Subgradient estimates for a nonlinear subparabolic equation on pseudo-Hermitian manifold

Yingbo Han

Abstract. Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Herminitian (2n+1)-manifold. In this paper, we derive the subgradient estimate for positive solutions to a nonlinear subparabolic equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta_b u + au \log u + bu$ on $M \times [0, \infty)$, where a, b are two real constants.

M.S.C. 2010: 32V05, 32V20.

Key words: subgradient estimate; nonlinear subparabolic equation; pseudo-Hermitian manifold.

1 Introduction

In the seminal paper of [4], P. Li and S.-T. Yau established the parabolic Li-Yau gradient estimate and Harnack inequality for the positive solution of the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \triangle u(x,t)$$

in a complete Riemannian *l*-manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Here \triangle is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Along this line with method of Li-Yau gradient estimate, it is the very first paper of H.-D. Can and S.-T. Yau [3] to consider the heat equation

(1.1)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = Lu(x,t)$$

in a closed l-manifold with a positive measure and a subelliptic operator with respect to the sum squares of vector fields

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{h} X_i^2 - Y, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{h} c_i X_i,$$

where X_1, \dots, X_h are smooth vector fields which satisfy Hörmander's condition: the vector fields together with their commutators up to finite order span the tangent space at every point of M. Suppose that $[X_i, [X_j, X_k]]$ can be expressed as linear

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.21, No.1, 2016, pp. 42-50.

[©] Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2016.

combinations of X_1, \dots, X_h and their brackets $[X_1, X_2], \dots, [X_{l-1}, x_h]$. they showed that for the positive solution u(x,t) of (1.1) on $M \times [0,\infty)$, there exist constants C', C'', C''' and $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \frac{2}{3}$, such that for any $\delta > 1$, $f = \log u$ satisfies the following gradient estimate

$$\sum_{i} |X_{i}f| - \delta f_{t} + \sum_{\alpha} (1 + |Y_{\alpha}f|^{2})\lambda - \delta Yf \leq \frac{C'}{t} + C'' + C'''t^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}},$$

with $\{Y_{\alpha}\} = \{[X_i, X_j]\}$. In [1], S.-C. Chang, T.-J. Kuo and S.-H. Lai established the CR Cao-Yau type Harmack estimate

$$4\frac{\partial(\log u)}{\partial t} - |\nabla_b \log u|^2 - \frac{1}{3}t[(\log u)_0]^2 + \frac{16}{t} \ge 0,$$

for the positive solution u of the CR heat equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta_b u$ in a closed pseudo-Hermitian 3-manifold (M, J, θ) with nonnegative Tanaka-webster curvature and vanishing torsion. Here Δ_b is the time-independent sub-Laplacian, ∇_b is the subgradient and $\varphi_0 = T\varphi$ for a smooth function φ .

Recently, S.-C. Chang, T.-J. Kuo and S.-H. Lai established the CR Cao-Yau type Harmack estimate

$$\left(1+\frac{3}{n}\right)\frac{\partial(\log u)}{\partial t} - |\nabla_b \log u|^2 - \frac{n}{3}t[(\log u)_0]^2 + \frac{\frac{9}{n}+6+n}{t} \ge 0$$

for the positive solution u of the CR heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle_b u$$

in a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1)-manifold (M, J, θ) with $2Ric - (n-2)Tor \ge 0$ and $[\Delta_b, T] = 0$.

On the other hand, for Riemannian case, there are many papers (such as [8, 7] and references therein) to investigate the following nonlinear parabolic equation

(1.2)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle u + au \log u + bu$$

on $M \times [0, \infty)$, where (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold, a, b are two real constants. They obtained the gradient estimate for the positive solution of the equation (1.2).

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear subparabolic equation

(1.3)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle_b u + au \log u + bu$$

in a closed pseudohermintian (2n + 1)-manifold (M, J, θ) . We obtain the following results:

Theorem 1.1. (cf. Theorem 3.1) Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1) manifold. Suppose that

$$2Ric(X,X) - (n-2)Tor(X,X) \ge 0,$$

for all $X \in T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$. If u is the positive solution of

(1.4)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle_b u + au \log u$$

with $[\Delta_b, T] = 0$ on $M \times [0, \infty)$, let $f(x, t) = \log u(x, t)$. Then we have

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - \left(1 + \frac{3}{n}\right)(f_t - af) + \frac{n}{3}tf_0^2 < \frac{1}{t}\left(1 - \frac{a}{2}t\right)\left(\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n\right),$$

where $a \leq 0$ is a constant.

