Self-Orthogonal Designs

東北大学大学院情報科学研究科 宗政 昭弘 (Akihiro Munemasa) Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University

October 4, 2005

1 Introduction

It is well-known that for any positive integer t, a nontrivial t- (v, k, λ) design exists for some v, k, λ . However, it seems that there are very few self-orthogonal t-designs known for large t. Recall that a t- (v, k, λ) design $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is said to be self-orthogonal if the parity of the size of intersection of any two blocks is the same as the parity of k.

The purpose of this talk is to formulate a conjecture on the nonexistence of self-orthogonal designs for large t with $t \geq \left[\frac{k}{4}\right] + 1$, where k is even. We show that the conjecture is true for (t,k) = (6,20), for example. The method employed is the same as the one developed in [1], where a self-orthogonal 5-(72,36,78) design is investigated.

2 Saturated designs

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a self-orthogonal t- (v, k, λ) design. Assume that k is even, so that the binary code C generated by the rows of the block-point incidence matrix of \mathcal{D} is self-orthogonal. We call the design \mathcal{D} saturated, if C is self-dual, C has minimum weight k, and every minimum weight codeword of C is the support of a block of \mathcal{D} .

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_t)$ be a nonzero left null vector of the $t \times (t-1)$ matrix

$$A_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2(t-1) \\ \binom{2}{2} & \binom{4}{2} & \cdots & \binom{2(t-1)}{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \binom{2}{t} & \binom{4}{t} & \cdots & \binom{2(t-1)}{t} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

Since the matrix A_t has rank t-1, the vector k is unique up to a scalar multiple.

Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} i(-2)^{i-1} \binom{2t-i-1}{t-1} \binom{2j}{i} - (-1)^{t-1} 2^{2t-1} t \binom{j}{j-t}.$$

Proof. Induction on j.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a self-orthogonal t- (v, k, λ) design. Assume that k is even, so that the binary code C generated by the rows of the block-point incidence matrix of \mathcal{D} is self-orthogonal. If C has minimum weight k and

$$t \ge t_0 := \left[\frac{k}{4}\right] + 1,\tag{2}$$

then

$$\lambda_{s} = \frac{(-1)^{t_{0}-1} 2^{2t_{0}-1} t_{0} {k/2 \choose k/2 - t_{0}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{t_{0}} i(-2)^{i-1} {2t_{0}-i-1 \choose t_{0}-1} {k \choose i} \prod_{j=1}^{t_{0}-1} \frac{v-j}{k-j}} \prod_{i=s}^{t_{0}-1} \frac{v-j}{k-j}$$
(3)

is an integer for $s = 0, 1, \ldots, t_0$.

Proof. Fix a block B_0 of \mathcal{D} . Since X is a t_0 -design, we have

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \binom{|B \cap B_0|}{i} = \lambda_i \binom{k}{i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, t_0), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_{t_0} \prod_{j=i}^{t_0-1} \frac{v-j}{k-j}.$$
 (5)

Put

$$n_j = |\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid 2j = |B \cap B_0|\}| \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Since C has minimum weight k, $|B \cap B_0| \le k/2$ unless $B = B_0$. Thus

$$n_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j > \left[\frac{k}{4}\right], \ j \neq \frac{k}{2},$$

and obviously $n_{k/2} = 1$. Now (4) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t_0-1} {2j \choose i} n_j = (\lambda_i - 1) {k \choose i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, t_0).$$
 (6)

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{t_0})$ be the vector defined by

$$k_i = i(-2)^{i-1} \binom{2t_0 - i - 1}{t_0 - 1}. (7)$$

Then \boldsymbol{k} is a left null vector of A_{t_0} by Lemma 1, and hence we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \lambda_i \binom{k}{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \binom{k}{i}. \tag{8}$$

By (6) we have

$$\lambda_{t_0} \sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{i=1}^{t_0-1} \frac{v-j}{k-j} = \sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \binom{k}{i}.$$

Applying (5) again, we obtain

$$\lambda_s = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \binom{k}{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \binom{k}{i}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ k-i}}^{t_0-1} \frac{v-j}{k-i}} \prod_{i=s}^{t_0-1} \frac{v-j}{k-j}$$

The result then follows from (7) and Lemma 1.

