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#### Abstract

The folium of Descartes is still studied and understood today. Not only did it provide for the proof of some properties connected to Fermat's Last Theorem, or as Hessian curve associated to an elliptic curve, but it also has a very interesting property over it: a multiplicative group law. While for the elliptic curves, the addition operation is compatible with their geometry (additive group), for the folium of Descartes, the multiplication operation is compatible with its geometry (multiplicative group). The original results in this paper include: points at infinity described by the Legendre symbol, a group law on folium of Descartes, the fundamental isomorphism and adequate algorithms, algorithms for algebraic computation.
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## 1 Introduction

An elliptic curve is in fact an abelian variety $G$ that is, it has an addition defined algebraically, with respect to which it is a group $G$ (necessarily commutative) and 0 serves as the identity element. Elliptic curves are especially important in number theory, and constitute a major area of current research; for example, they were used in the proof, by Andrew Wiles (assisted by Richard Taylor), of Fermat's Last Theorem. They also find applications in cryptography and integer factorization (see, [1]-[5]).

Our aim is to show that the folium of Descartes also has similar properties and perhaps more. Section 2 refers to the folium of Descartes and its rational parametrization. Section 3 underlines to connection between the points at infinity for this curve and the Legendre symbol. Section 4 gives the geometrical meaning of the multiplicative group law on folium of Descartes, excepting the critical point. The law was proposed by the second author and to our knowledge is the first time it appears in

[^0]the mathematical literature. Section 5 spotlights an isomorphism between the group on the folium of Descartes and a multiplicative group of integers congruence classes. Section 6 formulates to conclusions and open problems.

## 2 Folium of Descartes

The folium of Descartes is an algebraic curve defined by the equation

$$
x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0
$$

Descartes was first to discuss the folium in 1638. He discovered it in an attempt to challenge Fermat's extremum-finding techniques. Descartes challenged Fermat to find the tangent straightline at arbitrary points and Fermat solved the problem easily, something Descartes was unable to do. In a way, the folium played a role in the early days of the development of calculus.

If we write $y=t x$ we get $x^{3}+t^{3} x^{3}-3 a t x^{2}=0$. Solving this for $x$ and $y$ in terms of $t\left(t^{3} \neq-1\right)$ yields the parametric equations

$$
x(t)=\frac{3 a t}{1+t^{3}}, \quad y(t)=\frac{3 a t^{2}}{1+t^{3}}
$$

The folium is symmetrical about the straightline $y=x$, forms a loop in the first quadrant with a double point at the origin and has an asymptote given by $x+y+a=0$.

The foregoing parametrization of folium is not defined at $t=-1$. The left wing is formed when $t$ runs from -1 to 0 , the loop as $t$ runs from 0 to $+\infty$, and the right wing as t runs from $-\infty$ to -1 .

It makes sense now why this curve is called "folium" - from the latin word folium which means "leaf". It's worth mentioning that, although Descartes found the correct shape of the curve in the positive quadrant, he believed that this leaf shape was repeated in each quadrant like the four petals of a flower.

## 3 Points at infinity

According to Eves [1], infinity has been introduced into geometry by Kepler, but it was Desargues, one of the founders of projective geometry, who actually used this idea. Addition of the points and the straightline at infinity metamorphoses the Euclidean plane into the projective plane. Projective geometry allows us to make sense of the fact that parallel straightlines meet at infinity, and therefore to interpret the points at infinity.

Even if in projective geometry no two straightlines are parallel, the essential features of points and straightlines are inherited from Euclidean geometry: any two distinct points determine a unique straightline and any two distinct straightlines determine a unique point.

Let $K$ be a field. The two-dimensional affine plane over $K$ is denoted

$$
\mathbf{A}_{K}^{2}=\{(x, y) \in K \times K\}
$$

The two-dimensional projective plane $\mathbf{P}_{K}^{2}$ over $K$ is given by equivalence classes of triples $(x, y, z)$ with $x, y, z \in K$ and at least one of $x, y, z$ nonzero.

Two triples $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a nonzero element $\lambda \in K^{*}$ such that

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda x_{2}, \lambda y_{2}, \lambda z_{2}\right)
$$

We denote by $(x: y: z)$ the equivalence class whose representative is the triple $(x, y, z)$.

The points in $\mathbf{P}_{K}^{2}$ with $z \neq 0$ are the "finite" points in $\mathbf{P}_{K}^{2}$ (because they can be identified with points in the affine plane). If $z=0$ then, considering that dividing by zero gives $\infty$, we call the points $(x: y: 0)$ "points at infinity" in $\mathbf{P}_{K}^{2}$.

Now let's return to our cubic given by $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$. Its homogeneous form is $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y z=0$.

The points $(x, y)$ on the affine space correspond to the points $(x: y: 1)$ in the projective space. To see what points lie at infinity, we set $z=0$ and obtain $x^{3}+y^{3}=0$, which is equivalent with $(x+y)\left(x^{2}-x y+y^{2}\right)=0$. We now have two possibilities:

1. $x+y=0$. In this case we find the point at infinity $\infty_{1}=(-1: 1: 0)$.
2. $x^{2}-x y+y^{2}=0$. We consider this as an equation in the unknown $x$, with the parameter $y$, and we compute the discriminant $\Delta=-3 y^{2}$. If there is an element $\alpha \in K$ such that $\alpha^{2}=-3$, then, rescaling by $y$, we have the following two points at infinity

$$
\infty_{2}=\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}: 1: 0\right), \quad \infty_{3}=\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}: 1: 0\right)
$$

Remark If we have 3 points at infinity, the sum of their $x$ coordinates is zero. For example, when $K=\mathbb{R}$, since $(-1: 1: 0)=(1:-1: 0)$, we can imagine that the point at infinity $\infty_{1}$ lies on the "bottom" and on the "top" of every straightline that has slope -1 .