Remark 1.1. By replacing u by $e^{\frac{b}{a}}u$, equation (1.3) reduces to equation (1.4).

2 Preliminaries

We first introduced some basic materials in a pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)-manifold (see [5], [6] for more details). Let (M, ξ) be a (2n + 1)-dimensional, orientable, contact manifold with contract structure ξ . A CR structure compatible with ξ is an endomorphism $J : \xi \to \xi$ such that $J^2 = -1$. We also assume that J satisfies the following integrability condition: If X and Y are in ξ , then so are [JX, Y] + [X, JY]and J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) = [JX, Y] - [X, Y]. Let $\{T, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$ be a frame of $TM \otimes C$, where Z_{α} is any local frame of $T_{1,0}$,

Let $\{T, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$ be a frame of $TM \otimes C$, where Z_{α} is any local frame of $T_{1,0}$, $Z_{\bar{\alpha}} = \bar{Z}_{\alpha} \in T_{0,1}$ and T is the characteristic vector field. Then $\{\theta, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}\}$, which is the coframe dual to $\{T, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$, satisfies $d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}$ for some positive definite hermitian matrix of function $(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$. Actually we can always choose Z_{α} such that $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$; hence, through this note, we assume $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

The Levi form $\langle , \rangle_{L_{\theta}}$ is the Hermitian form on $T_{1,0}$ defined by $\langle Z, W \rangle_{L_{\theta}} = -i \langle d\theta, Z \wedge \overline{W} \rangle$. We can extend $\langle , \rangle_{L_{\theta}}$ to $T_{0,1}$ by defining $\langle \overline{Z}, \overline{W} \rangle_{L_{\theta}} = \langle Z, \overline{W} \rangle_{L_{\theta}}$, for all Z, W in $T_{0,1}$. The Levi form induces naturally a Hermitian form on the dual bundle of $T_{1,0}$, denoted by $\langle , \rangle_{L_{\theta}^*}$, and hence on all the induced tensor bundles. Integrating the Hermitian form over M with respect to the volume form $d\mu = \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$, we get an inner product on the space of sections of each tensor bundle. We denote the inner product by the notation \langle , \rangle .

The pseudo-Hermitian connection of (J, θ) is the connection ∇ on $TM \otimes C$ (and extended to tensors) given in terms of a local frame $Z_{\alpha} \in T_{1,0}$ by

$$\nabla Z_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \otimes Z_{\beta}, \quad \nabla Z_{\bar{\alpha}} = \theta_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\beta} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}}, \quad \nabla T = 0,$$

where θ^{β}_{α} are the 1-forms uniquely determined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^{\beta} &= \theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \theta \wedge \tau^{\beta} \\ 0 &= \tau_{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\alpha}, \\ 0 &= \theta^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write (by Cartan lemma) $\tau_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha\gamma}\theta^{\gamma}$ with $A_{\alpha\gamma} = A_{\gamma\alpha}$. The curvature of Tanaka-Webster connection, expressed in terms of the coframe $\{\theta = \theta^0, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}\}$, is

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{\alpha}_{\beta} &= \overline{\Pi}^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}} = d\omega^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \omega^{\gamma}_{\beta} \wedge \omega^{\alpha}_{\gamma} \\ \Pi^{\alpha}_{0} &= \Pi^{0}_{\alpha} = \Pi^{\overline{\beta}}_{0} = \Pi^{0}_{\overline{\beta}} = \Pi^{0}_{0} = 0 \end{split}$$

Webster showed that Π^{α}_{β} can be written

$$\Pi^{\alpha}_{\beta} = R^{\alpha}_{\beta\rho\bar{\sigma}}\theta^{\rho} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\sigma}} + W^{\alpha}_{\beta\rho}\theta^{\rho} \wedge \theta - W^{\alpha}_{\beta\bar{\rho}}\theta^{\bar{\rho}} \wedge \theta + i\theta_{\beta} \wedge \tau^{\alpha} - i\tau_{\beta} \wedge \theta^{\alpha},$$

where the coefficients satisfy

$$R_{\beta\bar{\alpha}\rho\bar{\sigma}} = \overline{R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\sigma\bar{\rho}}} = R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\sigma}\rho} = R_{\rho\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\sigma}}, \quad W_{\beta\bar{\alpha}\gamma} = W_{\gamma\bar{\alpha}\beta}.$$

