EQUIVARIANT DEFINABLE MORSE FUNCTIONS ON DEFINABLE $C^{\infty}G$ MANIFOLDS

TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI AND HIROSHI TANAKA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact affine definable C^{∞} group, X a compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold and f an equivariant definable Morse function on X. We prove that if f has no critical value in [a, b], then $f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is definably $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphic to $f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$. Moreover we prove that if r is a positive integer greater than 1, then the set of equivariant definable Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is dense in the set of G invariant C^{∞} functions on X with respect to the C^{r} Whitney topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider an equivariant definable C^{∞} version of Morse theory. We refer the reader to the book by J. Milnor [16] for Morse theory on compact C^{∞} manifolds. Its equivariant versions are studied in G. Wasserman [21], K.H. Mayer [15], M. Datta and N. Pandey [1], and its definable C^r versions are considered in T.L. Loi [14], Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko [17] when $2 \leq r < \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, e^x, ...)$ be an exponential o-minimal expansion of $\mathbf{R}_{exp} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, e^x)$ admitting the C^{∞} cell decomposition. General references on o-minimal structures are [2], [3], see also [20]. It is known in [18] that there exist uncountably many o-minimal expansions of $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$.

Every definable C^{∞} manifold does not have boundary unless otherwise stated. Definable $C^{r}G$ manifolds are studied in [9], [7] when $0 \leq r \leq \omega$. Everything is considered in \mathcal{M} .

Let G be a definable C^{∞} group, X a definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ a G invariant definable C^{∞} function on X. A closed definable $C^{\infty}G$ submanifold Y of X is called a *critical manifold* (resp. a *nondegenerate critical manifold*) of f if each $p \in Y$ is a critical point (resp. a nondegenerate critical point) of f. We say that f is an equivariant definable Morse function if the critical locus of f is a finite union of nondegenerate critical manifolds of f without interior.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a compact affine definable C^{∞} group and f an equivariant definable Morse function on a compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold X. If f has no critical value in [a, b], then $f^a := f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is definably $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphic to $f^b := f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$.

Theorem 1.1 is an equivariant definable version of Theorem 4.3 [21] and a definable C^{∞} version of 1.1 [6].

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14P10, 14P20, 57R35, 57S10, 57S15, 58A05, 03C64.

Keywords and Phrases. O-minimal, equivariant Morse theory, definable C^{∞} groups, equivariant definable Morse functions, critical points, critical values.

In the non-equivariant definable case, T.L. Loi [14] proves the density of definable Morse functions.

Let r be a positive integer greater than 1, $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of definable C^r functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For each $f \in Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for each positive definable continuous function $\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the ϵ -neighborhood $N(f;\epsilon)$ of f in $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by $\{h \in Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n) || \partial^{\alpha}(h-f)| < \epsilon, \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n, |\alpha| \leq r\}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n, |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \partial^{\alpha}F = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}F}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$. We call the topology defined by these ϵ -neighborhoods the definable C^r topology.

Theorem 1.2 ([14]). Let r be a positive integer greater than 1 and X a definable C^r submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the set of definable C^r functions on \mathbb{R}^n which are Morse functions on X and have distinct critical values are open and dense in $Def^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the definable C^r topology.

Remark that the definable C^r topology and the C^r Whitney topology do not coincide in general. If X is compact, then these topologies of the set $Def^r(X)$ of definable C^r functions on X are the same (P156 [20]).

A nondegenerate critical manifold of an equivariant Morse function on a definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold is called a *nondegenerate critical orbit* if it is an orbit. The following is the density of equivariant definable Morse functions.

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a compact affine definable C^{∞} group, X a compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold and r a positive integer greater than 1. Then the set $Def_{equi-Morse,o}(X)$ of equivariant definable Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is dense in the set $C^{\infty}_{inv}(X)$ of G invariant C^{∞} functions on X with respect to the C^r Whitney topology. Moreover $Def_{equi-Morse,o}(X)$ is open and dense in the set $Def^{\infty}_{inv}(X)$ of G invariant definable C^{∞} functions with respect to the definable C^r topology.

The following is a definable C^{∞} version of a well-known topological result (e.g. 6.2.4 [5]).

Theorem 1.4. Let X be an n-dimensional compact definable C^{∞} manifold admitting a definable Morse function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ with only two critical points.

(1) ([6]) X is definably homeomorphic to the n-dimensional unit sphere S^n .

