

Curves and Symmetric Spaces, II

Shigeru MUKAI *

We describe the canonical model of an algebraic curve of genus 9 over a perfect field when the Clifford index is maximal (=3) by means of linear systems of higher rank.

Let $SpG(n, 2n)$ be the *symplectic Grassmannian*, that is, the Grassmannian of Lagrangian subspaces of a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space, over a field k . In the case $n = 3$, $SpG(3, 6)$ is a 6-dimensional homogeneous variety and (equivariantly) embedded into the projective space \mathbf{P}^{13} with homogeneous coordinate $(y : X : Y : x)$, where $x, y \in k$ are scalars and $X, Y \in Sym_3 k$ are symmetric matrices. Then $SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ is the common zero locus of the 21 (=6+6+9) quadratic equations

$$X' = yY, \quad Y' = xX \in Sym_3 k \quad \text{and} \quad XY = xyI_3 \in Mat_3 k. \quad (0.1)$$

In our study of Fano 3-folds, we observed that this (symmetric) projective variety has a *canonical curve section* of genus 9, that is, a transversal intersection

$$[C \subset \mathbf{P}^8] = [SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}] \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_5$$

is a curve of genus 9 embedded in \mathbf{P}^8 by the ratio of the differentials of the first kind. We showed that every general curve of genus 9 was obtained in this way when $k = \mathbf{C}$ ([12], Corollary 6.3). The purpose of this article is to show the following refinement, which was partly announced in [14].

Theorem A *Let C be a curve of genus 9 over an algebraically closed field. Then C is isomorphic to a transversal linear section of the 6-dimensional symplectic Grassmannian $SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ if and only if C is not pentagonal, i.e., C has no g_5^1 .*

By Bertini's theorem we have

Corollary *C is contained in a smooth $K3$ surface as an ample divisor.*

*Supported in part by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research 12874002.

This theorem, together with similar results [16] and [15] in genus 7 and 8, will be applied to our classification of Gorenstein Fano 3-folds with only canonical singularities (cf. [17]).

We prove the theorem using a certain simple vector bundle of rank 3. By its uniqueness (see below) and by a standard descent argument (§7), we have the following also:

Theorem B *Let C be a curve of genus 9 defined over a perfect field k and assume that C has no g_5^1 over the algebraic closure \bar{k} . Then we have*

(1) *C has an embedding into the 6-dimensional symplectic Grassmannian $SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ over k whose image is a transversal intersection with a k -linear subspace $P \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ of dimension 8, and*

(2) *such subspaces P cutting out C are unique up to the action of $PGSp(3)$. More precisely, for every isomorphism $g : C = SpG(3, 6) \cap P \rightarrow C' = SpG(3, 6) \cap P'$ there exists $\gamma \in PGSp(3, k)$ such that $\gamma(P) = P'$.*

Here $GSp(3)$ is the subgroup of $GL(6)$ stabilizing the 1-dimensional space generated by a symplectic form and $PGSp(3)$ is its quotient by the center. Let $G(8, \mathbf{P}^{13})$ be the Grassmannian of 8-dimensional linear subspaces P of \mathbf{P}^{13} and $G(8, \mathbf{P}^{13})^t$ the open subset consisting of P 's such that the intersection $P \cap SpG(3, 6)$ is transversal.

Corollary *The weighted cardinality, or mass, of the non-pentagonal curves C of genus 9 over the finite field \mathbf{F}_q is equal to $\#G(8, \mathbf{P}^{13})^t / \#PGSp(3, \mathbf{F}_q)$:*

$$\sum_{\text{non-pentagonal}} \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}} C} = \frac{\#G(8, \mathbf{P}^{13})^t(\mathbf{F}_q)}{q^9(q^6 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^2 - 1)}.$$

The key of the proof is linear systems of higher rank (§3), especially their semi-irreducibility. Let C be as in Theorem A and α a g_8^2 of C , which exists by Brill-Noether theory (cf. [1], Chap. 7). Let β be the Serre adjoint $K_C \alpha^{-1}$ and Q_β the dual of the kernel of the evaluation homomorphism $3\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \beta$. Then there exists a unique nontrivial extension of α by Q_β with $h^0(E) = 6$ (Lemma 5.2 and 5.4). Moreover, such an extension E , often denoted by E_{max} , does not depend on the choice of α and is characterized by the following property (Proposition 5.6) :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i) \quad \Lambda^3 E \simeq K_C, \\ ii) \quad h^0(E) = 6, \text{ and} \\ iii) \quad |E| \text{ is free and semi - irreducible (Definition 3.3).} \end{array} \right. \quad (0.2)$$

It is known that the variety of special divisors $W_8^2(C) \subset \text{Jac } C$ and $G(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{19}$ have the same degree (=42). As a corollary of these arguments, we have a bijection between $W_8^2(C)$ and the intersection $G(3, 6) \cap \mathbf{P}^{10}$ (Remark 5.7).

Let $\Phi_E : C \longrightarrow G(H^0(E_{max}), 3)$ be the Grassmannian morphism associated with the complete linear system $|E_{max}|$.

Theorem C *Let C be a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 9 over an algebraically closed field and assume that a rank 3 vector bundle $E = E_{max}$ on it satisfies the condition (0.2). Then the natural linear maps*

$$\lambda_2 : \bigwedge^2 H^0(E) \longrightarrow H^0(\bigwedge^2 E) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 : \bigwedge^3 H^0(E) \longrightarrow H^0(\bigwedge^3 E) \simeq H^0(K_C)$$

surjective and $\text{Ker } \lambda_2$ is generated by a nondegenerate bivector σ . The image of Φ_E is contained in the symplectic Grassmannian $G(H^0(E), \sigma)$ (see §2) and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & G(H^0(E), \sigma) \\ \text{canonical} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Plücker} \\ \mathbf{P}^8 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^* \wedge^3(H^0(E), \sigma) \\ & & \mathbf{P}^* \bar{\lambda}_3 \end{array} \quad (0.3)$$

is cartesian, where $\bar{\lambda}_3$ is the linear map

$$\bigwedge^3(H^0(E), \sigma) := \bigwedge^3 H^0(E) / (\sigma \wedge H^0(E)) \longrightarrow H^0(\bigwedge^3 E) \simeq H^0(K_C) \quad (0.4)$$

induced by λ_3 .

The author stayed at Japan-U.S. Mathematic Institute (JAMI) in the Johns Hopkins University in the spring of 1991 and 1996 during the preparation of this article. He gave series lectures on this topic at Kyushu University in June of 1996. He is very grateful to these institutions for their hospitality. The stay in 1996 at JAMI was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. This article is a refined version of an unpublished preprint written at Nagoya University in the autumn of 1996.

Notation and conventions. For a vector space V , the second exterior product $\wedge^2 V$ is the quotient of $V \otimes V$ by the subspace generated by $v \otimes v$, $v \in V$. Similarly $S^2 V$ is the quotient by that generated by $u \otimes v - v \otimes u$, $u, v \in V$. An element of $\wedge^2 V$ is called a *bivector* of V . We denote by $G(r, V)$ and $G(V, r)$ the Grassmannians of r -dimensional subspaces and quotient spaces of V , respectively. Two projective spaces $G(1, V)$ and

$G(V, 1)$ associated to V are denoted by $\mathbf{P}_*(V)$ and $\mathbf{P}^*(V)$, respectively. \mathbf{P}_* is a covariant functor and \mathbf{P}^* is contravariant. For a vector space or vector bundle V , its dual is denoted by V^\vee . The tensor product symbol \otimes between a vector bundle and a line bundle is often omitted when there seems no fear of confusion.