Here $R^{\gamma}_{\delta\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is the pseudo-Hermitian curvature tensor, $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R^{\gamma}_{\gamma\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature tensor and $A_{\alpha\beta}$ is the torsion tensor. We define *Ric* and *Tor* by

$$Ric(X,Y) = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}X^{\alpha}Y^{\bar{\beta}}, \quad Tor(X,Y) = i(A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}X^{\bar{\alpha}}Y^{\bar{\beta}} - A_{\alpha\beta}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}),$$

for $X = X^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$, $Y = Y^{\beta} Z_{\beta}$ on $T_{1,0}$.

We will denote components of covariant derivatives with indices preceded by comma; thus write $A_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}$. The indices $\{0, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}\}$ indices derivatives with respect to $\{T, Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$. For derivatives of a scalar function, we will often omit the comma, for instance, $u_{\alpha} = Z_{\alpha}u$, $u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = Z_{\bar{\beta}}Z_{\alpha}u - \omega_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(Z_{\bar{\beta}})Z_{\gamma}u$. For a real function u, the subgradient ∇_b is defined by $\nabla_b u \in \xi$ and $\langle Z, \nabla_b u \rangle = du(Z)$, for all vector fields Z tangent to contact plane. Locally $\nabla_b u = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} Z_{\bar{\alpha}}$. We can use the connection to define the subhessian as the complex linear map $(\nabla^H)^2 u : T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1} \to T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$ by

$$(\nabla^H)^2 u(Z) = \nabla_Z \nabla_b u.$$

In particular, $|\nabla_b u|^2 = 2u_{\alpha}u_{\bar{\alpha}}, \ |\nabla_b^2 u|^2 = 2(u_{\alpha\beta}u_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} + u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}u_{\bar{\alpha}\beta})$ and $\Delta_b u = \sum_{\alpha}(u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} + u_{\bar{\alpha}\alpha}).$

We need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [2] For a smooth real-valued function u and any $\nu > 0$, we have

(2.1)
$$\begin{aligned} & \bigtriangleup_b |\nabla_b u|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} (\bigtriangleup_b u)^2 + nu_0^2 + 2\langle \nabla_b u, \nabla_b \bigtriangleup_b u \rangle \\ & + 2(2Ric - (n-2)Tor)((\nabla_b u)_C, (\nabla_b u)_C) - 2v|\nabla_b u_0|^2 - \frac{2}{\nu} |\nabla_b u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

where $(\nabla_b u)_C = u_{\overline{\alpha}} Z_{\alpha}$ is the corresponding complex (1,0)-vector of $\nabla_b u$.

Lemma 2.2. Let (M, J, θ) be a pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1)-manifold with $[\Delta_b, T] = 0$. If u(x,t) is the positive solution of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta_b u + au \log u$, then $f = \log u$ satisfies

Proof. Since u is the solution of (2.2), we have

(2.3)
$$(\triangle_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f = -af - |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

From $[\Delta_b, T] = 0$ and (2.3), we have

$$\Delta_b f_0 - f_{0t} = (\Delta_b f)_0 - f_{t0} = [\Delta_b f - f_t]_0 = -af_0 - 2\langle \nabla_b f_0, \nabla_b f \rangle.$$

3 Subgradient estimates for a nonlinear subparabolic equation

In this section, we obtain the following results:

Theorem 3.1. Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1) manifold. Suppose that

(3.1)
$$2Ric(X,X) - (n-2)Tor(X,X) \ge 0,$$

for all $X \in T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$. If u is the positive solution of

(3.2)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle_b u + au \log u.$$

with $[\triangle_b, T] = 0$ on $M \times [0, \infty)$, let $f(x, t) = \log u(x, t)$. Then we have

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - (1 + \frac{3}{n})(f_t - af) + \frac{n}{3}tf_0^2 < \frac{1}{t}[1 - \frac{a}{2}t][\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n],$$

where $a \leq 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Since u is the positive solution of (3.2), we have

(3.3)
$$(\triangle_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})f(x,t) = -af(x,t) - |\nabla_b f(x,t)|^2$$

Now we define a real-valued function $F(x, t, \alpha, \beta) : M \times [0, T^*] \times R^* \times R^+ \to R$ by

(3.4)
$$F(x,t,\alpha,\beta) = t(|\nabla_b f|^2 + \alpha(f_t - af) + \beta t f_0^2).$$

First we differentiate F with respect to the t-variable.

