

# AN IMPROVED UPPER BOUND FOR RAMANUJAN PRIMES

Anitha Srinivasan

Dept. of Mathematics, Saint Louis University – Madrid Campus, Madrid, Spain asriniv2@slu.edu

> John W. Nicholson Decatur, Mississippi

reddwarf2956@yahoo.com

Received: 12/2/14, Revised: 10/28/15, Accepted: 12/2/15, Published: 12/11/15

#### Abstract

For  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Ramanujan prime is defined as the least positive integer  $R_n$  such that for all  $x \geq R_n$ , the interval  $(\frac{x}{2}, x]$  has at least n primes. If  $\alpha = 2n\left(1 + \frac{3}{\log n + \log_2 n - 4}\right)$ , then we show that  $R_n < p_{[\alpha]}$  for all n > 241, where  $p_i$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> prime. This bound improves upon all previous bounds for large n.

## 1. Introduction

For  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Ramanujan prime is defined as the least positive integer  $R_n$ , such that for all  $x \geq R_n$ , the interval  $(\frac{x}{2}, x]$  has at least n primes. Note that by the minimality condition,  $R_n$  is prime and the interval  $(\frac{R_n}{2}, R_n]$  contains exactly nprimes. Let  $p_n$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  prime. Sondow [3] showed that  $p_{2n} < R_n < p_{4n}$  for all n and conjectured that  $R_n < p_{3n}$  for all n. This conjecture was proved by Laishram [2] and subsequently Sondow, Nicholson and Noe [4] improved Laishram's result by showing that  $R_n < \frac{41}{47}p_{3n}$ . Axler [1, Proposition 3.24] showed that for  $t > \frac{48}{19}$  we have  $R_n \leq p_{[tn]}$  for all n, where [x] denotes the integer part of x. In [5] it was shown that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer N such that  $R_n < p_{[2n(1+\epsilon)]}$  for all n > N. Our main result below gives a new upper bound that, for large n, is better than all previous bounds.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $R_n = p_s$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Ramanujan prime, where  $p_s$  is the  $s^{\text{th}}$  prime. Then  $s < 2n\left(1 + \frac{3}{\log n + \log(\log n) - 4}\right)$  for all n > 241.

Note that while all previous upper bounds for  $R_n$  are of the form of  $p_{[2nc]}$ , where c > 1 is a constant, the bound given in the theorem above is of the form  $p_{[2nf(n)]}$ , where f(n) > 1 and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = 1$ . Hence for large n our bound is smaller and thus better than  $p_{[2nc]}$  for any fixed c.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof follows closely the proof of the main theorem in [5], where the following function was defined. Let

$$F(x) = x(\log x + \log \log x) - 2(x - n)(\log(x - n) + \log \log(x - n) - 1),$$

where n is a fixed positive integer. Henceforth we denote  $\log(\log n)$  by  $\log_2 n$ . Also, let  $\pi(x)$  denote the number of primes less than or equal to x.

In [5] we showed that for a Ramanujan prime  $R_n = p_s$  we have  $p_{s-n} < \frac{p_s}{2}$ . A similar result, with the inequality reversed, holds for indices greater than s. We include both of these results in the following lemma, which while not used directly in the proofs here, is of independent interest and relevant to the current topic. The result in the second part of the lemma has been dubbed as the "Ramanujan prime corollary" by the second author (see the first three sequences and last sequence given in Table 1 of the Appendix).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $R_n = p_s$  be the n<sup>th</sup> Ramanujan prime, where  $p_s$  is the s<sup>th</sup> prime. Then the following hold:

- (i)  $p_{s-n} < \frac{p_s}{2}$  for all  $n \ge 2$ . [5, Lemma 2.1].
- (ii)  $p_{s+k} < 2p_{s+k-n}$  for all positive integers k.

*Proof.* Let i = s + k. Note that by definition of  $R_n$  we have

$$\pi(p_i - 1) - \pi\left(\frac{p_i}{2}\right) = \pi(p_i - 1) - \pi\left(\frac{p_i - 1}{2}\right) \ge n.$$

Therefore,  $p_i - 1 \ge p_{i-1} > p_{i-2} > p_{i-3} > \ldots > p_{i-n} > \frac{p_i}{2}$ , and hence  $p_{i-n} > \frac{p_i}{2}$  and the second part of the lemma follows noting that i = s + k.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $R_n = p_s$  be the n<sup>th</sup> Ramanujan prime, where  $p_s$  is the s<sup>th</sup> prime. Then the following hold:

- (i) 2n < s < 3n ([3, 2]).
- (ii) F(s) > 0 and F(x) is a decreasing function for all  $x \ge 2n$  [5, Proof of Theorem 1.1].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $g = g(n) = \frac{\log n + \log_2 n - 4}{3}$ . Then for all n > 241 we have  $F\left(2n\left(1 + \frac{1}{g(n)}\right)\right) < 0$ .