As a special case of (3), we have

$$\lambda_{t_0} = \frac{(-1)^{t_0 - 1} 2^{2t_0 - 1} t_0 \binom{k/2}{k/2 - t_0}}{\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} i(-2)^{i-1} \binom{2t_0 - i - 1}{t_0 - 1} \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=i}^{t_0 - 1} \frac{v - j}{k - j}}.$$
(9)

Observe that the denominator is a polynomial in v of degree t_0-1 with positive leading coefficient. Thus, for a given t_0 , there are only finitely many v for which λ_{t_0} is an integer.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a self-orthogonal t- (v, k, λ) design, where k < v. Assume that k is even, so that the binary code C generated by the rows of the block-point incidence matrix of \mathcal{D} is self-orthogonal. If C has minimum weight k and

$$t \ge t_0 := \left[\frac{k}{4}\right] + 1 \text{ and } k \le 24,$$
 (10)

then

$$(t_0, v, k, \lambda) = (1, v, 2, 1),$$

$$(2, 7, 4, 2), (2, 8, 4, 3), (2, 9, 4, 6),$$

$$(2, 16, 6, 2), (2, 21, 6, 4), (2, 22, 6, 5), (2, 24, 6, 10), (2, 25, 6, 20),$$

$$(3, 16, 8, 3), (3, 22, 8, 12), (3, 23, 8, 16), (3, 24, 8, 21),$$

$$(3, 26, 8, 28), (3, 29, 8, 16), (3, 30, 8, 12), (3, 32, 8, 7),$$

$$(3, 26, 10, 3), (3, 42, 10, 9), (3, 46, 10, 8), (3, 50, 10, 6),$$

$$(4, 47, 12, 15), (4, 48, 12, 36), (4, 51, 12, 2640),$$

$$(5, 56, 16, 42), (5, 64, 16, 91), (5, 72, 16, 78),$$

$$(7, 120, 24, 231).$$

Proof. If k=2, then clearly $\lambda=1$.

If k = 4, then

$$\lambda = \frac{6}{10 - v},$$

hence v = 7, 8 or 9.

If k = 6, then

$$\lambda = \frac{20}{26 - v},$$

hence v = 16, 21, 22, 24, 25.

If k = 8, then

$$0 \ge 336(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1) = (v - 8)(v - 44),$$

hence $8 < v \le 44$. Since $\lambda_3, ..., \lambda_0$ are also integers, we have v = 16, 22, 23, 24, 26, 29, 30 or 32.

If k = 10, then

$$0 \ge 1152(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1)$$
$$= (v - 10)(v - 74),$$

hence $10 < v \le 74$. Since $\lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 10, 26, 42, 46 or 50.

If k = 12, then

$$\lambda = -\frac{31680}{v^3 - 127v^2 + 5456v - 80592},$$

hence

$$0 \ge v^3 - 127v^2 + 5456v - 80592$$

= $v^2(v - 127) + 5456(v - 15) + 1248$.

This implies v < 127. Since $\lambda_4, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 12, 36, 47, 48, 51, 52 or 57.

If k = 14, then

$$\lambda = -\frac{549120}{5v^3 - 875v^2 + 52952v - 1132668},$$

hence

$$0 \ge 5v^3 - 875v^2 + 52952v - 1132668$$

= $5v^2(v - 175) + 52950(v - 22) + 32232$.

This implies $v \leq 174$. Since $\lambda_4, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 14.

If k = 16, then

$$\lambda = \frac{4193280}{v^4 - 235v^3 + 20960v^2 - 848000v + 13292544},$$

hence,

$$0 \ge 4193280(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1)$$

= $(v - 16)(v^2(v - 219) + 17456(v - 33) + 7344).$

Thus v < 219. Since $\lambda_5, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 16, 56, 64 or 72.

