

# INVERSE SETS AND INVERSE CORRESPONDENCES OVER INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

TOMOKI UCHIMURA

ABSTRACT. This is a summary of the author's previous work. We introduce notions called inverse set and inverse correspondence over inverse semigroups. These are analogies of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and  $C^*$ -correspondences in the  $C^*$ -algebra theory. We show that inverse semigroups and inverse correspondences form a bicategory. In this bicategory, two inverse semigroups are equivalent if and only if they are Morita equivalent.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

This is a summary of the author's previous work [Uch24b]. The theory of inverse semigroups are closely related to the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras (for example, [Pat99, KS02, Exe08]). A  $C^*$ -algebra is a complex linear space equipped with a multiplication, an involution, and a complete norm which is compatible with the algebraic structures. In the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, non-commutative and infinite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras often appear, but are generally difficult to investigate. Therefore, we construct  $C^*$ -algebras from some mathematical objects which are relatively easy to investigate, and study the  $C^*$ -algebras through their materials. Groups, étale groupoids, and inverse semigroups are used well as materials of  $C^*$ -algebras. Recent researches involve a categorical approach to the constructions of such  $C^*$ -algebras by using bicategory, which is a kind of category [BMZ13, AM16]. Albandik showed that the construction from étale groupoids to  $C^*$ -algebras forms a kind of functor (bifunctor) from the bicategory  $\mathfrak{Gr}$  of étale groupoids to the bicategory  $\mathfrak{Corr}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras [Alb15]. One might expect that the construction of  $C^*$ -algebras from inverse semigroups has a similar property. However, to the best knowledge of the author, any bicategory of inverse semigroups which corresponds to  $\mathfrak{Gr}$  or  $\mathfrak{Corr}$  has not been introduced in the theory of inverse semigroups. Therefore, the author introduced the bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$  of inverse semigroups modeled on the bicategory  $\mathfrak{Corr}$  in [Uch24b]. We also proved that two inverse semigroups are equivalent in this bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$  if and only if they are strongly Morita equivalent.

## 1. INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

A *semigroup* is a set with an associative multiplication. A semigroup  $S$  is *regular* if for every  $s \in S$  there exists an element  $t \in S$  with  $sts = s$  and  $tst = t$ . Such an element  $t$  is called a *generalized inverse* of  $s$ . A regular semigroup  $S$  is said to be *inverse* if each element has a unique generalized inverse. For an inverse semigroup  $S$ , we denote the generalized inverse of  $s \in S$  as  $s^*$ .

An element  $e$  of a semigroup  $S$  is an *idempotent* if  $ee = e$  holds. The set of all idempotents of  $S$  is denoted as  $E(S)$ .

*Example 1.1.* A discrete group is an inverse semigroup which has the unit as a unique idempotent.

*Example 1.2.* For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , a *partial homeomorphism*  $u$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a homeomorphism from an open subset  $D_u$  of  $X$  to an open subset  $R_u$  of  $Y$ . For a partial homeomorphism  $u$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ , we define a partial homeomorphism from  $Y$  to  $X$ , called an inverse of  $u$ , as the homeomorphism  $u^{-1}$  from  $R_u$  to  $D_u$  regarded as a partial homeomorphism from  $Y$  to  $X$ . We denote this partial homeomorphism by the same symbol  $u^{-1}$ . For topological spaces  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  and partial homeomorphisms  $u_1$  from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ ,  $u_2$  from  $X_2$  to  $X_3$ , we define a composition  $u_2 u_1$  of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  as the partial homeomorphism from  $X_1$  to  $X_3$  defined by  $u_2 u_1(x) := u_2(u_1(x))$  for  $x \in D_{u_2 u_1} := u_1^{-1}(D_{u_2})$ . We denote the set of all partial homeomorphisms from  $X$  to  $Y$  as  $I(X, Y)$ . We abbreviate  $I(X, X)$  to  $I(X)$ . The set  $I(X)$  becomes an inverse semigroup with respect to the composition of partial homeomorphisms.