### 3.1 Legendre symbol

Of course, we want to know when we have just one point at infinity and when we have 3 points at infinity. To decide we need the Legendre symbol which helps us decide whether an integer $a$ is a perfect square modulo a prime number $p$.

For an odd prime $p$ and an integer $a$ such that $p \npreceq a$, the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\binom{a}{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1, \text { if } a \text { is a quadratic residue of } p \\
-1, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Legendre symbol has the following properties:

1. (Euler's Criterion) Let $p$ be an odd prime and $a$ any integer with $p \nmid a$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \bmod (p)
$$

2. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and $a$ and $b$ be any integers with $p \nless a b$. Then
(a) If $a \equiv b \bmod (p)$, then $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$.
(b) $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a b}{p}\right)$.
(c) $\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)=1$.
3. If $p$ is an odd prime, then

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
1, \text { if } a \equiv 1 \bmod (4) \\
-1, \text { if } a \equiv-1 \bmod (4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

4. If $p$ is an odd prime, then

$$
\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
1, \text { if } a \equiv \pm 1 \bmod (8) \\
-1, \text { if } a \equiv \pm 3 \bmod (8)
\end{array}\right.
$$

5. (Law of Quadratic Reciprocity) Let $p$ and $q$ be distinct odd primes. Then

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}
$$

Now we can give the following result.
Proposition 3.1. Let $K$ be a finite field of prime cardinal $p \neq 2,3$ and let $\alpha^{2}=-3$ (so $\alpha$ might lie in an extension of $K$ ).

1. If $p=3 r+1$, then $\alpha \in K$.
2. If $p=3 r+2$, then $\alpha \notin K$.

Proof. First let us make the following two remarks: when $p=3 r+1$, the element $r$ cannot be an odd integer and when $p=3 r+2$, the element $r$ cannot be an even integer.

Now, the proof actually consists in computing the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$, in the sense that if this Legendre symbol is 1 , then $\alpha \in K$ and if it equals -1 , then $\alpha \notin K$.

1. Consider $p=3 r+1$, where the element $r$ is an even integer. We first compute the Legendre symbol

$$
\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) \cdot(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{3-1}{2}}=(-1)^{3 r}=1
$$

Consequently, there is an element $\alpha \in K$ such that $\alpha^{2}=-3 \bmod (p)$.
2. Consider $p=3 r+2$, where $r$ is an odd integer. We have

$$
\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) \cdot(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{3-1}{2}}=(-1)^{3 r+2}=-1 .
$$

So in this case $\alpha \notin K$.

## 4 The group law on folium of Descartes

We shall define and study a multiplicative group on the non-singular points of folium of Descartes in an analogous way as the additive group on an elliptic curve. The group law is called "multiplication" and it is denoted by the symbol $\star$ (we underline that the operation over elliptic curves, motivated by geometry, is represented by the addition sign + ).

For a better understanding of how the multiplicative law works on the folium of Descartes, we describe it for the field $\mathbb{R}$, because in this case we have a clear geometric interpretation.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the cubic given by the equation $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0, a \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}$ is the folium of Descartes.

A first remark is that when $K=\mathbb{R}$ there is only one point at infinity in $\mathbf{P}_{K}^{2}$, so we denote it simply by $\infty$, keeping in mind that this is actually $\infty_{1}$.

Start with two points $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ on $\mathcal{F}$. Define a new point $S$ as follows. Draw the straightline $\ell$ through $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. This straightline intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in three points, namely $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and one other point $P_{3}$. We take that point $P_{3}$ and consider its symmetric $S$ with respect to the bisectrix $y=x$. We define $P_{1} \star P_{2}=S$.

Of course there are a few subtleties to this law that need to be addressed. First, what happened when $P_{1}=P_{2}$, i.e., how does $P_{1} \star P_{1}$ work? In this case, the straightline $\ell$ becomes the tangent straightline to $\mathcal{F}$ at $P_{1}$. Then $\ell$ intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in one other point $P_{3}$ (in some sense, $\ell$ still intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in three points, but $P_{1}$ counts as two af them), and we consider that $P_{1} \star P_{1}$ is the reflection of $P_{3}$ across the straightline $y=x$. We define $\infty \star \infty=\infty$.

Now we can assume that $P_{1} \neq \infty$. The tangent $\ell$ has the slope

$$
m=\frac{-x_{1}^{2}+a y_{1}}{y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}}
$$

If $y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}=0$, then $\ell$ is the vertical straightline $x=x_{1}$, and this straightline intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in two points $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ that have same abscissa $x$. So $x_{3}=x_{1}$ and to find $y_{3}$ we consider $y^{3}-3 a x y+x^{3}=0$ as an equation in terms of $y$. Because $y_{1}$ appears as a double root, we find that $y_{3}=-2 y_{1}$. So in this case $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\left(-2 y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.

If $y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1} \neq 0$, the equation of the straightline $\ell$ is given by the point-slope formulae $y=m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}$. Next we substitute this into the equation for $\mathcal{F}$ and get

$$
x^{3}+\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)^{3}-3 a x\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)=0 .
$$



Figure 1: The group law on Folium of Descartes (main idea)

Now we can assume that $P_{1} \neq \infty$. The tangent $\ell$ has the slope

$$
m=\frac{-x_{1}^{2}+a y_{1}}{y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}}
$$

If $y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}=0$, then $\ell$ is the vertical straightline $x=x_{1}$, and this straightline intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in two points $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ that have same abscissa $x$. So $x_{3}=x_{1}$ and to find $y_{3}$ we consider $y^{3}-3 a x y+x^{3}=0$ as an equation in terms of $y$. Because $y_{1}$ appears as a double root, we find that $y_{3}=-2 y_{1}$. So in this case $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\left(-2 y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.

