$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ AND THE TERWILLIGER ALGEBRAS

HAU-WEN HUANG

ABSTRACT. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb C$ generated by E, F, H subject to the relations

$$[H, E] = 2E,$$
 $[H, F] = -2F,$ $[E, F] = H.$

In 2002, Junie T. Go showed that the Terwilliger algebra of H(D,2) is a homomorphic image of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Firstly, I will present a connection of the even subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with the Terwilliger algebra of $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$. Secondly, I will show how the Clebsch–Gordan rule of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is related to the Terwilliger algebra of H(D,q). Thirdly, I will give an algebraic connection between the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Terwilliger algebra of J(D,k). The first part is a joint work with Chia-Yi Wen.

1. $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ AND THE TERWILLIGER ALGEBRA OF H(D,2)

Definition 1.1. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is an algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by E, F, H subject to the relations

$$[H, E] = 2E,$$
 $[H, F] = -2F,$ $[E, F] = H.$

The element

$$\Lambda = EF + FE + \frac{H^2}{2}$$

is called the Casimir element of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 1.2. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an (n+1)-dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module L_n satisfying the following conditions:

(i) There exists a basis $v_0^{(n)}, v_1^{(n)}, \ldots, v_n^{(n)}$ for L_n such that

$$Ev_i^{(n)} = iv_{i-1}^{(n)} \quad (1 \le i \le n), \qquad Ev_0^{(n)} = 0,$$

$$Fv_i^{(n)} = (n-i)v_{i+1}^{(n)} \quad (1 \le i \le n-1), \qquad Fv_n^{(n)} = 0,$$

$$Hv_i^{(n)} = (n-2i)v_i^{(n)} \quad (1 \le i \le n).$$

(ii) The element Λ acts on L_n as scalar multiplication by $\frac{n(n+2)}{2}$.

Note that the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module L_n is the unique (n+1)-dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module up to isomorphism.

Definition 1.3. Let $D \ge 1$ denote an integer. The *D*-dimensional hypercube H(D,2) has the vertex set $X = \{0,1\}^D$ and $x,y \in \{0,1\}^D$ are adjacent if and only if x and y differ in exactly one coordinate.

Let **A** denote the adjacency operator of H(D,2). Let $\mathbf{A}^*(x)$ denote the dual adjacency operator of H(D,2) with respect to $x \in X$. Let $\mathbf{T}(x)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra of H(D,2) with respect to $x \in X$ [1,7–9]. Note that $\mathbf{T}(x)$ is generated by **A** and $\mathbf{A}^*(x)$. In 2002 Junie T. Go gave the following result:

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 13.2, [2]). For each $x \in X$ there exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\rho(x): U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathbf{T}(x)$ that sends

$$E \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{A}}{2} - \frac{[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*(x)]}{4},$$

$$F \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{A}}{2} + \frac{[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*(x)]}{4},$$

$$H \mapsto \mathbf{A}^*(x).$$

Moreover $\rho(x)$ is onto for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 10.2, [2]). The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathbb{C}^X is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{D-2i+1}{D-i+1} \binom{D}{i} \cdot L_{D-2i}.$$

2. The even subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Terwilliger algebra of $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$

Definition 2.1 (Definition 1.2, [5]). The universal Hahn algebra \mathcal{H} is an algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by A, B, C and the relations assert that [A, B] = C and each of

$$\alpha = [C, A] + 2A^2 + B,$$

 $\beta = [B, C] + 4BA + 2C$

is central in \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 1.3, [5]). There exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\natural : \mathcal{H} \to U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that sends

The element

$$\Omega = 4ABA + B^2 - C^2 - 2\beta A + 2(1 - \alpha)B$$

is central in \mathcal{H} and it is called the *Casimir element* of \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 4.5, [5]). The homomorphism \natural maps Ω to $\frac{3}{16}(2\Lambda - 3)$.

The algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -grading algebra structure with

$$\deg E = 1, \qquad \deg F = -1, \qquad \deg H = 0$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let U_n denote the n^{th} homogeneous subspace of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Define

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{2n}.$$

Since $1 \in U_0$ and by (G2) the space $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We call $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ the even subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 3.4, [5]). The algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ has a presentation given by generators E^2, F^2, Λ, H and relations

$$\begin{split} [H,E^2] &= 4E^2, \\ [H,F^2] &= -4F^2, \\ 16E^2F^2 &= (H^2 - 2H - 2\Lambda)(H^2 - 6H - 2\Lambda + 8), \\ 16F^2E^2 &= (H^2 + 2H - 2\Lambda)(H^2 + 6H - 2\Lambda + 8), \\ \Lambda E^2 &= E^2\Lambda, \qquad \Lambda F^2 = F^2\Lambda, \qquad \Lambda H = H\Lambda. \end{split}$$

Using the presentation for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ we found the following result:

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 1.5, [5]). (i) Im $\sharp = U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$.

(ii) Ker \natural is the two-sided ideal of \mathcal{H} generated by β and $16\Omega - 24\alpha + 3$.

For any $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module V and any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ let

$$V(\theta) = \{ v \in V \mid Hv = \theta v \}.$$

Proposition 2.6 (Proposition 5.1, [5]). Let V denote a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. Then

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V(\theta+4n)$$

is a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -submodule of V for any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$L_n^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n(n-4i).$$

For each integer $n \geq 1$ let

$$L_n^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n(n-4i-2).$$

Lemma 2.7 (Lemmas 5.5 and 5.8, [5]). (i) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -module $L_n^{(0)}$ is irreducible.

(ii) For any integer $n \geq 1$ the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -module $L_n^{(1)}$ is irreducible.

Theorem 2.8 (Theorem 5.10, [5]). The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -modules $L_n^{(0)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -modules $L_n^{(1)}$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ are mutually non-isomorphic.

Theorem 2.9 (Theorem 5.11, [5]). For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -modules $L_{2d}^{(0)}$, $L_{2d+1}^{(0)}$, $L_{2d+1}^{(1)}$, $L_{2d+2}^{(1)}$ are all (d+1)-dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -modules up to isomorphism.

Lemma 2.10 (Lemma 6.2, [5]). For each $x \in X$ the algebra homomorphism $\rho(x) \circ \natural : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{T}(x)$ maps

$$A \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{A}^*(x)}{4},$$
$$\mathbf{A}^2 - 1$$

$$B \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{A}^2 - 1}{4}.$$

Suppose that $D \geq 2$. Let

$$X_e = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^D \,\middle|\, \sum_{i=1}^D x_i \text{ is even} \right\}.$$

Definition 2.11. The halved graph $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$ of H(D,2) is a finite simple connected graph with vertex set X_e and $x, y \in X_e$ are adjacent if and only if x and y differ in exactly two coordinates.

The adjacency operator of $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$ is equal to

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}^2 - D}{2}\Big|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}}.$$

Let $x \in X_e$ be given. The dual adjacency operator of $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$ with respect to x is equal to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^*(x) \big|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}} & \text{if } D = 2, \\ \mathbf{A}^*(x) \big|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}} & \text{if } D \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the Terwilliger algebra $\mathbf{T}_e(x)$ of $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$ with respect to x is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{X_e})$ generated by $\mathbf{A}^2|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}}$ and $\mathbf{A}^*(x)|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}}$ [1,7-9].

Theorem 2.12 (Theorem 6.4, [5]). For each $x \in X_e$ the following hold:

- (i) $\mathbf{T}_e(x) = \{ M |_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}} \mid M \in \operatorname{Im} (\rho(x) \circ \natural) \}.$
- (ii) $\mathbf{T}_e(x) = \{ M|_{\mathbb{C}^{X_e}} \mid M \in \text{Im} (\rho(x)|_{U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e}) \}.$

Theorem 2.13 (Theorem 6.5, [5]). The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_e$ -module \mathbb{C}^{X_e} is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{k=0\\k \text{ is even}}}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{D-2k+1}{D-k+1} \binom{D}{k} \cdot L_{D-2k}^{(0)} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{k=1\\k \text{ is odd}}}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D-1}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{D-2k+1}{D-k+1} \binom{D}{k} \cdot L_{D-2k}^{(1)}.$$