A subset  $I$  of a semigroup  $S$  is a *two-sided ideal* if  $st \in I$  and  $ts \in I$  hold for  $s \in S$  and  $t \in I$ . A two-sided ideal of a semigroup becomes a subsemigroup. A two-sided ideal of an inverse semigroup becomes an inverse subsemigroup. We can prove the following proposition which is similar to [Pat99, Proposition 2.1.1] or [Law98, Theorem 3]:

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup and  $I$  be a two-sided ideal of  $S$ . If  $I$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S$ , then for every  $e \in E(S)$  and  $f \in E(I)$ ,  $ef = fe$  holds.*

This proposition plays an important role for proving Theorem 3.8.

Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup. It is clear that  $s^{**} = s$  for  $s \in S$ . We have  $(st)^* = t^* s^*$  for  $s, t \in S$  by using Proposition 1.3.

The following theorem is well-known as a characterization of inverse semigroups:

**Theorem 1.4.** *A regular semigroup  $S$  is inverse if and only if all idempotents of  $S$  commute.*

*Proof.* The only if part follows from Proposition 1.3. See [Law98, Theorem 3] for a proof of the if part.  $\square$

## 2. THE BICATEGORY $\mathfrak{Cort}$ OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

A *category* consists of collections of objects and morphisms; the composition  $gf: x \rightarrow z$  is given for each two morphisms  $f: x \rightarrow y$  and  $g: y \rightarrow z$ ; the identity morphism  $1_x$  is given for each object  $x$ . The following conditions are required to these structures:

- (i) the associative law, that is,  $h(gf) = (hg)f$  holds for  $f: x \rightarrow y$ ,  $g: y \rightarrow z$ ,  $h: z \rightarrow w$ .
- (ii) the unit law, that is,  $1_y f = f = f 1_x$  holds for  $f: x \rightarrow y$ .

Two objects  $x$  and  $y$  of a category are *isomorphic* if there exist  $f: x \rightarrow y$  and  $g: y \rightarrow x$  with  $gf = 1_x$  and  $fg = 1_y$ .

We give two example of categories. For  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and  $B$ , a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$  is a linear map from  $A$  to  $B$  which preserves multiplications and involutions.  $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphisms form a category  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{alg}}^*$  with respect to the usual composition of maps and the identity maps.

For semigroups  $S$  and  $T$ , a *semigroup homomorphism*  $\theta: S \rightarrow T$  is a map from  $S$  to  $T$  which preserves multiplications. If  $S$  and  $T$  are inverse, then a semigroup homomorphism between them preserves the generalized inverses. Inverse semigroups and semigroup homomorphisms form a category  $\mathbf{IS}$  with respect to the usual composition of maps and the identity maps. Two inverse semigroup are *isomorphic* if they are isomorphic in this category  $\mathbf{IS}$ .

A *bicategory* introduced by Bénabou in [Bén67] equips 2-arrows, which are “morphisms between morphisms”, in addition to objects and morphisms. For each two objects  $x$  and  $y$  of a bicategory, morphisms from  $x$  to  $y$  and 2-arrows between morphisms from  $x$  to  $y$  form a category. The morphisms  $h(gf)$  and  $(hg)f$ ,  $1_y f$  and  $f$ ,  $f$  and  $f1_x$  are required to be isomorphic through some “natural” 2-arrows, instead of the associative law and the unit law. Two objects  $x$  and  $y$  of a bicategory are *equivalent* if there exist morphisms  $f: x \rightarrow y$  and  $g: y \rightarrow x$  such that  $gf$  is isomorphic to  $1_x$  and  $fg$  is isomorphic to  $1_y$ . See [Bén67] or [Lei98] for more details of the definition of bicategories.

