

## SELF-PSEUDOPROJECTIVE COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE ABELIAN GROUPS

B.J. Gardner

*Department of Mathematics, University of Tasmania, GPO Box  
252-37, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia*

*Received:* February 1998

*MSC 1991:* 20 K 20, 16 S 90, 18 G 99

*Keywords:* Self-pseudoprojective, radical class, completely decomposable abelian group.

**Abstract:** The groups in the title are characterized as the completely decomposable groups  $C$  having a rank one summand  $X$  of idempotent type such that  $C$  and  $X$  are divisible by the same primes.

For every abelian group  $C$ , the class  $\text{Gen}(C)$  of homomorphic images of direct sums of copies of  $C$  is closed under direct sums and homomorphic images and thus (in general) lacks only closure under extensions in order to be a radical class. The function which assigns to each group the sum of all its subgroups from  $\text{Gen}(C)$  (which is also in  $\text{Gen}(C)$ ) is an idempotent subfunctor of the identity (*socle*) which is a radical precisely when  $\text{Gen}(C)$  is closed under extensions. When  $X$  is a torsion-free group of rank one,  $\text{Gen}(X)$  is closed under extensions if and only if  $X$  has idempotent type. This follows from [4] and is explicitly stated in [2] where further information is obtained concerning the general question: When is a socle a radical?

It turns out that in general,  $\text{Gen}(C)$  is closed under extensions if and only if  $C$  is *self-pseudoprojective* in the sense that for every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

with  $K \in \text{Gen}(C)$  and every  $f : C \rightarrow L$  there is an endomorphism  $h$  of  $C$  and a homomorphism  $k : C \rightarrow N$  such that  $gk = fh \neq 0$ . For this concept and other related generalizations of projectivity (in modules and abelian categories), see the paper of Wakamatsu [11], the thesis of Berning [1] or the survey of Wisbauer [12].

In this note we show that for a completely decomposable torsion-free group  $C$ ,  $\text{Gen}(C)$  is closed under extensions if and only if  $C$  has a rank-one summand  $X$  of idempotent type such that  $C$  and  $X$  are divisible by the same primes (so that  $\text{Gen}(C) = \text{Gen}(X)$  and the rank one groups of idempotent type are essentially the only completely decomposable self-pseudoprojectives).

Throughout, group always means abelian group; we mostly use the notation and conventions of [3], but for an enumeration  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  of the primes, a sequence  $(h_1, h_2, \dots)$  of non-negative integers or  $\infty$  symbols will be called a *height-sequence* rather than a *characteristic*. If  $S$  is a set of primes, a group  $D$  will be called  $S$ -divisible if  $pD = D$  for all  $p \in S$ . The group of rationals whose denominators have their prime factors in  $S$  will be called  $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ . We recall a few more items of notation. The type of a group element  $x$  or a rational group  $X$  is denoted by  $t(x)$  or  $t(X)$ ; for a torsion-free group  $G$  and type  $\tau$ ,  $G(\tau)$  is the subgroup  $\{x \in G : t(x) \geq \tau\}$ ;  $\langle x \rangle$  is the cyclic subgroup generated by  $x$ ,  $\langle x \rangle_*$  the smallest pure subgroup containing  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a set of torsion-free groups of rank one. Then  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  is self-pseudoprojective if and only if there exists a set  $S$  of primes such that each  $X_\lambda$  is  $S$ -divisible and some  $X_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q}(S)$  (or, equivalently, if the set of types of the  $X_\lambda$  has a smallest element and this is idempotent).*

We shall prove this result in several stages.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be torsion-free groups of rank one with  $t(X) \leq t(Y)$ . Then  $Y \in \text{Gen}(X)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $y \in Y \setminus \{0\}$  has height sequence  $(h_1, h_2, \dots)$  then there is a height sequence  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots)$  of type  $t(X)$  with  $\ell_i \leq h_i$  for all  $i$ . But then we have

$$y \in \langle p_i^{-\ell_i} y : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \rangle \subseteq Y$$

where the indicated subgroup has type  $t(X)$ .  $\diamond$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a set of torsion-free groups of rank one,  $\Gamma$  a subset of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\{t(X_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a cofinal subset of*

$\{t(X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then

$$\text{Gen} \left( \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma \right) = \text{Gen} \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda \right).$$

It follows from this that no loss of generality ensues if we assume the following in the sequel.

