Triple product p-adic L-functions attached to p-adic families of modular forms

大阪大学 福永健吾 Osaka university, Kengo Fukunaga

1 Introduction

In this paper, we present the result [Fuk19, Theorem 5.2.1]. Let p be an odd prime. In [Hsi17], Hsieh constructed three-variable p-adic triple product L-functions attached to triples of Hida families. We generalize the result [Hsi17, (1) of Theorem 7.1] axiomatically and construct three-variable p-adic triple product L-functions in the unbalanced case attached to triples $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})$. Here, F is a Hida family and $G^{(i)}$ is a more general p-adic family for i = 2, 3. For example, we can take Hida families, Coleman families or CM-families as $G^{(i)}$.

To state our theorem precisely, we prepare some notation. We denote by \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C} the fields of rational numbers, p-adic rational numbers and complex numbers respectively. Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_p be the rings of integers and p-adic integers respectively. Throughout this paper, we fix an isomorphism $i_p:\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}\cong\mathbb{C}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Here, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ are the algebraic closures of the fields \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Q}_p respectively. We denote by \mathbb{A} the adele over \mathbb{Q} . Let A be a ring. We denote by a(n,f) the n-th coefficient of a formal power series $f\in A[\![q]\!]$, where n is a non-negative integer. Let ω_p be the Teichmüler character mod p. Let (N_1,N_2,N_3) be a triple of positive integers which are prime to p and (ψ_1,ψ_2,ψ_3) a triple of Dirichlet characters of modulo (N_1p,N_2p,N_3p) which satisfies the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis (1). There exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 = \omega_p^{2a}$.

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K. We denote by $\Lambda_K := \mathcal{O}_K[\![\Gamma]\!]$ the Iwasawa algebra over \mathcal{O}_K , where $\Gamma := 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let \mathbf{I}_i be a normal finite flat extension of Λ_K for i = 1, 2, 3. We fix a set of non-zero \mathcal{O}_K -algebraic homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{X}^{(i)} := \{Q_m^{(i)} : \mathbf{I}_i \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\}_{m \ge 1}$$

for i = 1, 2, 3. Let $G^{(i)} \in \mathbf{I}_i[\![q]\!]$ be a formal series such that the specialization

$$G^{(i)}(m) := \sum Q_m^{(i)}(a(n,G^{(i)}))q^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\llbracket q \rrbracket$$

is the Fourier expansion of a normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight $k^{(i)}(m)$, level $N_i p^{e^{(i)}(m)}$ and Nebentypus $\psi_i \omega_p^{-k^{(i)}(m)} \epsilon_m^{(i)}$ which is primitive outside of p for each positive integer m. Here, $k^{(i)}(m)$ and $e^{(i)}(m)$ are positive integers and $\epsilon_m^{(i)}$ is a finite character of Γ . Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1}$ be the set of arithmetic points Q with weight $k_Q \geq 2$ and a finite part ϵ_Q defined in Definition 2.0.1. We take the pair $(\mathfrak{X}^{(1)}, G^{(1)})$ to be the pair $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1}, F)$, where F is a primitive Hida family F of tame level N_1 and Nebentypus ψ_1 defined in Definition 2.0.3. We denote by F_Q the specialization of F at Q for each $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1}$. Let $R := \mathbf{I}_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbf{I}_2 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbf{I}_3$ be the complete tensor product of $\mathbf{I}_1, \mathbf{I}_2$ and \mathbf{I}_3 over \mathcal{O}_K . We define an unbalanced domain of interpolation points of R to be

$$\mathfrak{X}^F_R := \left\{\underline{Q} = \left(Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1} \times \mathfrak{X}^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{X}^{(3)} \middle| \begin{array}{c} k_{Q_1} + k^{(2)}(m_2) + k^{(3)}(m_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ k_{Q_1} \geq k^{(2)}(m_2) + k^{(3)}(m_3) \end{array} \right\}.$$

For each $\underline{Q} = \left(Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$, we denote by $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})(\underline{Q})$ the specialization of the triple $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})$ at \underline{Q} . We define a representation $\Pi'_{\underline{Q}} = \pi_{Q_1} \boxtimes \pi_{Q_{m_2}^{(2)}} \boxtimes \pi_{Q_{m_3}^{(3)}}$ of $(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}))^3$, where $(\pi_{Q_1}, \pi_{Q_{m_2}^{(2)}}, \pi_{Q_{m_3}^{(3)}})$ is the triple of automorphic representation attached to the triple $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})(\underline{Q})$. Let $(\chi_{\underline{Q}})_{\mathbb{A}}$ be the adelization of the following Dirichlet character

$$\chi_Q := \omega_p^{\frac{1}{2}(2a-k_{Q_1}-k^{(2)}(m_2)-k^{(3)}(m_3))} (\epsilon_{Q_1} \epsilon_{m_2}^{(2)} \epsilon_{m_3}^{(3)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for each $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q^{(2)}, Q^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. We set $\Pi_{\underline{Q}} = \Pi'_{\underline{Q}} \otimes (\chi_{\underline{Q}})_{\mathbb{A}}$ for each $\underline{Q} \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. Let $\epsilon_l(s, \Pi_{\underline{Q}})$ be the local epsilon factor of $\Pi_{\underline{Q}}$ defined in [Ike92, page 227] for each finite prime l. We set $N = N_1 N_2 N_3$. Let \mathbf{m}_1 be the unique maximal ideal of \mathbf{I}_1 . We summarize some hypotheses to state Main Theorem.

Hypothesis (2). The residual Galois representation $\overline{\rho}_F := \rho_F \mod \mathbf{m}_1 : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ attached to F is absolutely irreducible as $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -module and p-distinguished in the sense that the semi-simplification of $\overline{\rho}_F$ restricted to $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module is a sum of two different characters.

Hypothesis (3). The number $gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ is square free.

Hypothesis (4). For each $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$ and for each prime l|N, we have $\epsilon_l(1/2,\Pi_Q) = 1$.