All (algebraic) varieties are considered over a fixed base field k . A smooth complete irreducible curve is simply called a *curve*. By a g_d^r , we mean a line bundle L on a curve with $\deg L = d$ and $\dim H^0(L) \geq r + 1$. A *saturation* of a subsheaf $F' \subset E$ is the largest subsheaf F between F' and E such that F'/F is torsion.

1 Preliminary

We prove two lemmas on the number of global sections. Let ξ be a line bundle on a curve C and η the Serre adjoint $K_C\xi^{-1}$. We denote the evaluation homomorphism $H^0(\eta) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \eta$ by ev_η and the dual of its kernel by Q_η . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_\eta^\vee \rightarrow H^0(\eta) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \eta. \quad (1.1)$$

Its dual

$$0 \rightarrow \eta^{-1} \rightarrow H^0(\eta)^\vee \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow Q_\eta \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.2)$$

is also exact if η is free. The rank of Q_η is equal to $\dim |\eta| = r - 1$, where we put $r = h^0(\eta)$. The following is a variant of so called the base point free pencil trick.

Lemma 1.1 *For a vector bundle E of rank r on C , we have*

$$\dim \text{Hom}(E, \xi) + \dim \text{Hom}(Q_\eta, E) \geq r(h^0(E) - \deg \eta) - \chi(E).$$

Proof. Take the global section of the exact sequence (1.1) tensored with E . Then we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}(Q_\eta, E) + h^0(E\eta) \geq rh^0(E).$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem (and the Serre duality), we have

$$h^0(E\eta) - h^0(E^\vee\xi) = \chi(E\eta) = \chi(E) + r \deg \eta.$$

Our assertion follows immediately from these. \square

If E is of canonical determinant, *i.e.*, $\wedge^r E \simeq K_C$, then we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}(E, \xi) + \dim \text{Hom}(Q_\eta, E) \geq r(h^0(E) - r - s) - 2\rho + 2, \quad (1.3)$$

since $\chi(E) = (r - 2)(1 - g)$, where $s = h^0(\xi) = h^1(\eta)$ and $\rho := g - rs$ is the Brill-Noether number of η , or equivalently, of ξ .

The number of global sections behaves specially if a vector bundle has a non-degenerate quadratic form with values in K_C . The following is one of such phenomena clarified in Mumford [10].

Proposition 1.2 *Let E and F be rank two vector bundles on a curve C such that $(\det E) \otimes (\det F) \simeq K_C$. Then $h^0(E \otimes F)$ is congruent to $\deg E$ modulo 2.*

Proof. Take a line subbundle L of F and put $M = F/L$. The coboundary map $\delta : H^0(E \otimes M) \rightarrow H^1(E \otimes L)$ coming from the exact sequence

$$E \otimes [0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0]$$

is anti-self-dual, that is, $\delta + \delta^\vee = 0$, with respect to the Serre pairing. Hence $h^0(E \otimes F)$ is congruent to

$$h^0(E \otimes L) + h^0(E \otimes M) = h^0(E \otimes L) + h^1(E \otimes L)$$

modulo 2. Since $h^0(E \otimes L) - h^1(E \otimes L)$ is congruent to $\deg(E \otimes L)$, we have our assertion. \square

2 Symplectic Grassmannian

Let A be a k -vector space. For a subspace $B \subset A$ the linear map $\Lambda^2 B \rightarrow \Lambda^2 A$ is injective.

Definition 2.1 A bivector $\sigma \in \Lambda^2 A$ is *degenerate* if σ is contained in $\Lambda^2 B$ for a proper subspace $B \subset A$.

A bivector σ is always degenerate if $\dim A$ is odd. In the case $\dim A$ is even, σ is degenerate if and only if the value of the Pfaffian is zero. There exists a minimal subspace $B \subset A$ such that $\sigma \in \Lambda^2 B$. This subspace B is called the *co-radical* of σ .

Definition 2.2 A *symplectic vector space* is a pair (V, σ) of a vector space V and a nondegenerate bivector $\sigma \in \Lambda^2 V^\vee$ of the dual vector space.

$\Lambda^2 V^\vee$ is the quotient of $V^\vee \otimes V^\vee$ by the space of symmetric bilinear forms on V . Hence a bivector σ is a coset of the subspace. When the characteristic of k is not 2, the coset has the unique anti-symmetric representative, say σ^{AS} . A subspace $U \subset V$ is a *Lagrangian* if $2 \dim U = \dim V$ and the restriction $\sigma|_U : U \times U \rightarrow k$ of σ to U is symmetric. If $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, then the second condition is equivalent to the usual one, that is, $\sigma^{AS}|_U = 0$. We denote the set of Lagrangian subspaces of (V, σ) by $G(\sigma, V)$.

Two vectors u and $v \in V$ are *perpendicular* with respect to σ if the restriction of σ to the subspace spanned by u and v is symmetric. For a nonzero vector $v \in V$, the set of vectors $u \in V$ perpendicular to v is a subspace of codimension one. We denote this subspace by v^\perp . σ induces a bilinear form $\bar{\sigma}$ on the quotient space $\bar{V} := v^\perp/kv$ and $(\bar{V}, \bar{\sigma})$ becomes a symplectic vector space of dimension two less. If a Lagrangian subspace U of (V, σ) contains v , then the quotient U/kv is a Lagrangian of $(\bar{V}, \bar{\sigma})$. Conversely, if \bar{U} is a Lagrangian of $(\bar{V}, \bar{\sigma})$, then its inverse image by $v^\perp \rightarrow \bar{V}$ is a Lagrangian of (V, σ) which contains v . By this correspondence we identify $G(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{V})$ with the subset of $G(\sigma, V)$ consisting of $[U]$ with $v \in U$.

For our purpose, the Grassmannian of quotient spaces is more convenient than that of subspaces. A quotient space $A \xrightarrow{f} Q$ of A is *Lagrangian* with respect to a nondegenerate bivector σ if $2 \dim W = \dim A$ and if $(\Lambda^2 f)(\sigma) = 0$. We denote the set of Lagrangian quotient spaces of the pair (A, σ) by $G(A, \sigma)$, which coincides with $G(\sigma, A^\vee)$. Let \mathcal{U} be the universal quotient bundle on $G(A, n)$, $\dim A = 2n$. Then $\sigma \in \Lambda^2 A$ determines a global section of $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{U}$, which we denote by s . Then $G(A, \sigma)$ coincides with the zero set of $s \in H^0(G(A, n), \Lambda^2 \mathcal{U})$. We endow $G(A, \sigma)$ with structure of scheme as the zero locus of s . Its isomorphism class is denoted by $SpG(n, 2n)$.

