# RAMSEY FUNCTIONS FOR GENERALIZED PROGRESSIONS 

Mano Vikash Janardhanan<br>School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvanathapuram, Kerala, India.<br>manovikash@iisertvm.ac.in<br>Sujith Vijay<br>School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvanathapuram, Kerala, India.<br>sujith@iisertvm.ac.in

Received: 1/25/14, Revised: 10/11/14, Accepted: 5/16/15, Published: 5/29/15


#### Abstract

Given positive integers $m$ and $k$, a $k$-term semi-progression of scope $m$ is a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that $x_{j+1}-x_{j} \in\{d, 2 d, \ldots, m d\}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, for some positive integer $d$. Thus an arithmetic progression is a semi-progression of scope 1. Let $S_{m}(k)$ denote the least integer for which every 2 -coloring of $\left\{1,2, \ldots, S_{m}(k)\right\}$ yields a monochromatic $k$-term semi-progression of scope $m$. We obtain an exponential lower bound on $S_{m}(k)$ for all $m=O(1)$. Our approach also yields a marginal improvement on the best known lower bound for the analogous Ramsey function for quasi-progressions, which are sequences whose successive differences lie in a small interval.


## 1. Introduction

In 1927, B.L. van der Waerden [6] proved that given positive integers $r$ and $k$, there exists an integer $W(r, k)$ such that any $r$-coloring of $\{1,2, \ldots, W(r, k)\}$ yields a monochromatic $k$-term arithmetic progression. Even after nearly 90 years, the gap between the lower and upper bounds on $W(r, k)$ remains enormous, with the best known lower bound of the order of $r^{k}$, whereas the best known upper bound is a five-times iterated tower of exponents (see [1]). Analogues of the Van der Waerden threshold $W(r, k)$ have been studied for many variants of arithmetic progressions, including semi-progressions and quasi-progressions (see [4]).

Let $m$ and $k$ be positive integers. A $k$-term semi-progression of scope $m$ is a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that for some positive integer $d$, we have $x_{j+1}-x_{j} \in$ $\{d, 2 d, \ldots, m d\}$. The integer $d$ is called the low-difference of the semi-progression.

We define $S_{m}(k)$ as the least integer for which any 2-coloring of $\left\{1,2, \ldots, S_{m}(k)\right\}$ yields a monochromatic $k$-term semi-progression of scope $m$. Note that $S_{m}(k) \leq$ $W(k)$ with equality if $m=1$.

## 2. An Exponential Lower Bound for $S_{m}(k)$

Landman [3] showed that $S_{m}(k) \geq\left(2 k^{2} / m\right)(1+o(1))$. We improve this to an exponential lower bound for all $m=O(1)$.

Theorem 1 Let $k \geq 3, m=O(1)$ and $\alpha=\sqrt{2^{m} /\left(2^{m}-1\right)}$. Then $S_{m}(k)>\alpha^{k}$.

Proof. Let $f(N, k, m)$ denote the number of 2-colorings of $[1, N]$ with a monochromatic $k$-term semi-progression of scope $m$. (In the remainder of the proof, we only consider $k$-term semi-progressions of scope $m$.) Note that $S_{m}(k)$ is the least integer $N$ such that $f(N, k, m)=2^{N}$. We derive an upper bound on $f(N, k, m)$ as follows.

Given a semi-progression $P=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ of low-difference $d$, we define the conjugate vector of $P$ as $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right)$ where $u_{i}=\left(a_{i+1}-a_{i}-d\right) / d$. Likewise, the frequency vector of $P$ is defined as $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$ where $v_{j}$ is the number of times $j$ occurs in the conjugate vector of $P$. Note that $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} v_{j}=k-1$. Finally, the weight of a frequency vector $\mathbf{v}$, denoted $w(\mathbf{v})$, is defined as $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j v_{j}$.

Given a coloring $\chi$, we define the ( $a, d$ )-primary semi-progression of $\chi$ as the semi-progression $P$ whose conjugate vector is lexicographically least among the conjugate vectors of all semi-progressions (with first term $a$ and low-difference $d$ ) that are monochromatic under $\chi$. Let $P=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ be a semi-progression with first term $a_{1}=a$ and low-difference $d$. We will give an upper bound for the number of colorings $\chi$ such that $P$ is the $(a, d)$-primary semi-progression of $\chi$.