As mentioned in Section 0, the bicategory  $\mathbf{Corr}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras appears in the theory of constructions of  $C^*$ -algebras. To define the morphisms of  $\mathbf{Corr}$ , we see the definitions of Hilbert modules and  $C^*$ -correspondences. See [Lan95] for more details.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. An  $A$ -action on a complex linear space  $\mathcal{E}$  is a bilinear map  $\mathcal{E} \times A \rightarrow A; (\xi, a) \mapsto \xi a$  with  $(\xi a)a' = \xi(aa')$  for  $a, a' \in A$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . A *Hilbert  $A$ -module*  $\mathcal{E}$  consists of an  $A$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$  and a map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A$  which satisfy similar conditions to Hilbert spaces. The map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$  is so called  $A$ -valued inner product. If  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , a Hilbert  $A$ -module is nothing but a Hilbert space. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of *inverse  $S$ -set* as a set equipped with an action of an inverse semigroup  $S$  and an  $S$ -valued pairing.

For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$ , a linear map  $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is *adjointable* if there exists a linear map  $\psi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  with  $\langle \psi(\eta) | \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \langle \eta | \varphi(\xi) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$  for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . The set  $L(\mathcal{E})$  of all adjointable maps becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to the suitable structures. For  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , a  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{E}$  from  $A$  to  $B$  is a couple of a Hilbert  $B$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma_{\mathcal{E}}: A \rightarrow L(\mathcal{E})$ . We denote it as  $\mathcal{E}: A \rightarrow B$ .

We give an example of  $C^*$ -correspondences. For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , the linear space  $B$  becomes a Hilbert  $B$ -module with respect to the  $B$ -action defined by the multiplication from the right side, and the inner product defined by  $\langle b | b' \rangle_B := b^*b'$  for  $b, b' \in B$ . For every  $b \in B$ , the multiplication of  $b$  from the left side is an adjointable map  $\lambda_b$  on the Hilbert  $B$ -module  $B$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  can be regarded as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $L(B)$  through the map  $\lambda: B \rightarrow L(B); b \mapsto \lambda_b$ . Thus a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$  induces a  $C^*$ -correspondence consisting of a Hilbert  $B$ -module  $B$  and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\sigma: A \rightarrow B \subset L(B)$ . In this sense,  $C^*$ -correspondences can be regarded as a

generalization of  $*$ -homomorphisms. We call the  $C^*$ -correspondence associated with the identity  $*$ -homomorphism on  $A$  the *identity correspondence*. In Section 4, we introduce adjointable maps on an inverse  $S$ -set  $\mathcal{U}$  by using the  $S$ -valued pairing, and show that the set  $L(\mathcal{U})$  of all adjointable maps becomes an inverse semigroup. For inverse semigroups  $S$  and  $T$ , the notion of inverse correspondence  $\mathcal{U}$  is introduced as a couple of an inverse  $T$ -set  $\mathcal{U}$  and a semigroup homomorphism  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}: S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U})$  in Section 4. This is an analogy of  $C^*$ -correspondences in the theory of inverse semigroups.

Let  $A_i$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_i: A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$  be a  $C^*$ -correspondence with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For  $C^*$ -correspondences  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , we can define the  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$  from  $A_1$  to  $A_3$  called (*interior*) *tensor product*.  $C^*$ -algebras,  $C^*$ -correspondences, the tensor product, and the identity correspondences satisfy almost all of the conditions required to bicategories. However, they do not form a bicategory as discussed follows: The  $C^*$ -correspondences  $(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \otimes \mathcal{E}_3$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes (\mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{E}_3)$  are isomorphic through the “natural” bijection  $(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) \otimes \xi_3 \mapsto \xi_1 \otimes (\xi_2 \otimes \xi_3)$ . This map is a 2-arrow which corresponds to the associative law. The  $C^*$ -correspondences  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes A_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1$  are isomorphic through the “natural” bijection  $\xi_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto \xi_1 a_2$ . This map is a 2-arrow which corresponds to one of the two unit laws. The “natural” map  $A_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1; a_1 \otimes \xi_1 \mapsto a_1 \xi_1$  is injective, preserves the structures of  $C^*$ -correspondence, but is not surjective in general. This becomes an isomorphism if and only if  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is *non-degenerate*, that is, it satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(a_1)(\xi_1) \mid a_1 \in A_1, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1\}$ . By restricting the collection of morphisms to all non-degenerate  $C^*$ -correspondences, we obtain the bicategory  $\mathbf{Corr}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras. In Section 4, we introduce the property called non-degenerate for inverse correspondences, and show that inverse semigroups and non-degenerate inverse correspondences form a bicategory. We denote this bicategory as  $\mathfrak{IC}$ .