(2) If $n \leq 6$, then X is definably C^{∞} diffeomorphic to S^n .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A definable C^{∞} manifold is a C^{∞} manifold with a finite system of charts whose transition functions are definable, and definable C^{∞} maps, definable C^{∞} diffeomorphisms and definable C^{∞} imbeddings are defined similarly ([9], [7]). A definable C^{∞} manifold is affine if it is definably C^{∞} imbeddable into some \mathbb{R}^n . If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$, a definable C^{ω} manifold (resp. an affine definable C^{ω} manifold) is called a Nash manifold (resp. an affine Nash manifold). By [8], every definable C^r manifold is affine when r is a nonnegative integer. The definable C^{ω} case is complicated. Even if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$, it is known that for every compact or compactifiable C^{ω} manifold of positive dimension admits a continuum number of distinct nonaffine Nash manifold structures [19], and its equivariant version is proved in [10].

A group G is a definable C^{∞} group if G is a definable C^{∞} manifold such that the group operations $G \times G \to G$ and $G \to G$ are definable C^{∞} maps. By definition, every definable C^{∞} group is a Lie group. Let G be a definable C^{∞} group. A definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold is a pair (X, ϕ) consisting of a definable C^{∞} manifold X and a group action $\phi: G \times X \to X$ such that ϕ is a definable C^{∞} map. For simplicity, we write X instead of (X, ϕ) .

Let G be a definable C^{∞} group. A representation map of G means a group homomorphism from G to some $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ which is a definable C^{∞} map and the representation of this representation map is \mathbb{R}^n with the orthogonal action induced by the representation map. In this paper, we always assume that every representation is orthogonal. A definable $C^{\infty}G$ submanifold of a representation Ω of G is a G invariant definable C^{∞} submanifold of Ω . We say that a definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold is affine if it is definably $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphic to a definable $C^{\infty}G$ submanifold of some representation of G.

In our assumption, every compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold is affine.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). Let G be a compact affine definable C^{∞} group. Then every compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold is affine.

Remark that if \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded, then Theorem 2.1 is not always true [10].

Theorem 2.2. Let G be a compact affine definable C^{∞} group. Let X and Y be compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifolds possibly with boundary. If either $\partial X = \partial Y = \emptyset$ or X, Y are affine, then X and Y are definably $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphic if and only if they are $C^{1}G$ diffeomorphic.

To prove Theorem 2.2, we prepare several results.

Theorem 2.3 (2.24 [7]). Let G be a compact definable C^{∞} group.

(1) Every definable $C^{\infty}G$ submanifold X possibly with boundary of a representation Ω of G has a definable $C^{\infty}G$ tubular neighborhood (U, p) of X in Ω .

(2) Any compact affine definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold X with boundary ∂X admits a definable $C^{\infty}G$ collar, namely there exists a definable $C^{\infty}G$ imbedding $\phi : \partial X \times [0, 1) \to X$ such that $\phi(\partial X \times [0, 1))$ is a G invariant definable open neighborhood of ∂X in X and $\phi(x, 0) = x$ for all $x \in \partial X$, where the action on the closed unit interval [0, 1] is trivial.

Let G be a compact definable C^{∞} group. Let f be a map from a $C^{\infty}G$ manifold X to a representation Ω of G. Denote the Haar measure of G by dg and let $C^{\infty}(X,\Omega)$ denote the set of C^{∞} maps from X to Ω . Define

$$A: C^{\infty}(X, \Omega) \to C^{\infty}(X, \Omega), A(f)(x) = \int_{G} g^{-1}f(gx)dg.$$

We call A the averaging function. In particular, if $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$, then $A(f)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i^{-1} f(g_i x)$.

Observations similar to 2.6 [12], 4.3 [7] and 2.35 [13] show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4 ([12], [7], [13]). Let G be a compact definable C^{∞} group. (1) A(f) is equivariant, and A(f) = f if f is equivariant. (2) If $0 \le r \le \infty$ and $f \in C^r(X, \Omega)$, then $A(f) \in C^r(X, \Omega)$.

(3) If f is a polynomial map, then so is A(f).

(4) If $0 \le r < \infty$ and X is compact, then $A : C^r(X, \Omega) \to C^r(X, \Omega)$ is continuous in the C^r Whitney topology.

(5) If G is a finite group, X is a definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold and f is a definable C^{∞} map, then A(f) is a definable $C^{\infty}G$ map.