- (\*) If  $\tau$  is a type such that  $t(X_\lambda) \leq \tau$   
 for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then there exists  $\mu \in \Lambda$   
 for which  $t(X_\mu) = \tau$ .

Thus until further notice,  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a set of torsion-free groups of rank one satisfying (\*).

The following notation will be useful. If  $\tau$  is a type represented by a height sequence  $(h_1, h_2, \dots)$  we let  $\tau_0$  be the type of  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots)$  where  $\ell_i = h_i$  if  $h_i = \infty$ , and  $\ell_i = 0$  otherwise. (Note that  $\tau_0 = \tau : \tau$  in the sense of [3] Vol.I, p.111.)

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  is self-pseudoprojective and  $t(X_\lambda) = \tau$  for some  $\lambda$ , then  $t(X_\mu) = \tau_0$  for some  $\mu$ .*

**Proof.** We make use of a group of rank two similar to that constructed in [4] (cf. Mutzbauer [10] for other similar groups). Let  $(h_1, h_2, \dots)$  be a height sequence of type  $\tau$  and let  $M = \{p_n : h_n = \infty\}$ . Let  $\{k_1, k_2, \dots\} = \{h_i : 0 < h_i < \infty\}$  (a set we may clearly assume to be infinite) and let  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$  be the corresponding set of primes. Let  $\{x, y\}$  be a basis for a two-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space and let

$$G = \langle p^{-\infty}x, p^{-\infty}y, q_i^{-k_i}x, q_i^{-k_i}(q_i^{-k_i}x + y) : p \in M, i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle.$$

A routine argument, using the linear independence of  $x$  and  $y$ , shows that  $t(x) = \tau$  and  $t(y) = \tau_0$ . Since  $G(\tau)$  can't have rank two, we have  $G(\tau) = \langle x \rangle_*$ . Also

$$G/\langle x \rangle_* = \langle p^{-\infty} \bar{y}, q_i^{-k_i} \bar{y} : p \in M, i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle,$$

where  $\bar{y} = y + \langle x \rangle_*$ , and this has rank one and type  $\tau$ . If  $a \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle_*$ , then  $t(a) \leq t(a + \langle x \rangle_*) = \tau$ , while as both  $\langle x \rangle_*$  and  $G/\langle x \rangle_*$  are  $M$ -divisible, so is  $G$ , whence  $t(a) \geq \tau_0$ . It is not possible to have  $\tau_0 < t(a) < \tau$ , as  $G$  has rank two. Thus we conclude that  $t(a) = \tau_0$  for all  $a \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle_*$ .

As  $G$  is an extension of  $X_\lambda$  by  $X_\lambda$ ,  $G$  is in  $\text{Gen} \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda \right)$ . In particular, there is a homomorphism from a direct sum of copies of

$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  to  $G$  whose image is not contained in  $G \setminus \langle x \rangle_*$ , so some  $X_\rho$  is mapped into a rank-one subgroups of type  $\tau_0$ . But then  $t(X_\rho) \leq \tau_0$  and so  $t(X_\mu) = \tau_0$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ .  $\diamond$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  is self-pseudoprojective and there are incomparable non-empty sets  $A, B$  of primes and there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  with  $X_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q}(A)$  and  $X_\mu \cong \mathbb{Q}(B)$ , then there exists  $\rho \in \Lambda$  with  $X_\rho \cong \mathbb{Q}(A \cap B)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^\omega$  (resp.  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$ ) denote the direct product (resp. direct sum) of a countably infinite set of copies of  $\mathbb{Q}(A)$ . In  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^\omega / \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$  the pure subgroup generated by  $(1!, 2!, 3!, \dots) + \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  so  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^\omega / \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$  has a subgroup  $H / \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)} \cong \mathbb{Q}(B)$ . If  $x \in H \setminus \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$  then (in  $H$ )  $t(x) \leq t(x + \mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}) = t(\mathbb{Q}(B))$  and  $t(x) \leq t(\mathbb{Q}(A)) =$  the type of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^\omega$ . Thus  $t(x) \leq t(\mathbb{Q}(A)) \wedge t(\mathbb{Q}(B)) = t(\mathbb{Q}(A \cap B))$ . But both  $\mathbb{Q}(A)^{(\omega)}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(B)$  are  $A \cap B$ -divisible, so  $H$  is too. Hence  $t(x) \geq t(\mathbb{Q}(A \cap B))$ , so  $t(x) = t(\mathbb{Q}(A \cap B))$ . The rest of the proof is like that of Lemma 2.  $\diamond$

