

MIXED SUMS OF TRIANGULAR NUMBERS AND CERTAIN BINARY QUADRATIC FORMS

Kazuhide Matsuda

Faculty of Fundamental Science, National Institute of Technology, Niihama College, Ehime, Japan. matsuda@sci.niihama-nct.ac.jp

Received: 10/6/14, Revised: 9/16/15, Accepted: 10/27/15, Published: 11/13/15

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for d = 3, ..., 8, every natural number can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + dt_w$, where x, y, z, and w are nonnegative integers and $t_k = k(k+1)/2$ (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) is a triangular number. Furthermore, we study mixed sums of triangular numbers and certain binary quadratic forms.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, and denote the set of squares by $\{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A triangular number is defined as $t_x = x(x+1)/2$, where $x \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, for positive integers $j, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d_{j,k}(n)$ denote the number of positive divisors d of n such that $d \equiv j \pmod{k}$.

A well-known result of Gauss states that every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as a sum of three triangular numbers; that is, $n = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3$, where Δ_j $(1 \le j \le 3)$ is a triangular number. In 1862 and 1863, Liouville [7, 8] proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. (Liouville) Let a, b, and c be positive integers with $a \leq b \leq c$. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $at_x + bt_y + ct_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if (a, b, c)is among the following vectors:

(1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 4), (1, 1, 5), (1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 4).

In this paper, we prove that $n = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3 + d\Delta_4$, where $3 \le d \le 8$ and Δ_j $(1 \le j \le 4)$ is a triangular number. To prove this conjecture, we use the results of Barrucand et al. [2] and Adiga et al. [1], which were obtained using Ramanujan's theory of theta functions. For $q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that |q| < 1, we introduce

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}, \ \psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2}, \ a(q) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2 + mn + n^2}.$$

Note that Williams [10] determined the number of representations of $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + 2(\Delta_3 + \Delta_4)$ using an entirely arithmetic method. Our main theorems are as follows:

Theorem 1.2.

- (1) For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $3 \leq d \leq 8$, every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be represented as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + dt_w$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (2) Let a, b, c, and d be positive integers with $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if (a, b, c, d) is among the following vectors:

(1, 1, 1, d), (1, 1, 2, d), (1, 1, 4, d), (1, 1, 5, d), (1, 2, 2, d), (1, 2, 3, d), (1, 2, 4, d), (1, 1, 3, 3), (1, 1, 3, 4), (1, 1, 3, 5), (1, 1, 3, 6), (1, 1, 3, 7), (1, 1, 3, 8).

Theorem 1.3. For fixed positive integers a and c, set

$$g_c^a(x, y, z) = at_x + c(y^2 + yz + z^2), \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{N}_0, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- (1) The form g_c^a represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if (a, c) = (1, 1).
- (2) If the form g_c^a represents n = 1, 2, 4, 8, it represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 1.4. For fixed positive integers, a, b, and c with $a \leq b$, set

$$g_{c}^{a,b}(x,y,z,w) = at_{x} + bt_{y} + c(z^{2} + zw + w^{2}), \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \ z, w \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(1) The form $g_c^{a,b}$ represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if

$$(a,b,c) = \begin{cases} (1,b,1), & (b \in \mathbb{N}), \\ (2,b,1), & (b = 2,3,4,5,6,7,8), \\ (1,b,2), & (b = 1,2,3,4), \\ (1,2,3), & \\ (1,b,4), & (b = 1,2), \\ (1,1,5). & \end{cases}$$

(2) If the form $g_c^{a,b}$ represents n = 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, it represents all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notation that will be used throughout our paper. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 for d = 3, 6, 7, 8, and in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we apply Theorem 1.2 to obtain the sufficiency of Theorem 1.4(1). In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.4.

INTEGERS: 15 (2015)

Remark 1

For d = 4, 5, Theorem 1.2(1) follows from Theorem 1.1 of Liouville.

Remark 2

For fixed positive integers, a, b, and c, set

$$f^{a,b,c}(x,y,z) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2, \ f^a_c(x,y,z) = ax^2 + c(y^2 + yz + z^2),$$

for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [5, p. 104], Dickson proved that there exist no positive integers a, b, and c such that $f^{a,b,c}$ can represent all nonnegative integers. In [9], we showed that there exist no positive integers a and c such that f_c^a represents all nonnegative integers.

