $\lambda = (2+l)/2(p-1)$ Then there exists some positive number $\tilde{\alpha}$ such that $u(r; \tilde{\alpha}) > 0$ for all $r \geq 0$ and $u(r; \tilde{\alpha})$ satisfies $u(r; \tilde{\alpha}) \sim r^{-2\lambda}$ as $r \to \infty$.

Wang has shown Theorem A by using Theorem B. We introduce the sketch of the proof as follows:

Sketch of the proof of Theorem A. Let $\lambda = (2+l)/2(p-1)$. When the parameters n, l and p satisfy the assumptions, it follows from Theorem B that there exists some positive solution u(r) with $u(r) \sim r^{-2\lambda}$ as $r \to \infty$ for the problem (1.6). Now, we set

$$\hat{w}(x,t) = (t+1)^{-\lambda} u\left(|x|/\sqrt{t+1}\right),\,$$

then $\hat{w}(x,t)$ is an upper solution of (1.1) if μ is sufficiently small positive number. Moreover, since the trivial solution can be a lower solution of (1.1), there exists a global solution of (1.1).

Namely, if we can show the existence of some positive solution u(r) with $u(r;\alpha) \sim r^{-2\lambda}$ as $r \to \infty$ for the problem (1.6), then we can conclude the existence of a global solution to (1.1). Our first result is the following one.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then there exist some positive numbers α_0 and $l^* = l^*(n) \in (0,1)$ such that if $-2 < l < l^*$ and 1 + (2+l)/n , then

- (i) For any $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$, $u(r; \alpha) > 0$ for all $r \ge 0$ and $u(r; \alpha)$ satisfies $u(r; \alpha) \sim r^{-2\lambda}$ as $r \to \infty$.
- (ii) $u(r;\alpha_0) > 0$ for all $r \ge 0$ and $u(r;\alpha_0)$ satisfies $\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{2\lambda} u(r;\alpha_0) = 0$.
- (iii) For any $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \infty)$, $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ has a zero in $(0, \infty)$.

(Especially, $l^*(1) = 2/3$ holds.)

Moreover, the following theorem follows from Theorem 1.1 and the sketch of the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem 1.2 Suppose $n \ge 3$. Then there exists some positive number $l^* = l^*(n) \in (0,1)$ satisfies $-2 < l < l^*$ such that if $1 + (2+l)/n , then there exists a small <math>\mu > 0$ which satisfies

if
$$0 \le f(x) \le \mu(1+|x|)^{-(2+l)/2(p-1)}$$
 in \mathbb{R}^n , then (1.1) has global solution $w(x,t)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we apply the classification theorem by Yanagida and Yotsutani [7]. Let $\varphi(r)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases}
\varphi'' + \left(\frac{n-1}{r} + 2r\right)\varphi' + \lambda\varphi = 0, & r > 0, \\
\varphi(0) = 1, & \varphi'(0) = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(1.7)

For a solution u(r) of (1.6), if we put

$$u(r) = \varphi(r)v(r), \tag{1.8}$$

then we see that v(r) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (g(r)v')' + g(r)K(r)|v|^{p-1}v = 0, & r > 0, \\ v(0) = \alpha > 0, & v'(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.9)

where

$$g(r) := r^{n-1} \exp(r^2/4) \varphi(r)^2, \quad K(r) := r^l |\varphi(r)|^{p-1}.$$
 (1.10)

We should note that $\varphi(r) > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ if $\lambda > -n$ by (i) of Proposition 2.1 in Section 2. To see whether u(r) has a zero or not, we have only to check this property for v(r). For this purpose, we employ the classification theorem by Yanagida and Yotsutani [7], which is stated as follows. Let g(r) and K(r) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} g(r) \in C^{2}([0, \infty)); \\ g(r) > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \infty); \\ 1/g(r) \notin L^{1}(0, 1); \\ 1/g(r) \in L^{1}(1, \infty), \end{cases}$$
 (g)

and

$$\begin{cases} K(r) \in C(0, \infty); \\ K(r) \ge 0 \text{ and } K(r) \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty); \\ h(r)K(r) \in L^{1}(0, 1); \\ g(r) (h(r)/g(r))^{p} K(r) \in L^{1}(1, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(K)

where

$$h(r) := g(r) \int_r^\infty g(s)^{-1} ds.$$

Moreover, define

$$G(r) := \frac{2}{p+1}g(r)h(r)K(r) - \int_0^r g(s)K(s)ds, \tag{1.11}$$

$$H(r) := \frac{2}{p+1}h(r)^{2} \left(\frac{h(r)}{g(r)}\right)^{p} K(r) - \int_{r}^{\infty} h(s) \left(\frac{h(s)}{g(s)}\right)^{p} K(s) ds, \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$r_G := \inf\{r \in (0, \infty) : G(r) < 0\}, \quad r_H := \sup\{r \in (0, \infty) : H(r) < 0\}.$$

