

# ON THE AVERAGE ANALYTIC RANKS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

PETER JAEHYUN CHO  
ULSAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article reviews the paper “The average analytic rank of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion” [CJ23] by the author and Jeong. In [CJ23], we study the distribution of analytic ranks of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion. Let us start with our model for elliptic curves. Our elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are represented by for a pair  $(A, B)$  of integers with  $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$

$$E_{A,B} : y^2 = x^2 + Ax + B$$

such that there is no prime  $p$  with  $p^4 \mid A$  and  $p^6 \mid B$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the set of all such pairs. A bijection exists between  $\mathcal{E}$  and the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, we can order elliptic curves by the naive height:

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \left\{ E_{A,B} \in \mathcal{E} : |A| \leq X^{\frac{1}{3}}, |B| \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

We can define the average rank of elliptic curves as the limit of the average rank over  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  as  $X$  goes to infinity if it exists. It was Brumer [Bru92] who first showed that the average analytic rank of elliptic curves is bounded. His bound was 2.3 under GRH for elliptic curve  $L$ -functions, which was lowered to 2 and  $\frac{25}{14}$  by Heath-Brown [Hea04] and Young [You06] respectively, under GRH for elliptic curve  $L$ -functions.

On the other hand, Harron and Snowden [HS14] counted elliptic curves with prescribed torsion  $G$ . We say that an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has torsion  $G$  if  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $G$ .

By a work of Mazur,  $G$  is one of the groups

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$$

for  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10, 12\}$  and  $m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4} := \{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

and  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 5}$  be the set of torsion groups of order  $\geq 5$ . We remark that elliptic curves with torsion  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 5}$  can be parametrized by Tate’s normal form. We often use  $n$  and  $2 \times 2m$  in place of  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  to ease the notation.

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_G(X) = \{E_{A,B} \in \mathcal{E}(X) : E(\mathbb{Q}) \geq G\}.$$

Harron and Snowden showed that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|}{\log X} = \frac{1}{d(G)},$$

TABLE 1.

$G$	$d(G)$	$G$	$d(G)$	$G$	$d(G)$
0	6/5	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	24
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	2	$\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$	12	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	3
$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	3	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	12	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	6
$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	4	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	18	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	12
$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$	18	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	24

where  $d(G)$  is given in Table 1.

We define the average analytic rank over  $\mathcal{E}_G$  to be

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} r_E$$

where  $r_E$  is the analytic rank of  $E$ . We show that the average analytic rank over any  $\mathcal{E}_G$  is bounded.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a torsion group. For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n = 7, 8, 9, 10, 12$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m = 3, 4$ , we assume the moment conditions (5), (6). Under GRH for elliptic curve  $L$ -functions, the average analytic rank over  $\mathcal{E}_G$  is bounded. In particular when  $|G| \geq 5$ , we have a bound  $\frac{1}{2} + 5d(G)$ .

For elliptic curves with torsion group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we can show that there are fewer elliptic curves with large analytic rank. To ease the notation let  $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $P_G(r_E \geq a)$  denote the proportion of elliptic curves analytic rank  $r_E \geq a$  among the elliptic curves with torsion  $G$ . We show a few elliptic curves with torsion  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  with large ranks.

**Theorem 2.** Assume GRH for elliptic curve  $L$ -functions. Let  $C$  be a positive constant and  $n$  be a positive integer. We have

$$P_{G_i}(r_E \geq c_i(1+C)n) \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-2k} (2k)! \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^k}{(c_i C n)^{2n}}.$$

where  $c_i = 18$  and  $20$  when  $i = 1, 2$  respectively. In particular, the proportions  $P_{G_1}(r_E \geq 23)$  and  $P_{G_2}(r_E \geq 25)$  are both at most  $0.0234$ .

Many other interesting results and discussions in [CJ23] are not mentioned here. For those who are interested, we recommend looking at it.

## 2. COUNTING ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH TORSION POINTS AND LOCAL CONDITIONS

When we count the elliptic curves containing a torsion group  $G$ , we divide  $G$  into the two classes. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4} := \{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

and  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 5}$  be the set of torsion groups of order  $\geq 5$ . For simplicity, we focus on the case when  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4}$ . Let us recall the result of [GT12, Theorem 1.1], which says that  $E_{A,B} : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  for  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$  has a  $G$  as a torsion subgroup if and only if

$$(A, B) = \Phi_G(a, b)$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Phi_G = (f_G, g_G)$  for

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_2(a, b) &= a, & g_2(a, b) &= b^3 + ab, \\ f_3(a, b) &= 6ab + 27a^4, & g_3(a, b) &= b^2 - 27a^6, \\ f_4(a, b) &= -3a^2 + 6ab^2 - 2b^4, & g_4(a, b) &= (2a - b^2)(a^2 + 2ab^2 - b^4), \\ f_{2 \times 2}(a, b) &= -(a^2 + 3b^2)/4, & g_{2 \times 2}(a, b) &= (b^3 - a^2b)/4. \end{aligned}$$

The set

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \left\{ (A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \begin{array}{l} |A| \leq X^{\frac{1}{3}}, |B| \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}}, 4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0, \\ \text{if } p^4 \text{ divides } A, \text{ then } p^6 \text{ does not divide } B. \end{array} \right\}$$

parametrizes all elliptic curves  $E_{A,B}$  whose height is less than  $X$ , and each isomorphism class appears only once by the minimality condition. (i.e. there is no prime  $p$  such that  $p^4 \mid A$  and  $p^6 \mid B$ .)

We define a height  $h(A, B)$  of an integer pair  $(A, B)$  by  $\max(|A|^3, |B|^2)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} M_G(X) &= \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (a, b) = 1, h(\Phi_G(a, b)) \leq X\}, \\ R_G(X) &= \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |f_G(a, b)| \leq X^{\frac{1}{3}}, |g_G(a, b)| \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}}\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_G(X) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (A, B) = \Phi_G(a, b) \text{ for some } (a, b) \in R_G(X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_G(X) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{D}_G(X) : \text{if } p^4 \mid A, \text{ then } p^6 \nmid B\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_G(X) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{M}_G(X) : 4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_G(X) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathcal{M}_G(X) : 4A^3 + 27B^2 = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$  represents elliptic curves with  $G$  torsion and  $\mathcal{S}_G(X)$  takes up singular curves.

For the reader's convenience, it is good to remember that  $(a, b)$  denotes an element in the domain of  $\Phi_G$  or  $R_G$  and  $(A, B)$  does in the range of  $\Phi_G$ . Also,  $\mathcal{D}_G, \mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{E}_G$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_G$  are sets on the range side. For pairs  $I, J \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ , the subscripts  $-_{G,I}(X)$  or  $-_{G,J}(X)$  means that this is the subset of the original set consisting of elements  $(a, b) \equiv I \pmod{p}$  or  $(A, B) \equiv J \pmod{p}$  respectively. We often drop the subscript  $G$  to ease the notation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For a torsion subgroup  $G$ , the number of integer points in  $R_G(X)$  is*

$$\text{Area}(R_G(1))X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O(X^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon(G)}}).$$

*Proof.* We note that [HS14, Lemma 5.2] proves this lemma for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $f_4(a, b) = X^{\frac{1}{3}}, g_4(a, b) = X^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are equivalent to  $f_4(a/X^{\frac{1}{6}}, b/X^{\frac{1}{12}}) = 1, g_4(a/X^{\frac{1}{6}}, b/X^{\frac{1}{12}}) = 1$ , by change of variables we have

$$\text{Area}(R_4(X)) = X^{\frac{1}{4}} \text{Area}(R_4(1)).$$

Then, the claim follows from the Principle of Lipschitz, [HS14, (5.3)] since  $X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}}$  is the longer length of the projection of  $R_G(X)$  to the axes. The same idea works for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

By the Principle of Lipschitz, we have

**Corollary 2.2.** *For a prime  $p \geq 5$ ,  $I$  an element in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ , and a torsion subgroup  $G$ , we have*

$$|R_{G,I}(X)| = \text{Area}(R_G(1))p^{-2}X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + O(1 + p^{-1}X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}}).$$

The map  $\Phi_G$  is not one-to-one. We prove some elementary but not simple properties of  $\Phi_G$ . We put

$G$	$\{0\}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$G$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 5}$
$e(G)$	2	3	4	6	6	$2d(G)$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For a group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4}$ , there is a positive integer  $r(G)$  such that the number of the preimages of  $\Phi_G$  is  $r(G)$  except for  $O(X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}})$  points.*

*Proof.* We show the case of  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . For a given  $(A, B) = \left(-\frac{a^2+3b^2}{4}, \frac{b^3-ba^2}{4}\right)$ , we find all the pairs  $(a', b')$  such that

$$\left(-\frac{a^2+3b^2}{4}, \frac{b^3-ba^2}{4}\right) = \left(-\frac{a'^2+3b'^2}{4}, \frac{b'^3-b'a'^2}{4}\right),$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}, -b\right\} = \left\{\frac{a'+b'}{2}, \frac{b'-a'}{2}, -b'\right\}.$$

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are integers,  $a$  and  $b$  should have the same parity. The set equality determines six pairs  $(a', b')$  and all the pairs satisfy the first relation. Hence,  $(a', b')$  has the same image as  $(a, b)$  if and only if one of the following six linear systems holds

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = A_i \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $A_0 = I$ , and

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, for  $(a, b)$  satisfying  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ , the (not necessarily distinct) six points

$$(a, b), (-a, b), \left(\frac{a-3b}{2}, \frac{-a-b}{2}\right), \left(\frac{-a+3b}{2}, \frac{-a-b}{2}\right), \left(\frac{a+3b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}\right), \text{ and } \left(\frac{-a-3b}{2}, \frac{a-b}{2}\right)$$

corresponds to the same  $(A, B)$ . We find a domain for the representatives for the above (not necessarily distinct) six points.  $\square$

For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we consider only the pairs  $(a, b)$  with  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ . By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3, and Möbius inversion argument, we have the following corollary, which is a complement of [HS14, Theorem 5.6].

**Corollary 2.4.** For a group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4}$  and  $r(G)$  defined in Lemma 2.3, let

$$c(G) := \frac{\text{Area}(R_G(1))}{2^{\delta_{G=2 \times 2}} r(G) \zeta\left(\frac{12}{d(G)}\right)}.$$

Then,

$$|\mathcal{E}_G(X)| = c(G)X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O(X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}}).$$

Similarly, we can count elliptic curves  $E_{A,B}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  satisfying the local restriction  $(A, B) \equiv J \pmod{p}$ . Let  $W_{G,J}$  be the set of pairs  $I \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$  with  $(f_G, g_G)(I) \equiv J$  modulo  $p$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** For a prime  $p \geq 5$ , a non-zero pair  $J \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$  and a group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq 4}$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{E}_{G,J}(X)| = c(G) \frac{|W_{G,J}|}{p^2} \frac{p^{\frac{12}{d(G)}}}{p^{\frac{12}{d(G)} - 1}} X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O(p^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + X^{\frac{1}{12}}).$$

For  $J = (0, 0)$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{E}_{G,J}(X)| = c(G) \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p^{\frac{12}{d(G)}}} \right) \frac{p^{\frac{12}{d(G)}}}{p^{\frac{12}{d(G)} - 1}} X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O(pX^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + p^2 X^{\frac{1}{12}}).$$

### 3. MOMENTS OF TRACES OF THE FROBENIUS

We define a class number weighted by  $|W_{G,J}|$ .

**Definition.** We define

$$(3) \quad H_G(a, p) := \sum_{\substack{J=(A,B) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 \\ a_p(E_J)=a \\ 4A^3+27B^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} |W_{G,J}|,$$

where  $a_p(E)$  is the trace of the Frobenius of an elliptic curve  $E$  at  $p$ .

We claim that the following relations are true.

$$(4) \quad \sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} H_G(a, p) = p^2 + O_G(p),$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a H_G(a, p) = O_G(p^{\frac{3}{2}}),$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a^2 H_G(a, p) = p^3 + O_G(p^{\frac{5}{2}}).$$

We remark that (4) holds for all torsion groups. In [CJ23], we were able to show that the equations (5) and (6) holds when torsion group  $G$  is small, for example, when  $|G| \leq 6$ . (See Proposition 3.2.) The primary tool was the Eichler–Selberg trace formula [KP17]. We introduce some notations first. The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are defined as

$$U_0(t) = 1, \quad U_1(t) = 2t, \quad U_{j+1}(t) = 2tU_j(t) - U_{j-1}(t).$$

We define normalized Chebyshev polynomials to be

$$U_{k-2}(t, q) := q^{k/2-1} U_{k-2} \left( \frac{t}{2\sqrt{q}} \right) = \frac{\alpha^{k-1} - \bar{\alpha}^{k-1}}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, t],$$