Theorem 2.5 (P 38 [5]). (1) Let X, Y be C^1 manifolds. Then the set of C^1 diffeomorphisms from X onto Y is open in the set $C^1(X, Y)$ of C^1 maps from X to Y with respect to the C^1 Whitney topology.

(2) Let X, Y be C^1 manifolds with boundary $\partial X, \partial Y$, respectively. Then the set of C^1 diffeomorphisms from X onto Y is open in $\{f \in C^1(X,Y) | f(\partial X) \subset f(\partial Y)\}$ with respect to the C^1 Whitney topology.

Theorem 2.6 (1.2 [4]). Let A, B be definable disjoint closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a definable C^{∞} function $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi|A = 1$ and $\phi|B = 0$.

The following is an equivariant version of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.7 ([11]). Let G be a compact definable C^{∞} group and X a compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold. Suppose that A, B are G invariant definable disjoint closed subsets of X. Then there exists a G invariant definable C^{∞} function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f|A = 1 and f|B = 0.

Remark that if \mathcal{M} is polynomially bounded, then Theorem 2.6 and 2.7 are not always true.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Assume first that $\partial X = \partial Y = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.1, we may assume that X, Y are definable $C^{\infty}G$ submanifolds of a representation Ω of G. Using Theorem 2.3, we have a definable $C^{\infty}G$ tubular neighborhood (U, p) of Y in Ω .

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a C^1G diffeomorphism and $i: Y \to \Omega$ the inclusion. Applying the polynomial approximation theorem, we have a polynomial map $f': X \to \Omega$ as a C^1 approximation of $i \circ f$. Applying the Haar measure and Proposition 2.4, there exists a polynomial G map $f'': X \to \Omega$ approximating $i \circ f$. If this approximation is sufficiently close, then $i \circ f(X) \subset U$. By Proposition 2.4, $F := p \circ f'': X \to Y$ is a definable $C^{\infty}G$ map which is a C^1 approximation of f. Hence using Theorem 2.5 and the inverse function theorem, $F: X \to Y$ is a definable $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphism.

We now prove the second case. By Theorem 2.3, we have definable $C^{\infty}G$ collar neighborhoods $\phi_X : \partial X \times [0, 1) \to X, \phi_Y : \partial Y \times [0, 1) \to Y$ of $\partial X, \partial Y$ in X, Y, respectively.

By the first argument, we have a definable $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphism $F_{\partial X} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|\partial X$. Using these definable $C^{\infty}G$ collar neighborhoods, we have a definable $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphism $L_1 : \phi_X(\partial X \times [0,1)) \to \phi_Y(\partial Y \times [0,1))$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|\phi_X(\partial X \times [0,1))$. Since $X - \phi(\partial X \times [0,\frac{3}{4}))$ is a compact definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifold with boundary and by the first argument, there exists a definable $C^{\infty}G$ map $L_2 : X - \phi(\partial X \times [0,\frac{3}{4})) \to Y$ as a C^1 approximation of $f|(X - \phi(\partial X \times [0,\frac{3}{4})))$. By Theorem 2.7, we have a G invariant definable C^{∞} function $k : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $k|\phi(\partial X \times [0,\frac{1}{3}]) = 1$ and $k|(X - \phi(\partial X \times [0,\frac{1}{2}))) = 0$. Thus the map $H: X \to Y$ defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} p(k(t)L_1(x) + (1 - k(t))L_2(x)), & x \in \phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1)) \\ L_2(x), & x \in X - \phi_X(\partial X \times [0, 1)) \end{cases}$$

is a definable $C^{\infty}G$ map such that $H(\partial X) = \partial Y$ and H is a C^1 approximation of f. Therefore H is the required definable $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 4.3 [21], $f^a = f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$ is $C^{\infty}G$ diffeomorphic to $f^b = f^{-1}((-\infty, b])$. Since X is compact and by Theorem 2.1, these two manifolds are compact affine definable $C^{\infty}G$ manifolds with boundary. Thus Theorem 2.2 proves Theorem 1.1.