**Proof of Theorem.** Let  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  be self-pseudoprojective and left  $\mathcal{F}$

be the class of torsion-free groups in  $\text{Gen} \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda \right)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under extensions. Let  $T = \{t(x) : x \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . We continue to assume (\*).

If  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ,  $t(X_\lambda) = \tau$  and  $t(X_\mu) = \sigma$  then there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$  such that  $t(X_\alpha) = \tau_0$  and  $t(X_\beta) = \sigma_0$ , by Lemma 2. But then by Lemma 3,  $t(X_\gamma) = \tau_0 \wedge \sigma_0 \leq \tau \wedge \sigma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , so by (\*) there exists  $\delta \in \Lambda$  such that  $t(X_\delta) = \tau \wedge \sigma$ . Thus  $\{t(X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a filter in the lattice of types.

If  $x \in F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $x$  is in a homomorphic image of a finite direct sum  $X_{\lambda_1} \oplus X_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{\lambda_n}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $t(x) \geq t(X_{\lambda_1}) \wedge t(X_{\lambda_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge t(X_{\lambda_n})$ , so  $t(x) = t(X_\mu)$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ . It follows that  $T = \{t(X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \{F : x \in F \Rightarrow t(x) \in T\} = \\ &= \{F : x \in F \Rightarrow t(x) = t(X_\lambda) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \Lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now Th. 2.12 of [5] asserts among other things that if  $\Phi$  is a filter in the lattice of types and the class of torsion-free groups all of whose elements have types in  $\Phi$  is closed under extensions, then  $\Phi$  is the principal filter generated by an idempotent type. Using this result, we now see that

there is a set  $S$  of primes for which

$$\{t(X_\lambda) = \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \{\tau : t(\mathbb{Q}(S)) \leq \tau\}.$$

If (\*) is not assumed, then for any type  $\sigma$  we have

$$(\exists \lambda \in \Lambda) (\sigma \geq \lambda) \Leftrightarrow \sigma \geq t(\mathbb{Q}(S)),$$

so the conclusion is the same.  $\diamond$

Now let  $W$  be a separable torsion-free group, i.e. a group such that every element is contained in a completely decomposable direct summand of finite rank,  $E$  the set of types of rank-one direct summands of  $W$ . Then we have

$$\text{Gen}(W) = \text{Gen}\left(\bigoplus_{\tau \in E} X_\tau\right)$$

where  $X_\tau$  has rank one and type  $\tau$  for all  $\tau \in E$ . Since for a prime  $p$  we have  $pW = W$  if and only if  $pX_\tau = X_\tau$  for all  $\tau \in E$ , the theorem has the following

**Corollary 2.** *A separable group  $W$  is self-pseudoprojective if and only if it has a direct summand isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}(\{p : pW = W\})$ .*

Our results provide us also with a small amount of information about self-pseudoprojectivity of a direct product  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  of groups of rank one. First recall that a torsion-free group is *slender* if every homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega$  to  $G$  takes all but finitely many copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to 0. See [3], Vol.II pp.158-162 for properties of such groups.