If $y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1} \neq 0$, the equation of the straightline $\ell$ is given by the point-slope formulae $y=m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}$. Next we substitute this into the equation for $\mathcal{F}$ and get

$$
x^{3}+\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)^{3}-3 a x\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

This can be rearranged to the form

$$
\left(m^{3}+1\right) x^{3}+\left(-3 m^{3} x_{1}+3 m^{2} y_{1}-3 m a\right) x^{2}+\cdots=0
$$

The three roots of this cubic equation correspond to the three points of intersection of $\ell$ with $\mathcal{F}$ (as mentioned before, $P_{1}$ is counted twice).

If $m=-1$ (this happens when $x_{1}=y_{1}$ ), then $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\infty$. Otherwise, denote

$$
s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{1}-3 m^{2} y_{1}+3 m a}{m^{3}+1}
$$

We can now say that $x_{3}=s-2 x_{1}$ and, substituting in the equation for $\ell, y_{3}=$ $m\left(s-3 x_{1}\right)+y_{1}$. We thus obtain that $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\left(m\left(s-3 x_{1}\right)+y_{1}, s-2 x_{1}\right)$.

Next we consider the case when $P_{1} \neq P_{2}$. A special case here is when $x_{1}=x_{2}$ (see Figure 5, left). Then $\ell$ is the vertical straightline $x=x_{1}$ and it intersects $\mathcal{F}$ in the point $P_{3}$, with $x_{3}=x_{1}$ and $y_{3}=-y_{1}-y_{2}$. So $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\left(-y_{1}-y_{2}, x_{1}\right)$.

If $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, then the straightline $\ell$ has the slope

$$
m=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}
$$



Figure 2: Multiplying a point $P_{1}$ to itself
and equation $y=m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}$.
To find the intersection with $\mathcal{F}$, substitute to get

$$
x^{3}+\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)^{3}-3 a x\left(m\left(x-x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

As seen before, this can be rearranged to the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(m^{3}+1\right) x^{3}+\left(-3 x_{1} m^{3}+3 y_{1} m^{2}-3 a m\right) x^{2}+\left(3 x_{1}^{2} m^{3}-6 y_{1} x_{1} m^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(3 a x_{1}+3 y_{1}^{2}\right) m-3 a y_{1}\right) x+\left(-x_{1}^{3} m^{3}+3 y_{1} x_{1}^{2} m^{2}-3 y_{1}^{2} x_{1} m+y_{1}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=-1$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\infty$ (this happens when $x_{1}=y_{2}$ and $x_{2}=y_{1}$ - see Figure 3 , right).



Figure 3: Special cases of point multiplication on Folium of Descartes

If $m \neq-1$, then we can consider

$$
s=\frac{3 x_{1} m^{3}-3 y_{1} m^{2}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}
$$

Keeping in mind that $s=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$, we can recover $x_{3}=s-x_{1}-x_{2}$ and then we find (substituting as we did many times before) $y_{3}=m\left(s-2 x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+y_{1}$. Therefore, we have $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\left(m\left(s-2 x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+y_{1}, s-x_{1}-x_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{a}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}^{*} \mid x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{F}_{a}(\mathbb{R}), \star\right)$ is a commutative group.

Proof. The commutativity is obvious, the identity element is $\infty$ and the inverse of a point is its reflection across the straightline $y=x$. In fact all of the group properties are trivial to check except for the associative law. The associative law can be verified by a lengthy computation using explicit formulas, or by using more advanced algebraic or analytic methods (we do not present here a rigorous proof because we will do this later, in a different approach).

We consider next the case when the field $K$ is a finite field with $p$ elements, i.e. $K=F_{p}$. Although we do not have a geometric interpretation, the ideas presented before remain the same.

### 4.1 Case $p=3 r+2$

In this case, because $m^{3}=-1$ has the unique solution $m=-1$, we have only one point at infinity. So practically, all the results presented in the case $K=R$ are available here too.

We now give two algorithms, the first one being for the multiplication of a point $P_{1}$ with itself, and the second one is for the multiplication of two points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in general. In all that follows, the computations are made modulo $p$.

Algorithm: StarSquare $\left(P_{1}\right)$

1. If $P_{1}=\infty$, then $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\infty$.
2. Otherwise, if $y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}=0$, then $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\left(-2 y_{1}, x_{1}\right)$.
3. Otherwise, define $m$ by $m=\frac{-x_{1}^{2}+a y_{1}}{y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}}$.
4. If $m^{3}+1=0$, then $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\infty$.
5. Otherwise, define $s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{1}-3 m^{2} y_{1}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}$.

Then $P_{1} \star P_{1}=\left(m\left(s-3 x_{1}\right)+y_{1}, s-2 x_{1}\right)$.
Algorithm: StarProduct $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$

1. If $P_{1}=\infty$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=P_{2}$.
2. Otherwise, if $P_{2}=\infty$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=P_{1}$.
3. Otherwise, if $P_{1}=P_{2}$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\operatorname{starsquare}\left(P_{1}\right)$.
4. Otherwise, if $x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\left(-y_{1}-y_{2}, x_{1}\right)$.
5. Otherwise, define $m$ by $m=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{x_{2}-x_{1}}$.
6. If $m^{3}+1=0$, then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=[1,0]$.
7. Otherwise let $s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{1}-3 m^{2} y_{1}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}$.

Then $P_{1} \star P_{2}=\left(m\left(s-2 x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+y_{1}, s-x_{1}-x_{2}\right)$.