Rieffel introduced the equivalence relation between  $C^*$ -algebras called *strong Morita equivalence* [Rie74]. Two  $C^*$ -algebras are equivalent in the bicategory  $\mathbf{Corr}$  if and only if they are strongly Morita equivalent [EKQR06]. Steinberg introduced the equivalence relation between inverse semigroups also called strong Morita equivalence [Ste11]. He showed that the construction from inverse semigroups to  $C^*$ -algebras preserves strong Morita equivalence through the theory of groupoids and their  $C^*$ -algebras. The author showed that two inverse semigroups are equivalent in the bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$  if and only if they are strongly Morita equivalent [Uch24b]. In forthcoming paper [Uch24a], we will show that the construction from inverse semigroups to  $C^*$ -algebras forms a bifunctor from  $\mathfrak{IC}$  to  $\mathbf{Corr}$ . Because of these result, we can give another proof of the fact proved by Steinberg since every bifunctor preserves equivalences in bicategories.

### 3. INVERSE SETS AND INVERSE SEMIGROUP $L(\mathcal{U})$ OF ADJOINTABLE MAPS

In this section, we introduce inverse sets and adjointable maps on them. Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup.

**Definition 3.1** ([Uch24b, Definition 2.2]). A *regular  $S$ -set*  $\mathcal{U}$  is a set  $\mathcal{U}$  equipped with a right  $S$ -action (that is, a map  $\mathcal{U} \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{U}; (u, s) \mapsto us$  with



$(us)s' = u(ss')$  for  $s, s' \in S$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ) and a map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow S$  called a (*right*) *pairing* on  $\mathcal{U}$  which satisfy that

- (R-i)  $\langle u | u's \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} s$ ,
- (R-ii)  $\langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}^* = \langle u' | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$ ,
- (R-iii)  $u \langle u | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u$ ,

for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $s \in S$ . An *inverse  $S$ -set*  $\mathcal{U}$  is a regular  $S$ -set which satisfies that

- (R-iv)  $u \langle u' | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u$  and  $u' \langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u'$  imply  $u = u'$  for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ .

As a first example, we regard an inverse semigroup  $S$  as an inverse  $S$ -set.

*Example 3.2.* We set a right action of  $S$  on  $S$  as the multiplication from the right side and define a map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_S: S \times S \rightarrow S$  by  $\langle s | s' \rangle_S := s^* s'$  for every  $s, s' \in S$ . It is clear that this map satisfies (R-i) and (R-ii). The map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_S$  satisfies (R-iii) by the definition of the generalized inverse and satisfies (R-iv) since  $S$  is inverse. Thus  $S$  is an inverse  $S$ -set with respect to the above structures.

*Example 3.3.* We define a right action of  $I(X)$  on  $I(X, Y)$  by the composition from the right side and a pairing on  $I(X, Y)$  by

$$\langle u_1 | u_2 \rangle_{I(X, Y)} := u_1^{-1} u_2$$

for  $u_1, u_2 \in I(X, Y)$ . We can see that the set  $I(X, Y)$  becomes an inverse  $I(X)$ -set with respect to the above structures.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be regular  $S$ -sets.

**Definition 3.4** ([Uch24b, Definition 2.9]). A map  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is an  *$S$ -map* if  $\varphi(us) = \varphi(u)s$  for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $s \in S$ .

**Definition 3.5** ([Uch24b, Definition 3.1]). A map  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is said to be *adjointable* if there exists a map  $\psi: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\langle \psi(v) | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle v | \varphi(u) \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$$

holds for every  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Such a map  $\psi$  is said to be an *adjoint* of  $\varphi$ . We denote the set of all adjointable maps from  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$  as  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . We abbreviate  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$  as  $L(\mathcal{U})$ .

We can easily see that the set  $L(\mathcal{U})$  becomes a semigroup with respect to the composition of maps. We give examples of adjointable maps:

**Definition 3.6** ([Uch24b, Definition 3.4]). For  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , we define a map  $\omega_{v, u}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  by

$$\omega_{v, u}(u') := v \langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$$

for  $u' \in \mathcal{U}$ . We denote the set  $\{\omega_{v, u} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}, v \in \mathcal{V}\}$  as  $K(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . We abbreviate  $K(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U})$  as  $K(\mathcal{U})$ .

For  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , the map  $\omega_{v, u}$  is an adjoint of  $\omega_{u, v}$ . Thus  $K(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  is a subset of  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$  be regular  $S$ -sets. We can see

$$\varphi' \omega_{v, u} = \omega_{\varphi'(v), u}, \quad \omega_{w, v} \varphi = \omega_{w, \psi(v)}$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\varphi \in L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ ,  $\varphi' \in L(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ , where  $\psi$  is an adjoint of  $\varphi$ . These imply that  $K(\mathcal{U})$  is a two-sided ideal of  $L(\mathcal{U})$ .

The following proposition plays an important role in the proofs of Theorem 3.8, 3.9, and Proposition 4.6:

**Proposition 3.7** ([Uch24b, Proposition 3.12]). *For a regular  $S$ -set  $\mathcal{U}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $u\langle u' | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u$  and  $u'\langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u'$  imply  $u = u'$  for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{U}$  is an inverse  $S$ -set),
- (ii)  $\langle u | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle u' | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$  implies  $u = u'$  for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- (iii)  $u\langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = u'\langle u' | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}\langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$  for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- (iv)  $\omega_{u,u}$  and  $\omega_{u',u'}$  commutes for every  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

We give the properties of adjointable maps between inverse  $S$ -sets (see [Uch24b, Section 3]). Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  be inverse  $S$ -sets and  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be an adjointable map. We can show that an adjoint of  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is unique. We denote the adjoint of  $\varphi$  as  $\varphi^\dagger$ . We can also see that  $\varphi$  becomes an  $S$ -map. For an inverse  $S$ -set  $\mathcal{U}_i$  with  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and an adjointable map  $\varphi_i: \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  with  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $\varphi_1^{\dagger\dagger} = \varphi_1$  and  $(\varphi_2\varphi_1)^\dagger = \varphi_1^\dagger\varphi_2^\dagger$ . An element  $\varphi \in L(\mathcal{U})$  is said to be *self-adjoint* if  $\varphi = \varphi^\dagger$  holds.

**Theorem 3.8** ([Uch24b, Theorem 3.19, 3.30]). *For an inverse  $S$ -sets  $\mathcal{U}$ , the semigroups  $K(\mathcal{U})$  and  $L(\mathcal{U})$  are inverse.*

*Sketch of proof.* We can obtain  $E(K(\mathcal{U})) = \{\omega_{u,u} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  by using Proposition 3.7 (ii). By Proposition 3.7 (iv), we see that all elements of  $E(K(\mathcal{U}))$  commute. Thus  $K(\mathcal{U})$  is inverse by Theorem 1.4.

We next prove that  $L(\mathcal{U})$  is regular. Fix  $\varphi \in L(\mathcal{U})$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . We already obtain that the two-sided ideal  $K(\mathcal{U})$  of the semigroup  $L(\mathcal{U})$  is inverse. Thus every idempotent of  $L(\mathcal{U})$  and  $\omega_{u,u}$  commute by Proposition 1.3. By using this fact and the fact that  $\varphi^\dagger\varphi\omega_{u,u}$  and  $\omega_{u,u}\varphi^\dagger\varphi$  are idempotents, we see that  $\varphi^\dagger\varphi$  and  $\omega_{u,u}$  commute. Since  $\varphi$  is an  $S$ -map, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \varphi(u)\langle \varphi(u) | \varphi(u) \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \varphi(u\langle \varphi(u) | \varphi(u) \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}) = \varphi\left(u\left\langle u \left| \varphi^\dagger\varphi(u) \right. \right\rangle_{\mathcal{U}}\right) \\ &= \varphi(\omega_{u,u}\varphi^\dagger\varphi(u)) = \varphi(\varphi^\dagger\varphi\omega_{u,u}(u)) = \varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi(u\langle u | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}) = \varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\varphi = \varphi\varphi^\dagger\varphi$ . By taking the adjoints, we obtain  $\varphi^\dagger\varphi\varphi^\dagger = \varphi^\dagger$ . Hence  $\varphi^\dagger$  is a generalized inverse of  $\varphi$ .

We finally show that  $L(\mathcal{U})$  is inverse. Let  $\varphi$  be an element of  $L(\mathcal{U})$  and  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  be adjoints of  $\varphi$ . We can easily see that  $\varphi\psi_1, \varphi\psi_2, \psi_1\varphi$  and  $\psi_2\varphi$  are idempotents. By using Proposition 1.3, we can prove that every idempotent of  $L(\mathcal{U})$  is self-adjoint. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \psi_1\varphi\psi_1 = (\psi_1\varphi)^\dagger\psi_1 = (\psi_1\varphi\psi_2\varphi)^\dagger\psi_1 \\ &= (\psi_2\varphi)^\dagger(\psi_1\varphi)^\dagger\psi_1 = \psi_2\varphi\psi_1\varphi\psi_1 = \psi_2\varphi\psi_1. \end{aligned}$$

We also have  $\psi_2 = \psi_2\varphi\psi_1$  in a similar way. Thus  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  holds.  $\square$

We can show the following theorem in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.8:

**Theorem 3.9** ([Uch24b, Theorem 3.31]). *For inverse  $S$ -sets  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ , the set  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  becomes an inverse  $L(\mathcal{U})$ -set with respect to the right  $L(\mathcal{U})$ -action defined by the composition from the right side and a pairing  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})} : L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \times L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U})$  defined by  $\langle \varphi | \psi \rangle_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})} := \varphi^\dagger \psi$ . The set  $K(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  becomes an inverse  $K(\mathcal{U})$ -set with respect to the same structure.*

#### 4. INVERSE CORRESPONDENCES AND THE BICATEGORY $\mathfrak{IC}$

We introduce inverse correspondence between inverse semigroups with the theory of  $C^*$ -correspondences in mind. Let  $S, T$  be inverse semigroups.

**Definition 4.1** ([Uch24b, Definition 4.1, 4.5]). An *inverse correspondence*  $\mathcal{U}$  from  $S$  to  $T$  is a couple of an inverse  $T$ -set  $\mathcal{U}$  and a semigroup homomorphism  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} : S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U})$ . We denote it as  $\mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow T$ . An inverse correspondence  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be *non-degenerate* if  $\mathcal{U} = \{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(s)(u) \mid s \in S, u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  holds.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be inverse correspondences from  $S$  to  $T$ . A map  $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is an *isomorphism* if it is a bijective  $S$ -map such that  $\langle \varphi(u) | \varphi(u') \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle u | u' \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\varphi(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(s)(u)) = \theta_{\mathcal{V}}(s)(\varphi(u))$  hold for  $s \in S$  and  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism between them.

We give three examples of non-degenerate inverse correspondences.

*Example 4.3.* Let  $S, T$  be inverse semigroups and  $\tau : S \rightarrow T$  be a semigroup homomorphism. We obtain an inverse  $T$ -set  $T$  as in Example 3.2. We can see that the subset  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau} := \{\tau(s)t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}$  of  $T$  becomes an inverse  $T$ -set with respect to the same structures. We define a map  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}_{\tau}} : S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U}_{\tau})$  by  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}_{\tau}}(s)(u) := \tau(s)u$ . We can see that  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}_{\tau}}$  is a semigroup homomorphism and that the couple  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau}$  and  $\theta_{\mathcal{U}_{\tau}}$  becomes a non-degenerate inverse correspondence from  $S$  to  $T$ . We call the inverse correspondence  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{id}_S}$  from  $S$  to  $S$  associated with the identity map  $\text{id}_S$  on  $S$  the *identity correspondence*. This will be regarded as the identity morphism in the bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$  later.

*Example 4.4.* For topological spaces  $X, Y$ , we obtain the inverse  $I(X)$ -set  $I(X, Y)$  as in Example 3.3. The operation  $\theta_{I(X, Y)}(v)$  to compose  $v \in I(Y)$  from the left side is an adjointable map on  $I(X, Y)$ . The map  $\theta_{I(X, Y)} : v \mapsto \theta_{I(X, Y)}(v)$  is a semigroup homomorphism from  $I(Y)$  to  $L(I(X, Y))$ . We can see that the couple of the inverse  $I(X)$ -set  $I(X, Y)$  and the semigroup homomorphism  $\theta_{I(X, Y)}$  form a non-degenerate inverse correspondence from  $I(Y)$  to  $I(X)$ .

*Example 4.5.* Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$  be inverse  $S$ -sets. We obtain the inverse  $L(\mathcal{U})$ -set  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  as in Theorem 3.9. The operation  $\theta_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}(\psi)$  to compose  $\psi \in L(\mathcal{V})$  from the left side is an adjointable map on  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . The map  $\theta_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})} : \psi \mapsto \theta_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}(\psi)$  is a semigroup homomorphism from  $L(\mathcal{V})$  to  $L(L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}))$ . We can see that the couple of the inverse  $L(\mathcal{U})$ -set  $L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  and the semigroup homomorphism  $\theta_{L(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}$  form a non-degenerate inverse correspondence from  $L(\mathcal{V})$  to  $L(\mathcal{U})$ .

Let  $S_i$  be an inverse semigroup with  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\mathcal{U} : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ ,  $\mathcal{V} : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  be inverse correspondences. We introduce the inverse correspondence

$\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}: S_1 \rightarrow S_3$  as follows: We define the set  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  as the quotient of the direct product  $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$  by the minimum equivalence relation  $\sim$  such that  $(us_2, v) \sim (u, \theta_{\mathcal{V}}(s_2)(v))$  holds for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $s_2 \in S_2$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We denote the equivalence class of  $(u, v)$  as  $u \otimes v$ . We define a right action of  $S_3$  on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  as

$$(u \otimes v)s_3 := u \otimes (vs_3)$$

and a map  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}: (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}) \times (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow S_3$  as

$$\langle u' \otimes v' | u \otimes v \rangle_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}} := \langle v' | \theta_{\mathcal{V}}(\langle u' | u \rangle_{\mathcal{U}})(v) \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$$

for  $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $v, v' \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $s_3 \in S_3$ .

**Proposition 4.6** ([Uch24b, Proposition 4.11]). *The set  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  becomes an inverse  $S_3$ -set with respect to the above structures.*

*Sketch of proof.* We can easily see that the  $S_3$ -action and the pairing defined above are well-defined, and that  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  is a regular  $S_3$ -set. By using Proposition 3.7, we can prove that  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  is an inverse  $S_3$ -set.  $\square$

For  $s_1 \in S_1$ , we define a map  $\theta_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}(s_1)$  on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  as

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}(s_1)(u \otimes v) := \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(s_1)(u) \otimes v.$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . We can see that this is an adjointable map on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  and that the map  $\theta_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}: S_1 \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}); s_1 \mapsto \theta_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}(s_1)$  is a semigroup homomorphism.

**Definition 4.7.** For inverse correspondences  $\mathcal{U}: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $\mathcal{V}: S_2 \rightarrow S_3$ , we call the couple of the inverse  $S_3$ -set  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  and the semigroup homomorphism  $\theta_{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}}: S_1 \rightarrow L(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})$  the *tensor product* of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ .

We can see that the tensor product of two non-degenerate inverse correspondences is non-degenerate.

**Theorem 4.8** ([Uch24b, Theorem 5.12]). *Inverse semigroups and non-degenerate inverse correspondences form a bicategory with the tensor product as composition and the identity correspondences as identity morphisms. We denote this bicategory as  $\mathfrak{IC}$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* We only see that there exist isomorphisms which correspond to the associative law and the unit law. Let  $S_i$  be an inverse semigroup with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $\mathcal{U}_i: S_i \rightarrow S_{i+1}$  be an inverse correspondence with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The map  $\alpha: (\mathcal{U}_1 \otimes \mathcal{U}_2) \otimes \mathcal{U}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1 \otimes (\mathcal{U}_2 \otimes \mathcal{U}_3)$  defined as

$$\alpha((u_1 \otimes u_2) \otimes u_3) := u_1 \otimes (u_2 \otimes u_3)$$

and the map  $\lambda: \mathcal{U}_1 \otimes S_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$  defined as

$$\lambda(u_1 \otimes s_2) := u_1 s_2$$

are isomorphisms. The map  $\rho: S_1 \otimes \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$  defined as

$$\rho(s_1 \otimes u_1) := s_1 u_1$$

is an isomorphism since  $\mathcal{U}_1$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

We give an example of a kind of functor (bifunctor) to this bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$ :

*Example 4.9.* Let  $S_i$  be an inverse semigroup with  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\tau_i: S_i \rightarrow S_{i+1}$  be a semigroup homomorphism with  $i = 1, 2$ . We obtain the inverse correspondences  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1}: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}: S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  as in Example 4.3. The tensor product  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2}$  of these inverse correspondences is isomorphic to the inverse correspondence  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_2\tau_1}$  associated with the composition of the semigroup homomorphisms  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  through an isomorphism  $\mathcal{U}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\tau_2\tau_1}; u_1 \otimes u_2 \mapsto \tau_2(u_1)u_2$ . The construction from semigroup homomorphisms  $\tau$  to the associated inverse correspondences  $\mathcal{U}_\tau$  form a bifunctor from the category **IS** to the bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$ .

The following theorem is one of the main results of [Uch24b].

**Theorem 4.10** ([Uch24b, Theorem 5.16]). *Two inverse semigroups are equivalent in the bicategory  $\mathfrak{IC}$  if and only if they are strongly Morita equivalent.*

We can prove this theorem in a similar way to [EKQR06, Lemma 2.4].

## REFERENCES

- [Alb15] S. Albandik. *A colimit construction for groupoids*. PhD thesis, 2015.
- [AM16] S. Albandik and R. Meyer. Colimits in the correspondence bicategory. *Münster J. Math.*, 9(1):51–76, 2016.
- [Bén67] J. Bénabou. Introduction to bicategories. pages 1–77, 1967.
- [BMZ13] A. Buss, R. Meyer, and C. Zhu. A higher category approach to twisted actions on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 56(2):387–426, 2013.
- [EKQR06] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, J. Quigg, and I. Raeburn. A categorical approach to imprimitivity theorems for  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180(850):viii+169, 2006.
- [Exe08] R. Exel. Inverse semigroups and combinatorial  $C^*$ -algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 39(2):191–313, 2008.
- [KS02] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis. Regular representation of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras and applications to inverse semigroups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 546:47–72, 2002.
- [Lan95] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules a toolkit for operator algebraists*. London Mathematical Society lecture note series ; 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Law98] M. V. Lawson. *Inverse semigroups*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998. The theory of partial symmetries.
- [Lei98] T. Leinster. Basic bicategories. *arXiv:math/9810017*, 1998.
- [Pat99] A. L. T. Paterson. *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, volume 170 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [Rie74] M. A. Rieffel. Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Advances in Math.*, 13:176–257, 1974.
- [Ste11] B. Steinberg. Strong Morita equivalence of inverse semigroups. *Houston J. Math.*, 37(3):895–927, 2011.
- [Uch24a] T. Uchimura. A bicategorical approach to inverse semigroups, étale groupoids, and their  $C^*$ -algebras. 2024. In Preparation.
- [Uch24b] T. Uchimura. Inverse sets and inverse correspondences over inverse semigroups. 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.06163>.