ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAE CONCERNING ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CROSS-CONVOLUTIONS, VI. A-k-FREE INTEGERS

László **Tóth**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1, RO-3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Received: February 1997

MSC 1991: 11 A 25, 11 N 37

Keywords: Narkiewicz's regular convolution, k-free integers, unitarily k-free integers, asymptotic formula.

Abstract: We define the A-k-free integers as a common generalization of the k-free and unitarily k-free integers in terms of Narkiewicz's regular A-convolutions. We establish asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions involving A-k-free integers if A is a cross-convolution, investigated in our previous papers.

1. Introduction

I. First we list some of the more important symbols and definitions we need in this article.

f, g: arithmetical functions,

 $p \in \mathbb{P}$: a prime,

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$: a natural number,

 $\phi(n)$: Euler's arithmetical function,

286 L. Tóth

 $\omega(n)$: the number of the distinct prime divisors of n,

 $\gamma(n)$: the core of n (the product of the prime divisors of n),

A(n): a set of the positive divisors of n,

A-divisors of n: the elements of the set A(n),

A-convolution: $(f *_A g)(n) = \sum_{d \in A(n)} f(d)g(n/d)$,

regular A-convolution (W. Narkiewicz [7]): the set of arithmetical functions is a commutative ring with unity with respect to ordinary addition and the A-convolution, the A-convolution of multiplicative functions is multiplicative, the function I, defined by I(n) = 1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, has an inverse μ_A with respect to the A-convolution and $\mu_A(p^a) \in \{-1,0\}$ for every prime power p^a ,

 μ_A : the generalized Möbius function in the definition above,

D-convolution: Dirichlet convolution with $D(n) = \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d|n\},\$

U-convolution: unitary convolution with $U(n)=\{d\in\mathbb{N}:d||n\}=\{d\in\mathbb{N}:d|n,(d,n/d)=1\},$

 $t = t_A(p^a)$: the type of p^a with respect to a regular convolution A, i.e. that divisor of a, for which $A(p^{it}) = \{1, p^t, p^{2t}, \dots, p^{it}\}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, a/t\}$.

cross-convolution: a regular convolution A such that for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $t_A(p^a) = 1$ for every $a \in \mathbb{N}$ or $t_A(p^a) = a$ for every $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $P_A = P := \{ p \in \mathbb{P} : t_A(p^a) = 1 \}$ for a cross-convolution A,

 $Q_A = Q := \{ p \in \mathbb{P} : t_A(p^a) = a \} \text{ for a cross-convolution } A,$

(P), (Q): the multiplicative semigroups generated by 1 and P or Q, for a cross-convolution A,

 n_P, n_Q : an element of (P) and (Q), respectively, such that $n = n_P n_Q$,

 $\sigma^*_r(n)$: the sum of r-th powers of the unitary divisors of n,

 $\zeta_T(s) = \prod_{p \in T} (1 - 1/p^s)^{-1}$ for some $T \subseteq \mathbb{P}$,

 $\zeta(s) := \zeta_{\mathbb{P}}(s)$ the Riemannian Zeta function.

II. New definitions and symbols

n is an A - k-free integer (for a regular convolution A and for $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$): the greatest k-th power A-divisor of n is 1;

 $\alpha_{A,k}$: the characteristic function of the set of A-k-free integers,

$$\sigma_{A_1,s}^{A,k}(n) = \sum_{d \in A_1(n)} lpha_{A,k}(d) d^s$$

the sum of s-th powers of the A - k-free A_1 -divisors of n (for a regular convolution A_1).

III. Assertions

Assertion 1. (W. Narkiewicz [7]) An A-convolution is regular if and only if $A(mn) = \{de : d \in A(m), e \in A(n)\}$ for every coprime pairs $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_A(p^a)$ exists for every prime power p^a .

Some further assertions which can be verified easily:

Assertion 2. The D and U-convolutions are cross-convolutions, $P_D = \mathbb{P}$, $Q_D = \emptyset$, $P_U = \emptyset$ and $Q_U = \mathbb{P}$.