### 4.2 Case $p=3 r+1$

In this case, we know that we have 3 points at infinity, each of them corresponding (in a way that we will see in the next section) to an element $\omega \in K$ with $\omega^{3}=1$.

We described point multiplication of non-singular points of folium of Descartes for all "finite" points. Because in this case we have more than one point at infinity, it is preferable to present this group law in the projective version. If we look at all points like points in projective plane, then we can treat all of them just in the same way.

The idea is the same. Let us start with two distinct points $P=\left(P_{1}: P_{2}: P_{3}\right)$ and $Q=\left(Q_{1}: Q_{2}: Q_{3}\right)$. They determine a unique straightline $\ell$ given by the following equation

$$
\ell:\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{3} \\
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3} \\
x & y & z
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\ell: \quad\left(P_{2} Q_{3}-P_{3} Q_{2}\right) x+\left(P_{3} Q_{1}-P_{1} Q_{3}\right) y+\left(P_{1} Q_{2}-P_{2} Q_{1}\right) z=0
$$

A first remark is that if both $P_{3}$ and $Q_{3}$ equals 0 , so they are both points at infinity, $\ell$ is the "ideal" straightline. So, when we intersect $\ell$ with our curve we also get a point at infinity. More precisely, we get the point $R$ at infinity that is different from both $P$ and $Q$. Using the observation made earlier we have that $R=\left(-P_{1}-Q_{1}: 1: 0\right)$, so $P \star Q=\left(1:-P_{1}-P_{2}: 0\right)$, which is the same as $P \star Q=\left(-\frac{1}{P_{1}+P_{2}}: 1: 0\right)$.

If one of the point is finite, say $P$ (so $P_{3}=1$ ), and the other one, Q , is a point at infinity (so $Q_{1}^{3}=-1, Q_{2}=1$ and $Q_{3}=0$ ), then $P \star Q$ will be a finite point.

The equation of $\ell$ becomes $P_{1}+Q_{1} y-x-P_{2} Q_{1}=0$.
Denote $m=\frac{1}{Q_{1}}$ and $n=\frac{P_{2} Q_{1}-P_{1}}{Q_{1}}$ and replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$. We will get a quadratic equation in $x, a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$ with a known solution $P_{1}$. So the $x$ coordinate of the point $R$ is just the other solution on this equation, $R_{1}=-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}-P_{1}$ and $R_{2}=m R_{1}+n$.

Therefore, in this case $P \star Q=\left(m\left(-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}-P_{1}\right)+n:-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}-P_{1}: 1\right)$.

In the same way, if $P$ is a point at infinity and $Q$ is a finite point, we have $P \star Q=\left(m\left(-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}-Q_{1}\right)+n:-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}-Q_{1}: 1\right)$, where $m=\frac{1}{P_{1}}, n=\frac{P_{1} Q_{2}-Q_{1}}{P_{1}}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are coefficients obtained the same way as before.

In all the cases that remain, both points are finite, so we can apply the same rules as in the affine version from Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 1: StarSquare ( $P$ )

1. If $P_{3}=0$, then $P \star P=\left(-P_{1}^{2}: 1: 0\right)$.
2. Otherwise, if $P_{2}^{2}-a P_{1}=0$, then $P \star P=\left(-2 P_{2}: P_{1}: 1\right)$.
3. Otherwise, define $m$ by

$$
m=\frac{-P_{1}^{2}+a P_{2}}{P_{2}^{2}-a P_{1}}
$$

4. If $m^{3}+1=0$, then $P \star P=(m: 1: 0)$.
5. Otherwise, define $s$ by

$$
s=\frac{3 m^{3} P_{1}-3 m^{2} P_{2}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}
$$

Then $P \star P=\left(m\left(s-3 P_{1}\right)+P_{2}: s-2 P_{1}: 1\right)$.
Algorithm 2: StarProduct $(P, Q)$

1. If $P=Q$, then $P \star Q=\operatorname{StarSquare}(P)$.
2. Otherwise, if $P_{3}=0$ and $Q_{3}=0$, then $P \star Q=\left(-\frac{1}{P_{1}+Q_{1}}: 1: 0\right)$.
3. Otherwise, if $P_{3}=1$ and $Q_{3}=1$ and $P_{1}=Q_{1}$, then $P \star Q=\left(-P_{2}-Q_{2}: P_{1}: 1\right)$.
4. Otherwise, if $P_{3}=1$ and $Q_{3}=0$, denote $m=\frac{1}{Q_{1}}$ and $n=\frac{Q_{1} P_{2}-P_{1}}{Q_{1}}$.

Replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$ and obtain $a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$.
Let $s=-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}$. Then $P \star Q=\left(m\left(s-P_{1}\right)+n: s-P_{1}: 1\right)$.
5. Otherwise, if $P_{3}=0$ and $Q_{3}=1$, denote $m=\frac{1}{P_{1}}$ and $n=\frac{P_{1} Q_{2}-Q_{1}}{P_{1}}$.

Replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$ and obtain $a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$.
Let $s=-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}$. Then $P \star Q=\left(m\left(s-Q_{1}\right)+n: s-Q_{1}: 1\right)$.
6. Otherwise, compute $m=\frac{Q_{2}-P_{2}}{Q_{1}-P_{1}}$.
7. If $m^{3}+1=0$, then $P \star Q=(m: 1: 0)$.
8. Otherwise, let $n=\frac{P_{2} Q_{1}-P_{1} Q_{2}}{Q_{1}-P_{1}}$ and replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$ to obtain $a_{3} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$.
Let $s=-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}}$. Then $P \star Q=\left(m\left(s-P_{1}-Q_{1}\right)+n: s-P_{1}-Q_{1}: 1\right)$

## 5 The fundamental isomorphism

Like group, the folium of Descartes is one of the most important objects in mathematics. Let us spotlight an isomorphism between the group on the folium of Descartes and a multiplicative group of integers congruence classes. In what follows, we denote by $Z_{p}$ the set of integers modulo the prime number $p$ and we consider the multiplicative group $\left(Z_{p}^{*}, \cdot\right)$, where $\cdot$ denotes the usual multiplication. Also, let $\mathcal{F}_{a, p}=\left\{(x, y) \in F_{p}^{*} \times F_{p}^{*} \mid x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0\right\} \cup\{\infty\}$ and consider the operation $\star$ that endows this set whith a group structure as seen before.

### 5.1 Case $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$

Theorem 5.1. There is an isomorphism between $\left(Z_{p}^{*}, \cdot\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{a, p}, \star\right)$, given by

$$
\varphi: Z_{p}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{a, p} ; 1 \mapsto \infty ; t \mapsto P_{t}=\left(\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}, \frac{3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}\right), \forall t \neq 1
$$

Proof. We first prove that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=P_{t^{2}}$ following the steps in Algorithm 1.

1) If $P_{t}=\infty$, i.e., $t=1$, then from Algorithm 1 we have that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\infty$ and obviously, because $t_{2}=1$, we find that also $P_{t^{2}}=\infty$, so $P_{t} \star P_{t}=P_{t^{2}}$.
2) If $y_{t}{ }^{2}-a x_{t}=0$, then $\frac{\left(6 t^{4}+3 t\right) a^{2}}{t^{6}-2 t^{3}+1}=0 \Rightarrow \frac{3 t\left(2 t^{3}+1\right) a^{2}}{\left(t^{3}-1\right)^{2}}=0 \Rightarrow 2 t^{3}+1=0$.

From Algorithm 1, we obtain $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\left(-2 y_{t}, x_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{-6 t^{2} a}{1-t^{3}}, \frac{-3 t a}{1-t^{3}}\right)$.
We want to show that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=P_{t^{2}}=\left(\frac{-3 t^{2} a}{1-t^{6}}, \frac{3 t^{4} a}{1-t^{6}}\right)$, i.e., $\frac{-6 t^{2} a}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-3 t^{2} a}{1-t^{6}}$ and $\frac{-3 t a}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{3 t^{4} a}{1-t^{6}}$. Both of them hold because of the relation $2 t^{3}+1=0$.
3) If $y_{t}{ }^{2}-a x_{t} \neq 0$, we can compute $m=\frac{-x_{t}^{2}+a t_{t}}{y_{t}^{2}-a x_{t}}=\frac{t^{4}-4 t}{2 t^{3}+1}$.

If $m^{3}+1=0$, i.e., if $m=-1$, from Algorithm 1 , we find $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\infty$.
We want to show that $P_{t^{2}}=\infty$, i.e., $t^{2}=1$.
From $m=-1$ we get $x_{t}^{2}-a y_{t}=y_{t}^{2}-a x_{t}$, so $\left(x_{t}-y_{t}\right)\left(x_{t}+y_{t}+a\right)=0$.
If $x_{t}-y_{t}=0$, we get $\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}$, so $t^{2}+t=0$. Because $t \neq 0$, it remains $t=-1$, so $t^{2}=1$ and $P_{t^{2}}=\infty$.

If $x_{t}+y_{t}+a=0$, we get $\frac{\left(t^{2}-2 t+1\right) a^{2}}{t^{2}+t+1}=0$ so $t=1$, meaning $P_{t}$ is actually $\infty$, so from the first case, we know that $P_{t^{2}}=\infty$.
4) Otherwise we compute $s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{t}-3 m^{2} y_{t}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}=\frac{\left(3 t^{4}+6 t\right) a}{t^{6}-1}$ and using Algorithm 1, we deduce $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\left(m\left(s-3 x_{t}\right)+y_{t}, s-2 x_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{-3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{6}}, \frac{3 a t^{4}}{1-t^{6}}\right)$. Because $P_{t^{2}}=\left(\frac{-3 a t^{2}}{1-\left(t^{2}\right)^{3}}, \frac{3 a\left(t^{2}\right)^{2}}{1-\left(t^{2}\right)^{3}}\right)$, we obtain $P_{t} \star P_{t}=P_{t^{2}}$.

We next prove the relation $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$ following the steps in Algorithm 2.

1) Let $P_{t}=\infty$, i.e., $t=1$. Then from Algorithm 2 we have $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{u}$, and obviously $P_{t u}=P_{1 \cdot u}=P_{u}$, so in this case $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
2) In the same way, if $P_{u}=\infty$, then from Algorithm 2 we have $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t}$, and because $P_{t u}=P_{t}=P_{t}$ we also get $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
3) If $P_{t}=P_{u}$, i.e., $t=u$, then we are in the settings of Algorithm 1, and we proved that in this case $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
4) If $x_{t}=x_{u}$, but $y_{t} \neq y_{u}$, then we obtain the following relation between $t$ and $u$ $\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-3 a u}{1-u^{3}} \Rightarrow t-t u^{3}=u-u t^{3} \Rightarrow(t-u)\left(1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}\right)=0$. Because $t \neq u$, it remains $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$.

We want to prove that the following two equalities hold
$x_{t u}=-y_{t}-y_{u}$, i.e., $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{u^{2}}{1-u^{3}}$ and $y_{t u}=x_{t}$, i.e. $\frac{(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}=$ $\frac{-t}{1-t^{3}}$.

We prove first that $y_{t u}=x_{t}$.
From $\frac{(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{-t}{1-t^{3}}$ we get that $t u^{2}-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$ must be 0.
Using $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, we we can write $t u^{2}=-1-t^{2} u$, so replacing $t u^{2}$ in $t u^{2}-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$ we get $-1-t^{2} u-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$, which is equal to $-t^{2} u(1+$ $\left.t^{2} u+t u^{2}\right)=0$.

What is left to prove is $-t^{2} u-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}=0$.
From $\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-3 a u}{1-u^{3}}$ we can say that $\frac{-u^{2}}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-t u}{1-t^{3}}$, and now we replace it in $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{u^{2}}{1-u^{3}}$ and obtain $-\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{t u}{1-t^{3}}$, i.e., $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{-t^{2}-u^{2}}{1-t^{3}}$.

So the equality $u t^{3}+t-t^{4} u^{3}-t^{3} u^{4}=0$ must hold. Using again the relation $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, but this time replacing $t^{2} u=-1-t u^{2}$, we get $-t u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}-t^{2} u^{4}=0$, which is equivalent to $-t u^{2}\left(1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}\right)=0$.

Because $t u^{2} \neq 0$ and we know that $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, we are done proving also that in this case $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
5) If $x_{t} \neq x_{u}$, we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\frac{y_{u}-y_{t}}{x_{u}-x_{t}}=\frac{u^{2}\left(1-t^{3}\right)-t^{2}\left(1-u^{3}\right)}{-u\left(1-t^{3}\right)+t\left(1-u^{3}\right)}=\frac{u^{2}-t^{2}+u^{3} t^{2}-u^{2} t^{3}}{-u+t+u t^{3}-t u^{3}} \\
& =\frac{(u-t)(u+t)+u^{2} t^{2}(u-t)}{-(u-t)-u t(u-t)(u+t)}=\frac{u+t+u^{2} t^{2}}{-1-u^{2} t-u t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

6) If $m^{3}+1=0$, i.e., $m=-1$, then $u+t+u^{2} t^{2}=1+u t(u+t)$.

We denote $u+t=v$ and $u t=w$. This yields $w^{2}-v w+v-1=0$.
One solution is $w_{1}=1$. From this we get $u t=1$, so $P_{t u}=\infty$. Solution $w_{2}=v-1$ yields $u t=u+t-1$ so $u=1$ or $t=1$, but this cannot be the case.

It remains, in this case, $P_{t} \star P_{u}=\infty$, as in Algorithm 2.
7) If $m \neq-1$, we can compute

$$
s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{t}-3 m^{2} y_{t}+3 a m}{1+m^{3}}=\frac{3 a A}{B}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & -u^{4} t^{6}+\left(u^{5}-u^{2}\right) t^{5}+\left(-u^{6}+u^{3}\right) t^{4}+\left(u^{4}+u\right) t^{3}+\left(-u^{5}+u^{2}\right) t^{2}+ \\
& +\left(u^{3}-1\right) t-u \\
B= & \left(-u^{6}+u^{3}\right) t^{6}+\left(u^{6}-1\right) t^{3}+\left(-u^{3}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Algorithm 2, we have that $x_{t u}$ should be equal to $m\left(s-2 x_{t}-x_{u}\right)+y_{t}$ and $y_{t u}$ should be equal to $s-x_{t}-x_{u}$. Therefore we compute $m\left(s-2 x_{t}-x_{u}\right)+y_{t}$ and obtain $\frac{-3 a t u}{1-t^{3} u^{3}}$ which is indeed $x_{t u}$, and also computing $s-x_{t}-x_{u}$, we get $\frac{3 a t^{2} u^{2}}{1-t^{3} u^{3}}$, which is equal to $y_{t u}$.

### 5.2 Case $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$

In what follows, we also denote by $\left(Z_{p}^{*}\right), \cdot$ the multiplicative group of integers modulo $n$. This time, let

$$
P \mathcal{F}_{a, p}=\left\{(x: y: z) \in F_{p}^{*} \times F_{p}^{*} \times\{0,1\} \mid x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y z=0\right\}
$$

and consider the operation $\star$ that endows this set whith a group structure as seen before, in the projective version.
Theorem 5.2. There is an isomorfism between $\left(Z_{p}^{*}, \cdot\right)$ and $\left(P \mathcal{F}_{a, p}, \star\right)$, given by

$$
t \mapsto P_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}: \frac{3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}: 1\right), \quad \text { if } t^{3} \neq 1 \\
\left(-t^{2}: 1: 0\right), \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Algorithm 3:

1) If $z_{t}=0$, then $P_{t}=\left(-t^{2}: 1: 0\right)$ and $t^{3}=1$. We want to show that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=$ $\left(-t^{4}: 1: 0\right)$. But this is exactly what Algorithm 2 says.
2) If $z_{t}=1$, then $y_{t}^{2}-a x_{t}=0$. From this condition we find $2 t^{3}+1=0$.

From the foregoing Algorithm 3, we have

$$
P_{t} \star P_{t}=\left(-2 y_{t}: x_{t}: 1\right)=\left(\frac{-6 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}: \frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}: 1\right)
$$

So we want to show that $\frac{-6 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{6}}$ and $\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{3 a t^{4}}{1-t^{6}}$.

For both equalities we need $2 t^{6}-t^{3}-1=0$ and this holds because $2 t^{3}+1=0$.
3) If $z_{t}=1$ and $y_{t}^{2}-a x_{t} \neq 0$, we can compute $m=\frac{-x_{t}^{2}+a y_{t}}{y_{t}^{2}-a x_{t}}=-\frac{t^{4}+2 t}{2 t^{3}+1}$.
4) If $m^{3}+1=0$, then $\frac{t^{12}-2 t^{9}+2 t^{3}-1}{8 t^{9}+12 t^{6}+6 t^{3}+1}=0$, i.e., $\frac{\left(t^{3}-1\right)^{3}\left(t^{3}+1\right)}{\left(2 t^{3}+1\right)^{3}}=0$.