Proposition 2.3 *The symplectic Grassmannian $G(A, \sigma)$ is a smooth variety of dimension $n(n+1)/2$ and the anti-canonical class is $n+1$ times the the hyperplane section H of the Plücker embedding.*

Proof. Since $\Lambda^2 A$ generates $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{U}$, $G(A, \tilde{\sigma})$ is locally a smooth complete intersection for general $\tilde{\sigma}$ by Bertini's theorem ([13], Theorem 1.10). The normal bundle of $G(A, \tilde{\sigma})$ is the restriction of $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{U}$. In particular, the dimension is equal to $n^2 - n(n-1)/2 = n(n+1)/2$. Since the $GL(2n)$ -orbit of non-degenerate bivectors is dense in $\Lambda^2 A$, $G(A, \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $G(A, \tilde{\sigma})$. $G(A, \sigma)$ is irreducible since the symplectic group $Sp(n)$ acts transitively. Since $c_1(G(A, n)) = 2nH$ and $c_1(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{U}) = (n-1)H$, the anti-canonical class of $G(A, \sigma)$ is equal

to the restriction of $c_1(G(A, n)) - c_1(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{U}) = (n + 1)H$. \square

The divisor class group of the Grassmannian $G(n, 2n)$ is generated by the hyperplane section class H . Its Chow group of codimension 2 cycles is generated by two Schubert subvarieties:

$$Y = \{[U] \mid U \cap W \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y' = \{[U] \mid U + W' \neq V\} \quad (2.1)$$

for a subspace W of dimension $n - 1$ and W' of codimension $n - 1$. It is well known that the self intersection $H \cdot H$ is (rationally) equivalent to their sum. On the symplectic Grassmannian, obviously Y and Y' are equivalent and hence we have

$$H \cdot H \sim Y + Y' \sim 2Y. \quad (2.2)$$

Let a be a nonzero vector of A . The image $\bar{\sigma}$ of σ in $\Lambda^2(A/ka)$ is degenerate since $\dim(A/ka)$ is odd. In fact, the co-radical \bar{A} of $\bar{\sigma}$ is of codimension one. Similar to the inclusion $G(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{V}) \hookrightarrow G(\sigma, V)$, we have a natural inclusion $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma}) \hookrightarrow G(A, \sigma)$. Moreover, $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma})$ is the scheme of zeros of the global section of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{U}|_{G(A, \sigma)}$ corresponding to $a \in A$.

Let $G(A, n) \subset \mathbf{P}^*(\Lambda^n A)$ be the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $G(A, n)$. The tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_G(1)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^n \mathcal{U}$. Since σ vanishes on $G(A, \sigma)$, so do all the linear forms $\sigma \wedge (\Lambda^{n-2} A) \subset \Lambda^n A$. Let $\Lambda^n(A, \sigma)$ be the quotient space of $\Lambda^n A$ by the subspace $\sigma \wedge (\Lambda^{n-2} A)$. Then $G(A, \sigma)$ is contained in the subspace $\mathbf{P}^*(\Lambda^n(A, \sigma))$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(A, \sigma) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^*(\Lambda^n(A, \sigma)) \\ \cap & & \cap \\ G(A, n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}^*(\Lambda^n A). \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

Plücker

$G(A, \sigma)$ coincides with $G(A, 1) = \mathbf{P}^1$ for $n = 1$ and is a smooth hyperplane section of the smooth 4-dimensional quadric $G(A, 2) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ for $n = 2$.

Now we set $n = 3$ and investigate the conormal space of $G(A, \sigma) \subset \mathbf{P}^* \Lambda^3(A, \sigma)$ and an important cubic cone in it. Let $A \rightarrow Q$ be a 3-dimensional quotient space and put $W = \text{Ker}[A \rightarrow Q]$. Then we have a filtration of subspaces

$$F_0 = \bigwedge^3 W \subset F_1 = (\bigwedge^2 W) \wedge A \subset F_2 = W \wedge \bigwedge^2 A \subset F_3 = \bigwedge^3 A. \quad (2.4)$$

Then $\Lambda^3 A \rightarrow F_3/F_2 \simeq \Lambda^3 Q$ is the Plücker coordinate of Q . F_2/F_1 is isomorphic to $W \otimes (\Lambda^2 Q)$. $(F_2/F_1) \otimes \det Q^{-1} \simeq \text{Hom}(Q, W)$ is canonically isomorphic to the cotangent space of $G(A, 3)$ at $[Q]$. $F_1 \otimes \det Q^{-1}$ is canonically isomorphic to the conormal space of $G(A, 3) \subset \mathbf{P}^* \Lambda^3 A$. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & \bar{F}_1 \otimes \det W^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(W, Q) \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & N_{G(A,3)/\mathbf{P}}^\vee \otimes \det Q \otimes \det W^{-1} & & \end{array}$$

Assume that $[A \rightarrow Q] \in G(A, \sigma)$ is Lagrangian. Then σ belongs to $W \wedge A \subset \Lambda^2 A$. Let

$$\bar{F}_0 \subset \bar{F}_1 \subset \bar{F}_2 \subset \bar{F}_3, \quad \bar{F}_i = F_i / (F_i \cap \sigma \wedge A),$$

be the quotient filtration of (2.4) by $\sigma \wedge A \subset F_2$. Then $\bar{F}_3/\bar{F}_2 \simeq \Lambda^3 Q$ is the Plücker coordinate of Q . The cotangent space of $G(3, \sigma)$ at $[Q]$ is $\bar{F}_2/\bar{F}_1 \otimes \det Q^{-1} \simeq S^2 W$. The conormal space is isomorphic to $\bar{F}_1 \otimes \det Q$ and we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & k & \longrightarrow & \bar{F}_1 \otimes \det Q & \longrightarrow & S^2 Q \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & N_{G(A,\sigma)/\mathbf{P}}^\vee \otimes (\det Q)^2 & & \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\alpha : \mathbf{P}_*(\Lambda^3 A) \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_*(\Lambda^3(A, \sigma))$ be the projection with center $\mathbf{P}_*(\sigma \wedge A)$. Since σ is nondegenerate, $G(3, A)$ is disjoint from the center. We consider the image of the Schubert subvariety

$$S_Q = \{[U] \mid \text{rk}[U \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q] \leq 1\} \subset G(3, A)$$

by α for a Lagrangian quotient space $A \rightarrow Q$ (cf. (3.3) and (4.1)). S_Q is a 5-dimensional subvariety of $\mathbf{P}_*((\Lambda^2 W) \wedge A) = \mathbf{P}_*(N_{G(A,3)/\mathbf{P},Q}^\vee)$ and $\alpha(S_Q)$ is a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}_*(\bar{F}_1) = \mathbf{P}_*(N_{G(A,\sigma)/\mathbf{P},Q}^\vee) = \mathbf{P}^6$. By the exact sequence (2.5), $\mathbf{P}^*(N_{G(A,\sigma)/\mathbf{P},[Q]})$ has the distinguished point corresponding to $\text{Ker}[A \rightarrow Q]$, which we denote by κ_Q , and the special projection onto $\mathbf{P}_*(S^2 Q)$. $\alpha(S_Q)$ contains the point κ_Q .