Theorem C. ([7]) Assume that g(r) and K(r) satisfy the conditions (g) and (K). Let $v(r;\alpha)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} (g(r)v')' + g(r)K(r)(v^{+})^{p} = 0, & r > 0, \\ v(0) = \alpha > 0, & v'(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.13)

where $v^+ := \max\{v, 0\}$, and suppose that $G(r) \not\equiv 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.

$$0 < r_H < r_G < \infty, \tag{1.14}$$

then there exists a unique positive number α_0 such that the structure of solutions to (1.13) is as follows.

- (a) For every $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \infty)$, $v(r; \alpha)$ has a zero in $(0, \infty)$.
- (b) If $\alpha = \alpha_0$, then $v(r; \alpha) > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ and

$$0 < \lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\int_r^{\infty} g(s)^{-1} ds \right)^{-1} v(r; \alpha) < \infty. \tag{1.15}$$

(c) For every $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$, $v(r; \alpha) > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ and

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\int_r^\infty g(s)^{-1} ds \right)^{-1} v(r; \alpha) = \infty. \tag{1.16}$$

- (ii) If $r_G < \infty$ and $r_H = 0$ (i.e., $H(r) \ge 0$ on $[0, \infty)$), then $v(r; \alpha)$ is positive on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies (1.16) for every $\alpha > 0$.
- (iii) If $r_G = \infty$ (i.e., $G(r) \ge 0$ on $[0,\infty)$), then $v(r;\alpha)$ has a zero in $(0,\infty)$ for every $\alpha > 0$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we prepare the following proposition which is shown in [1] and [3].

Proposition 2.1 Let φ be the solution of (1.7) and suppose $0 < \lambda < n/2$. Then,

- (i) $\varphi(r) > 0$ and $\varphi'(r) < 0$ in $(0, \infty)$.
- (ii) $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{2\lambda}\varphi(r)$ exists and positive.

(iii)
$$\varphi(r) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2n}r^2 + o(r^2)$$
 as $r \to \infty$.

(iv) $\exp(r^2/4)\varphi(r)$ is an increasing function of $r \in [0, \infty)$.

(v) If $0 < \lambda < (n-2)/2$ and $(n-2)/2 < \lambda < n/2$, then

$$-2\lambda < \frac{r\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$-\frac{4\lambda}{n-2\lambda} < \frac{r\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} < 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $r \in (0, \infty)$, respectively.

(vi) Set

$$m(\lambda) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2\lambda & \text{if} & 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{n-2}{2}, \\ \\ \frac{4\lambda}{n-2\lambda} & \text{if} & \frac{n-2}{2} < \lambda < \frac{n}{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then for each $\lambda \in (0, n/2)$, $r^{m(\lambda)}\varphi(r)$ is an increasing function of $r \in [0, \infty)$.

By using Proposition 2.1, we can check the conditions imposed on the coefficients of equation of (1.9).

Lemma 2.1 If $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \lambda < n/2$, then $g(r) := r^{n-1} \exp(r^2/4) \varphi(r)^2$ and $K(r) := r^l |\varphi(r)|^{p-1}$ satisfy (g) and (K), respectively.

Therefore, g(r) and K(r) are admissible. Substituting their definition (1.10) into G(r) and H(r), we obtain

$$G(r) := \frac{2}{p+1} g(r) h(r) K(r) - \int_0^r g(s) K(s) ds$$

$$= \frac{2}{p+1} r^{2n-2+l} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \varphi(r)^{p+3} \left\{ \int_r^\infty s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds \right\}$$

$$- \int_0^r s^{n-1+l} \exp\left(\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{p+1} ds,$$

$$H(r) := \frac{2}{p+1}h(r)^{2} \left(\frac{h(r)}{g(r)}\right)^{p} K(r) - \int_{r}^{\infty} h(s) \left(\frac{h(s)}{g(s)}\right)^{p} K(s) ds$$

$$= \frac{2}{p+1}r^{2n-2+l} \exp\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2}\right) \varphi(r)^{p+3} \left\{ \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds \right\}^{p+2}$$

$$- \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{n-1+l} \exp\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{p+1} \left\{ \int_{s}^{\infty} t^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{t^{2}}{4}\right) \varphi(t)^{-2} dt \right\}^{p+1} ds.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we must show condition (1.14). To do so, we will investigate the profiles of G(r) and H(r). First, we will study the increase and decrease. Differentiation yields