3. The Clebsch–Gordan rule for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Terwilliger algebra of H(D,q)

Definition 3.1 (Definition 1.6, [4]). Given any scalar $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ the *Krawtchouk algebra* \mathfrak{K}_{ω} is an algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by A and B subject to the relations

$$A^{2}B - 2ABA + BA^{2} = B + \omega A,$$

$$B^{2}A - 2BAB + AB^{2} = A + \omega B.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([4,6]). For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\zeta : \mathfrak{K}_{\omega} \to U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that sends

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \mapsto & \frac{1+\omega}{2}E + \frac{1-\omega}{2}F - \frac{\omega}{2}H, \\ B & \mapsto & \frac{1}{2}H, \\ C & \mapsto & -\frac{1+\omega}{2}E + \frac{1-\omega}{2}F. \end{array}$$

Moreover, if $\omega^2 \neq 1$ then ζ is an isomorphism and its inverse sends

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \mapsto & \frac{1}{1+\omega}A + \frac{\omega}{1+\omega}B - \frac{1}{1+\omega}C, \\ F & \mapsto & \frac{1}{1-\omega}A + \frac{\omega}{1-\omega}B + \frac{1}{1-\omega}C, \\ H & \mapsto & 2B. \end{array}$$

Let $D \ge 1$ denote an integer. Let $q \ge 2$ denote an integer. Set

$$X = \{\hat{i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}.$$

Definition 3.3. The *D*-dimensional Hamming graph H(D,q) over X has the vertex set X^D and $x,y \in X^D$ are adjacent if and only if x and y differ in exactly one coordinate.

Let **A** denote the adjacency operator of H(D,q). Let $\mathbf{A}^*(x)$ denote the dual adjacency operator of H(D,q) with respect to $x \in X^D$. Let $\mathbf{T}(x)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra of H(D,q) with respect to x [1,7–9]. Without loss of generality we fix $x = (\hat{0}, \hat{0}, \dots, \hat{0}) \in X^D$. Set

 $\omega = 1 - \frac{2}{q}.$

Definition 3.4. Let \mathbb{C}_0^X denote the subspace of \mathbb{C}^X consisting of all vectors $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} c_i \hat{i}$ where $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{q-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} c_i = 0$. Let \mathbb{C}_1^X denote the subspace of \mathbb{C}^X spanned by $\hat{0}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \hat{i}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}^X = \mathbb{C}_0^X \oplus \mathbb{C}_1^X$.

Definition 3.5. For any $s \in \{0,1\}^D$ we define the subspace $\mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}$ of \mathbb{C}^{X^D} by

$$\mathbb{C}_{s}^{X^{D}} = \mathbb{C}_{s_{1}}^{X} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{s_{2}}^{X} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{s_{D}}^{X}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}^{X^D} = \bigoplus_{s \in \{0,1\}^D} \mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}$.

Proposition 3.6 (Proposition 3.12, [4]). For any $s \in \{0,1\}^D$ there exists a \mathfrak{K}_{ω} -module structure on $\mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}$ given by

$$A = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{q} \Big|_{\mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}} + \frac{D}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^D s_i,$$

$$B = \frac{\mathbf{A}^*(x)}{q} \Big|_{\mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}} + \frac{D}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^D s_i.$$

In particular \mathbb{C}^{X^D} is a \mathfrak{K}_{ω} -module.

The Clebsch–Gordan rule for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is as follows:

Theorem 3.7. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $L_m \otimes L_n$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} L_{m+n-2p}.$$

The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathbb{C}_0^X is isomorphic to $(q-2)\cdot L_0$. The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathbb{C}_1^X is isomorphic to L_1 . Hence the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $\mathbb{C}_s^{X^D}$ $(s\in\{0,1\}^D)$ is isomorphic to $(q-2)^{D-p}\cdot L_1^{\otimes p}$ where $p=\sum_{i=1}^D s_i$.

Theorem 3.8 (Theorem 1.10, [4]). The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathbb{C}^{X^D} is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{p=0}^{D} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{p-2k+1}{p-k+1} \binom{D}{p} \binom{p}{k} (q-2)^{D-p} \cdot L_{p-2k}.$$

Here 0^0 is defined as 1.