Remark 3

For fixed positive integers a, b, and c, set

$$f_c^{a,b}(x,y,z) = ax^2 + by^2 + c(z^2 + zw + w^2),$$

for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [9], we determined (a, b, c), where $a \leq b$, such that $f_c^{a,b}$ represents all nonnegative integers.

2. Notation and Preliminary Results

2.1. Notation

For fixed positive integers a, b, c, and d and each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define

$$\begin{split} r_{a,b,c}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid n = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \}, \\ r_{a,b,c,d}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid n = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dw^2 \}, \\ t_{a,b,c}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \mid n = at_x + bt_y + ct_z \}, \\ t_{a,b,c,d}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^4 \mid n = at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w \}, \\ m_{a-b,c}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0^2 \mid n = ax^2 + bt_y + ct_z \}, \\ m_{a,b-c}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \mid n = ax^2 + by^2 + ct_z \}, \\ A_c^a(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid n = ax^2 + c(y^2 + yz + z^2) \}, \\ A_c^{a,b}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid n = ax^2 + by^2 + c(z^2 + zw + w^2) \}, \\ B_c^a(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n = at_x + c(y^2 + yz + z^2) \}, \\ B_c^{a,b}(n) &= \sharp \{(x,y,z,w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n = at_x + bt_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2) \}. \end{split}$$

2.2. Ramanujan's Theory of Theta Functions

From Baruah, Cooper, and Hirschhorn [3], recall that

$$\varphi(q) = \varphi(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8), \qquad (2.1)$$

$$\varphi(q)^2 = \varphi(q^2)^2 + 4q\psi(q^4)^2, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\varphi(q)\psi(q^{2}) = \psi(q)^{2}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{3}) = q(q^{4}) + 2q\psi(q^{2})q\psi(q^{6}) \qquad (2.4)$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) = a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \qquad (2.4)$$

$$a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) + 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \qquad (2.5)$$

$$a(q) = a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^0).$$
(2.6)

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

3.1. Preliminary Results

Using Ramanujan's theory of theta functions, Adiga, Cooper, and Han [1] proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

$$r_{1,1,3}(8n+5) = 16t_{1,1,3}(n).$$

Proof. For a detailed proof, see Barrucand et al. [2] and Adiga et al. [1]. \Box

Dickson [5, p. 112-113] proved the following result:

Theorem 3.2.

- (1) A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 9^k(9l+6)$ for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (2) Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 + 3w^2$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the following theorem is obtained:

Theorem 3.3. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if n satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (1) $n \not\equiv 5, 8 \pmod{9}$,
- (2) $n \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$, $8n + 5 = 9^k (8N + 5)$, and $N \not\equiv 5, 8 \pmod{9}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, note that $n = t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if and only if $8n + 5 \neq 9^k(9l + 6)$ where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, $8n + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ if and only if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$.

First, consider the case when $n \not\equiv 5 \pmod{9}$. From Theorem 3.2, condition (1) is obtained because $8n + 5 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$ if and only if $n \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$.

Next, we consider the case when $n \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$ and n = 9N + 5 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which implies 8n + 5 = 9(8N + 5). Therefore, we set $8n + 5 = 9^k(8N' + 5)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $N' \not\equiv 5 \pmod{9}$. From Theorem 3.2 and the discussion in the first paragraph, we obtain condition (2).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 3

3.2.1. Preliminary Results

Before proving Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 3, we first obtain a useful number theoretic property for $t_{1,1,3,3}(n)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2^kN + (2^k - 1)) = 2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(N),$$

which implies $t_{1,1,3,3}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ if $n \equiv -1 \pmod{2^k}$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.6) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$ yields

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n) q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,3}(N) q^{2N} + 6 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N) q^{2N+1}.$$
 (3.1)

Multiplying both sides of (2.5) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$ yields

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6) + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2.$$

From (2.3), we obtain

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q)^2\psi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}.$$
 (3.2)

From (3.1) and (3.2), note that

$$B_1^{2,6}(2N+1) = 6t_{1,1,3,3}(N) = t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) + 4t_{1,1,3,3}(N),$$

which implies

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) = 2t_{1,1,3,3}(N).$$
(3.3)