Hypothesis (5). Let i = 2,3 and n a positive integer which is prime to p. There exits an element $\langle n \rangle^{(i)} \in \mathbf{I}_i$ which satisfies

$$Q_m^{(i)}(\langle n \rangle^{(i)}) = \epsilon_m^{(i)}(n)(n\omega_p^{-1}(n))^{k^{(i)}(m)}$$

for each positive integer m.

Hypothesis (6). Let i = 2, 3. We have $a(p, G^{(i)}(m)) \neq 0$ or $G^{(i)}(m)$ is primitive for each positive integer m.

Hypothesis (7). For each prime l|N, the l-th Fourier coefficients of $F, G^{(2)}$ and $G^{(3)}$ are non-zero.

Let $L(s,\Pi_{\underline{Q}})$ be the triple product L-function attached to $\Pi_{\underline{Q}}$ defined in §3. Let $\Omega_{F_{Q_1}}$ be the canonical period defined in [Hsi17, (1.3)] and $\mathcal{E}_{F_{Q_1},p}(\Pi_{\underline{Q}})$ the modified p-Euler factor defined in [Hsi17, (1.2)]. Our main theorem is as follows.

Main Theorem. Let us assume Hypotheses (1) \sim (7). Then, there exists an element $\mathcal{L}^F_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}} \in R$ such that we have the interpolation property:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}^{F}(\underline{Q}))^{2} = \mathcal{E}_{F_{Q_{1}},p}(\Pi_{\underline{Q}}) \cdot \frac{L(\frac{1}{2},\Pi_{\underline{Q}})}{(\sqrt{-1})^{2k_{Q_{1}}}\Omega_{F_{Q_{1}}}^{2}}$$

for every $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$.

Let $\langle \ \rangle_{\Lambda_K} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \Lambda_K^{\times}$ be a group homomorphism defined by $\langle z \rangle_{\Lambda_K} = [z\omega_p^{-1}(z)]$, where $[z\omega_p^{-1}(z)]$ is the group-like element of $z\omega_p(z)^{-1} \in \Gamma$ in Λ_K^{\times} . Let n be a positive integer which is prime to p. We have $Q(\langle n \rangle_{\Lambda_K}) = \epsilon_Q(n)(n\omega_p^{-1}(n))^{k_Q}$ for each arithmetic point $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1}$. Then, if we take a Hida family as $G^{(i)}$, $\langle n \rangle_{\Lambda_K}$ satisfies the Hypothesis (5).

2 p-adic families of modular forms

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K. Let \mathbf{I} be a normal finite flat extension of the Iwasawa algebra Λ_K over \mathcal{O}_K . In this section, we recall the definitions of ordinary \mathbf{I} -adic cusp forms, primitive Hida families and congruence numbers attached to Hida families. Let N be a positive integer which is prime to p. Throughout this section, we assume that $\mathbb{Q}_p(\chi) \subset K$ for each Dirichlet character χ modulo Np. Let A be a subring of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_k(M,\psi,A)$ the A-module of cusp forms of weight k, level M and Nebentypus ψ whose Fourier coefficients at ∞ are included in A, where k,M are positive integers and ψ is a Dirichlet character modulo M. We set $\mathcal{S}_k(M,\psi,B) := \mathcal{S}_k(M,\psi,A) \otimes_A B$ for each A-algebra B.

Definition 2.0.1. We call a continuous \mathcal{O}_K -algebra homomorphism $Q: \mathbf{I} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ an arithmetic point of weight $k_Q \geq 2$ and a finite part $\epsilon_Q: \Gamma \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ if the restriction $Q|_{\Gamma}: \Gamma \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ is given by $Q(x) = x^{k_Q} \epsilon_Q(x)$ for each $x \in \Gamma$. Here, $\epsilon_Q: \Gamma \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ is a finite character.

Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}}$ be the set of arithmetic points of \mathbf{I} . We denote by e the ordinary projection defined in [Hid85, (4.3)]. We recall the definition of ordinary \mathbf{I} -adic cusp forms defined in [Wil88].

Definition 2.0.2. Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo Np. We call a formal power series $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{I}[\![q]\!]$ an ordinary \mathbf{I} -adic cusp form of tame level N and Nebentypus χ if the specialization $\mathbf{f}_Q := \sum_{n \geq 0} Q(a(n,\mathbf{f}))q^n \in Q(\mathbf{I})[\![q]\!]$ of \mathbf{f} is the Fourier expansion of an element of $e\mathcal{S}_{k_Q}(Np^{e_Q},\chi\omega_p^{-k_Q}\epsilon_Q,Q(\mathbf{I}))$ with $e_Q \geq 1$ for all but a finite number of $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}}$.

Let $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})$ be the **I**-module consisting of ordinary **I**-adic cusp forms of tame level N and Nebentypus χ . Next, we recall the definition of the Hecke algebra of $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})$. For each prime $l \nmid Np$, we define the Hecke operator $T_l \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I}))$ at l to be

$$T_l(f) = \sum_{n>1} a(n, T_l(f))q^n$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$, where

$$a(n, T_l(f)) = \sum_{b|(n,l)} \langle b \rangle_{\Lambda_K} \chi(b) b^{-1} a(\ln/b^2, f).$$

For each prime l|Np, we define the Hecke operator $T_l \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I}))$ at l to be

$$T_l(f) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a(ln, f)q^n$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}^{\text{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$ is defined by the sub-algebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I}))$ generated by T_l for all primes l. Next, we recall the definition of primitive Hida families.

Definition 2.0.3. We call an element $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$ a primitive Hida family of tame level N and Nebentypus χ if the specialization \mathbf{f}_Q is the Fourier expansion of an ordinary p-stabilized cuspidal newform for all but a finite number of $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}}$.

Next, we recall the definition of the congruence number. Let $F \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$ be a primitive Hida family which satisfies Hypothesis (2). Let $\lambda_F : \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I}) \to \mathbf{I}$ be an **I**-algebra homomorphism defined by $\lambda_F(T) = a(1, T(F))$ for each $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$. Let \mathbf{m}_F be a unique maximal ideal of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})$ which contains $\mathrm{Ker}\lambda_F$. Let $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F}$ be the localization of

 $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})$ by \mathbf{m}_F . Let $\lambda_{\mathbf{m}_F}: \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F} \to \mathbf{I}$ be the restriction of λ_F to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F}$. By [Hid88a, Corollary 3.7], there exists a finite dimensional Frac**I**-algebra B and an isomorphism

$$\lambda : \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_E} \otimes_{\mathbf{I}} \mathrm{Frac} \mathbf{I} \cong \mathrm{Frac} \mathbf{I} \oplus B$$

such that $(\operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}} \circ \lambda)|_{\mathbf{T}^{\operatorname{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{m}_F}$, where $\operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}} : \operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I} \oplus B \to \operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}$ is the projection to the first part.

Definition 2.0.4. Let $\operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}}$ (resp. pr_B) be the projection from $\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I} \oplus B$ to $\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}$ (resp. B). We put $h(\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}) := \operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}} \circ \lambda(\mathbf{T}^{\operatorname{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F})$ and $h(B) := \operatorname{pr}_B \circ \lambda(\mathbf{T}^{\operatorname{ord}}(N, \chi, \mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F})$. We define the module of congruence for F to be

$$C(F) := h(\operatorname{Frac}\mathbf{I}) \oplus h(B)/\lambda(\mathbf{T}^{\operatorname{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F}).$$

Let

$$1_F \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F} \otimes_{\mathbf{I}} \mathrm{Frac}\mathbf{I}$$

be the idempotent element corresponded to $(1,0) \in \operatorname{Frac} \mathbf{I} \oplus B$ by λ . Let $\operatorname{Ann}(C(F)) := \{a \in \mathbf{I} \mid aC(F) = \{0\}\}$ be the annihilator of C(F). By [Wil95, Corollary 2, page 482], $\mathbf{T}^{\operatorname{ord}}(N,\chi,\mathbf{I})_{\mathbf{m}_F}$ is a Gorenstein ring. Hence, by [Hid88b, Theorem 4.4], the annihilator $\operatorname{Ann}(C(F))$ is generated by an element.

Definition 2.0.5. We call a generator η_F of Ann(C(F)) a congruence number of F.

Next, we introduce general p-adic families of modular forms. We fix a set of non-zero continuous \mathcal{O}_K -algebraic homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{X} := \{Q_m : \mathbf{I} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_n\}_{m \ge 1}.$$

Then, we define the specialization of an element $G = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n,G)q^n \in \mathbf{I}[\![q]\!]$, at $Q_m \in \mathfrak{X}$ to be

$$G_{Q_m} := \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_m(a(n,G))q^n \in Q_m(\mathbf{I})[\![q]\!]$$
. Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo Np .

Definition 2.0.6. We call an element $G \in \mathbf{I}[\![q]\!]$ a primitive p-adic families of tame level N and Nebentypus χ attached to \mathfrak{X} if G_{Q_m} is the Fourier expansion of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight k_{Q_m} , level $Np^{e_{Q_m}}$ and Nebentypus $\chi \omega_p^{-k_{Q_m}} \epsilon_{Q_m}$ which is primitive outside of p for each positive integer $m \geq 1$. Here, k_{Q_m} and e_{Q_m} are positive integers and ϵ_{Q_m} is a finite character of Γ .

3 Triple product L-functions

Let (g_1, g_2, g_3) be a triple of primitive forms of weight (k_1, k_2, k_3) , level (M_1, M_2, M_3) and Nebentypus (χ_1, χ_2, χ_3) . We assume that there exists a Dirichlet character χ such that $\chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 = \chi^2$. Let (π_1, π_2, π_3) be a triple of automorphic representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ attached to (g_1, g_2, g_3) . In this section, we recall the definition of the triple product L-function attached to the automorphic representation

$$\Pi := \pi_1 \otimes (\chi)_{\mathbb{A}} \boxtimes \pi_2 \boxtimes \pi_3,$$

where $(\chi)_{\mathbb{A}}$ is the adelization of χ . We define the triple product L-function $L(s,\Pi)$ to be

$$L(s,\Pi) = \prod_{v: \mathrm{place}} L_v(s,\Pi), \ \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1,$$

where $L_v(s,\Pi)$ is the GCD local triple product L-function defined in [PSR87] and [Ike92]. Let l be a prime. The local L-function $L_l(s,\Pi)$ at l can be written by the form $1/P(p^{-s})$, where

 $P(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ such that P(0) = 1. By the result of [Ike98], the archimedean factor $L_{\infty}(s, \Pi)$ can be written by the form

$$L_{\infty}(s,\Pi) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \frac{w}{2}) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + 1 - k_i^*),$$

where $w = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - 2$, $k_i^* = \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_3}{2} - k_i$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$. By [Ike92, Proposition 2.5], the function $L(s,\Pi)$ is continued to the entire \mathbb{C} -plane analytically and by [Ike92, Proposition 2.4], the function $L(s,\Pi)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$L(s,\Pi) = \epsilon(s,\Pi)L(1-s,\Pi),$$

where $\epsilon(s,\Pi)$ is the global epsilon factor defined in [Ike92, page 230]. The epsilon factor $\epsilon(s,\Pi)$ can be decomposed by the product of the local epsilon factors

$$\epsilon(s,\Pi) = \prod_{v: \text{place}} \epsilon_v(s,\Pi)$$

and it is known that $\epsilon_v(\frac{1}{2},\Pi) \in \{\pm 1\}.$

4 Construction of p-adic triple product L-functions

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbf{I}_i a normal finite flat extension of Λ_K for i=1,2,3. We fix a triple of Dirichlet characters (ψ_1,ψ_2,ψ_3) of modulo (N_1p,N_2p,N_3p) , where N_i is a positive integer which is prime to p for i=1,2,3. Let $F\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(N_1,\psi_1,\mathbf{I}_1)$ be a primitive Hida family defined in Definition 2.0.3. Let $G^{(i)}\in\mathbf{I}_i[\![q]\!]$ be a p-adic family of tame level N_i and Nebentypus ψ_i attached to

$$\mathfrak{X}^{(i)} := \{Q_m^{(i)} : \mathbf{I}_i \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\}_{m > 1}$$

for i=2,3. In this section, we prove Main theorem and construct the p-adic triple product L-function attached to $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})$. For simplicity, we assume $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 1$. Further, we assume that the triple $(F, G^{(2)}, G^{(3)})$ satisfies Hypothesis $(1) \sim (7)$. We set $R := \mathbf{I}_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbf{I}_2 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbf{I}_3$ and

$$\mathfrak{X}_R^F := \left\{ \underline{Q} = \left(Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)} \right) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1} \times \mathfrak{X}^{(2)} \times \mathfrak{X}^{(3)} \middle| \begin{array}{c} k_{Q_1} + k^{(2)}(m_2) + k^{(3)}(m_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ k_{Q_1} \geq k^{(2)}(m_2) + k^{(3)}(m_3) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define a formal operator $\mathbf{U}_{R,p} \in \operatorname{End}_R(R[\![q]\!])$ to be

$$\mathbf{U}_{R,p}(f) = \sum_{n>0} a(pn, f)q^n$$

for each $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n, f) q^n \in R[\![q]\!]$. Let $\Theta : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to R^{\times}$ be a character defined by

$$\Theta(z) = \psi_1 \omega_p^{-a}(z) \langle z \rangle_{\mathbf{I}_1}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\langle z \rangle^{(2)} \langle z \rangle^{(3)})^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, where $\langle z \rangle_{\mathbf{I}_1}$ is the image of $\langle z \rangle_{\Lambda_K}$ by the natural inclusion $\Lambda_K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{I}_1$. For each $f \in \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n, f) q^n \in R[\![q]\!]$, we define a Θ -twisted form $f|[\Theta] \in R[\![q]\!]$ to be

$$f|[\Theta] = \sum_{p\nmid n} \Theta(n) \cdot a(n,f)q^n.$$

We set $d:=\frac{d}{dq}$. For each $\underline{Q}=(Q_1,Q_{m_2}^{(2)},Q_{m_3}^{(3)})\in\mathfrak{X}_R^F$, we have $f|[\Theta](\underline{Q})=d^{r_{\underline{Q}}}(f(\underline{Q})|[\Theta_{\underline{Q}}])$ with the Dirichlet character

$$\Theta_{\underline{Q}} = \psi_1 \omega_p^{-a - r_{\underline{Q}}} \epsilon_{Q_1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{M_2}^{(2)}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{M_3}^{(3)}^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $r_{\underline{Q}} = \frac{1}{2}(k_{Q_1} - k^{(2)}(m_2) - k^{(3)}(m_3))$. Here, $f(\underline{Q})|[\Theta_{\underline{Q}}]$ is the twisted cusp form by the Dirichlet character $\Theta_{\underline{Q}}$. We regard $G^{(2)}$ and $G^{(3)}$ as elements of $R[\![q]\!]$ by natural embeddings $\mathbf{I}_2 \hookrightarrow R$ and $\mathbf{I}_3 \hookrightarrow R$. We set $H := G^{(2)} \cdot (G^{(3)}|[\Theta]) \in R[\![q]\!]$. We define the Maass-Shimura differential operator δ_k to be

$$\delta_k := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{k}{2\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Im}(z)} \right)$$

for each non-negative integer k. Further, we set $\delta_k^m := \delta_{k+2m-2} \dots \delta_{k+2} \delta_k$, where m is a non-negative integer. We denote by \mathcal{H} the holomorphic projection from the space of nearly holomorphic modular forms to modular forms defined in [Shi76]. Let \mathbf{m}_R be the maximal ideal of R.

Lemma 4.0.1. Let $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. We fix a finite extension L of K such that \mathcal{O}_L contains $Q_1(\mathbf{I}_1), Q_{m_2}^{(2)}(\mathbf{I}_2)$ and $Q_{m_3}^{(3)}(\mathbf{I}_3)$. Then, the sequence $\{U_{\mathbf{R},p}^{n_1}H(\underline{Q})\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges in $\mathcal{O}_L[\![q]\!]$ by the \mathbf{m}_R -adic topology and the limit of the sequence equals to the Fourier expansion of $e\mathcal{H}(G^{(2)}(m_2)\delta_{k^{(3)}(m_3)}^{r_{\underline{Q}}}G^{(3)}(m_3)|\underline{\Theta}_{\underline{Q}}) \in eS_{k_{Q_1}}(p^{e_{Q_1}},\psi_1\omega_p^{k_{Q_1}}\epsilon_{Q_1},L)$, with $e_{Q_1}:=\max\{1,m_{\epsilon_{Q_1}}\}$. Here, $m_{\epsilon_{Q_1}}$ is the p-power of the conductor of ϵ_{Q_1} .

Proof. It is known that $H(\underline{Q})$ is a Fourier expansion of a p-adic modular form and by [Hid85, Lemma 5.2], we have

$$H(\underline{Q}) = \mathcal{H}(G^{(2)}(m_2) \delta_{k^{(3)}(m_3)}^{r_{\underline{Q}}} G^{(3)}(m_3) | \Theta_Q) + d(g_Q') \in L[\![q]\!],$$

where $g'_{\underline{Q}} \in L[\![q]\!]$ is a p-adic modular form. By [Hid85, (6.12)], ed = 0 and we have $eH(\underline{Q}) = e\mathcal{H}(G^{(2)}(m_2)\delta^{r_{\underline{Q}}}_{k^{(3)}(m_3)}G^{(3)}(m_3)|\Theta_{\underline{Q}})$. Further, by [Hid85, (4.3)], the sequence $\{U^{n!}_{R,p}H(\underline{Q})\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges in $\mathcal{O}_L[\![q]\!]$ by the \mathbf{m}_R -adic topology and the limit of the sequence equals to $eH(\underline{Q})$. We have completed the proof.

To construct a triple product p-adic L-function $L_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}^F \in R$, we prove the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 4.0.2. There exists a unique element $H^{\text{ord}} \in R[\![q]\!]$ such that the specialization of H^{ord} at each $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$ equals to the Fourier expansion of the modular form $e\mathcal{H}(G^{(2)}(m_2)\delta_{k^{(3)}(m_3)}^{\overline{r_Q}}G^{(3)}(m_3)|\Theta_{\underline{Q}}).$

Proof. Let $I_{\underline{Q}}$ be the ideal of R generalized by $\operatorname{Ker}Q_1, \operatorname{Ker}Q_{m_2}^{(2)}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}Q_{m_3}^{(3)}$ for each $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. We denote by \mathfrak{B} the set of finite intersections of $I_{\underline{Q}}$ for $\underline{Q} \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. Then, we can easily check that $\cap_{J \in \mathfrak{B}} J = \{0\}$. Further, we have the natural isomorphism $R \cong \varprojlim_{J \in \mathfrak{B}} (R/J)$. In particular, we have

$$R\llbracket q \rrbracket \cong \varprojlim_{I \in \mathfrak{R}} R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes_R (R/J).$$

For each $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^m I_{\underline{Q}_i} \in \mathfrak{B}$, it suffices to prove that there exists a unique element $H_J^{\mathrm{ord}} \in R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J)$ such that the image of H_J^{ord} by the natural embedding $i_J : R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J) \hookrightarrow$

 $\prod_{i=1}^m (R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R R/I_{\underline{Q}_i}) \text{ equals to } \left[e(H(\underline{Q}_i))\right]_{i=1}^m. \text{ The uniqueness of } H_J^{\mathrm{ord}} \text{ is trivial. We prove the existence of } H_J^{\mathrm{ord}}.$

Let $p_J: R\llbracket q \rrbracket \to R \otimes_R (R/J)$ be the natural projection. If $J = I_{\underline{Q}}$ for $\underline{Q} \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_J(U_{R,p}^{n!}H) = e\mathcal{H}(\underline{Q})$ by Lemma 4.0.1. We assume that there exist elements $H_J^{\mathrm{ord}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_J(U_{R,p}^{n!}H) \in R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes (R/J)$ and $H_{J'}^{\mathrm{ord}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{J'}(U_{R,p}^{n!}H) \in R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes (R/J')$ for a pair $(J,J') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. We define the R-linear map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes_R (R/J)) \times (R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes_R (R/J')) & \stackrel{i_{J,J'}}{\longrightarrow} & (R\llbracket q \rrbracket \otimes_R (R/J+J')) \\ & & & & & & \\ (a,b) & & \longmapsto & a-b \end{array} .$$

Then, we have $i_{J,J'}(H_J^{\operatorname{ord}},H_{J'}^{\operatorname{ord}}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} i_{J,J'}(p_J(U_{R,p}^{n!}H),p_{J'}(U_{R,p}^{n!}H)) = 0$. Further, since Ker $i_{J,J'} \cong R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J \cap J')$, there exists a unique element $H_{J\cap J'}^{\operatorname{ord}} \in R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J \cap J')$ such that the image of $H_{J\cap J'}^{\operatorname{ord}}$ in $(R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J)) \times (R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J'))$ equals to $(H_J^{\operatorname{ord}},H_{J'}^{\operatorname{ord}})$. In particular, we have $H_{J\cap J'}^{\operatorname{ord}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{J\cap J'}(U_{R,p}^{n!}H)$. Then, for each $J = \cap_{i=1}^m I_{\underline{Q}_i} \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique element $H_J^{\operatorname{ord}} \in R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J)$ such that the image of H_J^{ord} by the natural embedding $i_J : R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R (R/J) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m (R[\![q]\!] \otimes_R R/I_{\underline{Q}_i})$ equals to $\left[e(H(\underline{Q}_i))\right]_{i=1}^m$. We have completed the proof.

Proposition 4.0.3. The power series H^{ord} is an element of $\mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}(N, \psi_1, \mathbf{I}_1) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{I}_1} R$.

Proof. We identify the Iwasawa algebra Λ_K with $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ by the isomorphism $[1+p]\mapsto 1+X$ and we regard \mathbf{I}_i as the normal finite flat extension of $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X_i]\!]$ for i=1,2,3. Let $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n$ be a base of R over $R_0 = \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1,X_2,X_3]\!]$. We put

$$H^{\text{ord}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H^{(i)} \alpha_i,$$

where $H^{(i)} \in R_0[\![q]\!]$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We put $L = \operatorname{Frac} R$ and $L_0 = \operatorname{Frac} R_0$. Let $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/L_0} : L \to L_0$ be the trace map and $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \ldots, \alpha_n^*$ be the dual base of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ with respect to $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/L_0}$. Then, we have

$$H^{(i)}(\underline{Q}) = \text{Tr}(H(\underline{Q})\alpha_i^*(\underline{Q}))$$

for all but a finite number of $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$. Further, $\operatorname{Tr}(H(\underline{Q})\alpha_i^*(\underline{Q}))$ is the Fourier expansion of an element of $eS_{k_{Q_1}}(Np^{e_{Q_1}}, \epsilon_{Q_1}\psi_1\omega_p^{-k_{Q_1}}, \underline{Q}(R))$. It suffices to prove

$$H^{(i)} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1]\!]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1]\!]} R_0$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

For each positive integers m_2, m_3 , let $H_{m_2, m_3}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)}, b_{m_3}^{(3)}] \llbracket X_1 \rrbracket \llbracket q \rrbracket$ be the specialization of $H^{(i)}$ at $(Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)})$, where $b_{m_2}^{(2)} := Q_{m_2}^{(2)}(X_2)$ and $b_{m_3}^{(3)} := Q_{m_3}^{(3)}(X_3)$. First, we prove $H_{m_2, m_3}^{(i)} \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)}, b_{m_3}^{(3)}] \llbracket X_1 \rrbracket)$. We define a subset $\mathfrak{X}_{m_2, m_3}^F$ of arithmetic points of \mathbf{I}_1 to be

$$\mathfrak{X}^F_{m_2,m_3} := \left\{ Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1} \, \left| \, \left(Q, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)} \right) \in \mathfrak{X}^F_R \right\}. \right.$$