Proposition 2.4 *The image $\alpha(S_Q)$ is a cubic hypersurface of $\mathbf{P}^*(N_{G(A,\sigma)/\mathbf{P},[Q]})$. More precisely, it is the cone over the discriminant hypersurface of $\mathbf{P}_*(S^2 Q)$ with vertex κ_Q .*

Proof. Choose a basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_{-3}\}$ of A such that $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a basis of $\text{Ker}[A \rightarrow Q]$ and $\sigma = v_1 \wedge v_{-1} + v_2 \wedge v_{-2} + v_3 \wedge v_{-3}$. Let $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ be a basis of $U \in S_Q$ such that $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Ker}[U \rightarrow Q]$. The exterior product $u_1 \wedge u_2$ is equal to

$$a_1 v_2 \wedge v_3 + a_2 v_3 \wedge v_1 + a_3 v_1 \wedge v_2 \in \bigwedge^2 \text{Ker}[A \rightarrow Q]$$

for a_1, a_2 and $a_3 \in k$. Put $u_3 = a_4 v_1 + a_5 v_2 + a_6 v_3 + b_1 v_{-1} + b_2 v_{-2} + b_3 v_{-3}$. Then the Plücker coordinate $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3$ of U is

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + (a_1 v_2 \wedge v_3 + a_2 v_3 \wedge v_1 + a_3 v_1 \wedge v_2) \wedge (b_1 v_{-1} + b_2 v_{-2} + b_3 v_{-3}) \\ &= a_0 v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + (a_2 b_1 v_{12} - a_1 b_2 v_{21}) + (a_1 b_3 v_{31} - a_3 b_1 v_{13}) + (a_3 b_2 v_{23} - a_2 b_3 v_{32}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i b_i v_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

where we put $a_0 = a_1 a_4 + a_2 a_5 + a_3 a_6$,

$$v_{11} = v_{-1} \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3, \quad v_{22} = v_1 \wedge v_{-2} \wedge v_3, \quad v_{33} = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_{-3}$$

and $v_{jk} = v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_{-j}$ for every $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $v_{jk} + v_{kj} \in A \wedge \sigma$ for every $j \neq k$, $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3$ is congruent to

$$a_0 v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 - (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) v_{12} - (a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1) v_{13} + (a_2 b_3 + a_3 b_2) v_{23} + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i b_i v_{ii}$$

modulo $A \wedge \sigma$. Hence $\alpha(S_Q)$ consists of $\gamma_0 v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} \gamma_{ij} v_{ij}$ such that the quadratic form $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} \gamma_{ij} X_i X_j$ is of rank ≤ 2 . \square

Remark 2.5 The discriminant of a ternary quadratic form

$$q(x, y, z) = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dyz + exz + fxy, \quad a, b, \dots, f \in k$$

is equal to $4abc - ad^2 - be^2 - cf^2 + def$.

3 Linear systems of higher rank

A *linear system of rank r* is a pair (E, A) of a vector bundle E of rank r and a space of global sections $A \subset H^0(E)$. The special one with $A = H^0(E)$ is called a *complete* linear system and denoted by $|E|$. A linear system (E, A) on an algebraic variety C is *free* if the evaluation homomorphism $ev_{E,A} : A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$ is surjective. If this holds, we obtain a morphism $\Phi_{E,A}$ of C to the Grassmann variety $G(A, r)$ of r -dimensional quotient spaces.

It is characterized by the property that $\Phi_E^*(\mathcal{U}, A) = (E, A)$, where \mathcal{U} is the universal quotient bundle on $G(A, r)$.

Let

$$\bigwedge^m ev_{E,A} : \bigwedge^m A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \bigwedge^m E$$

be the exterior product of the evaluation homomorphism $ev_{E,A}$. It induces the linear map

$$\bigwedge^m A \longrightarrow H^0(\bigwedge^m E),$$

which we denote by λ_m . The image $\lambda_m(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_m)$ of a simple m -vector $s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_m$ is zero if and only if m global sections $s_1, \dots, s_m \in A \subset H^0(E)$ are linearly dependent at the generic point of C , that is, they generate a subsheaf of rank less than m . The case $m = r$ is most important. Assume that the linear map $\lambda_r : \bigwedge^r A \longrightarrow H^0(\det E)$, is surjective. Then the map

$$\Psi : \mathbf{P}^*(H^0(\det E)) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^*(\bigwedge^r A). \quad (3.1)$$

induced by λ_r is a linear embedding and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Phi_E} & G(A, r) \\ \cap & & \cap \quad \text{Plücker} \\ \mathbf{P}^*(H^0(\det E)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbf{P}^*(\bigwedge^r A). \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

Even when λ_r is not surjective, the above is still commutative though $\Psi = \mathbf{P}^*\lambda_r$ is only a rational map. The linear map λ_r is important in analyzing E itself also.

Now we assume that the base field k is algebraically closed (until the end of §6). The dual Grassmannian $G(r, A) \subset \mathbf{P}_*(\bigwedge^r A)$ is also important for (E, A) .

Definition 3.1 A linear system (E, A) of rank r is *irreducible* if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- i) for every r -dimensional linear subspace U of A the image of $U \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E$ is of rank r , and
- ii) the kernel of the natural linear map $\lambda_r : \bigwedge^r A \longrightarrow H^0(C, \det E)$ contains no (non-zero) simple r -vectors, that is, $G(r, A) \cap \mathbf{P}_*(\text{Ker } \lambda_r) = \emptyset$.

The following is known as Castelnuovo's trick (cf. [2], Chap. 10):

Proposition 3.2 *If $r(\dim A - r) \geq h^0(\det E)$, then (E, A) is reducible,*

Proof. The left hand side of the inequality is the dimension of $G(r, A)$. The codimension of $\mathbf{P}_*(\text{Ker } \lambda_r) \subset \mathbf{P}_*(\wedge^r H^0(E))$ is at most $h^0(\det E)$. Hence, if the inequality holds, then the intersection $G(r, A) \cap \mathbf{P}_*\text{Ker } \lambda_r$ is not empty. \square

A line bundle is irreducible. But the irreducibility seems a strong condition in general. Irreducible ones of rank ≥ 2 will not appear in the sequel. Instead the following concept plays a crucial role in our proof.

Definition 3.3 A linear system (E, A) of rank r on a (smooth complete) curve C is *semi-irreducible* if the evaluation homomorphism $ev_U : U \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$ is either injective or everywhere of rank $r - 1$ for every r -dimensional subspace U of A .

For an r -dimensional quotient space $A \rightarrow Q$, we denote by S_Q the Schubert subvariety

$$\{[U] \mid \text{rk}[U \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q] \leq r - 2\} \subset G(r, A) \quad (3.3)$$

associated to Q . S_Q is contained in the projective space $\mathbf{P}_*((\wedge^2 W) \wedge (\wedge^{r-2} A))$, which is the projectivisation $\mathbf{P}_*(N_{G(A,r)/\mathbf{P},[Q]}^\vee)$ of the conormal space of $G(A, r) \subset \mathbf{P}_*(\wedge^r A)$ at $[Q]$. The following is obvious:

Lemma 3.4 (E, A) is semi-irreducible if and only if $S_{E_p} \cap \mathbf{P}_*\text{Ker } \lambda_r = \emptyset$ for every fiber E_p of E , $p \in C$.

Now we restrict ourselves to complete linear systems for simplicity.

Proposition 3.5 Assume that a complete linear system $|E|$ of rank r is free and semi-irreducible.

(1) If F is a proper nonzero subbundle, then $h^0(F) \leq r(F) + 1$, where $r(F)$ is the rank of F .

(2) If $h^0(E) \geq r + 3$, then E is simple, i.e., $\text{End } E = k$.