4. The Clebsch–Gordan coefficients for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Terwilliger algebra of J(D,k)

Inspired by the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the following result was discovered in [3]:

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 1.4, [3]). There exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\natural : \mathcal{H} \to U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that sends

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \mapsto & \dfrac{H \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes H}{4}, \\ \\ B & \mapsto & \dfrac{\Delta(\Lambda)}{2}, \\ \\ C & \mapsto & E \otimes F - F \otimes E, \\ \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \dfrac{\Lambda \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Lambda}{2} + \dfrac{\Delta(H)^2}{8}, \\ \\ \beta & \mapsto & \dfrac{(\Lambda \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \Lambda)\Delta(H)}{2}. \end{array}$$

By pulling back via \natural every $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module can be considered as an \mathcal{H} -module. Let V denote a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$V(\theta) = \{ v \in V \mid \Delta(H)v = \theta v \}.$$

It can be shown that $V(\theta)$ is an \mathcal{H} -submodule of V for any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 1.6, [3]). Suppose that $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ℓ is an integer with $0 \le \ell \le m + n$. Then the following hold:

- (i) The $(\min\{m,\ell\} + \min\{n,\ell\} \ell + 1)$ -dimensional \mathcal{H} -module $(L_m \otimes L_n)(m + n 2\ell)$ is irreducible.
- (ii) Suppose that $m', n' \in \mathbb{N}$ and ℓ' is an integer with $0 \leq \ell' \leq m' + n'$. The the \mathcal{H} -module $(L_{m'} \otimes L_{n'})(m' + n' 2\ell')$ is isomorphic to $(L_m \otimes L_n)(m + n 2\ell)$ if and only if

$$(m', n', \ell') \in \{(m, n, \ell), (m + n - \ell, \ell, n), (\ell, m + n - \ell, m), (n, m, m + n - \ell)\}.$$

Let Ω denote a finite set with size D and let \subseteq denote the covering relation in 2^{Ω} .

Theorem 4.3. There exists a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure on $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$ given by

$$Ex = \sum_{y \in x} y \qquad \text{for all } x \in 2^{\Omega},$$

$$Fx = \sum_{x \in y} y \qquad \text{for all } x \in 2^{\Omega},$$

$$Hx = (D - 2|x|)x \qquad \text{for all } x \in 2^{\Omega}.$$

For notational convenience we define

$$m_i(n) = \frac{n-2i+1}{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i}$$

for all integers i, n with $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem 4.4. The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{2}\right\rfloor} m_i(D) \cdot L_{D-2i}.$$

Fix an element $x_0 \in 2^{\Omega}$. The spaces $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega \setminus x_0}}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2^{x_0}}$ are $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules. Hence $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega \setminus x_0}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{x_0}}$ has a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure. Consider the linear isomorphism $\iota(x_0) : \mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}} \to \mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega \setminus x_0}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{x_0}}$ given by

$$x \mapsto (x \setminus x_0) \otimes (x \cap x_0)$$
 for all $x \in 2^{\Omega}$.

By identifying $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega \setminus x_0}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{x_0}}$ via $\iota(x_0)$, this induces a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure on $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$.

Lemma 4.5 (Lemma 5.5, [3]). The $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D-|x_0|}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{|x_0|}{2} \right\rfloor} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m_i(D-|x_0|)} m_i(|x_0|) \cdot L_{D-|x_0|-2i} \otimes L_{|x_0|-2j}.$$

Theorem 4.6 (Theorem 5.8, [3]). For any $x_0 \in 2^{\Omega}$ the actions of A and B on the \mathcal{H} -module $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$ are as follows:

$$Ax = \left(\frac{D}{4} - \frac{|x_0 \setminus x| + |x \setminus x_0|}{2}\right) x \quad \text{for all } x \in 2^{\Omega},$$

$$Bx = \left(\frac{D}{2} + \frac{(D - 2|x|)^2}{4}\right) x + \sum_{\substack{|y| = |x| \\ x \cap y \in x}} y \quad \text{for all } x \in 2^{\Omega}.$$