Because we are in a case where $z_{t}=1$, we know that $t^{3} \neq 1$, so it remains $t^{3}+1=0$.

Therefore $t^{6}=1$, so $\left(t^{2}\right)^{3}=0$, which means that $P_{t^{2}}=\left(-t^{4}: 1: 0\right)$.
It remains to show $-\frac{t^{4}+2 t}{2 t^{3}+1}=-t^{4}$. This reduces to $t^{7}=t$, and because $t \neq 0$, to $t^{6}=1$, which is a true equality, as mentioned before.
5) Otherwise, we can compute $s=\frac{3 m^{3} x_{t}-3 m^{2} y_{t}+3 a m}{m^{3}+1}=\frac{3 a t^{4}+6 a t}{t^{6}-1}$.

Algorithm 3 says that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\left(m\left(s-3 x_{t}\right)+y_{t}: s-2 x_{t}: 1\right)$.
Replacing $m, s, x_{t}$ and $y_{t}$, we obtain that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=\left(\frac{-3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{6}}: \frac{3 a t^{4}}{1-t^{6}}: 1\right)$ and because $P_{t^{2}}=\left(\frac{-3 a t^{2}}{1-\left(t^{2}\right)^{3}}: \frac{3 a\left(t^{2}\right)^{2}}{1-\left(t^{2}\right)^{3}}: 1\right)$ we have that $P_{t} \star P_{t}=P_{t^{2}}$.

## Algorithm 4:

1) If $P_{t}=P_{u}$, then we proved $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
2) If $z_{t}=z_{u}=0$, it means that $t^{3}=u^{3}=1$, from where we have that $(t u)^{3}=1$, so $P_{t u}=\left(-(t u)^{2}: 1: 0\right)$.

From Algorithm 4, we have $P_{t} \star P_{u}=\left(-\frac{1}{x_{t}+x_{u}}: 1: 0\right)$, where $x_{t}=-t^{2}$ and $x_{u}=-u^{2}$.

So we need to show $\frac{1}{t^{2}+u^{2}}=-t^{2} u^{2}$, i.e., $t^{4} u^{2}+t^{2} u^{4}+1=0$. Because $t^{3}=u^{3}=1$, this is equivalent to $t u^{2}+t^{2} u+1=0$.

We also have $t^{3}-u^{3}=0$, i.e., $(t-u)\left(t^{2}+t u+u^{2}\right)=0$ and, because $t \neq u$, it remains that $t^{2}+t u+u^{2}=0$. If we multiply this by $t^{2} u^{2}$ and keep in mind that $t^{3}=u^{3}=1$, we get that $t u^{2}+t^{2} u+1=0$, which proves that in this case $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
3) If $z_{t}=z_{u}=1$ and $x_{t}=x_{u}$ (but $y_{t} \neq y_{u}$, so $P_{t} \neq P_{u}$ ), then, from Algorithm 4, we find $P_{t} \star P_{u}=\left(-y_{t}-y_{u}: x_{t}: 1\right)$, so what we have to show is that $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=$ $-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{u^{2}}{1-u^{3}}$ and $\frac{(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{-t}{1-t^{3}}$.

We prove first that $y_{t u}=x_{t}$, using $x_{t}=x_{u}$
From $\frac{(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{-t}{1-t^{3}}$ we get $t u^{2}-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$ must be 0 .
Using the equality $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, i.e., $t u^{2}=-1-t^{2} u$, and replacing $t u^{2}$ in $t u^{2}-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$, we find $-1-t^{2} u-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}+1$, which is equal to $-t^{2} u\left(1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}\right)=0$.

What is left to prove is $-t^{2} u-t^{4} u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}$.
From $\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-3 a u}{1-u^{3}}$, we can say that $\frac{-u^{2}}{1-t^{3}}=\frac{-t u}{1-t^{3}}$, and now we replace it
in $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{u^{2}}{1-u^{3}}$ and obtain $-\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=-\frac{t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}-\frac{t u}{1-t^{3}}$, i.e., $\frac{t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{-t^{2}-u^{2}}{1-t^{3}}$.

So the equality $u t^{3}+t-t^{4} u^{3}-t^{3} u^{4}=0$ must hold. Using again the relation $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, but this time replacing $t^{2} u=-1-t u^{2}$, we get $-t u^{2}-t^{3} u^{3}-t^{2} u^{4}=0$, which is equivalent to $-t u^{2}\left(1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}\right)=0$.

Because $t u^{2} \neq 0$ and we know that $1+t^{2} u+t u^{2}=0$, we are done proving also that in this case $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
4) If $z_{t}=1\left(x_{t}=\frac{-3 a t}{1-t^{3}}, y_{t}=\frac{3 a t^{2}}{1-t^{3}}\right)$ and $z_{u}=0\left(x_{u}=-u^{2}, y_{u}=1\right)$, then let $m=\frac{1}{x_{u}}=-\frac{1}{u^{2}}$ and $n=\frac{x_{u} y_{t}-x_{t}}{x_{u}}=\frac{3 u^{2} t^{2} a-3 t a}{u^{2}-u^{2} t^{3}}$.