*Proof.* We first observe that for n > 241 we have g > 1. Let

$$\phi = \log\left(2n\left(1+\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) + \log_2\left(2n\left(1+\frac{1}{g}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\psi = \log\left(n\left(1+\frac{2}{g}\right)\right) + \log_2\left(n\left(1+\frac{2}{g}\right)\right) - 1.$$

Observe that

$$\phi - \psi = 1 + \log 2 + \log \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{g}}{1 + \frac{2}{g}}\right) + \log \left(\frac{\log \left(2n + \frac{2n}{g}\right)}{\log \left(n + \frac{2n}{g}\right)}\right)$$
$$< 2 + \log 2 < 3,$$

as  $\log\left(\frac{1+\frac{1}{g}}{1+\frac{2}{g}}\right) < 0$  and  $0 < \log\left(\frac{\log\left(2n+\frac{2n}{g}\right)}{\log\left(n+\frac{2n}{g}\right)}\right) < 1$ . Therefore,  $2\psi - \phi = \psi - (\phi - \psi) > \psi - 3$  and hence

$$\frac{2\psi-\phi}{\phi-\psi}>\frac{\psi-3}{3}>\frac{\log n+\log_2 n-4}{3}=g,$$

which gives

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{g}\right)\phi < \left(1+\frac{2}{g}\right)\psi,$$

and the lemma follows as  $F\left(2n\left(1+\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) = 2n\left(1+\frac{1}{g}\right)\phi - 2n\left(1+\frac{2}{g}\right)\psi$ .

Proof of Theorem 1 Let  $R_n = p_s$ . Then by Lemma 2, part (i), we have s > 2n and F(s) > 0. Moreover, by Lemma 2, part (ii), F(x) is a decreasing function for  $x \ge 2n$ . Let  $g = g(n) = \frac{\log n + \log_2 n - 4}{3}$  and  $\alpha = 2n(1 + \frac{1}{g})$ . Then by Lemma 3 we have  $F(\alpha) < 0$  for all n > 241. As F is a decreasing function for  $x \ge 2n$  and F(s) > 0, we have  $s \le 2n(1 + \frac{1}{g})$  for all n > 241.

#### References

- [1] C. Axler, On generalized Ramanujan primes, Arxiv:1401.7179v1.
- [2] S. Laishram, On a conjecture on Ramanujan primes, Int. J. Number Theory 6, (2010), 1869–1873.
- [3] J. Sondow, Ramanujan primes and Bertrand's postulate, Amer. Math. Monthly 116, (2009), 630–635.
- [4] J. Sondow, J. W. Nicholson, T. D. Noe, Ramanujan primes: bounds, runs, twins, and gaps, J. Integer Sequences 14, (2011), Article 11.6.2.
- [5] A. Srinivasan, An upper bound for Ramanujan primes, Integers 14 (2014).