$$G'(r) = \left(\int_{r}^{\infty} g(s)^{-1} ds\right)^{-p-1} H'(r) = \frac{2}{p+1} g(r) K(r) \left(\Phi(r) - \frac{p+3}{2}\right), \qquad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Phi(r) := \left(2g'(r) + \frac{g(r)K'(r)}{K(r)}\right) \int_r^\infty g(s)^{-1} ds$$

$$= r^{n-2} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right) \varphi(r)^2 \left[r^2 + \left\{2(n-1) + l\right\} + (p+3)\left(\frac{r\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)}\right)\right]$$

$$\times \int_r^\infty s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds.$$
(2.4)

In view of (2.3), G(r) and H(r) have the same extremal points, namely those r > 0 which satisfy $\Phi(r) = (p+3)/2$. So in order to know the sign of G'(r) and H'(r), we must study the relation between $\Phi(r)$ and (p+3)/2. We first consider the behaviour of $\Phi(r)$ near r = 0 and $r = \infty$.

Lemma 2.2 Suppose $n \ge 3$ and $0 < \lambda < n/2$. Then

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \Phi(r) = \frac{2n-2+l}{n-2} \quad and \quad \lim_{r\to \infty} \Phi(r) = 2.$$

Proof. Using l'Hospital's theorem, in view of the definition of $\Phi(r)$ we derive

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \Phi(r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\frac{d}{dr} \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp(-s^{2}/4) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds}{\frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{n-2} \exp(r^{2}/4) \varphi(r)^{2} \left\{ r^{2} + 2(n-1) + l + (p+3) \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right) \right\} \right]^{-1}}$$

$$= 2$$

with noting (2.1) and (2.2). The case $r \to 0$ is done similarly.

Note that $\Phi(r)$ is continuous in $[0,\infty)$ and (p+3)/2 satisfies

$$(2 <) 2 + \frac{2+l}{2n} < \frac{p+3}{2} < \frac{2n-2+l}{n-2}$$
 (2.5)

if and only if $1 + \frac{2+l}{n} . And we can get the following lemma which will be proved in the following Section 3.$

Lemma 2.3 Under the assumptions on n, l, p and λ in Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique number $r^* \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\Phi(r^*) = (p+3)/2$ and

$$\begin{cases}
\Phi(r) > \frac{p+3}{2} & \text{in } [0, r^*), \\
\Phi(r) \le \frac{p+3}{2} & \text{in } (r^*, \infty).
\end{cases}$$
(2.6)

Therefore, it follows from (2.3) and Lemma 2.3 that

Lemma 2.4 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique number $r^* \in (0,\infty)$ such that G(r) and H(r) are increasing in $[0,r^*)$ and decreasing in (r^*,∞) .

Moreover, in order to locate r_G and r_H , we need to determine the behaviour of G(r) and H(r) near r=0 and $r=\infty$.

Lemma 2.5 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,

- (i) $\lim_{r \to \infty} G(r) = -\infty$.
- (ii) $\lim_{r \to 0} G(r) = 0$.
- (iii) $\liminf_{r \to \infty} H(r) \ge 0$.
- (iv) $\limsup_{r\to 0} H(r) < 0$.

We can show this lemma by using Proposition 2.1. Now we can prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First of all, we must note that

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{2} \iff 1 + \frac{2+l}{n} < p < \infty$$

holds when $\lambda = (2+l)/2(p-1)$. As is already seen in Lemma 2.4, both G(r) and H(r) have exactly one local maximum at $r^* \in (0,\infty)$. Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.5 H(r) is negative near r=0 and positive for large r. Thus $H(r^*)>0$ and $0< r_H< r^*$. Besides, we obtain $G(r^*)>0$ from G(0)=0, and the negativity of G(r) for large r yields $0< r^* < r_G < \infty$; so we conclude that condition (1.14) holds. Thus from Theorem C there exists a unique positive number α_0 such that for every $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \infty)$ $v(\cdot; \alpha)$, i.e., $u(\cdot; \alpha)$ has a zero in $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, for every $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0]$ $v(\cdot; \alpha)$ is positive in $[0, \infty)$ and

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left\{ \int_r^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds \right\}^{-1} v(r; \alpha) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \in (0, \infty) & \text{if} & \alpha = \alpha_0, \\ = \infty & \text{if} & \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0). \end{array} \right.$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{r}^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds = 2r^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right) \varphi(r)^{-2} (1 + o(1))$$

as $r \to \infty$. (Here we use the boundedness of $r\varphi'/\varphi$.) Taking the decay rate of $\varphi(r)$ and the definition of v(r) into account (see Proposition 2.1 (ii)), this estimate immediately shows that (1.15) with $g(r) = r^{n-1} \exp(r^2/4)\varphi^2$ is equivalent to $\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{2\lambda} u(r) = 0$. At the same time, we obtain that (1.16) with $g(r) = r^{n-1} \exp(r^2/4)\varphi^2$ is equivalent to $\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{2\lambda} u(r) > 0$, i.e. $u(r;\alpha)$ satisfies $u(r;\alpha) \sim r^{-2\lambda}$ as $r \to \infty$ for every $\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)$.

3 Proof of Lemma 2.3

We will show there is exactly one crossing point of $q = \Phi(r)$ and q = (p+3)/2 in (r,q)plane. Our strategy is to investigate the sign of $\Phi'(r_*)$ for r_* satisfying $\Phi(r_*) = (p+3)/2$.

Here the existence of r_* is guaranteed by Lemma 2.2, the continuity of $\Phi(r)$ and (2.5).

Define

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1 := \{r_* \in (0, \infty); \Phi'(r_*) > 0\}, \\ \Omega_2 := \{r_* \in (0, \infty); \Phi'(r_*) = 0\}, \\ \Omega_3 := \{r_* \in (0, \infty); \Phi'(r_*) < 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose the assumptions on n, l, p and λ in Theorem 1.1. Then

- (i) Ω_1 is empty.
- (ii) Ω_2 consists of at most one element.
- (iii) Ω_3 consists of at most one element.

Proof. Differentiating $\Phi(r)$, we get

$$\Phi'(r) = \left[\frac{r^4}{2} + \{ (2n-1) - \lambda(p+3) \} r^2 + 2(n-1)(n-2) \right]$$

$$+2\{r^2 + 2(n-1) + l\} \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right) + (p+3) \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right)^2$$

$$\times r^{n-3} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4} \right) \varphi(r)^2 \int_r^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4} \right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds$$

$$-\frac{1}{r} \left\{ r^2 + 2(n-1) + l + (p+3) \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right) \right\}.$$
(3.1)

For any r_* satisfying $\Phi(r_*) = (p+3)/2$ the equality

$$r_*^{n-3} \exp\left(\frac{r_*^2}{4}\right) \varphi(r_*)^2 \int_{r_*}^{\infty} s^{1-n} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right) \varphi(s)^{-2} ds$$

$$= \frac{p+3}{2r_* \left\{r_*^2 + 2(n-1) + l + (p+3) \left(\frac{r_* \varphi'(r_*)}{\varphi(r_*)}\right)\right\}}$$
(3.2)

holds. Note that

$$2r_* \left\{ r_*^2 + 2(n-1) + l + (p+3) \left(\frac{r_* \varphi'(r_*)}{\varphi(r_*)} \right) \right\} > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

since the left-hand side of (3.2) is positive. Combining (3.1) with $r = r_*$ and (3.2), we obtain

$$\Phi'(r_*) = \frac{\Psi(r_*)}{2r_* \left\{ r_*^2 + 2(n-1) + l + (p+3) \left(\frac{r_* \varphi'(r_*)}{\varphi(r_*)} \right) \right\}},$$

where

$$\Psi(r) := \frac{p-1}{2}r^4 + \left\{ (2n-1)p - 2n + 5 - \lambda(p+3)^2 + \frac{p-5}{2}l \right\} r^2$$

$$+ \left\{ 2(n-1) + l \right\} \left\{ (n-2)p - (n+2) - 2l \right\}$$

$$-2(p+3)\left\{ r^2 + 2(n-1) + l \right\} \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right) - (p+3)^2 \left(\frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi} \right)^2.$$

$$(3.4)$$

In view of (3.3), we will investigate the sign of $\Psi(r_*)$ instead of $\Phi'(r_*)$. Using (2.1) and (2.2), it is easily seen that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{r \to \infty} \Psi(r) = +\infty, \\ \lim_{r \to 0} \Psi(r) = \{2(n-1) + l\}\{(n-2)p - (n+2) - 2l\} < 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Moreover, we have the following lemma whose proof will be given at the end of this section.