Since $P$ is monochromatic, all elements of $P$ have the same color under $\chi$. Furthermore, if $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$ is the frequency vector of $P$, it follows from the fact that $P$ is the $(a, d)$-primary semi-progression of $\chi$ that $w(\mathbf{v})$ elements in the arithmetic progression $\{a, a+d, \ldots, a+m(k-1) d\}$ must be of the color different from the color of the elements of $P$. For example, let $a=17, d=5, m=3, k=6$ and $P=\{17,32,42,47,62,72\}$ with conjugate vector $(2,1,0,2,1)$. If the two colors are red and blue, and the elements of $P$ are all red, then $22,27,37,52,57$ and 67 must all be blue. Indeed, if 57 is red, then the semi-progression $P^{\prime}=\{17,32,42,47,57,62\}$ would have a lexicographically lower conjugate vector $(2,1,0,1,0)$. Thus there are at most $2^{N-11}$ colorings of $[1, N]$ whose $(a, d)$-primary semi-progression is $P$.

Note that there are at most $N^{2} /(k-1)$ choices for the pair $(a, d)$. We say that two progressions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ with the same $a$ and $d$ are equivalent if they have the
same frequency vector. Note that for any $a$ and $d$, there are at most

$$
M(\mathbf{v})=\frac{\left(v_{0}+v_{1}+\cdots+v_{m-1}\right)!}{v_{0}!v_{1}!\cdots v_{m-1}!}
$$

semi-progressions with frequency vector $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}\right)$. Adding over all the equivalence classes of semi-progressions, we obtain

$$
f(N, k, m) \leq \frac{N^{2} 2^{N-k+1}}{k-1} \sum_{\substack{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1} \geq 0 \\ v_{0}+v_{1}+, \ldots+v_{m-1}=k-1}} M(\mathbf{v}) 2^{-w(\mathbf{v})}
$$

It follows from the multinomial theorem that

$$
f(N, k, m) \leq \frac{N^{2} 2^{N}}{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2^{m}}\right)^{k-1}
$$

Thus $f(N, k, m)<2^{N}$ for $N=\alpha_{m}^{k}$ where $\alpha_{m}=\sqrt{2^{m} /\left(2^{m}-1\right)}$, completing the proof.

## 3. Exponential Lower Bounds for $Q_{n}(r, k)$

We now apply the same technique to quasi-progressions. A $k$-term quasi-progression of low difference $d$ and diameter $n$ is a sequence $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ such that $d \leq$ $a_{j+1}-a_{j} \leq d+n, 1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Let $Q_{n}(r, k)$ denote the least positive integer such that any $r$-coloring of $\left\{1,2, \ldots, Q_{n}(r, k)\right\}$ yields a monochromatic $k$-term quasi-progression of diameter $n$. It is known (see [5]) that $Q_{1}(2, k)>\beta^{k}$ where $\beta=1.08226 \ldots$ Indeed, $\beta^{4}$ can be expressed in terms of two algebraic numbers of degrees 2 and 3 , respectively, and is the smallest positive real root of the equation $y^{6}+8 y^{5}-112 y^{4}-128 y^{3}+1792 y^{2}+1024 y-4096=0$. It is also known that $Q_{n}(2, k)=O\left(k^{2}\right)$ for $n>k / 2$ (see [2]). We apply the techniques of the previous section to obtain lower bounds on $Q_{n}(r, k)$. Let $g(r, N, k, n)$ denote the number of $r$-colorings of $[1, N]$ with a monochromatic $k$-term semi-progression of diameter $n$. Note that $Q_{n}(r, k)$ is the least positive integer $N$ such that $g(r, N, k, n)=r^{N}$. We first discuss the simplest non-trivial case, namely $r=2$ and $n=1$.

Theorem 2 Let $k \geq 3$. Then $Q_{1}(2, k)>\beta_{2,1}^{k}$ where $\beta_{2,1}=\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}=1.08239 \ldots$.
Proof. We define the conjugate vector of a quasi-progression $Q=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ of low-difference $d$ as $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right)$ where $u_{i}=a_{i+1}-a_{i}-d$. Given a coloring $\chi$, we define the ( $a, d$ )-primary quasi-progression of $\chi$ as the quasi-progression $Q$ whose conjugate vector is lexicographically least among the conjugate vectors of all quasi-progressions (with first term $a$ and low-difference $d$ ) that are monochromatic
under $\chi$. Let $Q=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ be a quasi-progression with first term $a_{1}=a$ and low-difference $d$. We give an upper bound for the number of colorings $\chi$ such that $Q$ is the ( $a, d$ )-primary quasi-progression of $\chi$.

Since $Q$ is monochromatic, all elements of $Q$ have the same color under $\chi$, say red. Let $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right)$ be the conjugate vector of $Q$. Observe that if $u_{j}=1$ and $u_{j+1}=0$ for some $j$, so that $a_{j}, a_{j}+d+1$ and $a_{j}+2 d+1$ are elements of $Q$, and therefore red, it follows that the color of $a_{j}+d$ is different from red (say blue), as $\left(P \cup\left\{a_{j}+d\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{a_{j}+d+1\right\}$ has a lexicographically lower conjugate vector. We define the weight of $Q$, denoted $w(Q)$, as the sum of the last element of the conjugate vector of $Q$, and the number of occurrences of the string " 10 " in the conjugate vector of $Q$. Note that in view of the above observation, the color of $w(Q)$ integers in the set $\{a, a+d, a+d+1, \ldots, a+(k-1) d, \ldots, a+(k-1)(d+1)\}$ can be inferred to be blue.

We now derive an upper bound on $g(2, N, k, 1)$. There are $N^{2} /(k-1)$ choices for the pair $(a, d)$. Of the $2^{k-1}$ possible conjugate vectors for a quasi-progression with first term $a$ and common difference $d$, let $w_{\ell}$ be the number of conjugate vectors of weight $\ell$. Let

$$
S_{t}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lceil t / 2\rceil} w_{\ell} 2^{-\ell}
$$

denote the weighted sum of all such vectors of length $t$. Clearly, $S_{t}=S_{t, 0}+$ $S_{t, 1}$ where $S_{t, 0}$ and $S_{t, 1}$ denote the weighted sum of conjugate vectors that begin with 0 and 1 respectively, with $S_{1,0}=1$ and $S_{1,1}=1 / 2$. It is easy to see that $A\left[S_{t-1,0} S_{t-1,1}\right]^{T}=\left[S_{t, 0} S_{t, 1}\right]^{T}$ where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\lambda_{\max }(A)=1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we get

$$
g(2, N, k, 1)<\frac{N^{2} 2^{N-k+1}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k}+\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k}\right]}{2(k-1)}
$$

Thus $g(2, N, k, 1)<2^{N}$ for $N=\beta_{2,1}^{k}$ where $\beta_{2,1}=\sqrt{4-2 \sqrt{2}}=1.08239 \ldots$ is the smallest positive real root of the equation $y^{4}-8 y^{2}+8=0$. It follows that $Q_{1}(2, k)>\beta_{2,1}^{k}$ yielding a tiny improvement over the lower bound in [5].

In general, since there are $r^{N} r$-colorings of $[1, N]$ and at most $N^{2}(n+1)^{k-1}$ $k$-term quasi-progressions of diameter $n$, a lower bound of the form $Q_{n}(r, k) \geq$ $(\sqrt{r /(n+1)})^{k}$ follows immediately from the linearity of expectation. However, this bound is only useful when $n \leq r-2$. Generalizing the approach outlined earlier,
we represent the conjugate vector of $Q$ as an $r$-ary string, and define the weight $w(Q)$ as the sum of the last element of the conjugate vector of $Q$, and the number of occurrences of strings of length two of the form " $x y$ ", counted with multiplicity $m(x, y)=\min (x, n-y)$. (Note that $m(x, y)$ denotes the number of conjugate vectors that are lexicographically lower than the given vector and correspond to quasi-progressions that differ from $Q$ in exactly one element.)

As before, let $S_{t, j}$ denote the weighted sum of conjugate vectors of length $t$ beginning with $j, 0 \leq j \leq n$, with $S_{1, j}=\alpha^{j}$ for all $j$ where $\alpha=1-\frac{1}{r}$. Then $A\left[S_{t, 0} \cdots S_{t, n}\right]^{T}=\left[S_{t+1,0} \cdots S_{t+1, n}\right]^{T}$ where

$$
A_{r, n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
\alpha & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha & 1 \\
\alpha^{2} & \alpha^{2} & \cdots & \alpha & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha^{n} & \alpha^{n-1} & \cdots & \alpha & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that the $(i, j)^{t h}$ entry of the matrix $A_{r, n}$ is $\alpha^{m(i-1, j-1)}=\alpha^{\min (i-1, n+1-j)}$. Then $Q_{n}(r, k)>\beta^{k}$ where $\beta=\beta_{r, n}=\sqrt{r / \lambda_{\max }\left(A_{r, n}\right)}$. Note that for each $r$, there are only finitely many values for which $\beta_{r, n}>1$. The first few such values are shown in the following table.

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{2, n}$ | 1.08239 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| $\beta_{3, n}$ | 1.28511 | 1.11226 | 1.02236 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| $\beta_{4, n}$ | 1.46410 | 1.24686 | 1.12770 | 1.05338 | 1.00384 | $<1$ |
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