# Appendix: Tables of Sequences from the OEIS at http://www.oeis.org/

Sequence	Title		
A165959	Size of the range of the Ramanujan Prime Corollary, $2*A168421(n)$ -		
	A104272(n).		
A168421	Small Associated Ramanujan Prime, $p_{i-n}$ .		
A168425	Large Associated Ramanujan Prime, $p_i$ .		
A174602	Smallest prime that begins a run of $n$ Ramanujan primes that are		
	consecutive primes.		
A174635	Prime numbers that are not Ramanujan primes.		
A174641	1 0 1		
	Ramanujan primes.		
A179196	Number of primes up to the $n^{\text{th}}$ Ramanujan prime:		
1 100104	<b>A000720</b> (A104272(n)), $\pi(R_n)$ .		
A190124	Decimal expansion of Ramanujan prime constant: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/R_n^2$ , where $R_n$ is the $n^{\text{th}}$ Ramanujan prime, <b>A104272</b> (n).		
A190303	$R_n$ is the <i>n</i> ramanujan prime, A104272(n). Decimal expansion of sum of alternating series of reciprocals of Ra-		
A190303	manujan primes, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/R_n)(-1)^{n-1}$ , where $R_n$ is the $n^{\text{th}}$ Ramanu-		
	jan prime, A104272(n).		
A190501	Number of Ramanujan primes $R_k$ such that $2^{(n-1)} < R_k \le 2^n$ .		
A190502	Number of Ramanujan primes $2^n$ .		
A190874	First differences of A179196, $\pi(R_{(n+1)}) - \pi(R_n)$ where $R_n$ is		
	A104272(n).		
A191225	Number of Ramanujan primes $R_k$ between triangular numbers $T(n - 1)$		
	$1) < R_k \le T(n).$		
A191226	First occurrence of number $n$ of Ramanujan primes in A191225.		
A191227	Last known occurrence of number $n$ of Ramanujan primes in A191225.		
A191228	Greatest Ramanujan prime index less than $x$ .		
A214756	$a(n) =$ largest Ramanujan prime $R_k$ in A104272 that is $\leq$		
	A002386(n).		
A214757	$a(n) =$ smallest Ramanujan prime $R_k$ in A104272 that is $\geq$		
A 91 4096	A000101(n).		
A214926	Difference <b>A214925</b> (n) - <b>A214924</b> (n), prime count between Ramanu- jan primes bounding maximal gap primes.		
A214934	Numbers $R(k)$ such that $R(k) \ge 2k \log R(k)$ , where $R(k) =$		
A214004	A104272(k) is the $k^{\text{th}}$ Ramanujan prime.		
A233739	R(n) - p(2n), where $R(n)$ is the $n$ <sup>th</sup> Ramanujan prime and $p(n)$ is the		
11200.00	$n^{\text{th}}$ prime.		
A234298	Ramanujan prime $R_k$ such that $\pi(R_{k+1}) - \pi(R_k)$ are record values:		
	record Ramanujan prime $A190874(k)$ .		

 Table 1: Sequences authored by John W. Nicholson

Sequence	Title	Comments
A000101	Increasing gaps between primes (upper end) (com- pare with <b>A002386</b> , which gives lower ends of these gaps).	Except for $a(1)=3$ and $a(2)=5$ , $a(n) = A168421(k)$ . Primes 3 and 5 are special in that they are the only primes which do not have a Ramanujan prime between them and their double, $\leq 6$ and 10 respectively. Because of the large size of a gap, there are many repeats of the prime number in A168421 John W. Nicholson, Dec 10 2013
A005382	Primes p such that $2p - 1$ is also prime.	If a(n) is in A168421 then A005383(n) is a twin prime with a Ramanujan prime, A005383(n) - 2. If this sequence has an infinite number of terms in A168421, then the twin prime conjecture can be proved. - John W. Nicholson, Dec 05 2013
A104272	Ramanujan primes $R_n$ : $a(n)$ is the smallest number such that if $x \le a(n)$ , then $\pi(x) - \pi(x/2) \le n$ , where $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes $\le x$ .	For some n and k, we see that A168421(k) = a(n) so as to form a chain of primes sim- ilar to a Cunningham chain. For example (and the first example), A168421(2) = 7, links a(2) = 11 = A168421(3), links a(3) = 17 = A168421(4), links a(4) = 29 = A168421(6), links a(6) = 47. Note that the links do not have to be of a form like q = $2*p+1$ or q = $2*p-1$ John W. Nichol- son, Feb 22 2015
A190661	Least number $a(n)$ such that there are at least $n$ primes in the range $(T(k-1), T(k)]$ for all $k \ge a(n)$ , where T(k) is the k-th triangular number.	With $R_n$ the n-th Ramanujan prime (A104272), it is conjectured that for every $n \ge 0$ , $(1/2)R_n \le a(n) < (20/13)R_n$ . These bounds have been verified for all n up to 8000. For most $n \le 8000$ , we have $a(n) > R_n$ , with exceptions listed in A190881.

 Table 2: Observations (four sequences)

INTEGERS: 15 (2015)

Sequence	Title
A204814	Number of decompositions of 2n into an unordered sum of two Ra- manujan primes.
A205616	Even numbers that are not the sum of two non-Ramanujan primes (A174635). COMMENTS: No other terms $< 2 * 10^8$ . Conjectured to
A205617	be complete. Number of decompositions of 2n into an unordered sum of two non-Ramanujan primes (A174635).
A205618	Last occurrence of n partitions in A205617.

**Table 3:** Authored by (or suggested by) John W. Nicholson and DonovanJohnson (4 sequences). Note: All are related to Goldbach conjecture.