If k = 18, then

$$0 \ge 102359040(\frac{1}{\lambda(18)} - 1)$$

= $7v^3(v - 299) + 240404v(v - 53) + 37200v + 162256464.$

Thus $16 \le v < 299$. Since $\lambda_5, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 18.

If k=20, then

$$0 > \frac{5000970240}{\lambda} - 7v^{4}(v - 376) + 399861v^{2}(v - 78) + 1215608048(v - 17) + 350602v^{2} + 887460016,$$

hence v < 376. Since $\lambda_6, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 20. If k = 22, then

$$0 > -\frac{2500485120}{\lambda}$$

$$= v^{4}(v - 456) + 84659v^{2}(v - 96) + 394932588(v - 21)$$

$$+ 79328v^{2} + 200001388,$$

hence v < 456. Since $\lambda_6, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 22. If k = 24, then

$$0 \ge 148852408320(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1)$$

$$= (v - 24)((v - 526)(v^4 + 114511v^2 + 47117192v + 25600739920)$$

$$+ 13441571438560),$$

hence v < 526. Since $\lambda_7, \ldots, \lambda_0$ are also positive integers, we have v = 24 or 120.

3 Unsaturated designs

In this section we let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a self-orthogonal t- (v, k, λ) design with k even, so that the binary code C generated by the rows of the block-point

incidence matrix of \mathcal{D} is self-orthogonal. We assume that the design \mathcal{D} is unsaturated, i.e., either C is not self-dual, or C has a codeword of weight at most k different from the support of a block of \mathcal{D} . This implies that there exists a coset x+C, possibly equal to C, such that it contains a nonzero vector with minimal weight other than the support of any block of \mathcal{D} . Let S be the support of such a vector, put m = |S|. Then

$$|B \cap S| \le \frac{k}{2}$$

for any block B. Since

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} {|B \cap S| \choose i} = \lambda_i {m \choose i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, t),$$

putting

$$n_j = |\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid 2j = |B \cap B_0|\}| \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, ...),$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4\rfloor} \binom{2j}{i} n_j = \lambda_i \binom{m}{i}.$$

Assume

$$t \ge t_0 := [\frac{k}{4}] + 1.$$

Then, as in the previous section, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} i(-2)^{i-1} {2t_0 - i - 1 \choose t_0 - 1} \lambda_i {m \choose i} = 0$$

By (5), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} i(-2)^{i-1} {2t_0 - i - 1 \choose t_0 - 1} {m \choose i} \prod_{j=i}^{t_0 - 1} \frac{v - j}{k - j} = 0$$
 (11)

If k is given, then this is a Diophantine equation in m, v. The only integer solutions of equation (11) in the range $0 < m < v \le 1000, k = 8, 10, \dots, 20$

are

```
k = 8, (v, m) = (16, 4), (16, 6), (22, 6), (22, 7), (23, 7),

k = 10, (v, m) = (20, 4), (22, 6), (26, 6),

k = 12, (v, m) = (24, 8), (36, 8), (47, 11), (68, 16), (156, 36), (311, 71),

k = 14, (v, m) = (80, 16), (159, 31),

k = 16, (v, m) = (32, 8), (43, 8), (43, 11), (48, 12), (56, 12), (58, 13),

k = 18, (v, m) = (36, 8).
```

Therefore, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4. Let $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{B})$ be a self-orthogonal t- (v,k,λ) design. Assume that k is even, and $t\geq \lfloor\frac{k}{4}\rfloor+1$, and $8\leq k\leq 20$, $2k\leq v\leq 1000$. The binary code C generated by the rows of the block-point incidence matrix M of \mathcal{D} is self-dual and the codewords of C of weight k are precisely the rows of M, unless (k,v) is one of the pairs listed above, in which case, either C^\perp/C contains a coset of weight m whose values are listed above.

References

[1] M. Harada, M. Kitazume and A. Munemasa, On a 5-design related to an extremal doubly-even self-dual code of length 72, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 107 (2004), 143–146.