Using induction, we complete the proof of the theorem. Clearly, for k = 1, the theorem holds. Now, suppose the theorem holds for k. In this case,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}N + (2^{k+1} - 1)) = t_{1,1,3,3} \left(2^k \cdot (2N+1) + (2^k - 1) \right)$$

= $2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1)$
= $2^{k+1} t_{1,1,3,3}(N).$

From Baruah, Cooper, and Hirschhorn [3], recall the following result: **Theorem 3.5.** (Baruah, Cooper and Hirschhorn) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1) & \text{if n is even,} \\ \frac{1}{8}\{r_{1,1,3,3}(2n+2) - r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1)\} & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3.2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 3

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, first note that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r_{1,1,3,3}(N) > 0$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even and n = 2N for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by Theorem 3.5, we obtain

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = t_{1,1,3,3}(2N) = \frac{1}{4}r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1) > 0.$$

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd and $n+1 = 2^k(2N+1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

$$n = 2^k \cdot (2N) + (2^k - 1).$$

From Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, it follows that

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = t_{1,1,3,3} \left(2^k \cdot (2N) + (2^k - 1) \right) = 2^k t_{1,1,3,3}(2N) = \frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N + 1) > 0.$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 3, we can improve Theorem 3.5 of Baruah, Cooper, and Hirschhorn [3] when n is an odd number.

Corollary 3.1.

(1) Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even and n = 2N for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \frac{1}{4}r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

(2) Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd and $n+1 = 2^k(2N+1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Corollary 3.2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1)) = r_{1,1,3,3}(2(2N+1)) + 4(2^{k-1}-1)r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Proof. For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set

$$n+1 = 2^k (2N+1),$$

which implies $n = 2^k \cdot (2N) + 2^k - 1$.

By Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.1 (2), it follows that

$$t_{1,1,3,3}(n) = \frac{1}{8} \{ r_{1,1,3,3}(2n+2) - r_{1,1,3,3}(n+1) \}$$

= $\frac{1}{8} \{ r_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}(2N+1)) - r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1)) \}$
= $\frac{2^k}{4} r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1),$

which implies

$$r_{1,1,3,3}(2^{k+1}(2N+1)) = r_{1,1,3,3}(2^k(2N+1)) + 2^{k+1}r_{1,1,3,3}(2N+1).$$

Solving this recurrence relation with respect to k completes the proof.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 6

Proof. From Theorem 3.3, we only need to prove that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + 6t_w$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if $n \equiv 5 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{9}$.

When $n \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$, taking w = 1 yields

$$n - 6t_1 \equiv 8 - 6 \cdot 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{9},$$

which implies from Theorem 3.3 that $n - 6t_1$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

When $n \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$, by Theorem 3.3, we assume that

$$8n + 5 = 9^k(8N + 5), \ k, N \in \mathbb{N}, \ N \equiv 8 \pmod{9}.$$

Taking w = 2, note that $n - 6t_2 = n - 6 \cdot 3 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$ and

$$\begin{split} 8(n-6t_2) + 5 = &8n + 5 - 8 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 \\ = &9^k(8N+5) - 8 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 \\ = &9\left\{9^{k-1}(8N+5) - 8 \cdot 2\right\} \\ = &9\left\{8\left(9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2\right) + 5\right\}. \end{split}$$

When k = 1,

$$9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2 \equiv N - 2 \equiv 8 - 2 \equiv 6 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $n - 6t_2$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

When $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$9^{k-1}N + \frac{9^{k-1} \cdot 5 - 5}{8} - 2 \equiv -8 \cdot 5 - 2 \equiv 3 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $n - 6t_2$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (1) for d = 7, 8

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we are reduced to proving that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z + dt_w$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if $n \equiv 5 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{9}$.

Taking w = 1, we have

$$n - d \cdot t_1 \not\equiv 5, 8 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $n - d \cdot t_1$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 3t_z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (2)

Proof. Suppose that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $at_x + bt_y + ct_z + dt_w$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Taking n = 1, 2 yields

$$(a,b) = (1,1), (1,2).$$

First, we consider the case when (a, b) = (1, 2). Choosing n = 4 implies

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 2, 2, d), (1, 2, 3, d), (1, 2, 4, d).$$

Next, we consider the case when (a, b) = (1, 1). If n = 5, then c = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, which implies

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 1, d), (1, 1, 2, d), (1, 1, 3, d), (1, 1, 4, d), (1, 1, 5, d).$$

