FINITE GROUPS NOT HAVING THE BORSUK-ULAM PROPERTY

Ikumitsu NAGASAKI

Department of Mathematics Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine

ABSTRACT. Determination of finite groups with the Borsuk-Ulam property is one of classical problems in the study of Borsuk-Ulam type theorems. Many relevant results have been shown so far, and recently, we succeeded in providing a complete answer to this problem. In this article, we shall provide an outline of the proof and some remarks on the Borsuk-Ulam constant.

1. Borsuk-Ulam properties and the main statement

Let G be a finite group and all maps between spaces are assumed to be continuous. For a unitary or orthogonal G-representation V, we denote the unit sphere by S(V), called the representation sphere. Moreover we assume that representations are fixed-point-free, i.e., $V^G = 0$ unless otherwise stated.

K. Borsuk [3] proved the so-called Borsuk-Ulam theorem, which is described in various way. For example, the following is known.

Proposition 1.1. The following statements hold.

- (1) For any map $f: S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a point $x \in S^n$ such that f(x) = f(-x).
- (2) There is no odd map $f: S^n \to S^{n-1}$.
- (3) If $h: S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$ is an odd map, then $\deg h \neq 0$, i.e., f is not null-homotopic.

Remark. Furthermore, deg h is odd for any odd map $h: S^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$.

Many generalizations of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem have been studied; for example, the following result was shown in 1980's.

Proposition 1.2. Let
$$G = C_p^k$$
 or $(S^1)^k$ $(p: prime, k \ge 0)$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55M20; Secondary 57S17.

Key words and phrases. Borsuk-Ulam type theorem; Borsuk-Ulam property; Euler class; equivariant map; representation theory.

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

- (1) If there exists a G-map $f: S(V) \to S(W)$, then dim $V \leq \dim W$.
- (2) If there exists a G-map $h: S(V) \to S(W)$ with dim $V = \dim W$, then $\deg h \neq 0$.

On the other hand, T. Bartsch [1] and W. Marzantowicz [7] have shown that Borsuk-Ulam type results as in Proposition 1.2 do not hold for many finite groups. This leads us to the following definition and problem.

Definition. Let G be a finite group.

- (1) We say that G has the Borsuk-Ulam property of type (I) (BUP (I) for short) if $\dim V \leq \dim W$ holds whenever there exists a G-map $f: S(V) \to S(W)$.
- (2) We say that G has the Borsuk-Ulam property of type (II) (BUP (II) for short) if $\deg h \neq 0$ holds whenever there exists a G-map $h: S(V) \to S(W)$ with $\dim V = \dim W$.

Problem. Determine finite groups with BUP (I) [resp. (II)].

A complete answer to this problem is given as follows.

Theorem 1.3 ([10]). The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) G has BUP (I).
- (2) G has BUP (II).
- (3) G is an elementary abelian p-group C_n^k , $k \ge 0$.

In the following sections, we give an outline of the proof of this theorem. The details are described in [10].

2. Reduction to the case of M_p

The Borsuk-Ulam theorem does not hold for almost finite groups, more precisely, the following is known.

Proposition 2.1 ([1], [7]). (1) Non p-groups have neither BUP (I) nor BUP (II).

(2) Finite groups with an element of order p^2 have neither BUP (I) nor BUP (II).

Therefore the remaining groups are finite p-groups of exponent p. If G is abelian, then such G is isomorphic to an elementary abelian p-group. If $|G| \leq p^2$ or p = 2, then G is abelian and hence an elementary abelian p-group. Therefore we may consider only non-abelian finite p-groups of exponent p, where p is an odd prime. For any odd prime p, if $|G| = p^3$, then there exists only one non-abelian p-group M_p of exponent p:

$$M_p = \langle a, b, c | a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, ac = ca, bc = cb, a^{-1}ba = bc \rangle,$$

see [6]. We would like to show that non-abelian finite p-groups of exponent p have neither BUP (I) nor BUP (II). The following proposition shows that the problem is reduced to the case of M_p .

Proposition 2.2 ([10]). If M_p does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)], then every non-abelian p-group of exponent p does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)].

This will be proved by using the following basic property.

Proposition 2.3 (Basic property [10]). If G has BUP (I) [resp. (II)], then

- (1) any subgroup H has BUP (I) [resp. (II)], and
- (2) any quotient group Q = G/K has BUP (I) [resp. (II)].

Outline of the proof of Proposition 2.2. It is shown by induction on $k \geq 3$ ($|G| = p^k$). If k = 3, then $G \cong M_p$, hence it is true by assumption.

Since G is non-abelian, there exists a unitary irreducible representation U such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U \geq 2$. If $K := \operatorname{Ker} U \neq 1$, then $U = U^K$ is a unitary irreducible G/K-representation of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U^K \geq 2$. Therefore G/K is non-abelian and of exponent p. By inductive assumption, G/K does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)], hence G does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)] by the basic property.

If K=1, then U is faithful, and hence the center Z(G) is cyclic by representation theory. Therefore we have $Z(G)\cong C_p$. If G/Z(G) is non-abelian, then G does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)] by the basic property. If G/Z(G) is abelian, then we have $G/Z(G)\cong C_p^{k-1}$. Thus G is an extra-special p-group. By [6], an extra-special p-group G of exponent p has a subgroup isomorphic to M_p . This shows that G does not have BUP (I) [resp. (II)] by the basic property.

3. Construction of counterexamples

In this section, we would like to construct counterexamples to BUP (I) and (II) for M_p . To do that, we consider a compact Lie group \widetilde{M}_p including M_p as a subgroup:

$$\widetilde{M}_p = \langle a, b, \zeta \mid a^p = b^p = 1, \zeta a = a\zeta, \zeta b = b\zeta \ (\forall \zeta \in S^1), a^{-1}ba = b\xi_p \rangle,$$

where $\xi_p = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$. We first construct a \widetilde{M}_p -map $h: S(V) \to S(W)$ of degree 0 for some fixed-point-free \widetilde{M}_p -representations V and W. By restricting to M_p , we obtain a counterexample to BUP (II). We next construct a \widetilde{M}_p -map $f: S(V) \to S(W)$ for some V and W with dim $V > \dim W$ using a degree 0 \widetilde{M}_p -map h. By restricting to M_p , we will obtain a counterexample to BUP (I).

We consider the following irreducible (unitary) \widetilde{M}_p -representations $V_{k,l}$, $(k,l) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ and $U_m, m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$:

- (1) $V_{k,l}$ is 1-dimensional and its character is given by $V_{k,l}(a) = \xi_p^k$, $V_{k,l}(b) = \xi_p^l$ and $V_{k,l}(\xi) = 1$.
- (2) U_m is p-dimensional and its character is given by $U_m(g) = \begin{cases} \xi_p^{mu} & \text{if } g = \xi_p^u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Restricting $V_{k,l}$ and U_m to M_p , we obtain fixed-point-free M_p -representations. Set

$$V = 2U_1$$
 and $W = V_{0,p-1} \oplus 2V_{1,p-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus 2V_{p-1,p-1} \oplus V_{1,0}$.

Note that dim $V = \dim W$. By equivariant obstruction theory [4], [5], there exists an \widetilde{M}_p -map $h: S(V) \to S(W)$. Then we have

Proposition 3.1. deg h = 0. Hence M_p does not have BUP (II).

Proof (Sketch). We use the Euler class

$$e(V) := e(E\widetilde{M}_p \times_{\widetilde{M}_p} V) \in H^{2n}(B\widetilde{M}_p; \mathbb{Q})$$

of an oriented representation V with $\dim V = 2n$. A unitary representation V naturally become an oriented representation and hence the Euler class of V is defined. In general, by [8], if there exists a G-map $h: S(V) \to S(W)$ for fixed-point-free representations with the same dimension, then $e(W) = (\deg h)e(V)$ holds.

By an argument of the Serre spectral sequence, we see that

Res :
$$H^*(B\widetilde{M}_p; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(BS^1 Q) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t]$$

is a ring isomorphism. Using this isomorphism, we see $e(V) \neq 0$ and e(W) = 0 for the representations defined as above. Hence we obtain deg h = 0.

Let V and W be as before. Set

$$\widetilde{V} = 2V \oplus V_{1,p-1}, \quad W_1 = V \oplus W \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W} = 2W.$$

Note $\dim S(\widetilde{V}) = 8p+1$ and $\dim S(W_1) = \dim S(\widetilde{W}) = 8p-1$. By an obstruction theoretic argument, we see that there exits an \widetilde{M}_p -map $f_{(8p)}: S(\widetilde{V})_{(8p)} \to S(W_1)$, where $S(\widetilde{V})_{(8p)}$ is the 8p-skeleton of $S(\widetilde{V})$. We would like to extend $f_{(8p)}$ on $S(\widetilde{V})$, however, there is an obstruction to do it in general. In order to avoid this difficulty, we consider a degree 0 \widetilde{M}_p -map $\widetilde{h} := h * id : S(W_1) \to S(\widetilde{W})$. Since the composite map $\widetilde{h} \circ f_{(8p)}$ is null-homotopic, we can extend $\widetilde{h} \circ f_{(8p)}$ on $S(\widetilde{V})_{(8p+1)} = S(\widetilde{V})$. Thus we obtain an \widetilde{M}_p -map $f: S(\widetilde{V}) \to S(\widetilde{W})$, which provides a counterexample to BUP (II).

4. From the point of view of the Borsuk-Ulam constant

Bartsch [2] and Meyer [9] introduced the following constant a_G which we call the *(equivariant) Borsuk-Ulam constant* of G.

Definition. $a_G = \sup\{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid \text{ a constant } a \text{ satisfies condition (WBU)}\}.$

(WBU): $a \dim V \leq \dim W$ holds whenever there exists a G-map $f: S(V) \to S(W)$.

Proposition 4.1. The hollowing hold.

- (1) $a_G = \inf\{\dim W / \dim V \mid \text{there exists a } G\text{-map } f: S(V) \to S(W)\}.$
- (2) $0 \le a_G \le 1$.
- (3) $a_G = 1$ if and only if G has BUP (II).

Theorem 1.3 shows that $a_G = 1$ if and only if G is an elementary abelian group. By a result of [1], if G is not a p-group, then $a_G = 0$. When G is a p-group, strict values of a_G are not known other than cyclic p-groups. Meyer [9] (and also Bartsch [2]) showed $a_G = 1/p^{k-1}$ for $G = C_{p^k}$.

Bartsch conjectures in [2] that $a_G = 1/p^{k-1}$ for a p-group G of exponent p^k . However our result shows that this conjecture is false. Indeed, the exponent of $G = M_p$ is p and the conjecture asserts that $a_G = 1$, however, we see $a_G \leq \frac{4p}{4p+1}$ by an existence of a G-map $\operatorname{Res} f: S(\operatorname{Res} \widetilde{V}) \to S(\operatorname{Res} \widetilde{W})$ in section 3.

We finally pose some unsolved problems.

Problem. (1) Give a lower estimate of a_{M_p} .

(2) Is it true that $a_G > 0$ for a p-group G?

Remark. If $a_G > 0$, the weak Borsuk-Ulam theorem in the sense of Bartsch [1]. In finite group case, Bartsch shows that the weak Borsuk-Ulam theorem holds if and only if G is a p-group. Therefore, if problem (2) is true, then the weak Borsuk-Ulam theorem holds if and only if $a_G > 0$.

References

- [1] T. Bartsch, On the existence of Borsuk-Ulam theorems, Topology 31 (1992) 533–543.
- [2] T. Bartsch, Topological methods for variational problems with symmetries, Lecture Notes in Math. 1560, Springer 1993.
- [3] K. Borsuk, Drei Sätze über die n-dimensionale euklidische Sphäre, Fund. Math. **20** (1933), 177–190.
- [4] T. tom Dieck, Transformation groups and representation theory, Lecture Note in Math. **766**, Springer, 1979.
- [5] T. tom Dieck, Transformation groups, Walter de Gruyter, 1987.
- [6] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Second edition, AMS Chelsea, 2007.

- [7] W. Marzantowicz, An almost classification of compact Lie groups with Borsuk-Ulam properties, Pacific. J. Math. 144 (1990), 299–311.
- [8] W. Marzantowicz, Borsuk-Ulam theorem for any compact Lie group, J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 49 (1994),195–208.
- [9] D. M. Meyer, \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant maps between lens spaces and spheres, Math. Ann. **312** (1998), 197–214.
- [10] I. Nagasaki, Elementary abelian p-groups are the only finite groups with the Borsuk-Ulam property, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 21 (2019), Article 16.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, 1-5 Shimogamo Hangi-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-0823, Japan

 $Email\ address: {\tt nagasaki@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp}$