where  $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  are the two roots in  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $X^2 - tX + q = 0$ . Let

$$C_{R,j} := \begin{cases} a_{\frac{R}{2},j} & \text{if } R \text{ is even} \\ a_{\frac{R-1}{2},j} + a_{\frac{R-1}{2},j-1} & \text{if } R \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } a_{R,j} := \binom{2R}{j} - \binom{2R}{j-1}$$

be the Chebyshev coefficients. We have

$$t^R = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor R/2 \rfloor} C_{R,j} q^j U_{R-2j}(t, q)$$

which is [KP17, (1.3)]. In particular, we have

$$(7) \quad t^0 = U_0(t, q), \quad t = U_1(t, q), \quad t^2 = U_2(t, q) + qU_0(t, q).$$

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements,  $\mathfrak{E}$  be the set of all the isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $A$  denote a finite abelian group and let  $\Phi_A$  be

$$\Phi_A(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists an injective homomorphism } A \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define

$$\mathbb{E}_q(a^R \Phi_A) := \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{E \in \mathfrak{E} \\ A \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_q)}} \frac{a_q(E)^R}{|\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E)|}.$$

From now on, we assume that  $q = p$ . For a finite abelian group  $A$ , let  $n_1 = n_1(A)$  and  $n_2 = n_2(A)$  be its first and second invariant factors, respectively. We denote  $\psi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (1 + 1/p)$ ,  $\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p)$  and  $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (-\varphi(p))$ .

For  $\lambda \mid (p-1, n_1)$ , let

$$T_{n_1, \lambda}(p, 1) := \frac{\psi(n_1^2/\lambda^2) \varphi(n_1/\lambda)}{\psi(n_1^2)} (-T_{\text{trace}} - T_{\text{hyp}} + T_{\text{dual}}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{trace}} &:= \frac{1}{\varphi(n_1)} \text{Tr}(T_p | S_k(\Gamma(n_1, \lambda))), \\ T_{\text{hyp}} &:= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{\substack{\tau | n_1 \lambda \\ g | p-1}} \frac{\varphi(g) \varphi(n_1(n_1(\lambda, g)/g))}{\varphi(n_1)} \left( \delta_{n_1(\lambda, g)/g}(y_i, 1) + (-1)^k \delta_{n_1(\lambda, g)/g}(y_i, -1) \right), \\ T_{\text{dual}} &:= \frac{p+1}{\varphi(n_1)} \delta(k, 2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g = (\tau, n_1 \lambda / \tau)$ ,  $y_i$  is the unique element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/(n_1 \lambda / g)\mathbb{Z})^\times$  such that  $y_i \equiv p^i \pmod{\tau}$  and  $y_i \equiv p^{1-i} \pmod{n_1 \lambda / \tau}$ ,  $\delta(a, b)$  is the indicator function of  $a = b$ , and  $\delta_c(a, b)$  is the indicator function of the congruence  $a \equiv b \pmod{c}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** [KP17, Theorem 3, when  $q = p$ ] *Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group of rank at most 2. Suppose  $(p, |A|) = 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n_2(A)}$  we have*

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{E}_p(U_{k-2}(t, p)\Phi_A) = \frac{1}{\varphi(n_1/n_2)} \sum_{\nu | \frac{(p-1, n_1)}{n_2}} \phi(\nu) T_{n_1, n_2 \nu}(p, 1)$$

and if  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n_2(A)}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_p(U_{k-2}(t, p)\Phi_A) = 0$ .

Using Theorem 3.1, we show

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be one of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 6$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the moment conditions (5) and (6) hold.*

*Proof.* For each group  $G$ , we denote  $n_1$  be its first invariant factor. We define  $A_{G,i}$  be abelian groups satisfying  $G \leq A_{G,i} \leq \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $j < i$  if and only if  $A_{G,j} < A_{G,i}$ . We define  $\tilde{\omega}_{G,i}$  to be  $|W_{G,I}|$  if  $E_I[n_1](\mathbb{F}_p) \cong A_{G,i}$ . Let

$$\omega_{G,i} := \tilde{\omega}_{G,i} - \sum_{j < i} \omega_{G,j}.$$

Then, one can obtain that

$$\sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a^R H_G(a, p) = p(p-1) \sum_i \omega_{G,i} \mathbb{E}_p(a^R \Phi_{A_{G,i}}).$$

By (4),

$$(9) \quad \sum_i \omega_{G,i} \mathbb{E}_p(\Phi_{A_{G,i}}) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Since  $t^2 = U_2(t, p) + pU_0(t, p)$ , we have the identity

$$\mathbb{E}_p(t^2 \Phi_A) = \mathbb{E}_p(U_2(t, p)\Phi_A) + p\mathbb{E}_p(U_0(t, p)\Phi_A),$$

and this together with (9) implies

$$\sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a^2 H_G(a, p) = p(p-1)(p + O(1)) + O(p^{2.5}) = p^3 + O(p^{2.5})$$

because  $\mathbb{E}_p(U_2(t, p)\Phi_A) \ll_G \frac{p^{1.5}}{p} \ll_G p^{0.5}$  by Theorem 3.1 and the Deligne bound.

Using the identity  $t = U_1(t, p)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_p(U_1(t, p)\Phi_A) \ll_G p^{-0.5}$ , it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a H_G(a, p) = O_G(p^{1.5})$$

by Theorem 3.1 and the Deligne bound. □

When  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we can obtain the  $2R + 1$ -th moments.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we have*

$$\sum_{|a| < 2\sqrt{p}} a^{2R+1} H_G(a, p) = 0$$

for  $R \geq 0$ .

Proposition 3.3 will be used for the Frobenius trace formula for elliptic curves in Section 4.

## 4. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\phi$  be an even non-negative Schwartz class function with its Fourier transform  $\widehat{\phi}$  compactly supported. Let  $\gamma_E$  denote the imaginary part of a non-trivial zero  $\rho_E = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_E$  of an elliptic curve  $L$ -function  $L(s, E)$ . By the explicit formula [RS, Proposition 2.1] and Ogg's formula [CJ, §4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \sum_{\gamma_E} \phi\left(\gamma_E \frac{\log X}{2\pi}\right) &= \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{|\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \frac{\log N_E}{\log X} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi\left(\frac{\log X \cdot r}{2\pi}\right) \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma'_E}{\Gamma_E}\left(\frac{1}{2} + ir\right) dr \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\log X |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log n}{\log X}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(n) \\ &\leq \widehat{\phi}(0) - \frac{2}{\log X |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log n}{\log X}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(n) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right) \\ &\leq \widehat{\phi}(0) - S_1 - S_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log X}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{2}{\log X |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(p), \\ S_2 &= \frac{2}{\log X |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log X}\right) \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(p^2), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\hat{a}_E(n)$ 's come from the logarithmic derivative of  $L(s, E)$

$$-\frac{L'}{L}(s, E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{a}_E(n) \Lambda(n)}{n^s}.$$

From now on, for a positive constant  $\sigma$ , we specify the test function  $\phi$  and  $\widehat{\phi}$ :

$$\widehat{\phi}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}|u| \right) \text{ for } |u| \leq \sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) = \frac{\sin^2(2\pi \frac{1}{2}\sigma x)}{(2\pi x)^2}.$$

Note that  $\phi(0) = \frac{\sigma^2}{4}$  and  $\widehat{\phi}_n(0) = \frac{\sigma}{4}$ .

If we show

$$(10) \quad -S_1 - S_2 = \frac{1}{2}\phi(0) + o(1),$$

by the positivity of  $\phi$ , we have

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} r_E \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{\phi(0)} + o(1) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma} + o(1).$$

Hence, it is left to show that (10) holds for each torsion group  $G$  with some explicit  $\sigma$ . For this purpose, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(p) \ll p^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + p X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + p^2 X^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$



**Lemma 4.2.** For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \hat{a}_E(p^2) = -c(G)X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + pX^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + p^2X^{\frac{1}{12}}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.1, for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(12) \quad S_1 \ll \frac{1}{\log X} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log X}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p} + pX^{\frac{1}{e(G)} - \frac{1}{d(G)}} + p^2X^{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{d(G)}}\right) \\ \ll X^{-\frac{1}{d(G)}} \sum_{p \leq X^\sigma} \left(p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log p X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + p^{\frac{3}{2}} \log p X^{\frac{1}{12}}\right) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{d(G)}} \left(X^{\frac{1}{e(G)} + \frac{3\sigma}{2}} + X^{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{5\sigma}{2}}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.2, for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$S_2 = \frac{2}{\log X} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log X}\right) \left(-1 + O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{2}} + pX^{\frac{1}{e(G)} - \frac{1}{d(G)}} + p^2X^{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{d(G)}}\right)\right) \\ = -\frac{2}{\log X} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log X}\right) + O\left(\sum_{p \leq X^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \log p X^{\frac{1}{e(G)} - \frac{1}{d(G)}} + p \log p X^{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{d(G)}}\right) \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \phi(0) + O\left(X^{\frac{1}{e(G)} - \frac{1}{d(G)} + \frac{\sigma}{2}} + X^{\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{d(G)} + \sigma}\right).$$

From our computation, if we take  $\sigma = \frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{18}$ , and  $\frac{1}{5d(G)}$  for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\geq 5}$  respectively then (10) and (11) hold. Therefore, the average analytic ranks for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  are bounded by 18.5. For the case for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we omit the proof.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.** This section assumes that  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . In Theorem 2, we claim that there are not so many elliptic curves in  $\mathcal{E}_G$  with large ranks. We need two technical propositions. For their proof, the following trace formula is required.

**Theorem 4.3.** [Frobenius Trace Formula for Elliptic Curves] Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k$  be a fixed positive integer. Assume  $e_i = 1$  or 2,  $r_i$  is odd or 2 if  $e_i = 1$ , and  $r_i = 1$  if  $e_i = 2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then,

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \widehat{a}_E(p_1^{e_1})^{r_1} \widehat{a}_E(p_2^{e_2})^{r_2} \cdots \widehat{a}_E(p_k^{e_k})^{r_k} = c \frac{c(G)}{\zeta(12/d(G))} X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} + O_k \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{p_i} \right) X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}} \right) \\ + O_k \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^k p_i \right) X^{\frac{1}{e(G)}} + \left( \prod_{i=1}^k p_i \right)^2 X^{\frac{1}{12}} \right)$$

where

$$c = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_j = 1 \text{ and } r_j \text{ is odd for some } j, \\ -1 & \text{if } r_j = 2 \text{ for all } j \text{ with } e_j = 1, \text{ and the number of } j \text{'s with } e_j = 2 \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and the first error term exists only if  $e_i = 1$  and  $r_i = 2$  or  $e_i = 2$  for all  $i$ .

Let  $\gamma_E$  denote the imaginary part of a non-trivial zero of  $L(s, E)$ . We index them using the natural order in real numbers:

$$\cdots \gamma_{E,-3} \leq \gamma_{E,-2} \leq \gamma_{E,-1} \leq \gamma_{E,0} \leq \gamma_{E,1} \leq \gamma_{E,2} \leq \gamma_{E,3} \cdots$$

if analytic rank  $r_E$  is odd,

$$\cdots \gamma_{E,-3} \leq \gamma_{E,-2} \leq \gamma_{E,-1} \leq 0 \leq \gamma_{E,1} \leq \gamma_{E,2} \leq \gamma_{E,3} \cdots$$

otherwise.

We specify the test function for Weil's explicit formula as follows.

$$\widehat{\phi}_n(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_n - \frac{1}{2} |u| \right) \text{ for } |u| \leq \sigma_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n(x) = \frac{\sin^2(2\pi \frac{1}{2} \sigma_n x)}{(2\pi x)^2}.$$

Note that  $\phi_n(0) = \frac{\sigma_n^2}{4}$ ,  $\widehat{\phi}_n(0) = \frac{\sigma_n}{4}$  and

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u| \widehat{\phi}_n(u)^2 du = \frac{1}{6} \phi_n(0)^2.$$

We prove the following two propositions using the Frobenius trace formula (Theorem 4.3).

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\widehat{\phi}_n$  be as above with  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{9n}$  and  $\frac{1}{10n}$  for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  respectively. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \sum_{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k} \neq \square} \frac{\Lambda(m_{i_1}) \cdots \Lambda(m_{i_k}) \widehat{a}_E(m_{i_1}) \cdots \widehat{a}_E(m_{i_k}) \widehat{\phi}_n \left( \frac{\log m_{i_1}}{\log X} \right) \cdots \widehat{\phi}_n \left( \frac{\log m_{i_k}}{\log X} \right)}{\sqrt{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k}}} \\ & \ll |\mathcal{E}_G(X)|. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\widehat{\phi}_n$  be as above with  $\sigma_n = \frac{1}{9n}$  and  $\frac{1}{10n}$  for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  respectively. For a subset  $S = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}_G(X)|} \left( \frac{-2}{\log X} \right)^{|S|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \sum_{m_{i_1} m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k} = \square} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{|S|} \frac{\Lambda(m_{i_j}) \widehat{a}_E(m_{i_j}) \widehat{\phi}_n \left( \frac{\log m_{i_j}}{\log X} \right)}{\sqrt{m_{i_j}}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{S_2 \subset S \\ |S_2| \text{ even}}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi_n(0) \right)^{|S_2|} |S_2|! \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u| \widehat{\phi}_n(u)^2 du \right)^{\frac{|S_2|}{2}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\log X} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's prove Theorem 2. By Weil's explicit formula, we have

$$r_E \phi_{2n}(0) \leq \widehat{\phi}_{2n}(0) - \frac{2}{\log X} \sum_{m_i} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(m_i) \Lambda(m_i)}{\sqrt{m_i}} \widehat{\phi}_{2n} \left( \frac{\log m_i}{\log X} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\log X} \right),$$

hence

$$r_E \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}} + \frac{4}{\sigma_{2n}^2} \left( -\frac{2}{\log X} \sum_{m_i} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(m_i) \Lambda(m_i)}{\sqrt{m_i}} \widehat{\phi}_{2n} \left( \frac{\log m_i}{\log X} \right) \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n}^2 \log X} \right).$$

Now assume that  $r_E \geq \frac{1+C}{\sigma_{2n}}$  with some positive constant  $C$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $X$ ,

$$-\frac{2}{\log X} \sum_{m_i} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(m_i) \Lambda(m_i)}{\sqrt{m_i}} \widehat{\phi}_{2n} \left( \frac{\log m_i}{\log X} \right) \geq \frac{C \sigma_{2n}}{4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \mid r_E \geq \frac{1+C}{\sigma_{2n}} \right\} \right| \left( \frac{C\sigma_{2n}}{4} \right)^{2n} &\leq \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} \left( -\frac{2}{\log X} \sum_{m_i} \frac{\widehat{a}_E(m_i)\Lambda(m_i)}{\sqrt{m_i}} \widehat{\phi}_{2n} \left( \frac{\log m_i}{\log X} \right) \right)^{2n} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\sigma_{2n}^2}{4} \right)^{2n} \sum_{S_2 \subset \{1,2,3,\dots,2n\}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{|S_2^c|} |S_2|! \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^{\frac{|S_2|}{2}} |\mathcal{E}_G(X)| + O \left( \frac{X^{\frac{1}{d(G)}}}{\log X} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is justified by Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, and Theorem 2 follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [Bru92] A. Brumer, The average rank of elliptic curves I, *Invent. Math.* **109** (1992), no. 3, pp.445–472. [1](#)
- [CJ] P. J. Cho, K. Jeong, On the distribution of analytic ranks of elliptic curves, preprint. [8](#)
- [CJ23] The average analytic rank of elliptic curves with prescribed torsion. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 107: 616–657. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12693> [1](#), [2](#), [5](#)
- [GT12] I. Gracia-Selfa, J. M. Tornero, A complete Diophantine characterization of the rational torsion of an elliptic curve. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **28** (2012), no. 1, pp.83–96. [3](#)
- [HS14] R. Harron, A. Snowden, Counting elliptic curves with prescribed torsion, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **729** (2017), pp.151–170. [1](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [Hea04] D. R. Heath-Brown, The average analytic rank of elliptic curves, *Duke Math. J.* **122** (2004), no. 3, pp.591–623. [1](#)
- [KP17] N. Kaplan, I. Petrow, Elliptic curves over a finite field and the trace formula, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **115** (2017), no. 6, pp.1317–1372. [5](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [RS] Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, Zeros of principal  $L$ -functions and random matrix theory. *Duke Math. J.* **81** (1996), no. 2, 269–322. [8](#)
- [You06] M. P. Young, Low-lying zeros of families of elliptic curves, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (2006), no. 1, pp.205–250. [1](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ULSAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,  
UNIST-GIL 50, ULSAN 44919, KOREA

*Email address:* [petercho@unist.ac.kr](mailto:petercho@unist.ac.kr)