Lemma 3.2 Under the assumptions on n, l, p and λ in Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique number $\hat{r} \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\Psi(\hat{r}) = 0$ such that

$$\Psi(r)<0 \quad in \quad [0,\hat{r}) \quad and \quad \Psi(r)>0 \quad in \quad (\hat{r},\infty).$$

Recalling that the sign of $\Phi'(r_*)$ is equivalent to that of $\Psi(r_*)$, from Lemma 3.2 we get the sign of $\Phi'(r_*)$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi'(r_*) < 0 & \text{if } r_* \in [0, \hat{r}), \\ \Phi'(r_*) = 0 & \text{if } r_* = \hat{r}, \\ \Phi'(r_*) > 0 & \text{if } r_* \in (\hat{r}, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

First, we will show (i). If $q = \Phi(r)$ and q = (p+3)/2 cross in (\hat{r}, ∞) , then there exists a unique number r'_* in (\hat{r}, ∞) such that $\Phi(r'_*) = (p+3)/2$ with $\Phi'(r'_*) > 0$. But it is impossible because of $\Phi(r) \to 2$ as $r \to \infty$. Therefore, $\Omega_1 = \emptyset$.

Moreover, if $q = \Phi(r)$ and q = (p+3)/2 cross in $[0,\hat{r})$, then there exists a unique number $r_*'' \in [0,\hat{r})$ such that $\Phi(r_*'') = (p+3)/2$ with $\Phi'(r_*'') < 0$. Therefore, $\Omega_3 = \emptyset$ or

 $\Omega_3 = \{r''_*\}$; so we conclude (iii). Statement (ii) is trivial in view of (3.6).

Thus we conclude that the relation between $q = \Phi(r)$ and q = (p+3)/2 in (r,q)-plane is one of the following:

(a)
$$\Phi(r) > (p+3)/2$$
 in $[0, r_*'')$ and $\Phi(r) < (p+3)/2$ in (r_*'', ∞) ,

(b)
$$\Phi(r) > (p+3)/2$$
 in $[0,\hat{r})$ and $\Phi(r) < (p+3)/2$ in (\hat{r},∞) ,

(c)
$$\Phi(r) > (p+3)/2$$
 in $[0, r_*'')$ and $\Phi(r) < (p+3)/2$ in $(r_*'', \infty) \setminus \hat{r}$.

(Here we used the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.1.) Therefore, by putting $r^* := r_*''$ in cases (a) and (c) or $r^* = \hat{r}$ in case (b), (i) of Lemma 2.3 holds.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. In order to prove Lemma 3.2, we will investigate the sign of $\Psi'(\tilde{r})$ for \tilde{r} satisfying $\Psi(\tilde{r}) = 0$. If we set

$$\begin{cases} X(r) := r\varphi'/\varphi; \\ A := -(p+3)^2; \\ B(r) := -2(p+3)\{r^2 + 2(n-1) + l\}; \\ C(r) := \frac{p-1}{2}r^4 + \left\{ (2n-1)p - 2n + 5 - \lambda(p+3)^2 + \frac{l}{2}(p-5) \right\}r^2 \\ + \{2(n-1) + l\}\{(n-2)p - (n+2) - 2l\}, \end{cases}$$
 (r) can be rewritten as

then $\Psi(r)$ can be rewritten as

$$\Psi(r) \equiv AX(r)^{2} + B(r)X(r) + C(r). \tag{3.7}$$

From (1.7), we can express X'(r) in terms of X(r) as

$$X'(r) = -\frac{1}{r}X(r)^2 - \frac{n-2}{r}X(r) - \frac{r}{2}X(r) - \lambda r.$$
 (3.8)

So differentiating (3.7) and using (3.8), we obtain

$$\Psi'(r) = -\frac{2A}{r}X(r)^3 - \left\{\frac{2A(n-2) + B(r)}{r} + Ar\right\}X(r)^2 - \left\{\frac{(n-2)B(r)}{r}\right\} - B'(r) + \left(2\lambda A + \frac{B(r)}{2}\right)r\right\}X(r) - \lambda B(r)r + C'(r).$$
(3.9)

From $\Psi(\tilde{r}) = 0$, $X(\tilde{r})^2$ and $X(\tilde{r})^3$ can be replaced by

$$\begin{cases} X(\tilde{r})^2 = -\frac{B(\tilde{r})}{A}X(\tilde{r}) - \frac{C(\tilde{r})}{A}, \\ X(\tilde{r})^3 = \left(\frac{B(\tilde{r})^2}{A^2} - \frac{C(\tilde{r})}{A}\right)X(\tilde{r}) + \frac{B(\tilde{r})C(\tilde{r})}{A^2}. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Substituting (3.10) into (3.9), all the terms containing $X(\tilde{r})$ vanish and $\tilde{r}\Psi'(\tilde{r})$ turns out to be a polynomial in \tilde{r}^2 of degree 3:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (p+3)\tilde{r}\Psi'(\tilde{r}) & = & \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{2}\tilde{r}^6 + \eta(l,p,n,\lambda)\tilde{r}^4 + \kappa(l,p,n,\lambda)\tilde{r}^2 \\ & & + 2\{2(n-1)+l\}\{(n-2)p+n-4-l\}\{(n-2)p-(n+2)-2l\} \end{array}$$