Suppose  $V = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  is self-pseudoprojective. Then each  $X_\lambda \in \text{Gen}(V)$  so the corresponding group  $G$  of Lemma 2 is in  $\text{Gen}(V)$  also. But (except when  $X_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q}$ )  $G$  is slender, so every homomorphic image of  $V$  in  $G$  is a homomorphic image of some finite direct sum  $X_{\mu_1} \oplus X_{\mu_2} \oplus \dots \oplus X_{\mu_n}$ ,  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \in \Lambda$ , so some  $t(X_{\mu_i}) \leq \tau_0$ . Let  $S = \{p : pX_\lambda = X_\lambda\}$ . Then  $t(\mathbb{Q}(S)) = \tau_0$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S) \in \text{Gen}(V)$ . The group  $H$  of Lemma 3 is also slender so by an argument like that used for  $G$ , we have  $\mathbb{Q}(A \cap B) \in \text{Gen}(V)$  whenever  $\mathbb{Q}(A), \mathbb{Q}(B) \in \text{Gen}(V)$ . Thus if  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  then  $\text{Gen}(V)$  contains rank-one groups of types  $t(X_\lambda)_0, t(X_\mu)_0, (t(X_\lambda) \wedge t(X_\mu))_0$  and hence for some  $\rho \in \Lambda$  we have

$$t(X_\rho) \leq (t(X_\lambda) \wedge t(X_\mu))_0 \leq t(X_\lambda) \wedge t(X_\mu).$$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $V = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  be a self-pseudoprojective direct product of torsion-free groups of rank one such that the set of types of the*

$X_\lambda$  has at least one minimal member. Let  $S = \{p : pV = V\}$ . Then  $X_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q}(S)$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and so  $\text{Gen}(V) = \text{Gen}(\mathbb{Q}(S))$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X_\alpha$  have minimal type  $\sigma$ . If  $\tau$  is the type of some  $X_\lambda$  then some  $X_\mu$  has type  $\leq \sigma \wedge \tau \leq \sigma$  so this  $X_\mu$  has type  $\sigma$ . But then  $\sigma = t(X_\mu) \leq \sigma \wedge \tau \leq \tau$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is the smallest type of any  $X_\lambda$ . As  $\text{Gen}(V)$  contains a group of rank one and type  $\sigma_0$  it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \sigma_0 = t(\mathbb{Q}(\{p : pX_\lambda = X_\lambda \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\})) \\ &= t(\mathbb{Q}(\{p : pV = V\})). \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

Note that the condition imposed on the type set in the proposition is much weaker than those required to make  $V$  separable. This is clear from [7], [9]; see [3] Vol.II, pp.170-171, even though by an example of Metelli [8], pp.219-220, the published descriptions of separable direct products are in some particulars incorrect. Note also that by a recent result of Giovannitti [6] there is no need to require the cardinality of  $\Lambda$  to be non-measurable.

## References

- [1] BERNING, J.: Beziehungen zwischen links-linearen Topologien und Modulkategorien, Dissertation, Universität Düsseldorf, 1994.
- [2] FAY, T. H., OXFORD, E. P. and WALLS, G. L.: Singly generated socles and radicals, Abelian Group Theory (Proc. Honolulu 1982/83) (LNM, Springer, 1983), 671-684.
- [3] FUCHS, L.: Infinite Abelian Groups, I,II, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970, 1973.
- [4] GARDNER, B. J.: A note on types, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **2** (1970), 275-276.
- [5] GARDNER, B. J.: Two notes on radicals of abelian groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **13** (1972), 419-430.
- [6] GIOVANNITTI, A. J.: Rank one quotients of vector groups, *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1997), 709-714.
- [7] KRÓL, M.: Separable groups. I, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* **9** (1961), 337-344.
- [8] METELLI, C.: On coseparable completely decomposable torsionfree abelian groups, Abelian Groups and Modules (Proc. Udine 1984) Springer, Wien-New York, 1984, 215-220.
- [9] MISHINA, A. P.: Separability of complete direct sums of torsion-free abelian groups of rank one (in Russian), *Mat. Sb.* **57** (1962), 375-383.

- [10] MUTZBAUER, O.: Type radicals and quasi-decompositions of torsion-free abelian groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Series A* **52** (1992), 219-236.
- [11] WAKAMATSU, T.: Pseudo-projectives and pseudo-injectives in abelian categories, *Math. Rep. Toyama Univ.* **2** (1979), 133-142.
- [12] WISBAUER, R.: On module classes closed under extensions, *Rings and Radicals. Proc. Shijiazhuang 1994* Longman, Harlow, 1996, 73-97.