Let k denote an integer with $0 \le k \le D$. The notation $\binom{\Omega}{k}$ denotes the set of all k-element subsets of Ω . It follows from the above theorem that $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}}$ is an \mathcal{H} -submodule of $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\Omega}}$. Let

$$\mathbf{P}(k) = \left\{ (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \,\middle|\, 0 \le i \le \frac{D-k}{2}, 0 \le j \le \min \left\{ D-k-i, k-i, \frac{k}{2} \right\} \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.7 (Theorem 5.7, [3]). Suppose that k is an integer with $0 \le k \le D$. For any $x_0 \in \binom{\Omega}{k}$ the following statements hold:

(i) Suppose that $k \neq \frac{D}{2}$. Then the \mathcal{H} -module $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathbf{P}(k)} m_i(D-k) m_j(k) \cdot (L_{D-k-2i}\otimes L_{k-2j})(D-2k).$$

Moreover the irreducible \mathcal{H} -modules $(L_{D-k-2i} \otimes L_{k-2j})(D-2k)$ for all $(i,j) \in \mathbf{P}(k)$ are mutually non-isomorphic.

(ii) Suppose that $k = \frac{D}{2}$. Then the \mathcal{H} -module $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{4} \right\rfloor} m_i \left(\frac{D}{2} \right)^2 \cdot (L_{\frac{D}{2} - 2i} \otimes L_{\frac{D}{2} - 2i})(0)$$

$$\bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{4} \right\rfloor} \bigoplus_{j=i+1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{D}{4} \right\rfloor} 2m_i \left(\frac{D}{2} \right) m_j \left(\frac{D}{2} \right) \cdot (L_{\frac{D}{2}-2i} \otimes L_{\frac{D}{2}-2j})(0).$$

Now we assume that $D \ge 2$ and k is an integer with $1 \le k \le D - 1$.

Definition 4.8. The Johnson graph J(D, k) is a finite simple connected graph whose vertex set is $\binom{\Omega}{k}$ and two vertices x, y are adjacent whenever $x \cap y \subset x$.

The adjacency operator **A** of J(D,k) is a linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}}$ given by

$$\mathbf{A}x = \sum_{\substack{|x| = |y| \\ x \cap y \in x}} y \qquad \text{for all } x \in \binom{\Omega}{k}.$$

The dual adjacency operator $\mathbf{A}^*(x_0)$ of J(D,k) with respect to $x_0 \in \binom{\Omega}{k}$ is a linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}}$ given by

$$\mathbf{A}^*(x_0)x = (D-1)\left(1 - \frac{D(|x_0 \setminus x| + |x \setminus x_0|)}{2k(D-k)}\right)x$$

for all $x \in \binom{\Omega}{k}$. Let $\mathbf{T}(x_0)$ denote the Terwilliger algebra of J(D, k) with respect to x_0 [1,7–9].

Theorem 4.9 (Theorem 5.9, [3]). For any $x_0 \in \binom{\Omega}{k}$ the following equation holds:

$$\mathbf{T}(x_0) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{H} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{\binom{\Omega}{k}})\right).$$

References

- 1. E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin-Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- 2. J. T. Go, The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube, European Journal of Combinatorics 23 (2002), 399-429.
- 3. H.-W. Huang, The Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the Terwilliger algebras of Johnson graphs, arXiv:2212.05385.
- 4. _____, The Clebsch–Gordan rule for $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, the Krawtchouk algebras and the Hamming graphs, SIGMA 19 (2023), 017, 19 pages.
- 5. H.-W. Huang and C.-Y. Wen, A Connection behind the Terwilliger algebras of H(D,2) and $\frac{1}{2}H(D,2)$, arXiv:2210.15733.
- K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, Krawtchouk polynomials, the Lie algebra s

 ₁, and Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra and its Applications 437 (2012), 345–375.
- 7. P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part I), Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 1 (1992), 363–388.
- 8. _____, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part II), Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 2 (1993), 73–103.
- 9. _____, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part III), Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 2 (1993), 177–210.

Hau-Wen Huang, Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li 32001 Taiwan

E-mail address: hauwenh@math.ncu.edu.tw