Remark that the method of the proof Theorem 4.3 [21] is the integration of a G invariant C^{∞} vector field. This method does not work in the definable setting because the integration of a G invariant definable C^{∞} vector field is not always definable.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4

Theorem 3.1 ([21]). Let G be a compact Lie group and X a compact $C^{\infty}G$ manifold. Then the set $C^{\infty}_{equi-Morse,o}(X)$ of equivariant Morse functions on X whose critical loci are finite unions of nondegenerate critical orbits is open and dense in the set $C^{\infty}_{inv}(X)$ of G invariant C^{∞} functions on X with respect to the C^{∞} Whitney topology.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$ and $\mathcal{N} \subset C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$ an open neighborhood of fin $C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open subset $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that each $h \in \mathcal{N}'$ is an equivariant Morse function whose critical locus is a finite union of nondegenerate critical orbits. Let $C^{\infty}(X)$ denote the set of C^{∞} functions on X. Since $A : C^{\infty}(X) \to C^{\infty}(X)$ is continuous and $A(C^{\infty}(X)) = C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$, $A : C^{\infty}(X) \to C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$ is continuous. Fix $h \in \mathcal{N}'$. Since A(h) = h, $A^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$ is an open neighborhood of h in $C^{\infty}(X)$. Applying the polynomial approximation theorem, we have a polynomial function h' lies in $A^{-1}(\mathcal{N}')$. Applying the averaging function, we have a G invariant polynomial function F := A(h')lies in \mathcal{N}' . Since F is a G invariant polynomial function, it is a G invariant definable C^{∞} function. Thus F is an equivariant definable Morse function lies in \mathcal{N} .

We now prove the second part. By the first part, $Def_{equi-Morse,o}(X)$ is dense in $C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$. Thus it is dense in $Def_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$.

Let $h \in Def_{equi-Morse,o}(X)$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{V} of h in $C_{inv}^{\infty}(X)$ such that each $h \in \mathcal{V}$ is an equivariant Morse function whose critical locus is a finite union of nondegenerate critical orbits. Thus $\mathcal{V} \cap Def_{inv}(X)$ is the required open neighborhood of h in $Def_{inv}(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Using classical results, if $n \leq 6$, then X is C^{∞} diffeomorphic to S^n . Thus since X is compact and by Theorem 2.2, X is definably C^{∞} diffeomorphic to S^n .

TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI AND HIROSHI TANAKA

References

- [1] M. Datta and N. Pandey, Morse theory on G-manifolds, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 351-361.
- [2] L. van den Dries, Tame topology and o-minimal structures, Lecture notes series 248 London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [3] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 497-540.
- [4] A. Fischer, Smooth functions in o-minimal structures, Adv. Math. 218 (2008), 496-514.
- [5] M.W. Hirsch, Differential topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 33, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [6] T. Kawakami, Equivariant definable Morse functions on definable C^rG manifolds, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 28 (2008), 175–188.
- [7] T. Kawakami, Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 323–349.
- [8] T. Kawakami, Every definable C^r manifold is affine, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005), 165–167.
- [9] T. Kawakami, Imbeddings of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on (ℝ, +, ·, <), Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 36 (1999), 183-201.
- [10] T. Kawakami, Nash G manifold structures of compact or compactifiable $C^{\infty}G$ manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 48 (1996) 321-331.
- [11] T. Kawakami, Relative properties of definable C[∞] manifolds with finite abelian group actions in an o-minimal expansion of R_{exp}, Bull. Fac. Ed. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. 59 (2009), 21–27.
- [12] T. Kawakami, Simultaneous Nash structures of a compactifiable C[∞]G manifold and its C[∞]G submanifolds, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. 49 (1999), 1-20.
- [13] K. Kawakubo, The theory of transformation groups, Oxford Univ. Press, 1991.
- [14] T.L. Loi, Density of Morse functions on sets definable in o-minimal structures, Ann. Polon. Math. 89 (2006), 289-299.
- [15] K.H. Mayer, G-invariante Morse-funktionen, Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 99-114.
- [16] J. Milnor, Morse theory, Princeton Univ. Press (1963).
- [17] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko, Computing o-minimal topological invariants using differential topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 1375-1401.
- J.P. Rolin, P. Speissegger and A.J. Wilkie, Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 751–777.
- [19] M. Shiota, Abstract Nash manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 155-162.
- [20] M. Shiota, Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets, Progress in Math. 150 (1997), Birkhäuser.
- [21] G. Wasserman, Equivariant differential topology, Topology 8 (1969), 127–150.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani Wakayama 640-8510, Japan

E-mail address: kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp

Anan National College of Technology, 265 Aoki Minobayashi, Anan, Tokushima 774-0017, Japan

E-mail address: htanaka@anan-nct-u.ac.jp