where

$$\eta(l,p,n,\lambda):=-\lambda p^3+\left(3n-5\lambda-1+\frac{l}{2}\right)p^2-3(\lambda-2+l)p-3\left(n-3\lambda-1+\frac{l}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{split} \kappa(l,p,n,\lambda) &:= & -3(p-1)l^2 + \left[(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2 \right] l \\ &+ 2(n-1)(p-1)\{ -\lambda p^2 + 3(n-2\lambda-1)p + 3(n-3\lambda-1) \}. \end{split}$$

We want to determine the sign of $\Psi'(\tilde{r})$. Setting $x = \tilde{r}^2$, we have $(p+3)\tilde{r}\Psi'(\tilde{r}) = \Theta(x)$, where $\Theta(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 3 given by

$$\Theta(x) := \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{2}x^3 + \eta(p,n,\lambda)x^2 + \kappa(p,n,\lambda)x + 2\{2(n-1)+l\}\{(n-2)p + n - 4 - l\}\{(n-2)p - (n+2) - 2l\}$$

for $x \in [0, \infty)$. Concerning the profile of $\Theta(x)$, we readily see

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(0) = 2\{2(n-1) + l\}\{(n-2)p + n - 4 - l\}\{(n-2)p - (n+2) - 2l\},\\ \lim_{x \to \infty} \Theta(x) = +\infty. \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

(Here, we must note that (n-2)p + n - 4 - l > 0 holds if $n \ge 3$, p > 1 + (2+l)/n and $-2 < l < n^2 - 2n - 2$.) What may happen in $(0, \infty)$ is clarified by the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 Suppose $n \ge 3$, -2 < l < 1, 1 + (2 + l)/n and set

$$\lambda^*(l) := \frac{(n-2)\{l^2 + 2(n+4)l + 4(2n+1)\}}{4(l+2)\{l+2(n-1)\}}.$$

Then $\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0,\infty)$ if $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*(l)$.

Proof. Note that it follows from $n^2 - 2n - 2 \ge 1$ for any $n \ge 3$ that $\Theta(0) < 0$ under the assumptions on n, l and p. Differentiating $\Theta(x)$, we obtain

$$\Theta'(x) = \frac{3}{2}(p+1)(p-1)x^2 + 2\eta(l, p, n, \lambda)x + \kappa(l, p, n, \lambda).$$

Now we will show $\eta(l, p, n, \lambda)$ is positive under the assumptions on n, l, p and λ . In fact, define

$$f_1(p) := \eta(l,p,n,\lambda), \qquad p \in \left(1,rac{n+2+2l}{n-2}
ight)$$

then we observe

$$f_1(1) = 8 - 4l > 0 (3.12)$$

and

$$(n-2)^{3} f_{1}\left(\frac{n+2+2l}{n-2}\right)$$

$$= 2\left\{l+2(n-1)\right\} \left[(n-2)\left\{l^{2}+2(n+4)l+4(2n+1)\right\}\right]$$

$$-4(l+2)\left\{l+2(n-1)\right\} \lambda > 0.$$
(3.13)

From (3.12) and (3.13), it is sufficient to show that $f_1(p)$ does not have any local minimum in (1, (n+2+2l)/(n-2)). So consider the sign of $f''_1(\tilde{p})$, where \tilde{p} satisfies $f'_1(\tilde{p}) = 0$. Using

$$f_1'(p) = -3\lambda p^2 + 2\left(3n - 5\lambda - 1 + \frac{l}{2}\right)p - 3(\lambda - 2 + l)$$

and

$$f_1''(p) = -6\lambda p + 2\left(3n - 5\lambda - 1 + \frac{l}{2}\right),$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{p}f_1''(\tilde{p}) = -6\lambda \tilde{p}^2 + 2\left(3n - 5\lambda - 1 + \frac{l}{2}\right)\tilde{p}$$

= $-3\lambda(\tilde{p}^2 - 1) + 3(l - 2) < 0.$

Thus, if $f_1(p)$ has an extremum, then it must be a local maximum and we conclude

$$\eta(l, p, n, \lambda) = f_1(p) \ge \min \left\{ f_1(1), f_1\left(\frac{n+2+2l}{n-2}\right) \right\} > 0.$$

Since $\eta(l, p, n, \lambda) > 0$, the quadratic equation $\Theta'(x) = 0$ does not have two solutions in $(0, \infty)$; so $\Theta(x)$ has at most one extremum. Therefore, either $\Theta(x)$ is increasing in $[0, \infty)$, or $\Theta(x)$ has a local minimum at $\hat{x}_1 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Theta(x)$ is decreasing in $[0, \hat{x}_1)$ and increasing in (\hat{x}_1, ∞) . Thus from (3.11) and $\Theta(0) < 0$ we can conclude that $\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0, \infty)$.

Here, note that $\lim_{l\to -2+0} \lambda^*(l) = +\infty$ and the following result which is easily shown.

Lemma 3.4
$$\lambda^*(l)$$
 is decreasing in $(-2,\infty)$ and $\lim_{l\to\infty}\lambda^*(l)=\frac{n-2}{4}\left(<\frac{n}{2}\right)$.

So for $l \in (-2,1)$, which satisfies $\lambda^*(l) < n/2$, and $\lambda \in (\lambda^*(l), n/2)$, the profile of $\Theta(x)$ has not been derived. First, we will prove the following result.

Lemma 3.5 Let n = 3. If -2 < l < 2/3, then $\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0, \infty)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, 3/2)$ and $p \in (1 + (2 + l)/3, 5 + 2l)$.

Proof. Since $\lambda^*(2/3) = 85/112 > 3/4$, for any $\lambda \in (0, 3/4)$, $\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0, \infty)$ from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. So we suppose $3/4 \le \lambda < 3/2$ and set

$$\begin{split} f_2(l) &:= \kappa(l, p, 3, \lambda) \\ &= -3(p-1)l^2 + \left\{ 3(p^2 - 10p - 7) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2 \right\} l \\ &+ 4(p-1) \left\{ -\lambda p^2 + 6(1-\lambda)p + 3(2-3\lambda) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then we get

$$f_2(l) = -3(p-1)\left\{l - \frac{3(p^2 - 10p - 7) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}\right\}^2 + \frac{\left\{3(p^2 - 10p - 7) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2\right\}^2}{12(p-1)} + 4(p-1)\left\{-\lambda p^2 + 6(1-\lambda)p + 3(2-3\lambda)\right\}$$

and

$$\frac{3(p^2 - 10p - 7) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)} \ge \frac{3(p^2 - 10p - 7) + 4 \cdot \frac{3}{4}(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}$$
$$= \frac{6(p-1)^2}{6(p-1)}$$

$$= p - 1$$
> $1 + \frac{2+l}{3} - 1$
= $\frac{2+l}{3}$.

Thus the axis of quadratic function $f_2(l)$ is positive for all l > -2. Moreover, since $f_2(0) < 0$ under the assumptions on p and λ with l = 0 (see Lemma 3.2 in [3]), we obtain $f_2(l)$ is negative in (-2,0]. Furthermore, if 0 < l < 2/3, then p > 5/3, the axis of $f_2(l)$ is greater than 2/3, and

$$\frac{3}{2}f_{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -6\lambda p^{3} + (-26\lambda + 39)p^{2} + (6\lambda - 32)p + 90\lambda - 55$$

$$= -(3p - 5)\left\{2\lambda(p + 3)^{2} - 13p - 11\right\}$$

$$< -(3p - 5)\left\{2 \cdot \frac{3}{4}(p + 3)^{2} - 13p - 11\right\}$$

$$= -(3p - 5)\left\{\frac{3}{2}\left(p - \frac{4}{3}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right\}$$

$$< -(3p - 5)\left\{\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{5}{3} - \frac{4}{3}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right\}$$

$$= 0$$

holds. Therefore, we have $f_2(l)$ is also negative in (0,2/3). Hence, we have $f_2(l) < 0$, i.e., $\kappa(l,p,3,\lambda) < 0$ under the assummptions on l, p and λ . Since $\kappa(l,p,3,\lambda) < 0$, the quadratic equation $\Theta'(x) = 0$ has exactly one solution in $(0,\infty)$; so $\Theta(x)$ has a local minimum at $\hat{x}_2 \in (0,\infty)$ such that $\Theta(x)$ is decreasing in $[0,\hat{x}_2)$ and increasing in (\hat{x}_2,∞) . Thus it follows from (3.11) that $\Theta(x)$ has a unique zero in $(0,\infty)$.

Next, we will show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6 Let $n \ge 4$. Then there exists some positive number $l^* = l^*(n) \in (0,1)$ such that if $-2 < l < l^*$ and $1 + (2 + l)/n , <math>\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0, \infty)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, n/2)$.

Proof. Since it is easily seen

$$\lambda^*(1) = \frac{(n-2)(10n+13)}{12(2n-1)}$$

and

$$\frac{(n-2)(10n+13)}{12(2n-1)} > \frac{3(n-1)}{8} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 4,$$

for any $\lambda \in (0, 3(n-1)/8)$, $\Theta(x)$ has exactly one zero in $(0, \infty)$ from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. So we suppose $3(n-1)/8 \le \lambda < n/2$ and set $f_3(l) := \kappa(l, p, n, \lambda)$. Then we get

$$f_3(l) = -3(p-1)\left\{l - \frac{(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}\right\}^2 + \frac{\left\{(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2\right\}^2}{12(p-1)} + 2(n-1)(p-1)\left\{-\lambda p^2 + 3(n-2\lambda-1)p + 3(n-3\lambda-1)\right\}$$

and

$$\frac{(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4 \cdot \frac{3(n-1)}{8}(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}$$

$$= \frac{(7n-9)p^2 - 6(n+1)p + 3(5n-11)}{12(p-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left\{ (7n-9)(p-1) + 8(n-3) + \frac{16(n-3)}{p-1} \right\}.$$

Thus the axis of $f_3(l)$ is positive for all l > -2. Moreover, since $f_3(0) < 0$ under the assumptions on n, p and λ with l = 0 (see Lemma 3.2 in [3]), we obtain $f_3(l)$ is negative in (-2,0]. Furthermore, if $n \ge 4$ and 0 < l < 1, then $1 < 1 + 2/n < p < \frac{n+4}{n-2} < 4$, the axis of $f_3(l)$ is greater than 1. In fact,

$$\frac{(2n-3)p^2 - 6(2n-1)p - 3(2n+1) + 4\lambda(p+3)^2}{6(p-1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{12} \left\{ (7n-9)(p-1) + 8(n-3) + \frac{16(n-3)}{p-1} \right\}$$

$$> \frac{1}{12} \left\{ 8(n-3) + \frac{16(n-3)}{4-1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{10}{9}(n-3) > 1$$

holds. Therefore, there exists some positive number $l^* = l^*(n) \in (0,1)$ such that $f_3(l)$ is negative in $(0,l^*)$. Hence, we have $f_3(l) < 0$, i.e., $\kappa(l,p,n,\lambda) < 0$ under the assummptions on n, l, p and λ . Since $\kappa(l,p,n,\lambda) < 0$, the quadratic equation $\Theta'(x) = 0$ has exactly

one solution in $(0, \infty)$; so $\Theta(x)$ has a local minimum at $\hat{x}_3 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Theta(x)$ is decreasing in $[0, \hat{x}_3)$ and increasing in (\hat{x}_3, ∞) . Thus it follows from (3.11) that $\Theta(x)$ has a unique zero in $(0, \infty)$.

Thus in view of Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, 3.6 and (3.11), there exists a unique number $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(x) < 0 & \text{in} \quad (0, x_0), & \text{i.e.} \quad \Psi'(\tilde{r}) < 0 & \text{if} \quad \tilde{r} \in (0, \sqrt{x_0}), \\ \Theta(x_0) = 0, & \text{i.e.} \quad \Psi'(\tilde{r}) = 0 & \text{if} \quad \tilde{r} = \sqrt{x_0}, \\ \Theta(x) > 0 & \text{in} \quad (x_0, \infty), & \text{i.e.} \quad \Psi'(\tilde{r}) > 0 & \text{if} \quad \tilde{r} \in (\sqrt{x_0}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in view of (3.5), there exists a unique number \hat{r} satisfying $\Psi(\hat{r}) = 0$ with $\hat{r} \geq \sqrt{x_0}$, such that $\Psi(r) < 0$ in $[0, \hat{r})$ and $\Psi(r) > 0$ in (\hat{r}, ∞) . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

References

- C. Dohmen and M. Hirose, Structure of positive radial solutions to the Haraux-Weissler equation, Nonl. Anal. TMA, 33 (1998), pp.51-69.
- [2] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 13 (1966), pp.109-124.
- [3] M. Hirose, Structure of positive radial solutions to the Haraux-Weissler equation II, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 9 (1999), pp.473-497
- [4] T.-Y. Lee and W.-M. Ni, Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of nonlinear parabolic Cauchy problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 333 (1992), pp.365-371.
- [5] X. Wang, On the Cauchy problem for reaction-diffusion equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337 (1993), pp.549-590.
- [6] E. Yanagida and S. Yotsutani, Classification of the structure of positive radial solutions to $\Delta u + K(|x|)u^p = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 124 (1993), pp.239-259.
- [7] E. Yanagida and S. Yotsutani, Pohozaev identity and its applications, Kyoto University Sûrikaisekikenkyûsho Kôkyûroku, 834 (1993), pp.80-90.