Assertion 3. For a regular convolution A the function μ_A is multiplicative and for all prime powers p^a we have

$$\mu_A(p^a) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} -1, & \emph{if} \ t_A(p^a) = a \ 0, & \emph{otherwise}. \end{array}
ight.$$

 $\mu_D = \mu$ is the classical Möbius function and $\mu_U(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}$ is the Liouville function.

Assertion 4. If A is a cross-convolution, then $A(n) = \{d \in \mathbb{N} : d|n, (d, n/d) \in (P)\}.$

Assertion 5. Let A be a regular convolution. An integer

$$n=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\dots p_r^{a_r}$$

is A - k-free if and only if for the type $t_i = t_A(p_i^{a_i})$ of $p_i^{a_i}$ one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $k \not| t_i, k \not| 2t_i, \ldots, k \not| a_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\},\$
- (ii) $a_i/t_i \leq k/(k, t_i) 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$,
- (iii) $a_i + t_i \leq [k, t_i]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$.

The integer n is D-k-free if it is k-free, i.e. $a_i < k$ for every $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,r\}$ and it is U-k-free if it is unitarily k-free or k-skew, i.e. k $\not| \{a_i \text{ for every } i \in \{1,2,\ldots,r\}.$

Assertion 6. If A is a cross-convolution, then $\alpha_{A,k}(n) = \alpha_{D,k}(n_P) \cdot \alpha_{U,k}(n_Q)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence if A is a cross-convolution, then n is A-k-free if and only if n_P is k-free and n_Q is unitarily k-free.

For further results see also P. J. McCarthy [6], V. Sita Ramaiah [8] (regular convolutions), [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] (asymptotic properties of arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions) and E. Cohen [2], [3] (unitarily k-free integers).

2. Results

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem. Let A be a cross-convolution and let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2, u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \geq 0$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{k})$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \ (n,u)=1}} lpha_{A,k}(n) n^s = rac{C_{A,k}}{s+1} f_{A,k}(u) x^{s+1} + O(x^{s+1/k} {\sigma^*}_{-arepsilon}(u)),$$

where

$$C_{A,k} = rac{1}{\zeta_P(k)} \prod_{p \in Q} \left(1 - rac{p-1}{p(p^k-1)}
ight)$$

and

$$f_{A,k}(u) = \prod_{p|u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p|u_p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p|u_Q} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p(p^k-1)}\right)^{-1}.$$

Remark 1. The following are some properties of $f_{A,k}$ and $C_{A,k}$: $f_{A,k}(n) = f_{A,k}(\gamma(n)) \le 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$C_{A,k} = rac{\zeta_Q(k)}{\zeta_P(k)} \prod_{p \in Q} \left(1 - rac{2}{p^k} + rac{1}{p^{k+1}} \right).$$

The Theorem has important corollaries.

Corollary 1. The asymptotic density of the A-k-free integers coprime to u is $C_{A,k}f_{A,k}(u)$. The asymptotic density of the A-k-free integers is $C_{A,k}$.

Remark 2. If A and A_1 are two cross-convolutions and $A(n) \subseteq A_1(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\alpha_{A_1,k}(n) \leq \alpha_{A,k}(n)$ and $C_{A_1,k} \leq C_{A,k}$ for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. In particular,

$$C_{D,k} = rac{1}{\zeta(k)} \le C_{A,k} \le C_{U,k} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - rac{p-1}{p(p^k - 1)} \right)$$

for every cross-convolution A.

Corollary 2. Let A and A_1 be cross-convolutions with $P_{A_1} = P_1$, $Q_{A_1} = Q_1$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2, u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \geq 0$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/k)$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u) \in (P_1)}} \alpha_{A,k}(n) n^s = \frac{C_{A,k}}{s+1} f_{A,k}(u_{Q_1}) x^{s+1} + O(x^{s+1/k} g(u)),$$

with

$$g(u) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} 1, & \emph{if } Q_1 \emph{ is finite} \ {\sigma^*}_{-arepsilon}(u), & \emph{otherwise}. \end{array}
ight.$$

Corollary 3. Let A and A_1 be cross-convolutions and let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ and s > 0. Then

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{A_1,s}^{A,k}(n) = C_{A,k} E_{A,A_1,k,s} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s+1} + O(R_{k,s}(x)),$$

where

$$\begin{split} E_{A,A_1,k,s} = & \zeta(s+1) \prod_{p \in Q \cap Q_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+1}} + \frac{(p-1)(p^k-1)}{p^{s+1}(p^{k+1}-2p+1)} \right) \times \\ & \times \prod_{p \in Q_1 \backslash Q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+1}} + \frac{p^k(p-1)}{p^{s+2}(p^k-1)} \right) \end{split}$$

and $R_{k,s}(x) = x^{s+1/k}$ (s+1/k > 1), $x \log x$ (s+1/k = 1), x (s+1/k < 1).

Remark 3. The Theorem is a generalization of some known results as e.g. L. Gegenbauer [5] (A = D, u = 1, s = 0), D. Suryanarayana [9], Th. 1 (A = D, s = 0), E. Cohen [3], Th. 4.2, [4] (A = U, u = 1, s = 0), [2], Th. 6.1, Cor. 6.1.1 (A = U, u = 1, s = 0, k = 2).

For A = D and A = U the error terms can be improved, see [10], [11].

Special cases of Cor. 3 were investigated in the literature, see for example E. Cohen [2], Cor. 5.1.1 and J. Chidambaraswamy [1], Cor. B/(ii), case $A=D, A_1=U, k=2, s\geq 1$.

3. Proof of the Theorem

The proof of Theorem is based on the following 4 lemmas. Lemma 1. If A is a cross-convolution and $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$, then

$$\alpha_{A,k}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d^k \in A(n) \\ (d,e) \in (P)}} \mu_A(d) = \sum_{\substack{\substack{d^k e = n \\ (d,e) \in (P)}}} \mu_A(d)$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By the multiplicativity it is enough to prove that

$$lpha_{A,k}(p^a) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} \sum_{d^k\mid p^a} \mu(d), & ext{if } p\in P \ \sum_{d^k\mid\mid p^a} \mu_U(d), & ext{if } p\in Q \end{array}
ight.$$

for every prime power p^a , see Assertions 6 and 4. \Diamond

Lemma 2 ([12], Lemma 2.1). If $s \ge 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{N}$, then for every $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1}} n^s = \frac{\phi(u)}{u(s+1)} x^{s+1} + O(x^{s+\varepsilon} \sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(u)).$$

290

Lemma 3. Let A be a cross-convolution, $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ and $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1\\n,u)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_A(n)\phi(n_Q)}{n^k n_Q} = \frac{1}{\zeta_P(k)} \prod_{p|u_p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \in Q\\p \not\mid u}} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p(p^k - 1)}\right).$$

Proof. The series is well defined as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$ is a majorant series. Furthermore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_A(n)\phi(n_Q)\delta((n,u))}{n^k n_Q}$$

with

$$\delta(n) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1, & ext{if } n=1 \ 0, & ext{if } n>1 \end{array}
ight.$$

has an Euler-product representation. \Diamond

Lemma 4 ([4]). If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/k)$ we have

$$\sum_{n < \frac{k}{\sqrt{x}}} \frac{\sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(n)}{n^{k\varepsilon}} = O(x^{1/k-\varepsilon}).$$

Proof of the Theorem. Observe that for a cross-convolution A we have $(m, n) \in (P)$ if and only if $(m, \gamma(n_Q)) = 1$. Therefore, by Lemmas 1, 2, 3 we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \ (n,u)=1}}^{n \leq x} lpha_{A,k}(n) n^s = \sum_{\substack{d^k e = n \leq x \ (d,e) \in (P) \ (d^k e,u)=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} e^s = \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \ (d,u)=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{d \leq \sqrt[k]{x} \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} \mu_A(d) d^{ks} \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d^k \ (e,u\gamma(d_Q))=1}} e^s = \sum_{\substack{e \leq x/d$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{d\leq \sqrt[k]{x}\\ (d,u)=1}}\mu_A(d)d^{ks}\left(\frac{\phi(u\gamma(d_Q))}{(s+1)u\gamma(d_Q)}\left(\frac{x}{d^k}\right)^{s+1}+O\left(\left(\frac{x}{d^k}\right)^{s+\varepsilon}\sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(u\gamma(d_Q))\right)\right)=$$

$$=\frac{x^{s+1}\phi(u)}{(s+1)u}\sum_{\substack{d\leq \frac{k\sqrt{x}}{(d,u)=1}}}\frac{\mu_A(d)\phi(d_Q)}{d^kd_Q}+O\left(\sum_{\substack{d\leq \frac{k\sqrt{x}}{(d,u)=1}}}\left(\frac{x}{d^k}\right)^{s+\varepsilon}d^{ks}\sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(u\gamma(d_Q))\right)=$$

$$=\frac{\phi(u)}{(s+1)u\zeta_P(k)}\prod_{\substack{p\mid u_p}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^k}\right)^{-1}\prod_{\substack{p\in Q\\p\nmid u}}\left(1-\frac{p-1}{p(p^k-1)}\right)x^{s+1}+$$

$$+O\left(x^{s+1}\sum_{\substack{d\geq \frac{k\sqrt{x}}{d^k}}}\frac{1}{d^k}\right)+O\left(\sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(u)x^{s+\varepsilon}\sum_{\substack{d\leq \frac{k\sqrt{x}}{d^k}}}\frac{\sigma^*_{-\varepsilon}(d)}{d^{k\varepsilon}}\right).$$

We apply Lemma 4 to derive the error term. \Diamond

Proof of Cor. 1. We apply the Theorem for the special cases s=0 and s=0, u=1, respectively. \Diamond

Proof of Cor. 2. As $(n, u) \in (P_1)$ if and only if $(n, \gamma(u_{Q_1})) = 1$ and $f_{A,k}(u) = f_{A,k}(\gamma(u))$, this is an other special case of the Theorem. \Diamond **Proof of Cor. 3.** As

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{A_1,s}^{A,k}(n) = \sum_{d \le x} \sum_{\substack{e \le x/d \\ (e,d) \in (P_1)}} \alpha_{A,k}(e)e^s,$$

it can be derived from Cor. 2. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{A,k}(n_{Q_1})}{n^{s+1}}$$

is well defined as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^{s+1}$ is a majorant series and we apply the Euler product representation to derive the final form of $E_{A,A_1,k,s}$. \Diamond

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for useful suggestions on the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] CHIDAMBARASWAMY, J.: Sum functions of unitary and semi-unitary divisors, J. Indian Math. Soc. 31 (1967), 117-126.
- [2] COHEN, E.: Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer, Math. Z. 74 (1960), 66-80.
- [3] COHEN, E.: Some sets of integers related to the k-free integers, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 22 (1961), 223-233.
- [4] COHEN, E.: Remark on a set of integers, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 25 (1964), 179–180.
- [5] GEGENBAUER, L.: Asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie, Denkschriften Akad. Wien 49 (1885), 37-80.
- [6] MCCARTHY, P. J.: Introduction to arithmetical functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1986.
- [7] NARKIEWICZ, W.: On a class of arithmetical convolutions, Colloq. Math. 10 (1963), 81-94.
- [8] SITA RAMAIAH, V.: Arithmetical sums in regular convolutions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978), 265-283.
- [9] SURYANARAYANA, D.: Uniform O-estimates of certain error functions connected with k-free integers, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 11 (1970), 242–250.
- [10] SURYANARAYANA, D., and SITA RAMA CHANDRA RAO, R.: Uniform O-estimate for k-free integers, J. Reine Angew. Math. 261 (1973), 146-152.

- [11] SURYANARAYANA, D. and SITA RAMA CHANDRA RAO, R.: Distribution of unitarily k-free integers, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **20**(Series A) (1975), 129–141.
- [12] TÓTH, L.: The unitary analogue of Pillai's arithmetical function, Collect. Math. 40 (1989), 19-30.
- [13] TÓTH, L.: Contributions to the theory of arithmetical functions defined by regular convolutions (Romanian), thesis, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Cluj-Napoca, 1995.
- [14] TÓTH, L.: Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, I. Divisor-sum functions and Euler-type functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 50 (1997), 159-176.
- [15] TÓTH, L.: Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, II. The divisor function, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai*, *Math.* to appear.
- [16] TÓTH, L.: Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, III. On the function τ_k , Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, to appear.
- [17] TÓTH, L.: Asymptotic formulae concerning arithmetical functions defined by cross-convolutions, IV. On a formula of Ramanujan, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, to appear.