(3.5)
$$F_t = \frac{F}{t} + t[2\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f_t \rangle + \alpha(f_{tt} - af_t) + \beta f_0^2 + 2\beta t f_0 f_{0t}]$$

From equation (3.3), we have

(3.6)
$$f_{tt} - af_t = 2\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f_t \rangle + \triangle_b f_t$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have

(3.7)
$$F_t = \frac{F}{t} + t[2(1+\alpha)\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f_t \rangle + \alpha \triangle_b f_t + \beta f_0^2 + 2\beta t f_0 f_{0t}]$$

From Lemma 2.1 and the assumption (3.1), we have

$$\begin{split} & \bigtriangleup_b F = t[\bigtriangleup_b |\nabla_b f| + \alpha(\bigtriangleup_b f_t - a\bigtriangleup_b f) + \beta t\bigtriangleup_b f_0^2] \\ & \ge t[nf_0^2 + \frac{1}{n}(\bigtriangleup_b f)^2 + 2\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b \bigtriangleup_b f\rangle - \frac{2}{\nu} |\nabla_b f|^2 - 2\nu |\nabla_b f_0|^2 \\ & +\alpha(\bigtriangleup_b f_t - a\bigtriangleup_b f) + 2\beta tf_0 \bigtriangleup_b f_0 + 2\beta t |\nabla_b f_0|^2]. \end{split}$$

Taking $\nu = \beta t$, we have

$$(3.8) \qquad (\Delta_b F) \geq t[nf_0^2 + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_b f)^2 + 2\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b \Delta_b f \rangle - \frac{2}{\beta t} |\nabla_b f|^2 + \alpha(\Delta_b f_t - a\Delta_b f) + 2\beta t f_0 \Delta_b f_0].$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$(\triangle_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})F \ge -\frac{F}{t} + t[(n-\beta)f_0^2 + \frac{1}{n}(\triangle_b f)^2 + 2\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b \triangle_b f \rangle - \frac{2}{\beta t}|\nabla_b f|^2$$

$$(3.9) \quad -\alpha a \triangle_b f + 2\beta t f_0(\triangle_b f_0 - f_{0t}) - 2(1+\alpha)\langle \nabla_b f, \nabla_b f_t \rangle].$$

From the lemma 2.2 and the definition of F, we have

$$2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b} \triangle_{b}f \rangle + 2\beta t f_{0}(\triangle_{b}f_{0} - f_{0t}) - 2(1+\alpha)\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}f_{t} \rangle - \alpha a \triangle_{b}f$$

$$= 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}[f_{t} - af - |\nabla_{b}f|^{2}] \rangle + 2\beta t f_{0}(-af - |\nabla_{b}f|^{2})_{0}$$

$$-2(1+\alpha)\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}f_{t} \rangle - \alpha a \triangle_{b}f$$

$$= -2\alpha\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}f_{t} \rangle - 2a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} \rangle - 2a\beta t f_{0}^{2}$$

$$-4\beta t \langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}f_{0} \rangle - \alpha a \triangle_{b}f$$

$$= -2\alpha\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}(\frac{1}{\alpha t}F - \frac{1}{\alpha}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \frac{\beta t}{\alpha}f_{0}^{2} + af) \rangle - 2a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2}$$

$$-2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} \rangle - 2a\beta t f_{0}^{2} - 4\beta t \langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}f_{0} \rangle - \alpha a \triangle_{b}f$$