Proof. (1) Assume that F is of rank $r - 1$ and $h^0(F) \geq r$. Then the evaluation homomorphism $B \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F$ is surjective for every r -dimensional subspace $B \subset H^0(F)$ by semi-irreducibility. Hence we have $h^0(F) \leq r$. General case follows from this since, for every proper subbundle F , there exists a subsheaf $F' \subset E$ of rank $r - 1$ which contains F and $h^0(F') \geq h^0(F) + r(F') - r(F)$.

(2) It suffices to show that every endomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E$ is either zero or an isomorphism. Assume that ϕ is neither. Then both the kernel and the image are proper subsheaves and we have

$$h^0(E) \leq h^0(\text{Ker } \phi) + h^0(\text{Im } \phi) \leq r(\text{Ker } \phi) + 1 + r(\text{Im } \phi) + 1 = r + 2$$

by (1), which is a contradiction. \square

The following is proved similarly.

Lemma 3.6 *Assume that two complete linear systems $|E|$ and $|E'|$ are free, semi-irreducible and of the same rank r and assume further that $h^0(E') \geq r + 3$. Then every nonzero homomorphism $E \rightarrow E'$ is injective.*

4 Linear sections of the symplectic Grassmannian

Throughout this section $C \subset \mathbf{P}^8$ is a transversal linear section $SpG(3, 6) \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_5$ of the 6-dimensional symplectic Grassmannian.

Lemma 4.1 *C is of genus 9 and the restriction of tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is isomorphic to the canonical bundle K_C of C .*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 and by adjunction, we have $K_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(K_{SpG} + H_1 + \cdots + H_5) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. The Chern class of the universal quotient bundle \mathcal{U} on $G(3, 6)$ is the sum $1 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ of the special Schubert cycles ([8], Chap. 1). By Pieri's formula, we have

$$2g(C) - 2 = \deg[SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}] = (c_3(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{U})^6) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 \cdot \sigma_1^6) = 21 - 5 = 16,$$

since $SpG(3, 6)$ is the zero locus of a global section of $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}$. Hence C is of genus 9. \square

Let $G(A, \sigma)$, $\dim A = 6$, be a representative of $SpG(3, 6)$.

Lemma 4.2 *The linear map $\bigwedge^3(A, \sigma) \rightarrow H^0(K_C)$ is surjective and its kernel is generated by the linear forms $f_1, \dots, f_5 \in \bigwedge^3(A, \sigma)$ defining the five hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_5 .*

Proof. Let X_i be the common zero locus of the first i linear forms f_1, \dots, f_i for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Then we obtain a ladder

$$C = X_5 \subset X_4 \subset X_3 \subset X_2 \subset X_1 \subset X_0 := G(A, \sigma).$$

Since C is irreducible, so is each X_i . Hence the kernel of the restriction map $H^0(X_i, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{i+1}, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is generated by f_{i+1} , for every $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Hence $\Lambda^3(A, \sigma)/\langle f_1, \dots, f_5 \rangle \longrightarrow H^0(K_C)$ is injective. This map is also surjective because the source and the target have the same dimension. \square

Let \mathcal{E} be the restriction of \mathcal{U} to $G(A, \sigma)$ and E that to C .

Lemma 4.3 *The restriction map $A \rightarrow H^0(E)$ is injective.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then for each of the Lagrangian quotient spaces $A \rightarrow Q$ parameterized by C , $\text{Ker}[A \rightarrow Q]$ contains a nonzero common vector a . Hence C is contained in the symplectic Grassmannian $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma})$, where \bar{A} is the co-radical of A/ka . This contradicts the preceding lemma since $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma})$ lies in a 4-dimensional linear subspace. \square

By this lemma we identify A with its image in $H^0(E)$.

Lemma 4.4 (1) *A nonzero global section $s \in A$ of E has at most two zeros (counted with multiplicity), that is, $A \cap H^0(E(-D)) = 0$ for every effective divisor D of degree 3 on C .*

(2) *If $A' \subset A$ is a subspace of codimension one, then the cokernel of the evaluation homomorphism $A' \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E$ is of length ≤ 2 .*

Proof. Assume that s has at least three zeros. Then we have an exact sequence $E^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$ for an effective divisor D of degree ≥ 3 . Let $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma}) \subset G(A, \sigma)$ be the 3-dimensional symplectic Grassmannian determined by $s \in A$. Then the intersection $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma}) \cap C$ contains D . Since $G(\bar{A}, \bar{\sigma})$ is a quadric, its intersection with the linear span $\langle D \rangle$ is of positive dimension, which is a contradiction. This shows (1). The proof of (2) is similar. \square

Let $U \subset A$ be a 3-dimensional subspace and $H_U \subset \mathbf{P}^* \Lambda^3 A$ the hyperplane corresponding to it. Then the intersection $H_U \cap G(A, r)$ consists of the r -dimensional quotient spaces $A \rightarrow Q$ such that the composite $U \hookrightarrow A \rightarrow Q$ is not an isomorphism. It is singular along the Schubert subvariety

$$\{[A \rightarrow Q] \mid \text{rank}[U \hookrightarrow A \rightarrow Q] \leq r - 2\}. \quad (4.1)$$

If $H_U \not\supset C$, then the evaluation homomorphism $ev_U : U \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E$ is of rank 3 at the generic point. Hence it is injective. If $H_U \supset C$, then H_U belongs to $\langle [H_1], \dots, [H_5] \rangle$.

Since the intersection $C = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_5 \cap G(A, \sigma)$ is transversal, $H_U \cap G(A, \sigma)$ must be smooth along C . Hence ev_U is of rank 2 everywhere. So we have proved the following, which indicates that the semi-irreducibility is a key concept in for canonical curves of genus 9.

Proposition 4.5 *The induced rank three linear system (A, E) on $C = G(A, \sigma) \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_5$ is semi-irreducible.*

By Proposition 3.2, there exists a 3-dimensional subspace U of A such that $H_U \supset C$. Let F and α be the image and the cokernel of ev_U . Then α is a line bundle, $\det F$ is isomorphic to $\beta := K_C \alpha^{-1}$ and we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \beta^{-1} \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \alpha \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

By (2.2), the line bundles α and β are both of degree 8.

Proposition 4.6 *C is non-pentagonal.*

Proof. It is obvious that C is non-hyperelliptic. Since $SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ is an intersection of quadrics (see (0.1)), so is $C \subset \mathbf{P}^8$. Hence C has no g_3^1 or g_5^2 . Let ξ be a g_5^1 on C . Then we have $h^0(\xi) = 2$. Taking the global section of the exact sequence

$$[0 \longrightarrow F^\vee \longrightarrow 3\mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \beta \longrightarrow 0] \otimes \xi,$$

we have

$$6 \leq 3h^0(\xi) \leq \dim \text{Hom}(F, \xi) + h^0(\xi\beta) = \dim \text{Hom}(F, \xi) + 5 + h^1(\xi\beta).$$

Hence we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}(F, \xi) + \dim \text{Hom}(\xi, \alpha) \geq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Assume that there exists a nonzero homomorphism $F \rightarrow \xi$ and let s be a nonzero global section in the kernel of $B \hookrightarrow H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(\xi)$. Then s has at least three zeros since $\deg F - \deg \xi = 3$. If $\text{Hom}(F, \xi)$ is zero, then $\text{Hom}(\xi, \alpha)$ is not by (4.3). Hence α contains a subsheaf isomorphic to ξ . Let A' be the inverse image of $H^0(\xi)$ by $A \rightarrow H^0(\alpha)$. Then the cokernel of the evaluation homomorphism $A' \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$ is of length 3. Both contradict Lemma 4.4. \square

Remark 4.7 (1) For a curve of genus 9, non-existence of g_5^1 is equivalent to that Clifford index equals to three (Martens[9], Beispiel 9).