For each positive integer k, there exists an arithmetic point $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{I}_1}$ with $k_Q = k$. Then, we have $\#\mathfrak{X}_{m_2,m_3}^F = \infty$. Let $\mathbf{S}_{m_2,m_3}^{\mathrm{ord}} \subset \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}]\llbracket X_1 \rrbracket \llbracket q \rrbracket$ be an $\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}]\llbracket X_1 \rrbracket$ -module consisting

of elements $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)}, b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [\![X_1]\!] [\![q]\!]$ such that, for all but a finite number of $Q \in \mathfrak{X}_{m_2,m_3}^F$, f(Q) equals to the specialization of an element of $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [\![X_1]\!])$ at Q. Then, we have $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [\![X_1]\!]) \subset \mathbf{S}_{m_2,m_3}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ and $H_{m_2,m_3}^{(i)} \in \mathbf{S}_{m_2,m_3}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. It suffices to prove that we have $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [\![X_1]\!]) = \mathbf{S}_{m_2,m_3}^{\mathrm{ord}}$. Let g_1,\ldots,g_d be elements of $\mathbf{S}_{m_2,m_3}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ which are $\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [\![X_1]\!]$ -linear independent. Then, there are positive integers m_1,\ldots,m_d such that

$$d = \det(a(m_i, g_i))_{1 < i, j < d} \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)}, b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [X_1].$$

Since $\#\mathfrak{X}_{m_2,m_3}^F=\infty$, there exists an element $Q\in\mathfrak{X}_{m_2,m_3}^F$ such that $d(Q)\neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]}\mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}_{m_2,m_3} = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]}\mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]).$$

Then, if we take an element $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{m_2,m_3}$, there exists an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][\![X_1]\!]\setminus\{0\}$ such that $af \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][\![X_1]\!])$. Since a has only finite roots, we have $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][\![X_1]\!])$. Then, we have $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_2}^{(2)},b_{m_3}^{(3)}][\![X_1]\!]) = \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}_{m_2,m_3}$.

For each positive integer m_3 , let $H^{(i),m_3} \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1,X_2]$ be the specialization of $H^{(i)}$ at $Q_{m_3}^{(3)}$. Next, we prove $H^{(i),m_3} \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}]} \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_2]$. We define an $\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1,X_2]$ -module $\mathbf{S}_{m_3}^{\operatorname{ord}} \subset \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1,X_2]$ consisting of elements $f(X_1,X_2)$ such that $f(X_1,b_m^{(2)}) \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[X_1]) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ for each positive integer m. We have already proved that $H^{(i),m_3} \in \mathbf{S}_{m_3}^{\operatorname{ord}}$. It is clear that $\mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}]} \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_2] \subset \mathbf{S}_{m_3}^{\operatorname{ord}}$. Further, if $g_1,\ldots,g_d \in \mathbf{S}_{m_3}^{\operatorname{ord}}$ are linear independent, there exist positive integers m_1,\ldots,m_d such that

$$d = \det(a(m_i, g_j))_{1 \le i, j \le d} \ne 0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}] [X_1, X_2].$$

We can take a positive integer m_2 such that $d(X_1, b_{m_2}^{(2)}) \neq 0$. Then, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1, X_2]} \mathbf{S}_{m_3}^{\operatorname{ord}} = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]} \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1])$. We take an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1, X_2] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $aH^{(i),m_3} \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}]} \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_2]$. Since we have $a(X_1, p^m) \neq 0$ for almost all positive integers m, there exists a positive integer k_{m_3} such that $H^{(i),m_3}(X_1, p^{m'}) \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1])$ for each positive integer $m' \geq k_{m_3}$.

We put $H_0^{(i),m_3} := H^{(i),m_3}$ and $c_m = p^{k_{m_3}+m}$ for each non-negative integer m. We define a power series $H_m^{(i),m_3} \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][X_1,X_2][q]$ inductively for each positive integer m to be

$$H_m^{(i),m_3}(X_1,X_2) := (H_{m-1}^{(i),m_3}(X_1,X_2) - H_{m-1}^{(i),m_3}(X_1,c_m))(X_2 - c_m)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}] \llbracket X_1,X_2 \rrbracket \llbracket q \rrbracket.$$

By the induction of m, we have $H_m^{(i),m_3}(X_1,c_l) \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}][\![X_1]\!])$ for each non-negative integer m and $l \geq m+1$. In particular, if we put $H_{m,m+1}^{(i),m_3} := H_m^{(i),m_3}(X_1,c_{m+1})$, we have

$$H^{(i),m_3} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_{m,m+1}^{(i),m_3} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (X_2 - c_j) \in \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}] \llbracket X_1 \rrbracket) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}]} \mathcal{O}_K[b_{m_3}^{(3)}] \llbracket X_2 \rrbracket.$$

Next, we prove $H^{(i)} \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1]\!]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_2,X_3]\!]$. By the same way as above, we can take a non-zero element $a \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1,X_2,X_3]\!] \setminus \{0\}$ such that $aH^{(i)}$ is an element of $\mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1]\!]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_2,X_3]\!]$. Further, there exists a positive integer k which satisfies $H^{(i)}(X_1,X_2,p^m) \in \mathbf{S}^{\operatorname{ord}}(1,\psi_1,\mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1]\!]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_2]\!]$ for each $m \geq k$. We put $H_0^{(i)} := H^{(i)}$ and $c'_m = p^{k+m}$ for each non-negative integer m. We define a power series $H_m^{(i)} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1,X_2,X_3]\!][\![q]\!]$ inductively for each positive integer m to be

$$H_m^{(i)} := (H_{m-1}^{(i)}(X_1, X_2, X_3) - H_{m-1}^{(i)}(X_1, X_2, c_m'))(X_3 - c_m')^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X_1, X_2, X_3]\!][\![q]\!].$$

Then, we have

$$H^{(i)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_m^{(i)}(X_1, X_2, c'_{m+1}) \prod_{j=1}^m (X_3 - c'_j) \in \mathbf{S}^{\text{ord}}(1, \psi_1, \mathcal{O}_K[X_1]) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[X_2, X_3].$$

We have completed the proof.