$$(3.10) = -\frac{2}{t}\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle - 2(\alpha + 1)a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - 2a\beta t f_{0}^{2} - \alpha a \triangle_{b}f$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$(\Delta_{b} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})F \geq -\frac{F}{t} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle + t[(n - \beta - 2a\beta t)f_{0}^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_{b}f)^{2} - \frac{2}{\beta t}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \alpha a\Delta_{b}f - 2(\alpha + 1)a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2}] \\ = -\frac{F}{t} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle + t[(n - \beta - 2a\beta t)f_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_{b}f)^{2} \\ - \frac{2}{\beta t}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \alpha a[\frac{F}{\alpha t} - (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \frac{\beta t}{\alpha}f_{0}^{2}] - 2(\alpha + 1)a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2}] \\ = -\frac{F}{t} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle - aF + t[(n - \beta - 2a\beta t)f_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_{b}f)^{2} \\ - \frac{2}{\beta t}|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \alpha a[\frac{F}{\alpha t} - (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})|\nabla_{b}f|^{2} - \frac{\beta t}{\alpha}f_{0}^{2}] - 2(\alpha + 1)a|\nabla_{b}f|^{2}] \\ = -\frac{F}{t} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle - aF + t[(n - \beta - a\beta t)f_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_{b}f)^{2}] \\ = -\frac{F}{t} - 2\langle \nabla_{b}f, \nabla_{b}F \rangle - aF + t[(n - \beta - a\beta t)f_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{n}(\Delta_{b}f)^{2}] \\ (3.11) \qquad -(\frac{2}{\beta t} + a(1 + \alpha))|\nabla_{b}f|^{2}]$$

From the definition of F, we have

(3.12)
$$(\triangle_b f)^2 = \left(\frac{F}{\alpha t} - \frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} |\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{\beta t}{\alpha} f_0^2\right)^2$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\alpha^2 t^2} F^2 - \frac{2(1+\alpha)}{\alpha^2 t} F |\nabla_b f|^2 - \frac{2\beta}{\alpha^2} F f_0^2$$

From (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$(\Delta_b - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})F \ge \left(\frac{F}{n\alpha^2 t} - \frac{1}{t} - a\right)F - 2\langle \nabla_b F, \nabla_b f\rangle$$

(3.13) $+t[(n-\beta - a\beta t - \frac{2\beta}{n\alpha^2}F)f_0^2 + \left(-\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{n\alpha^2 t}F - \frac{2}{\beta t} - a(1+\alpha)\right)|\nabla_b f|^2].$

For each fixed $T' < \infty$ and each $t \in [0, T']$, let $(p(t), s(t)) \in M \times [0, t]$ be the maximal point of F on $M \times [0, t]$, that is,

$$F(p(t), s(t), \alpha, \beta) = \max_{(x,\mu) \in M \times [0,t]} F(x,\mu,\alpha,\beta).$$

Then we have

(3.14)
$$\nabla_b F(p(t), s(t), \alpha, \beta) = 0,$$

and

(3.16)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(p(t), s(t), \alpha, \beta) \ge 0$$

From (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we have at (p(t), s(t)),

(3.17)
$$0 \ge \left[\frac{F}{n\alpha^2 s(t)} - \frac{1}{s(t)} - a\right]F + s(t)\left[(n - \beta - a\beta s(t) - \frac{2\beta}{n\alpha^2}F)f_0^2\right] + \left(-\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{n\alpha^2 s(t)}F - \frac{2}{\beta s(t)} - a(1+\alpha)\right)|\nabla_b f|^2\right].$$

Next, we claim that for each fixed $T' < \infty$,

$$F(p(T'), s(T'), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) < (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(T'))[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n],$$

where $\alpha = -(1 + \frac{3}{n})$ and $0 < \beta < \frac{n}{3}$. Here $(p(T'), s(T')) \in M \times [0, T']$ is the maximal point of F on $M \times [0, T']$.

We prove by contradiction. Suppose not, that is

$$F(p(T'), s(T'), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) - (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(T'))[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n] \ge 0.$$

Since $F(p(t), s(t), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) - (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(t))[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n]$ is continuous in the variable t when α, β are fixed and $F(p(0), s(0), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) - (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(0))[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n] = -\frac{n}{3\beta}[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n] < 0$, by Intermdiate-value theorem there exists a $t_0 \in (0, T']$ such that

$$F(p(t_0), s(t_0), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) - (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(t_0))(\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n) = 0,$$

Then we have

(3.18)
$$-\frac{2(1+\alpha)}{n\alpha^2 s(t_0)}F - \frac{2}{\beta s(t_0)} - a(1+\alpha) = \frac{3}{n}(-\frac{s(t_0)}{s(t_0)} + 1)a = 0,$$

(3.19)
$$n - \beta - a\beta s(t_0) - \frac{2\beta}{n\alpha^2}F = \frac{n}{3} - \beta > 0,$$

and

(3.20)
$$\frac{F}{n\alpha^2 s(t_0)} - \frac{1}{s(t_0)} - a \ge \frac{1}{s(t_0)} [1 - \frac{3}{n}\beta] \frac{n}{3\beta} > 0$$

From (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$0 \ge \left[\frac{F}{n\alpha^2 s(t_0)} - \frac{1}{s(t_0)} - a\right]F + s(t_0)\left[(n - \beta - a\beta s(t_0) - \frac{2\beta}{n\alpha^2}F)f_0^2\right] + \left(-\frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{n\alpha^2 s(t_0)}F - \frac{2}{\beta s(t_0)} - a(1 + \alpha)\right)|\nabla_b f|^2 > 0.$$

This gives a contradiction. Hence we have

$$F(p(T'), s(T'), -1 - \frac{3}{n}, \beta) < (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}s(T'))[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n] \le (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}T')[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n]$$

This implies that

$$\max_{(x,t)\in M\times[0,T']} t(|\nabla_b f|^2 + \alpha(f_t - af) + \beta t f_0^2) < (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}T')[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n]$$

When we fix on the set $M \times \{T'\}$, we have

$$T'(|\nabla_b f|^2 + \alpha(f_t - af) + \beta T'f_0^2) < (\frac{n}{3\beta} - \frac{a}{2}T')[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n]$$

Since T' is arbitrary, we obtain

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 + \alpha (f_t - af) + \beta t f_0^2) < (\frac{n}{3\beta t} - \frac{a}{2})[\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n]$$

Finally let $\beta \to \frac{n}{3}$, then we have

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - (1 + \frac{3}{n})(f_t - af) + \frac{n}{3}tf_0^2 < \frac{1}{t}[1 - \frac{a}{2}t][\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n].$$

This completes the proof.

When a = 0, we have the following results:

Corollary 3.2. Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1) manifold. Suppose that

$$2Ric(X,X) - (n-2)Tor(X,X) \ge 0,$$

for all $X \in T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$. If u is the positive solution of

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \triangle_b u$$

with $[\triangle_b, T] = 0$ on $M \times [0, \infty)$, let $f(x, t) = \log u(x, t)$. Then we have

$$|\nabla_b f|^2 - \left(1 + \frac{3}{n}\right)f_t + \frac{n}{3}tf_0^2 < \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{9}{n} + 6 + n\right).$$

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11201400), Project for youth teacher of Xinyang Normal University (Grant No.2014-QN-061), Nanhu Scholars Program for Young Scholars of XYNU.

References

- S. C. Chang, T. J. Kuo and S. H. Lai, Li-Yau grandient estimate and entropy formula for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudo-Hermitian manifold 3-manifold, J. Differential Geometry, 89(2011), 185–216.
- [2] S. C. Chang, T. J. Kuo and J. Z. Tie, Yau's gradient estimate and Liouville theroem for positive pseudoharmonic functions in a complete pseudo-Hermitian manifold, arXiv, 1506.03270v1 [math.Ap] 10 Jun 2015.
- [3] H. D. Cao, S. T. Yau, Harnack inequalities and estimates for heat kernels of the sum of squares of vector fields, Math. Z. 211 (1992), 485–504.
- [4] P. Li, S. T. Yau, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, Acta Math. 156(1985), 153–201.
- [5] J. M. Lee, Pseudo-Einstein structure on CR Manifold, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 157–178.
- [6] J. M. Lee, The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants, Trans. A.M.S. 296 (1986), 411–429.
- [7] G. Y. Huang, B.Q. Ma, Gradient estimates for nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifolds, Arch. Math. 94(2010), 256–275.
- [8] Y. Y. Yang, Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Reimannian manifolds, Pro. of Amer. Math. Soc. 136(2008), 4095–4102.

Author's address:

Yingbo Han

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang,464000, Henan, China. E-mail, yingbohan@163.com