(2) The Green's property (N_p) ([6]) gives another proof of the proposition: First a general curve of genus 9 satisfies (N_3) by Ein[3]. Hence $SpG(3, 6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ and its complete linear sections do so. By the converse of Green's conjecture (Green-Lazarsfeld[7]), they are non-pentagonal.

By the proposition and (1) of the remark, C has no g_8^3 . Hence we have $h^0(\alpha) = h^0(\beta) = 3$. By Lemma 5.1 below, we have $h^0(E) \leq h^0(\alpha) + H^0(Q_\beta) \leq 6$. Combining with Lemma 4.3, we have

Proposition 4.8 *The restriction map $A \rightarrow H^0(E)$ is an isomorphism.*

In the following sections we aim at a kind of converse of Proposition 4.5.

5 Rank 3 linear systems on a non-pentagonal curve

Throughout this section we assume that C is a non-pentagonal curve of genus 9. In particular, C has no g_7^2 . Let α be a g_8^2 , β its Serre adjoint and Q_β the cokernel of ev_β as in the introduction and in (1.1). The image of $\Phi_\beta : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ is a singular plane curve of degree 8. Hence there exists a pair (p, q) of points such that $h^0(\beta(-p - q)) = 2$. Since C is non-pentagonal, $\xi := \beta(-p - q)$ is a free g_6^1 . Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \beta^{-1} & \longrightarrow & 3\mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & Q_\beta \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cap & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \xi^{-1} & \longrightarrow & 2\mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & \xi \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(p + q) \longrightarrow Q_\beta \longrightarrow \xi \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.1 (1) $h^0(Q_\beta) = 3$.

(2) $H^0(\alpha^{-1}Q_\beta) = 0$.

Proof. (1) $h^0(Q_\beta) \geq 3$ is obvious from the defining exact sequence of Q_β . The opposite inequality $h^0(Q_\beta) \leq 3$ follows from (5.2).

(2) Q_β is isomorphic to βQ_β^\vee since it is of rank 2. Hence Q_β is a subbundle of 3β . If $\alpha \not\cong \beta$, then $H^0(\alpha^{-1}\beta) = 0$ and hence $H^0(\alpha^{-1}Q_\beta) = 0$. If $\alpha \cong \beta$, then $H^0(\alpha^{-1}Q_\beta) \cong H^0(Q_\beta^\vee) = 0$ by the exact sequence (1.1). \square

We consider Γ -split extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_\beta \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \alpha \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.2 *There exists a nontrivial extension E of α by Q_β with $h^0(E) = 6$.*

Proof. The extensions with $h^0(E) = 6$ are parameterized by the kernel of the natural linear map $\varphi : \text{Ext}^1(\alpha, Q_\beta) \longrightarrow H^0(\alpha)^\vee \otimes H^1(Q_\beta)$, which is equal to the first cohomology H^1 of the homomorphism

$$[\alpha^{-1} \xrightarrow{ev^\vee} H^0(\alpha)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C] \otimes Q_\beta.$$

Since its cokernel is $Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\alpha)^\vee \otimes H^0(Q_\beta) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^0(Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta) \longrightarrow H^1(\alpha^{-1}Q_\beta) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^0(\alpha)^\vee \otimes H^1(Q_\beta) \quad (5.4)$$

The first map ψ is injective by (2) of Lemma 5.1 and $h^0(Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta)$ is even by Proposition 1.2. Since $h^0(\alpha)h^0(Q_\beta) = 9$, φ is not injective. \square

Proposition 5.3 *Let E be as in the preceding lemma. Then the complete linear system $|E|$ is free and semi-irreducible.*

Proof. $|E|$ is free since both $|Q_\beta|$ and $|\alpha|$ are so. Let $U \subset H^0(E)$ be a 3-dimensional subspace and $F \subset E$ the saturation of the subsheaf F' generated by U . Obviously $h^0(F) \geq 3$. If F is of rank one, then $\deg F \geq 8$ by our assumption. Since $F \not\subset Q_\beta$, the extension (5.3) splits, which is a contradiction. Hence F is of rank two. Let ξ be the quotient line bundle E/F . Since $|E|$ is free, so is ξ . Since $\text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$, we have $h^0(\xi) \geq 2$, which implies $\deg \xi \geq 6$ by our assumption. By duality, we have $h^0(\det F) = h^1(\xi) \leq 4$.

Assume that $h^0(F) \geq 4$. Then F contains a line subbundle ζ with $h^0(\zeta) \geq 2$ by Proposition 3.2. Since $\zeta \not\subset Q_\beta$, ζ is isomorphic to a proper subsheaf of α . Hence we have $h^0(\zeta) = 2$. Let η be the quotient line bundle F/ζ . Then we have $h^0(\eta) \geq h^0(F) - h^0(\zeta) = 2$. Since $\deg \zeta + \deg \eta + \deg \xi = 16$, one of the three line bundles is of degree ≤ 5 , which is a contradiction. Hence we have $h^0(F) = 3$ and $h^0(\xi) \geq h^0(E) - h^0(F) = 3$. Since

$h^1(\xi) = h^0(\det F) \geq 3$, ξ is a g_8^2 and F' is isomorphic to Q_ξ . In particular, $F' = F$ and F' is a subbundle. \square

Now conversely we study a uniqueness.

Lemma 5.4 *Nontrivial extensions E of α by Q_β with $h^0(E) = 6$ are unique.*

Proof. The assertion is equivalent to $h^0(Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta) \leq 10$ by the exact sequence (5.4). Take the global section of the exact sequence

$$(5.2) \otimes Q_\alpha : 0 \longrightarrow Q_\alpha(p+q) \longrightarrow Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta \longrightarrow Q_\alpha \xi \longrightarrow 0$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(Q_\alpha \otimes Q_\beta) &\leq h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) + h^0(Q_\alpha \xi) = h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) + h^1(Q_\alpha(p+q)) \\ &= 2h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) - \chi(Q_\alpha(p+q)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\chi(Q_\alpha(p+q)) = -4$, it suffices to show $h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) \leq 3$. Assume the contrary.

Case where $h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) = 4$. Let $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ be a basis of $H^0(Q_\alpha(p+q))$ such that $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in H^0(Q_\alpha)$ and F the image of the evaluation homomorphism $H^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow Q_\alpha(p+q)$. Then the quotient F/Q_α is generated by the image of s_4 . Hence we have $\deg F \leq \deg Q_\alpha + 2 = 10$. We have $h^0(\det F) \leq 4$ by the non-existence of g_6^2 . Since $h^0(F) \geq 4$, there exists a 2-dimensional subspace of $H^0(F)$ which generates a rank one subsheaf by Proposition 3.2. This contradicts the non-existence of g_5^1 .