When (a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 3, d), taking n = 8 yields

$$(a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 3, 3), (1, 1, 3, 4), (1, 1, 3, 5), (1, 1, 3, 6), (1, 1, 3, 7), (1, 1, 3, 8).$$

Thus, the necessary conditions are obtained; sufficiency follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (1). $\hfill \Box$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1. Preliminary Results

First, note that for each positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sharp\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | n = x^2 + xy + y^2\} = 6(d_{1,3}(n) - d_{2,3}(n)).$$
(4.1)

To prove this formula, we refer to Berndt [4, p. 79]. Formula (4.1) implies n = 2, 5, 6, 8 cannot be expressed as $x^2 + xy + y^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Next, note that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $x^2 + 3y^2 + t_z$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0$, which was proven by Guo, Pan, and Sun [6].

Finally, consider the following formula from Baruah, Cooper, and Hirschhorn [3]:

$$a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3) + 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6), \qquad (4.2)$$

where

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}, \ \psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)/2}, \ a(q) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2 + mn + n^2}.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. If n = 1, then a = 1 or c = 1. Taking n = 2, we obtain

$$(a,c) = (1,1), (1,2), (2,1).$$

Choosing n = 4 implies $(a, c) \neq (1, 2)$; choosing n = 8 implies $(a, c) \neq (2, 1)$. From (4.2), we have

$$\psi(q)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q) + 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^1(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{1,3-1}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,2,6}(N)q^{N+1}.$$

From a result of Guo, Pan, and Sun [6], it follows that $m_{1,3-1}(n) > 0$. Therefore, $B_1^1(n) > 0$, which means that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + (y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$; thus, Theorem 1.3 (1) holds.

Theorem 1.3(2) follows from the above discussion.

5. Applications of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.2 is used to prove the following theorems; in particular, to prove Theorem 5.1, we use the fact that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + t_y + 3(t_z + t_w)$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq b \leq 8$. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $2t_x + bt_y + (z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + bt_y + 2(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Theorem 5.3. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + 2t_y + 3(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Theorem 5.4. Let b = 1, 2. Then every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + bt_y + 4(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Theorem 5.5. Every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.1

5.1.1. Preliminary Results

Consider the following result by Dickson [5, p. 112-113]:

Lemma 5.1. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 4y^2 + 12z^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2$, 4l + 3, $9^k(9l + 6)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Lemma 5.1 gives rise to the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2+4(y^2+yz+z^2)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l+2$, 4l+3, $9^k(9l+6)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Replacing q by q^4 in (2.6) and (2.5) yields

$$\begin{split} a(q^4) = & a(q^{16}) + 6q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}), \\ \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) = & a(q^{16}) + 2q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}). \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$ results in

$$\varphi(q)a(q^4) = \varphi(q)a(q^{16}) + 6q^4\varphi(q)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{16}) + 2q^4\varphi(q)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}), \tag{5.2}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_4^1(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n + 6q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-8,24}(N)q^N,$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,4,12}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^1(n)q^n + 2q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-8,24}(N)q^N.$$

Therefore, it follows that $n \neq 4l+2$, 4l+3, $9^k(9l+6)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if and only if $r_{1,4,12}(n) > 0$, if and only if $A_{16}^1(n) > 0$ or $m_{1-8,24}(n-4) > 0$, and if and only if $A_4^1(n) > 0$, which proves the proposition.

Using Proposition 5.1, we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.2. A nonnegative integer $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + (y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if either of the following occurs:

- (1) $N \not\equiv 2, 8 \pmod{9}$,
- (2) $N \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$ and $4N+1 = 9^k (4N'+1), N' \neq 2, 8 \pmod{9}, k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0.$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $a(q^4)$ yields

$$\varphi(q)a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^4),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_4^1(n) q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_1^1(N) q^{4N} + 2 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_1^2(N) q^{4N+1}.$$

We then obtain

 $B_1^2(N) > 0$ if and only if $A_4^1(4N+1) > 0$.

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.1 and the facts that

$$4N + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$

and

$$4N + 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$.

5.1.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1 for $b \neq 3, 6$

Proof. Because of Proposition 5.2, we are reduced to proving that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + bt_y + (z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2$ or $8 \pmod{9}$.

Suppose b = 2. When $N \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$, taking y = 2 results in $N - 2 \cdot 3 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_1^2(N - 2 \cdot t_2) > 0$. When $N \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$, taking y = 1 results in $N - 2 \cdot 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_1^2(N - 2 \cdot t_1) > 0$.

Next, suppose b = 4, 5, 7. Taking y = 1 yields $N - b \cdot 1 \not\equiv 2, 8 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_1^2(N - b \cdot t_1) > 0$.

Suppose b = 8. When $N \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$, taking y = 1 results in $N - 8 \cdot 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_1^2(N - 8 \cdot t_1) > 0$. When N = 8, 17, 26, 35, 44, we take

$$(x, y, z, w) = (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 3, 0), (1, 1, 4, 0), (0, 1, 3, 3), (0, 1, 6, 0).$$

When $N \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ and N > 44, taking y = 3 yields $N - 8 \cdot 6 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_1^2(N - 8 \cdot t_3) > 0$.

5.1.3. Proof of Theorem 5.1 for b = 3

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, it suffices to show that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $2t_x + 3t_y + (z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{9}$.

First, consider the case when $N \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$. By Proposition 5.2, we assume that $4N + 1 = 9^k (4N' + 1)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $N' \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$. Taking y = 2 results in

$$N - 3 \cdot t_2 = N - 3 \cdot 3 \equiv 2 \pmod{9}.$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4(N-3\cdot t_2) + 1 &= 4(N-3\cdot 3) + 1 \\ &= 4N+1-36 \\ &= 9^k(4N'+1) - 36 \\ &= 9\left\{4\left(9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1}-1}{4} - 1\right) + 1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

When k = 1,

$$9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1} - 1}{4} - 1 \equiv 8 - 1 \equiv 7 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $B_1^2(N - 3 \cdot t_2) > 0$.

When $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$9^{k-1}N' + \frac{9^{k-1}-1}{4} - 1 \equiv 2 - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $B_1^2(N - 3 \cdot t_2) > 0$.

Next, we consider the case when $N \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$. Taking y = 1 yields

$$N - 3 \cdot t_1 = N - 3 \equiv 5 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $B_1^2(N - 3 \cdot t_1) > 0$.

5.1.4. Proof of Theorem 5.1 for b = 6

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.5) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$ results in

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \varphi(q)\varphi(q^3)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6) + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2.$$

Using (2.3) we obtain

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q)^2\psi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(n)q^n + 4\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N)q^{2N+1}.$$
 (5.3)

Theorem 1.2 implies $t_{1,1,3,3}(n) > 0$, which proves Theorem 5.1 for b = 6.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.2

5.2.1. Preliminary Results

Consider the following result by Dickson [5, p. 112]:

Lemma 5.2. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 16y^2 + 48z^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 8l + 5, 16l + 8, 16l + 12, 9^k(9l + 6)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 5.3. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 16(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 8l + 5, 16l + 8, 16l + 12, 9^k(9l + 6)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Replacing q by q^{16} in (2.6) and (2.4) results in

$$\begin{split} a(q^{16}) = & a(q^{64}) + 6q^{16}\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \\ \varphi(q^{16})\varphi(q^{48}) = & a(q^{64}) + 2q^{16}\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}). \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$ yields

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{16}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{64}) + 6q^{16}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{16})\varphi(q^{48}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{64}) + 2q^{16}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{32})\psi(q^{96}), \tag{5.5}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{64}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 6q^{16} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-32,96}(N)q^{N},$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,16,48}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{64}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 2q^{16} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-32,96}(N)q^{N}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{split} r_{1,16,48}(n) > 0 \, \text{if and only if} \, A_{64}^1(n) > 0 \, \text{or} \, m_{1\text{-}32,96}(n-16) > 0, \\ & \text{if and only if} \, A_{16}^1(n) > 0, \end{split}$$

which proves the proposition.

Proposition 5.4. A nonnegative integer $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 2(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if either of the following occurs:

- (1) $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$,
- (2) $N \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ and $8N + 1 = 9^k (8N' + 1), k \in \mathbb{N}, N' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $N' \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $a(q^{16})$ yields

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{16}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{16}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{16}),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{16}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_{4}^{1}(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_{2}^{1}(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B_2^1(N) > 0$$
 if and only if $A_{16}^1(8N+1) > 0$.

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.3 and the facts that

$$8N + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$

and

$$8N + 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$.

_	

5.2.2. Proof of Theorem 5.2 for $b \neq 3$

Proof. From Proposition 5.4, it suffices to show that if $N \equiv 1$ or $4 \pmod{9}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + bt_y + 2(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. Assume that $N \equiv 1$ or $4 \pmod{9}$.

For b = 1, 2, or 4, taking y = 1 yields $N - b \cdot t_1 \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$, which implies $B_2^1(N - b \cdot t_1) > 0$.

5.2.3. Proof of Theorem 5.2 for b = 3

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.6) by $\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)$ results in

$$\psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q) = \psi(q^2)\psi(q^6)a(q^4) + 6q\psi(q^2)^2\psi(q^6)^2,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_1^{2,6}(n) q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,3}(N) q^{2N} + 6 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,1,3,3}(N) q^{2N+1}.$$
 (5.6)

Theorem 5.1 implies $B_1^{2,6}(n) > 0$, which allows us to conclude that $B_2^{1,3}(N) > 0$. \Box

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.3

5.3.1. Preliminary Results

Consider the following result by Dickson [5, p. 113]:

Lemma 5.3. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 24y^2 + 72z^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 3l + 2, 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 9l + 3, 4^k(8l + 5)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 5.5. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 24(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 3l + 2, 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 9l + 3, 4^k(8l + 5)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Replacing q by q^{24} in (2.6) and (2.4) implies

$$\begin{split} a(q^{24}) = & a(q^{96}) + 6q^{24}\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \\ \varphi(q^{24})\varphi(q^{72}) = & a(q^{96}) + 2q^{24}\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}). \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$ results in

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{24}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{96}) + 6q^{24}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{24})\varphi(q^{72}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{96}) + 2q^{24}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{48})\psi(q^{144}), \tag{5.8}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{24}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{96}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 6q^{24} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-48,144}(N)q^{N},$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,24,72}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{96}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 2q^{24} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-48,144}(N)q^{N}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,24,72}(n) > 0 \text{ if and only if } A_{96}^1(n) > 0 \text{ or } m_{1\text{-}48,144}(n-24) > 0, \\ \text{ if and only if } A_{24}^1(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition.

Proposition 5.5 gives rise to the following proposition:

Proposition 5.6. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x+3(y^2+yz+z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if $n \not\equiv 2, 5, 7, 8 \pmod{9}$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $a(q^{24})$ results in

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{24}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{24}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{24}),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{24}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_{6}^{1}(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_{3}^{1}(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B_3^1(N) > 0$$
 if and only if $A_{24}^1(8N+1) > 0$.

Therefore, the proposition follows from Proposition 5.5 and the facts that

 $8N + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ if and only if $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

and

$$8N + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$.

5.3.2. Proof of Theorem 5.3

Proof. From Proposition 5.6, it suffices to show that $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + 2t_y + 3(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \equiv 2, 5, 7 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{9}$. When $N \equiv 2, 5 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{9}$, taking y = 1 yields

$$N - 2 \cdot t_1 \equiv 0, 3, \text{ or } 6 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $B_3^1(N - 2 \cdot t_1) > 0.$

When $N \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$, taking y = 2 yields

$$N - 2 \cdot t_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{9},$$

which implies $B_3^1(N - 2 \cdot t_2) > 0$.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.4

5.4.1. Proof of Theorem 5.4 for b = 1

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.3) by $a(q^4)$ results in

$$\begin{split} \psi(q)^2 a(q^4) = &\varphi(q)\psi(q^2)a(q^4) \\ = &(\varphi(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8))\psi(q^2)a(q^4), \text{ by } (2.1), \\ = &\varphi(q^4)\psi(q^2)a(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^8)a(q^4), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_4^{1,1}(n) q^n = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} M_2^{2-1}(N) q^{2N} + 2 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_2^{1,4}(N) q^{2N+1},$$
(5.9)

where

$$M_2^{2-1}(N) = \sharp \left\{ (x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid N = 2x^2 + t_y + 2(z^2 + zw + w^2) \right\}$$

If n is odd and n = 2N + 1, by Theorem 5.2,

$$B_4^{1,1}(2N+1) = 2B_2^{1,4}(N) > 0.$$

Consider the case when n is even. By (5.9),

$$B_4^{1,1}(2N) = M_2^{2-1}(N).$$

If $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}$, using Proposition 5.4, we obtain $M_2^{2-1}(N) > 0$. If $N \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{9}$, taking x = 1 yields

$$N - 2x^2 \equiv 8 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{9}$$
, giving $B_2^1(N - 2 \cdot 1^2) > 0$, which implies $M_2^{2-1}(N) > 0$.

5.4.2. Proof of Theorem 5.4 for b = 2

Proof. Replacing q by q^4 in (2.5) yields

$$a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12}) + 4q^4\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}).$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by $\psi(q)\psi(q^2)$ results in

$$\psi(q)\psi(q^2)a(q^4) = \varphi(q^4)\varphi(q^{12})\psi(q)\psi(q^2) + 4q^4\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{24}),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_4^{1,2}(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{4,12-1,2}(n)q^n + 4q^4 \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} t_{1,2,8,24}(N)q^N,$$

where

$$m_{4,12-1,2}(n) = \sharp \left\{ (x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0^2 \, | \, n = 4x^2 + 12y^2 + t_z + 2t_w \right\}.$$

From Guo, Pan, and Sun [6], recall that every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $4x^2 + 2t_y + t_z$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_0^2$, which implies that

$$m_{4,12-1,2}(n) > 0$$
, which gives $B_4^{1,2}(n) > 0$.

5.5. Proof of Theorem 5.5

5.5.1. Preliminary Results

Consider the following result by Dickson [5, p. 113]:

Lemma 5.4. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 40y^2 + 120z^2$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 9^k(9l + 6), 25^k(5l \pm 2), 4^k(8l + 5)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Using Lemma 5.4, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 5.7. A nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $x^2 + 40(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $n \neq 4l + 2, 4l + 3, 9^k(9l + 6), 25^k(5l \pm 2), 4^k(8l + 5)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Replacing q by q^{40} in (2.6) and (2.4) results in

$$\begin{split} a(q^{40}) =& a(q^{160}) + 6q^{40}\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \\ \varphi(q^{40})\varphi(q^{120}) =& a(q^{160}) + 2q^{40}\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}). \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides of these equations by $\varphi(q)$ yields

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{40}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{160}) + 6q^{40}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\varphi(q)\varphi(q^{40})\varphi(q^{120}) = \varphi(q)a(q^{160}) + 2q^{40}\varphi(q)\psi(q^{80})\psi(q^{240}), \qquad (5.11)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{40}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{160}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 6q^{40} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-80,240}(N)q^{N},$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{1,40,120}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{160}^{1}(n)q^{n} + 2q^{40} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} m_{1-80,240}(N)q^{N}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,40,120}(n) &> 0 \text{ if and only if } A^1_{160}(n) > 0 \text{ or } m_{1\text{-}80,240}(n-40) > 0, \\ &\text{ if and only if } A^1_{40}(n) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the proposition.

Proposition 5.5 gives rise to the following proposition:

Proposition 5.8. A nonnegative integer $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 5(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if $8N + 1 \neq 9^k(9l + 6), 25^k(5l \pm 2)$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$; in particular, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be written as $t_x + 5(y^2 + yz + z^2)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{9}, N \not\equiv 2, 4 \pmod{5}$, and $N \not\equiv 3 \pmod{25}$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $a(q^{40})$ yields

$$\varphi(q)a(q^{40}) = \varphi(q^4)a(q^{40}) + 2q\psi(q^8)a(q^{40}),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{40}^{1}(n)q^{n} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} A_{10}^{1}(N)q^{4N} + 2\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_{5}^{1}(N)q^{8N+1}.$$

We then obtain

$$B_5^1(N) > 0$$
 if and only if $A_{40}^1(8N+1) > 0$.

The second statement follows from the facts that

$$8N + 1 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 6 \pmod{9}$$
 if and only if $N \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{9}$.

 $8N + 1 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ if and only if $N \equiv 2 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{5}$,

and

 $8N + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$ if and only if $N \equiv 3 \pmod{25}$.

5.5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.5

Proof. By Proposition 5.8, it suffices to show that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ can be expressed as $t_x + t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ if n satisfies one of the following conditions:

(i) $n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{9}$,

(ii) $n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{5}$,

(iii) $n \equiv 3 \pmod{25}$.

First, suppose that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ and n = 9N + 1 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $N \not\equiv 1, 3 \pmod{5}$ and $N \not\equiv 17 \pmod{25}$, taking x = 1 yields

$$n - t_1 = 9N \equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \neq 2, 4 \pmod{5}, \neq 3 \pmod{25},$$

which implies $n - t_1$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $N \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, taking x = 4 yields

$$n - t_4 = 9N - 9 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

which implies $n - t_4$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $N \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, taking x = 2 results in

$$n - t_2 = 9N - 2 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

which implies $n - t_2$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If $N \equiv 17 \pmod{25}$, taking x = 2 results in

$$n - t_2 = 9N - 2 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}, \equiv 1 \pmod{5},$$

which implies $n - t_2$ can be written as $t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Now, suppose $n \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ and n = 9N + 4 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In the same way, we prove that n can be written as $t_x + t_y + 5(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $N \not\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ and $N \not\equiv 0 \pmod{25}$, we take x = 1. If $N \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, we take x = 7. If $N \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, we take x = 4. Finally, if $N \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$, we take x = 3.

Suppose $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ and n = 5N + 2 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $N \not\equiv 0, 6 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 1. If $N \equiv 0$ or $6 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 3.

Next, assume $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ and n = 5N + 4 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $N \not\equiv 0, 6 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 3. If $N \equiv 0$ or $6 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 7.

Finally, suppose $n \equiv 3 \pmod{25}$ and n = 25N + 3 for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $N \not\equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 2. If $N \equiv 4 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{9}$, we take x = 4.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

6.1. Proof of Necessary Conditions

Proof. For fixed positive integers a, b, and c with $a \leq b$, suppose every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $at_x + bt_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$.

First, assume that c = 1. Taking n = 2 yields a = 1 or 2. If a = 1, by Theorem 1.3, we see that b is arbitrary. If a = 2, taking n = 8 yields $2 \le b \le 8$.

Suppose c = 2. Taking n = 1 results in a = 1. The choice of n = 4 implies $1 \le b \le 4$.

Next, assume that c = 3. Taking n = 1 results in a = 1. Choosing n = 2 implies b = 1 or 2. Taking n = 8 implies b = 2.

Suppose c = 4. Taking n = 1, we have a = 1. Choosing n = 2 implies b = 1 or 2. Assume c = 5. Taking n = 1 yields a = 1. Choosing n = 2 implies b = 1 or 2, and taking n = 4 implies b = 1.

Finally, suppose $c \ge 6$. Taking n = 1 results in a = 1. Choosing n = 2 implies b = 1 or 2; taking n = 4 implies b = 1. On the other hand, n = 5 cannot be expressed as $t_x + t_y + c(z^2 + zw + w^2)$ for $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$, which is a contradiction. \Box

6.2. Proof of Sufficient Conditions

Proof. Sufficiency follows from Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5. Note that Theorem 1.4 (2) follows from the proof of the necessary conditions. \Box

Acknowledgment We are grateful for Professor K. S. Williams and Professor B.

Landman for their useful comments. We also would like to thank the referee for recommending various improvements.

References

- C. Adiga, S. Cooper and J. H. Han, A general relation between sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers, Int. J. Number Theory 1 (2005), 175–182.
- [2] P. Barrucand, S. Cooper and M. Hirschhorn, *Relations between squares and triangles*, Discrete Math. 248 (2002), 245–247.
- [3] N. D. Baruah, S. Cooper and M. Hirschhorn, Sums of squares and sums of triangular numbers induced by partitions of 8, Int. J. Number Theory 4 (2008), 525–538.
- [4] B. C. Berndt, Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [5] L. E. Dickson, Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1939.
- [6] S. Guo, H. Pan and Z. W. Sun, Mixed sums of squares and triangular numbers (II), Integers 7 (2007), #A56.
- [7] J. Liouville, Théorèmes concemant les nombres triangulaires, J. Math. Pures Appl. 7 (1862), 407–408.
- [8] J. Liouville, Nouveaux théorèmes concemant les nombres triangulaires, J. Math. Pures Appl. 8 (1863), 73–84.
- [9] K. Matsuda, On certain quaternary quadratic forms, preprint.
- [10] K. S. Williams, $n = \Delta + \Delta + 2(\Delta + \Delta)$, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 11 (2003), 233–240.