Definition 4.0.4. We define an element $L_{G(2),G(3)}^F \in R$ to be

$$L_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}^F := a(1,\eta_F 1_F (H^{\text{ord}})).$$

Here, 1_F is the idempotent element defined in §2 and η_F is the congruence number defined in Definition 2.0.5.

By [Hid85, Proposition 4.5] and [Ich08, Theorem 1.1] , we have the interpolation formula of $L_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}$. However, we omit the detail of the proof of the interpolation formula. Let $\Omega_{F_{Q_1}}$ be the canonical period defined in [Hsi17, (1.3)] and $\mathcal{E}_{F_{Q_1},p}(\Pi_{\underline{Q}})$ the modified p-Euler factor defined in [Hsi17, (1.2)].

Proposition 4.0.5. We assume Hypotheses (1) \sim (7). Then, there exists an element $\mathcal{L}_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}^F \in R$ such that we have the interpolation property:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{G^{(2)},G^{(3)}}^{F}(\underline{Q}))^{2} = \mathcal{E}_{F_{Q_{1}},p}(\Pi_{\underline{Q}}) \cdot \frac{L(\frac{1}{2},\Pi_{\underline{Q}})}{(\sqrt{-1})^{2k_{Q_{1}}}\Omega_{F_{Q_{1}}}^{2}}$$

for every $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_{m_2}^{(2)}, Q_{m_3}^{(3)}) \in \mathfrak{X}_R^F$.

5 Examples

In this subsection, we give examples of the triple $(\mathbf{I}_i, \mathfrak{X}^{(i)}, G^{(i)})$ which satisfy Hypothesis (5), (6) and (7). As a first example, we can take families of CM forms of weight 1. Let L be a quadratic imaginary extension of \mathbb{Q} with a discriminant D. We assume that D is square-free and prime to p. Let \mathfrak{f} be an integral ideal of \mathcal{O}_L such that \mathfrak{f} is prime to p. We assume that $N(\mathfrak{f})$ is square-free, where N is the absolute norm. Let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^j)$ be the class ray group modulo $\mathfrak{f}(p)^j$ over L for each $j \geq 0$. By the class field theory, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty}) = \lim_{\substack{i \geq 0 \\ i \geq 0}} \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^j)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank

2. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ be the torsion part of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty})$ and $\chi:\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}\to\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be a primitive character. Here, a primitive character means that it is not induced by any character from $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}'}$ for $\mathfrak{f}\subsetneq\mathfrak{f}'$. Let L_{∞}^{-}/L be the anticyclotomic extension of L. By the class field theory, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^{-}/L)$ is a direct summand of the \mathbb{Z}_p -torsion free part of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty})$. Let $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}}:\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty})\to\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{-}:\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty})\to\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^{-}/L)$ be the natural projections to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^{-}/L)$ respectively. Let E be a finite Galois extension of \mathbb{Q}_p such that the image of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{f}}$ by χ is contained in E. We define a group homomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty}) \to \mathcal{O}_E \llbracket \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L) \rrbracket^{\times}$$

to be $\Psi(a) = \chi(\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{f}}(a))[\operatorname{pr}_{-}(a)]$ for $a \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{f}(p)^{\infty})$. Let $J_{\mathfrak{f}(p)}$ be the group which consists of fractional ideals \mathfrak{a} of L which is prime to $\mathfrak{f}(p)$. For each finite prime ideal \mathfrak{l} , we denote by $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ the completion of L by \mathfrak{l} . Let $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{l}}}$ be the integers of $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}$ a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{l}}}$. We define a group homomorphism

$$\Psi^*: \mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{f}(p)} \to \mathcal{O}_E \llbracket \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L) \rrbracket^{\times}$$

to be
$$\Psi^*(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{l \nmid \mathfrak{f}(p)} \Psi_{\mathfrak{l}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}^{n_{\mathfrak{l}}})$$
, where $\Psi = \prod_{\mathfrak{l}} \Psi_{l}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{l} \nmid \mathfrak{f}(p)} \mathfrak{l}^{n_{\mathfrak{l}}}$. We put

$$F_{\Psi} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}
mid \mathfrak{f}(p)} \Psi^*(\mathfrak{a}) q^{\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{a})},$$

where $\mathfrak a$ runs through integral ideals of L which are prime to $\mathfrak f(p)$. Let $\epsilon: \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L) \to \overline{\mathbb Q}^{\times}$ be a finite character. We denote by $P_{\epsilon}: \mathcal O_E[\![\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)]\!] \to \overline{\mathbb Q}_p$ the $\mathcal O_E$ -algebra homomorphism defined by $P_{\epsilon}([w]) = \epsilon(w)$ for $w \in \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)$. It is known that for each finite character $\epsilon: \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L) \to \overline{\mathbb Q}^{\times}$, the series $f_{\epsilon}:=P_{\epsilon}(F_{\Psi})\in P_{\epsilon}(\mathcal O_E[\![\operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)]\!])[\![q]\!]$ is the Fourier expansion of a classical modular form of weight 1 and level $(-D)\operatorname{N}(\mathbf f)p^{e_{\epsilon}}$, where e_{ϵ} is a positive integer $(cf.[\mathrm{Miy06}, \mathrm{Theorem}\ 4.8.2])$. By the definition, f_{ϵ} is the CM-form. We remark that the p-th coefficient $a(p, F_{\Psi}) \in \mathcal O_E[\![\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)]\!]$ of F_{Ψ} is zero by the definition. However, if $\epsilon: \operatorname{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L) \to \overline{\mathbb Q}^{\times}$ is primitive and the conductor is sufficiently large, it is known that f_{ϵ} is a primitive form $(cf.[\mathrm{Miy06}, \mathrm{Theorem}\ 4.8.2])$. Then, if we put $\mathfrak X:=\{\mathrm{Ker}P_{\epsilon}\mid f_{\epsilon} \text{ is primitive}\}$, the cardinality of $\mathfrak X$ is not finite, and the triple $(\mathcal O_E[\![\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)]\!], \mathfrak X, F_{\Psi})$ satisfies the condition (5). Let $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb A^{\times}}: \mathbb A^{\times} \to \mathfrak C(\mathfrak f(p)^{\infty})$ be the natural projection defined by the class field theory. We denote by $j_p:\mathbb Q_p^{\times} \to \mathbb A^{\times}$ the natural injection. If we put $\langle n \rangle = n\omega_p(n)^{-1}\Psi([\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbb A^{\times}} \circ j_p(n\omega_p(n)^{-1})])^{-1} \in \mathcal O_E[\![\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\infty}^-/L)]\!]^{\times}$ for each positive integer n which is prime to $p, \langle n \rangle$ satisfies the condition of (5). Since $D\mathrm{N}(\mathbf f)$ is square-free, by [Miy06, Theorem 4.6.17], F_{Ψ} satisfies Hypothesis (7).

As a second example of $(\mathbf{I}_i, \mathfrak{X}^{(i)}, G^{(i)})$, we give Coleman families. For an element $x \in K$ and $\epsilon \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K$ the closed ball of radius ϵ and center x, seen as a K-affinoid space. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K}$ the ring of analytic functions on $\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K$ and by $\mathcal{A}^0_{\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K}$ the subring of power bounded elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K}$. We remark that if $\epsilon \in K$, the ring $\mathcal{A}^0_{\mathcal{B}[x,\epsilon]_K}$ is isomorphic to the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_K \langle \epsilon^{-1}(T-x) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \left(\epsilon^{-1}(T-x) \right)^n \in \mathcal{O}_K \llbracket \epsilon^{-1}(T-x) \rrbracket \middle| \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|_p = 0 \right\}.$$

Let M be a positive integer which is prime to p and square-free. Let ϵ_M be a Dirichlet character mod M. Let f be a p-stabilized newform of weight k_0 , level Mp, slope $\alpha < k_0 - 1$ and Nebentypus $\epsilon_M \omega_p^{i-k_0}$ where $0 \le i \le p-1$. Further, we assume that $a(p,f)^2 \ne \epsilon_M(p)p^{k_0-1}$ if i=0. Then, by Coleman in [Col97], there exists an element $\epsilon \in p^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap K$ and a series

$$G\in\mathcal{A}^0_{\mathcal{B}[k_0,\epsilon]_K}[\![q]\!]$$

such that the specialization G(k) of G at k is the Fourier expansion of a normalized Hecke eigenform of weight k, level Mp, slope α and Nebentypus $\epsilon_M \omega_p^{i-k}$ for each positive integer $k \in \mathcal{B}[k_0,\epsilon]_K(K)$ which is greater than $\alpha+1$. Further, we prove in [Fuk19, A2.7] that we can take a sufficiently small ϵ such that G(k) is a p-stabilized newform for each positive integer $k \in \mathcal{B}[k_0,\epsilon]_K(K)$ which is greater than $\alpha+1$. If we put $K=\epsilon^{-1}(T-k_0)$, we can regard the Coleman series K=0 as a series K=0 as a series K=0. Let K=0 be a positive integer which is greater than K=0. If we put K=0 and Nebentypus K=0 be a positive integer which is greater than K=0 and Nebentypus K=0 be defined by K=0 be defined by K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 be the set consisting of K=0 for each positive integer K=0 for eac

series and log series in K[x] defined by

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n!} x^n,$$
$$\log(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} x^n.$$

We fix an isomorphism $\Lambda_K \cong \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ defined by $[1+p] \mapsto X+1$ and we define a formal series

$$\langle n \rangle' := \langle n \rangle_{\Lambda_K} ((1+p)^{k_0} \exp(\epsilon X \log(1+p)) - 1)$$

for each positive integer n which is prime to p. We remark that since we have $|p^m|_p \leq |m!|_p$ for each positive integer m, the series $\langle n \rangle'$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$. Further, for each positive integer n which is prime to p, the series $\langle n \rangle'$ satisfies the condition of Hypothesis (5). Since M is square-free, by [Miy06, Theorem 4.6.17], G(X) satisfies Hypothesis (7).

References

- [Col97] R. F. Coleman. p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms. Invent. Math., 127(3):417-479, 1997.
- [Fuk19] K. Fukunaga, Triple product p-adic L-function attached to p-adic families of modular forms, arXiv:1909.03165.
- [Hid85] H. Hida, A p-adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms, I, Inventiones Math., 79 (1985), 159-195.
- [Hid88a] H. Hida, On p-adic hecke algebras for GL(2) over totally real fields, Annals of Mathematics 128 (1988), 295-384.
- [Hid88b] H. Hida, A p-adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. II, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 38 (1988), no. 3, 1-83.
- [Hsi17] M.-L. Hsieh, Hida families and p-adic triple product L-functions, AJM, to appear.
- [Ich08] A. Ichino, Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product L-functions, Duke Math. J. 145 (2008), no. 2, 281-307.
- [Ike92] T. Ikeda, On the location of poles of the product L-functions, Comp. Math. 83(1992), 187-237.
- [Ike98] T. Ikeda, On the gamma factor of the triple L-function II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 499 (1998) 199-223.
- [Miy06] T. Miyake, Modular forms, english ed. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by Yoshitaka Maeda. MR 2194815.
- [PSR87] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, *Rankin triple L functions*, Comp. Math. 64 (1987), 31-115.
- [Shi76] G.Shimura, The special values of the zeta functions associated with cusp forms, Comm. Pure Appl. math. 29 (1976), 783-804.
- [Wil88] A. Wiles, On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), no. 3, 529-573.

[Wil95] A. Wiles, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem, Ann. of Math. (2) 141 (1995), no. 3, 443-551.