Case where $h^0(Q_\alpha(p+q)) \geq 5$. Since $\deg Q_\alpha(p+q) = 12$ and since C has no g_4^1 , we have $h^0(\det(Q_\alpha(p+q))) \leq 5$. By Proposition 3.2, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \zeta \longrightarrow Q_\alpha(p+q) \longrightarrow \eta \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $h^0(\zeta) \geq 2$. Since $\eta(-p-q)$ is a quotient of Q_α , we have $h^0(\eta(-p-q)) \geq 2$ and $\deg \eta(-p-q) \geq 6$, which implies $\deg \zeta \leq 4$. This is a contradiction. \square

We strengthen this lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *A rank 3 vector bundle E on C which satisfies*

i) $\wedge^3 E \simeq K_C$, ii) $h^0(E) \geq 6$ and iii) $|E|$ is semi-irreducible is an extension of α by Q_β .

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, or by (1.3), we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(Q_\beta, E) + \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E, \alpha) \geq 2.$$

($h^0(E) = r + s$ and the Brill-Noether number ρ is equal to 0.) Hence there exists a nonzero homomorphism either $f : Q_\beta \rightarrow E$ or $g : E \rightarrow \alpha$.

If the image of f is a line bundle L , then $h^0(L) \geq 2$ since $\operatorname{Hom}(Q_\beta, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$. This contradicts (1) of Proposition 3.5. Hence f is injective. By semi-irreducibility, the cokernel is a line bundle and isomorphic to α .

If $g : E \rightarrow \alpha$ is not surjective, then the kernel of $H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(\alpha)$ is of dimension ≥ 4 , which contradicts semi-irreducibility. Hence g is surjective and its kernel is isomorphic to Q_β . \square

By the two lemmas above, we have the following

Proposition 5.6 *Vector bundles E on C which satisfy the condition of the lemma are unique up to isomorphism.*

This vector bundle is often denoted by E_{max} .

Corollary *If E is a rank 3 vector bundle of canonical determinant on C and if $|E|$ is semi-irreducible, then $h^0(E) \leq 6$.*

Remark 5.7 (1) By the proposition and its proof, we obtain an explicit bijection between two sets: $W_g^2(C)$, the set of g_g^2 's of C , and the intersection $G(3, H^0(E_{max})) \cap \mathbf{P}^{10}$. It is known that the cardinality of $W_d^{r-1}(C)$ of a general curve C of genus g is equal to the degree of a g -dimensional Grassmannian when the Brill-Noether number ρ is zero (cf. [1] Chap. VII and [4] Example 14.4.5).

(2) By (1) of Proposition 3.5, it is easy to show that E_{max} is stable. It is also easy to show a converse: if E is stable, $\Lambda^3 E \simeq K_C$ and $h^0(E) = 6$, then $|E|$ is semi-irreducible.

6 Linear section theorems

We prove Theorem C in several steps. Assume that E satisfies the condition (0.2). Since E is a rank 3 vector bundle of canonical determinant, $K_C E^\vee$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^2 E$. Hence, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$h^0(E) - h^0(\bigwedge^2 E) = \deg E + 3(1 - 9) = -8.$$

and $h^0(\bigwedge^2 E) = 14$. Since $\dim \bigwedge^2 H^0(E) = 15$, the linear map $\lambda_2 : \bigwedge^2 H^0(E) \longrightarrow H^0(\bigwedge^2 E)$ is not injective.

Step 1. Every nonzero bivector σ in $\text{Ker } \lambda_2$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. The rank of σ is either 2, 4 or 6. If σ is of rank 2, then σ is equal to $s_1 \wedge s_2$ for a pair of global sections s_1 and s_2 which are linearly independent in $H^0(E)$ and generate a rank one subsheaf in E . This contradicts Proposition 3.5. Assume that σ is of rank 4. Then σ is equal to $s_1 \wedge s_2 - s_3 \wedge s_4$ for s_1, s_2, s_3 and $s_4 \in H^0(E)$. By semi-irreducibility, s_1 and s_2 generate a rank two subsheaf in E . Let F be its saturation. Since $\lambda_2(s_1 \wedge s_2) = \lambda_2(s_3 \wedge s_4)$, we have $\lambda_3(s_1 \wedge s_2 \wedge s_i) = \lambda_3(s_3 \wedge s_4 \wedge s_i) = 0$ for $i = 3, 4$. Hence s_3 and s_4 are contained in $H^0(F)$ and we have $h^0(F) \geq 4$. This contradicts the semi-irreducibility of $|E|$. \square

The nondegeneracy of σ is equivalent to the non-vanishing of Pfaffian. Hence $\text{Ker } \lambda_2$ is of dimension one and λ_2 is surjective. Since $|E|$ is free, we obtain the Grassmannian morphism $\Phi_E : C \longrightarrow G(A, 3)$, where we put $A = H^0(E)$. Its image is contained in the symplectic Grassmannian $G(A, \sigma)$ and we obtain the commutative diagram (0.3), where σ is a generator of $\text{Ker } \lambda_2$. Since $\bigwedge^3(A, \sigma)$ is of dimension 14, the kernel of $\bar{\lambda}_3 : \bigwedge^3(A, \sigma) \longrightarrow H^0(K_C)$ is of dimension $\geq 14 - 9 = 5$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_k, k \geq 5$, be its basis and H_1, \dots, H_k the hyperplanes corresponding to them. Since $|E|$ is semi-irreducible, the intersection $S_{E_p} \cap \mathbf{P}_* \text{Ker } \lambda_3$ is empty for every $p \in C$ by Lemma 3.4. Hence so is $\alpha(S_{E_p}) \cap \mathbf{P}_* \text{Ker } \bar{\lambda}_3$.

Step 2. There exists a point $p \in C$ such that the intersection $G(A, \sigma) \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k$ is transversal at $\Phi_E(p)$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then, for every $p \in C$, there exists a member H_p of $\langle [H_1], \dots, [H_k] \rangle = \mathbf{P}_* \text{Ker } \bar{\lambda}_3$ such that the intersection $G(A, \sigma) \cap H_p$ is singular at $\Phi_E(p)$. Hence the intersection $\mathbf{P}_*(N_{G(A, \sigma)/\mathbf{P}, [E_p]}^\vee) \cap \mathbf{P}_* \text{Ker } \bar{\lambda}_3$ is a point by Proposition 2.4. Therefore, we obtain a section of the \mathbf{P}^6 -bundle $\mathbf{P}^*(\Phi_E^* N_{G(A, \sigma)/\mathbf{P}})$ over C which is disjoint from $\coprod_{p \in C} \alpha(S_{E_p})$. By projecting from $\coprod_{p \in C} \kappa_p$, we obtain a section of $\mathbf{P}_*(S^2 E)$ over which discriminant form $\delta \in H^0(S^3(S^2 \mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^{\otimes 2})$ has no zeros. Let $\xi \subset S^2 E$ be the line subbundle corresponding to the section. Then δ induces a nowhere vanishing global section of $\xi^{-3} \otimes (\det \mathcal{E})^{\otimes 2}$. This implies $3 \deg \xi = 2 \deg E = 32$, which is absurd. \square

In particular, we have $k = 5$ and hence the linear map $\bar{\lambda}_3$ is surjective. Therefore, $\mathbf{P}^*\bar{\lambda}_3$ is a linear embedding. Since the canonical morphism Φ_K is an embedding, so is Φ_E by the commutative diagram (0.3). We identify C with its image $\Phi_E(C)$.