We next replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$ and obtain $a_{3} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=$ 0 , where

$$
a_{3}=\frac{u^{6}-1}{u^{6}}
$$

(which is 0 , because $u^{3}=1$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{2}=\frac{\left(-3 u^{4} t^{3}+9 u^{2} t^{2}-9 t+3 u^{4}\right) a}{-u^{6} t^{3}+u^{6}} \\
a_{1}=\frac{\left(9 u^{6} t^{5}-36 u^{4} t^{4}+54 u^{2} t^{3}+\left(-9 u^{6}-27\right) t^{2}+9 u^{4} t\right) a^{2}}{u^{6} t^{6}-2 u^{6} t^{3}+u^{6}} \\
a_{0}=\frac{\left(27 u^{6} t^{6}-81 u^{4} t^{5}+81 u^{2} t^{4}-27 t^{3}\right) a^{3}}{-u^{6} t^{9}+3 u^{6} t^{6}-3 u^{6} t^{3}+u^{6}}
\end{gathered}
$$

It remains a quadratic equation in $x, a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$, and we know that one solution is $x_{t}$.

To find the other solution, we compute $s=-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}=\frac{\left(3 u^{2} t^{2}-3 t\right) a}{1-t^{3}}$. So the second solution is $s-x_{t}=\frac{-3 u^{2} t^{2} a}{t^{3}-1}$. This should be equal to $y_{t u}=\frac{3 a(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}$, so the equality $\frac{-3 u^{2} t^{2} a}{t^{3}-1}=\frac{3 a(t u)^{2}}{1-(t u)^{3}}$ must hold, which is true because $u^{3}=1$.

It remains to show that $x_{t u}=m\left(s-x_{t}\right)+n$, i.e., $\frac{-3 a t u}{1-(t u)^{3}}=\frac{3 t a}{u^{2} t^{3}-u^{2}}$. This is also true, for the same reason that $u^{3}=1$.
5) The case $z_{t}=0$ and $z_{u}=1$ are treated the same way, and we also get that $P_{t} \star P_{u}=P_{t u}$.
6) Otherwise (if $z_{t}=z_{u}=1$ and $x_{t} \neq x_{u}$ ), we can compute

$$
m=\frac{y_{t}-y_{u}}{x_{t}-x_{u}}=\frac{-u^{2} t^{2}-t-u}{u t^{2}+u^{2} t+1}
$$

7) If $m^{3}+1=0$, then

$$
\frac{\left(-u^{6}+u^{3}\right) t^{6}+\left(u^{6}-1\right) t^{3}-u^{3}+1}{u^{3} t^{6}+3 u^{4} t^{5}+\left(3 u^{5}+3 u^{2}\right) t^{4}+\left(u^{6}+6 u^{3}\right) t^{3}+\left(3 u^{4}+3 u\right) t^{2}+3 u^{2} t+1}=0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(-u^{6}+u^{3}\right) t^{6}+\left(u^{6}-1\right) t^{3}-u^{3}+1=0 \Rightarrow\left(1-u^{3}\right)\left(1-t^{3}\right)\left(1-t^{3} u^{3}\right)=0
$$

Because $t^{3} \neq 1$ and $u^{3} \neq 1$, it remains that $(t u)^{3}=1$, so $P_{t u}=\left(-t^{2} u^{2}: 1: 0\right)$.
From Algorithm 4, we have that $P_{t} \star P_{u}=(m: 1: 0)$, so we have to show that $\frac{-u^{2} t^{2}-u-t}{u t^{2}+t u^{2}+1}=-t^{2} u^{2}$, which yields to $-t^{4} u^{3}-t^{3} u^{4}=-t-u$ and this is true because $t^{3} u^{3}=1$.
8) And finally, if $m^{3} \neq 1$, then compute $n=\frac{x_{u} y_{t}-x_{t} y_{u}}{x_{u}-x_{t}}$ and replace $y=m x+n$ in $x^{3}+y^{3}-3 a x y=0$. We get a cubic equation $a_{3} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0$ in the unknown $x$, with the coefficients

$$
a_{3}=\frac{P}{N}, a_{2}=\frac{Q}{N}, a_{1}=\frac{R}{N}, a_{0}=\frac{S}{N}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & \left(-u^{6}+u^{3}\right) t^{6}+\left(u^{6}-1\right) t^{3}+\left(-u^{3}+1\right) \\
Q= & 3 a\left(u^{4} t^{6}+\left(-u^{5}+u^{2}\right) t^{5}+\left(u^{6}-u^{3}\right) t^{4}+\left(-u^{4}-u\right) t^{3}+\left(u^{5}-u^{2}\right) t^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\left(-u^{3}+1\right) t+u\right) \\
R= & 9 u^{3} a^{2} t^{5}-9 u^{4} a^{2} t^{4}+\left(9 u^{5}-9 u^{2}\right) a^{2} t^{3}-9 u^{3} a^{2} t^{2}+9 u a^{2} t \\
S= & -27 u^{3} a^{3} t^{3}, \\
N= & u^{3} t^{6}+3 u^{4} t^{5}+3\left(u^{5}+u^{2}\right) t^{4}+\left(u^{6}+6 u^{3}\right) t^{3}+3\left(u^{4}+u\right) t^{2}+3 u^{2} t+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this cubic equation, we know two solutions, namely $x_{t}$ and $x_{u}$, so we can easily find out the third solution.

## 6 Conclusions and open problems

The folium of Descartes can have points with coordinates in any field, such as $F_{p}, Q, R$, or $C$. The folium of Descartes with points in $F_{p}$ is a finite group.

There are still many applications to be found for folium of Descartes, related to the fact that there is a multiplicative group law over the curve. For example, folium of Descartes cryptography.

Open problems (i) Folium of Descartes Discrete Logarithm Problem (FDDLP) is the discrete logarithm problem for the group of points on folium of Descartes over a finite field.
(ii) The best algorithm to solve the FDDLP is exponential. That is why the folium of Descartes group can be used for cryptography. More precisely, we estimate that the best way to solve FDDLP for folium of Descartes over $F_{p}$ takes time $O(\sqrt{p})$.

The goal of our future papers is about such type of problems, with an emphasis on those aspects which are of interest in cryptography.
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