By Step 2, the intersection $G(A, \sigma) \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_5$ is complete on a non-empty open subset C_0 of C . Hence the twisted normal bundle $N_{C/G(A, \sigma)}(-1)$ is generated by the five global sections induced from f_1, \dots, f_5 over C_0 . Since $N_{C/G(A, \sigma)}(-1)$ is of trivial determinant, it is generated over C . Therefore, the intersection is complete along C and contains it as a connected component. By the connectedness of linear sections (Fulton-Lazarsfeld [5], Theorem 2.1), the intersection coincides with C , which completes the proof of Theorem C. (If we use the refined Bézout theorem (Fulton[4], Theorem 12.3), the proof finishes at the last paragraph.)

Theorem A is an immediate consequence of Theorem C, Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 4.6.

7 Proof of Theorem B

We do not assume that k is algebraically closed any more. Let $C \simeq G(A', \sigma') \cap P'$ be another expression of $C = G(A, \sigma) \cap P$ as a complete linear section of a 6-dimensional symplectic Grassmannian and $\mathcal{E}'|_C$ the restriction of the universal quotient bundle. Both $|\mathcal{E}|_C|$ and $|\mathcal{E}'|_C|$ are semi-irreducible (over \bar{k}) by Proposition 4.5. Hence they are isomorphic to each other over \bar{k} by Proposition 5.6 and there exists a nonzero homomorphism $f : \mathcal{E}|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'|_C$ over k . This is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.6. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Lambda^2 H^0(f) & \\ \Lambda^2 A = \Lambda^2 H^0(\mathcal{E}|_C) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^2 H^0(\mathcal{E}'|_C) = \Lambda^2 A' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}|_C) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\Lambda^2 \mathcal{E}'|_C) \\ & H^0(\Lambda^2 f) & \end{array}$$

is commutative, the isomorphism $H^0(f)$ maps $k\sigma$ onto $k\sigma'$. Thus we have proved (2) of Theorem B.

Assume that k is perfect and let \bar{E} be a vector bundle on $\bar{C} = C \otimes_k \bar{k}$. We consider a descent problem of \bar{E} under the following condition:

- (*) \bar{E} is simple and $\sigma^* \bar{E} \simeq \bar{E}$ for every element σ of the Galois group $\text{Gal } k$ of \bar{k}/k .

As is well known, the obstruction $ob(\bar{E})$ for \bar{E} to descend to C is defined as an element of the second Galois cohomology group $H^2(\text{Gal } k, \text{Aut } \bar{E})$. Choose an isomorphism $f_\sigma : \bar{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^* \bar{E}$ for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal } k$. Then $ob(\bar{E})$ is the cohomology class of the cocycle $\{c_{\sigma,\tau}\}_{\sigma,\tau \in \text{Gal } k}$ defined by $c_{\sigma,\tau} = f_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \circ \tau^*(f_\sigma) \circ f_\tau \in \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} \bar{E}$. In other words, $ob(\bar{E})$ is the factor set of the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} \bar{E} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_k \bar{E} \longrightarrow \text{Gal } k \longrightarrow 1.$$

Lemma 7.1 *If $\dim H^i(\bar{C}, \bar{E}) = n > 0$, then the obstruction $ob(\bar{E})$ is an n -torsion.*

Proof. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be a basis of $H^i(\bar{C}, \bar{E})$ and $A_\sigma \in M_n(\bar{k})$ the matrix representing

$$H^i(f_\sigma) : H^i(\bar{C}, \bar{E}) \longrightarrow H^i(\bar{C}, \sigma^* \bar{E})$$

with respect to the bases $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ and $\{\sigma^* s_1, \dots, \sigma^* s_n\}$. Then we have

$$\det H^i(c_{\sigma,\tau}) = (\det A_{\sigma\tau})^{-1} \tau(\det A_\sigma) \det A_\tau$$

in \bar{k}^\times . Therefore, $\{\det H^i(c_{\sigma,\tau})\}_{\sigma,\tau \in \text{Gal } k}$ is cohomologous to zero. Since $c_{\sigma,\tau}$ are all constant multiplications, $\det H^i(c_{\sigma,\tau})$ are equal to $c_{\sigma,\tau}^n$. Hence $ob(\bar{E})$ is an n -torsion. \square

Now we prove (1) of Theorem B. Let C be a non-pentagonal curve of genus 9 defined over k . It suffices to show the following:

Proposition 7.2 *Assume that C has no g_5^1 over \bar{k} . Then there exists a vector bundle E on C such that $E \otimes_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle E_{max} on $C \otimes_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}$ in Theorem C.*

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 5.6, E_{max} satisfies (*). Hence the obstruction $ob(E_{max})$ belongs to $H^2(\text{Gal } k, \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} E_{max}) = H^2(\text{Gal } k, \bar{k}^\times)$. Let

$$Det : H^2(\text{Gal } k, \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} E_{max}) \longrightarrow H^2(\text{Gal } k, \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} \det E_{max})$$

be the determinant homomorphism. Since $\det E_{max}$ is the canonical bundle, it descends to C . Hence $ob(E_{max})$ belongs to the kernel and is a 3-torsion. On the other hand, $ob(E_{max})$ is a 14-torsion by the preceding lemma since $\dim H^1(E_{max}) = 14$. Therefore, $ob(E_{max})$ vanishes and E_{max} descends to C . (This is a Galois group variant of an argument of Mumford-Newstead [11].) \square

References

- [1] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P.A. and J. Harris: *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, I*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [2] Beauville, A.: *Complex Algebraic Surfaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.
- [3] Ein, L.: Syzygies of the generic canonical curve, *J. Diff. Geom.* **26**(1987), 361–365.
- [4] Fulton, W.: *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [5] Fulton, W. and R. Lazarsfeld : Connectivity and its applications in algebraic geometry, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **862**(1981), 26-92, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] Green, M.: Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties, *J. Diff. Geom.* **19**(1984), 125–171.
- [7] ——— and R. Lazarsfeld: The non vanishing of certain Koszul cohomology groups, Appendix to [6].
- [8] Griffiths, P. and J. Harris : *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1978.
- [9] Martens, G.: Funktionen von vorgegebener Ordnung auf komplexen Kurven, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **320**(1980), 68–85.
- [10] Mumford, D.: Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **4**(1971), 181–192.
- [11] ——— and P.E. Newstead : Periods of a moduli space of bundles on a curve, *Amer. J. Math.* **90**(1968), 1200–1208.
- [12] Mukai, S.: Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10 , in ‘*Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata*’, pp. 357–377, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.
- [13] ——— : Polarized K3 surfaces of genus 18 and 20, in ‘*Complex Projective Geometry*’, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series* **179**, Eds. G. Ellingsrud et al, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992, pp. 264–276.

- [14] — : Curves and symmetric spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. **68**(1992), 7–10.
- [15] — : Curves and Grassmannians, in ‘*Algebraic Geometry and related topics*’, Proceedings of the symposium held in Inha University, Inchon, Korea, 1992, J-H. Yang, Y. Namikawa and K. Ueno (eds.), International Press, Boston and Hong Kong, 1993, pp. 19–40.
- [16] — : Curves and symmetric spaces I, Amer. J. Math. **117**(1995), 1627-1644.
- [17] — : New developments in the theory of Fano 3-folds: Vector bundle method and moduli problem, Sugaku, **47**(1995), 125–144.: English translation, Sūgaku Expositions, Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University
Kitashirakawa Oiwake-chō, Sakyō-ku
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
e-mail address : mukai@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp