IUTch III–IV with remarks on the function-theoretic roots of the theory

Go Yamashita

RIMS, Kyoto

26/June/2016 at Kyoto

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to RIMS secretariat for typesetting his hand-written manuscript.



A Motivation of ⊖-link from Hodge-Arakelov theory

▶ IUTch III

▶ IUTch IV

A Motivation of $\Theta\text{-link}$

from Hodge-Arakelov theory

```
de Rham's thm \mathbb{C}
p-adic Hodge comparison /\mathbb{Q}_p
Hodge-Arakelov comparison /NF
(A motivation of ) \Theta-link
```


induces a comparison isom. $H^{1}_{dR}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H_{1}(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C})^{*}$

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき

5/99

 $/\mathbb{Q}_p$ \mathbb{G}_m -case

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{étale side} & d\text{R side} \\ T_{p}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} H^{1}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}/\mathbb{Q}_{p}) \longrightarrow B_{\mathrm{crys}} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

 \mathbb{Q}_{P} *E* : elliptic curve \mathbb{Z}_{P}

$$0 \to \operatorname{coLie} E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger} \to E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to 0 \quad \text{univ. ext'n}$$

étale side dR side
$$T_p E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \frac{H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}{\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{||}}{\operatorname{coLie} \widehat{E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}}}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathrm{crys}}$$

$$\underbrace{P} \otimes \omega \qquad \longmapsto \quad \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{||}}{\longrightarrow} \underbrace{f_p} \omega'' = \text{``} \log_{\omega}(\underline{P})''$$

$$\underline{P} = (P_n)_n \quad P_n \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}), pP_{n+1} = P_n, \text{``analytic path'' on } E$$

$$\operatorname{coLie} \widehat{E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Lie} \widehat{E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}}, \operatorname{Lie} \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Q}_p}}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\widehat{E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}}, \widehat{\mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Q}_p}}) \log_{\omega}^*(dT) = \omega$$

$$\operatorname{scLie} E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\circ} \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Lie} E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\circ}, \operatorname{Lie} \mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Q}_p}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\circ}, \mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Q}_p}) \operatorname{log}_{\omega}^{\circ}(dT) = \omega$$

$$\overset{\mathsf{w}}{\omega} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{u}} \operatorname{log}_{\omega}$$

Hodge-Arakelov theory

"discretise" & "globalise " the *p*-adic Hodge comparison map

$$E \mid F \leftarrow NF \qquad \ell > 2 \text{ prime}$$

assume $0 \neq P \in E(F)[2]$
 $\mathcal{L} \coloneqq \mathcal{O}(\ell[P])$

 $E[\ell]$: approximation of "underlying mfd."

Zar. locally $E^{\dagger} \cong \mathbb{G}_{a/E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E}[T]$ relative degree Roughly $\dim_F = \ell^2$ $\dim_{F} = \ell^{2} \rightarrow \Gamma(E^{\dagger}, \mathcal{L} \mid_{E^{\dagger}})^{\deg < \ell} \xrightarrow{\swarrow} \mathcal{L} \mid_{E^{\dagger}[\ell]} (= \bigoplus_{E \lceil \ell \rceil}^{\downarrow} F)$ étale side (values) dR side (fcts) an isom. of F-vector spaces ∠ (omit) & preserves specified integral str.'s at non-Arch. & Arch. places

<u>*cf.*</u> degenerate (\mathbb{G}_m) case



(LHS) (*i.e.* dR side) has filtration by rel. deg. s.t. $\operatorname{Fil}^{-j}/\operatorname{Fil}^{-j+1} \cong \omega_{F}^{\otimes (-j)}$ (RHS) (*i.e.* étale side) in the specific integral str. we have a Gaussian pole $q^{j^2/8\ell}O_F$ the map: (derivatives of) theta fcts \mapsto theta values

Consider both sides as vector bdl's over the moduli $\mathcal{M}_{\rm ell}$

degree comparison

$$(LHS) = -\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} j[\omega_E] \approx -\frac{\ell^2}{2} [\omega_E]$$

$$(IHS) = -\frac{1}{8\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} j^2 [\log q] \approx -\frac{\ell^2}{24} [\log q]$$

Motivation of Θ-link

<u>Assume</u> a global mult. subspace $M \subset E[\ell]$

take $N \subset E[\ell]$ a gp scheme s.t. $M \times N \cong E[\ell]$ apply Hodge-Arakelov for E' := E/Nover $K := F(E[\ell])$ $\Gamma((E')^{\dagger}, \mathcal{L}|_{(E')^{\dagger}})^{\deg < \ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\substack{-\ell - 1 \\ 2 \leq j \leq \frac{\ell - 1}{2}}} (q^{\frac{j^2}{2\ell}}O_K) \otimes_{O_K} K$ $q = (q_v)_{v:bad}$ incompatibility of Hodge fil. on (LHS) w/ the \oplus decomp. on (RHS)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & &$$

 $\Rightarrow 0 \lesssim -(\text{large number})(\approx -ht)$

(scheme theoretic) Hodge-Arakelov: use scheme theory cannot obtain (*)

IUTch: abandon scheme theory use (non-scheme theoretic) "(*)" $\{\underline{q}^{j^2}\}_j \mapsto \underline{q}$

Θ-link

Grothendieck

$^{\exists}2$ ways of cracking a nut

- crack it in one breath by a nutcracker,
- soak it in a large amount of water, soak, soak, and soak.
 then it cracks by itself.

an example of the 2nd one :

rationality of congruent zeta by Lefschetz trace formula :

many commutative diagrams

& proper base change, smooth base change

- proper & smooth base change ← <u>not</u> the "point" of the proof
- each commutative diagram 🖌

In some sense, the "point" of the proof was to establish the scheme theory & étale cohomology theory

i.e., the circumstances where a topological (not coherent) cohomology theory works (in positive char.) IUTch also goes in the 2nd way of nutcracking.

Before IUTch, the essential ingredients already appeared.

What was remained was

to put them together

(in a very delicate manner) !

✓ constructions are (locally) trivial
 After many (locally) trivial constructions

 (in several hundred pages),

highly non-trivial inequality follows!

The "point" was to establish the circumstances,

in which non-arith. hol. operations work!

IUTch III

```
In short, in IUT II,
we performed "Galois evaluation"
```

theta fct \mapsto theta values "env" labels "gau" labels $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{MF}^{\nabla}\text{-objects} & \mapsto & \text{Galois rep'ns} \end{pmatrix}$

- 1. Unlike "theta fcts", "theta values" DO NOT admit a multiradial alg'm in a NAIVE way.
- 2. We need ADDITIVE str. for (log-) height fcts. μ^{\log}

On 1.





DO NOT admit a multirad. alg'm in a NAIVE way.



To overcome these problems,

 \rightarrow use log link!

(& allowing mild indet's non-interference etc. (later)





pTeich	IUTch
hyperb. curve / char = $p > 0$	an NF
indigenous bdl. over a hyperb. curve / char = $p > 0$	once punctured ell. curve over an NF
Frob. in char = $p > 0$	log - link
"Witt" lift $p^n/p^{n+1} \rightarrow p^{n+1}/p^{n+2}$	Θ - link
can. lift of Frob.	log-Θ lattice
	▲口> ▲圖> ▲ヨ> ▲ヨ> 三日 めんの

32 / 99

want to see alien ring str.



 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \underline{\text{Note}} & \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times\pm}\text{-symm. isom's} \\ \text{are compatible w/ log-links} \\ \sim \text{can pull-back } \Psi_{gau} \text{ via log-link} \end{array}\right)$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 注 ト 4 注 ト 注 の Q (C) 33 / 99

However,



34 / 99

We consider the infinite chain of log-links



・ロ ・ ・ (日 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ つ へ ()
35 / 99

Important Fact

(Note also: log-shells are rigid)
```
Besides theta values, we need another thing :
```

```
we need <u>NF</u> (:= number field)
to convert ⊠-line bdles
into ⊞-line bdles
and vice versa.
```

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boxtimes \text{ -line bdles} \\ & \leftarrow \text{ def'd in terms of } \underline{\text{torsors}} \\ \\ \boxplus \text{ -line bdles} \\ & \leftarrow \text{ def'd in terms of } \underline{\text{fractional ideals}} \\ \\ \exists \text{ natural} \\ \\ \text{cat. equiv. in a scheme theory} \end{array} \right.$$

 \boxtimes -line bdles $\leftarrow def'd only in terms of \boxtimes -str's$ $\rightarrow admits precise log-Kummer corr.$ But, difficult to compute log-volumes ⊞ -line bdles $\leftarrow \text{ def'd by both of } \boxtimes \& \boxplus \text{-str's}$ $\rightarrow \text{ only admits upper semi-compatible log-Kummer corr.}$ We also include NFs as data

$$(\text{an NF})_j \subset \prod_{v_Q} \log(\mathcal{O}^{\times})$$



To obtain the final multirad. alg'm:





3 portions of Θ -link



Kummer theory unit portions

$$\begin{array}{c} {}^{\dagger}G_{\underline{\nu}} \curvearrowright {}^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} \coloneqq {}^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu} & \mathbb{Q}_{p}\text{-module} \\ + \text{ integral str. } i.e. & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ \oplus & \overset{\uparrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\uparrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\uparrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu}) \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times\mu})^{H} \\ & \overset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\downarrow}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\iota}{\operatorname{integral str. } i.e.} & \underset{\iota}{$$

< □ > < □ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ > < ■ < > ○ Q (~ 46 / 99)

We want to protect

 $\begin{cases} \text{value gp portion} \\ \text{global real'd portion} \\ \text{from this } \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}\text{- indet!} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{sharing } ^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} \xrightarrow{\Rightarrow} ^{\ddagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} & \text{w/ int. str.} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\text{Ind } 2) \\ & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \text{horizontal indet.} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$

(ロ)、(型)、(目)、(目)、(目)、(Q)、 47/99







<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

value gp portion

• const. multiple rig.

$$\log \bigcap_{\substack{i \text{ bor. core}}}^{\text{label 0}} \stackrel{\exists}{=} \frac{\text{splitting modulo } \mu}{\text{modulo } \mu} \text{ of}$$
• $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times} \stackrel{\backsim}{\to} \mathcal{O}^{\times} \cdot \underline{q}^{j^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times} \cdot \underline{q}^{j^2} / \mathcal{O}^{\times} \rightarrow 0$
& $\log_p(\mu) = 0$

 \sim No <u>new</u> action appears

by the iteraions of log.'s

No interference

Note also

$$\mu^{\log}(\log_p(A)) = \mu^{\log}(A)$$

if $A \underset{\text{bij}}{\rightarrow} \log_p(A)$

∼→ do not need to care about how many times log.'s are applied.

In the Archimedean case, we use a system (*cf.* [IUT III, Rem 4.8.2(v)])

$$\{\cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}/\mu_N \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}/\mu_{N'} \twoheadrightarrow \cdots\}$$

- & μ_N is killed in $\mathcal{O}^{\times}/\mu_N$
- & constructions (of log-links, …) start from $\mathcal{O}^{\times}/\mu_N$'s, not \mathcal{O}^{\times} (*cf.* [IUT III, Def 1.1(iii)])
- & we put "weight N" on $\mathcal{O}^{ imes}/\mu_N$

for the log-volumes (cf. [IUT III, Rem.1.2.1(i)])

NF portion

as well, consider the actions of $(F_{\text{mod}}^{\times})_j$ after (Kummer) $\circ (\log)^n \quad (n \ge 0)$

By $F^{\times}_{mod} \cap \prod_{v} \mathcal{O}_{v} = \mu$

 \rightsquigarrow No new action appears

in the interation of log.'s

No interference

<u>cf</u>	multirad.	contained	in
	geom. container	a mono-ar	nalytic container
val gp	theta fct	eval ↔ (depends on labels) & hol. str.)	theta values $\underline{\underline{q}}^{j^2}$
<u>NF</u>	$_{(\infty)}\kappa$ -coric fcts	eval → (indep. of labels (dep. on hol. str.) Belyĭ cusp'tion	NF $F^{ imes}_{mod}$ (up to $\{\pm 1\}$)

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○ ● ● ● ●

	cycl. rig	log-Kummer
<u>theta</u>	mono-theta cycl. rig.	no interference by const. mult. rig.
<u>NF</u>	$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{ imes} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0} = \{1\}$ cycl. rig	no interference by $F_{mod}^{\times} \cap \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\nu} = \mu$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○ ● ● ● ●

56 / 99

vicious cycles



58 / 99

Note also Gal. eval. \leftarrow use hol. str. labels

Gal. eval. & Kummer theta \leftarrow compat. w/ labels

<u>NF</u>

```
\leftarrow \begin{cases} \text{the output } F_{\text{mod}}^{\times} \text{ does not depend on labels.} \\ \\ \text{global real'd monoids are} \\ \\ \\ \text{mono-analytic nature } (\leftarrow \text{units are killed}) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{pmatrix} \text{ do not depend on hol. str.} \end{cases}
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

unit
$$^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} \cong ^{\ddagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu}$$
 (Ind 2) \rightarrow
val. gp $\{\underline{q}^{j^2}\}$ w/ (Ind 3) \uparrow
 $\overset{\frown}{}$ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
NF $\overset{(-)}{\mathbb{M}_{mod}}$ $\overset{\leftarrow}{}$ $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

étale-like objects

étale transport



 \rightsquigarrow we can transport the data over the $\Theta\text{-wall}$

Another thing

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\mathsf{gau}} &\subset \prod_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\divideontimes}} \quad (\mathsf{const. monoids}) \\ \uparrow \\ & \mathsf{labels come from} \\ & \mathsf{arith. hol. str.} \end{split}$$



(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)

62 / 99



63 / 99



use processions

A rough picture of the final multirad. rep'n:



Recall

 \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C} : groupoids (*i.e.* \forall morph's are isom's) s.t. \forall objects are isomorphic $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$: functor ess. surj.

If Φ is <u>full</u> (*i.e.*, multiradial) \Rightarrow sw : $\mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{R}$ $(R_1, R_2, \alpha : \Phi(R_1) \hookrightarrow \Phi(R_2)) \longmapsto (R_2, R_1, \alpha^{-1})$

preserves the isom. class.

By this multirad. rep's & the compatibility w/ Θ -link :







mono-analytic container



$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Recall} \ \{ \underline{q}^{j^2} \}_j \longmapsto \underline{q} \\ & \longrightarrow \quad 0 \leq -(\operatorname{ht}) + (\operatorname{indet}) \\ & (1 + \varepsilon) \left(\begin{array}{c} \log - \operatorname{diff} \\ (+ \log - \operatorname{cond}) \end{array} \right) \\ & \longrightarrow \quad (\operatorname{ht}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) (\log - \operatorname{diff} + \log - \operatorname{cond}) \\ & \operatorname{calculation} \text{ in Hodge-Arakelov} \\ & \text{miracle equality} \quad \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_j j^2 [\ \log q \] \approx \frac{\ell^2}{24} [\ \log q \] \\ & \quad \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_j j [\ \omega_E \] \approx \frac{\ell^2}{24} [\ \log q \] \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < 壹 > < 壹 > < 壹 > < 亘 > ○ Q (~ 72/99
<u>*cf.*</u> Hodge-Arakelov IF a global mult. subspace existed



What was needed was

```
the circumstances, in which

this calculation of the miracle equality works!!

(i.e., to abandan the scheme theory, and to go to IU !!)
```

[IUT III, Th 3.11] In summary,



Some questions

How about the following variants of Θ -link ?



it works

$$\longrightarrow N \cdot 0 \leq -(\text{ht}) + (\text{indet.})$$

(as for $N \ll \ell$) (When $N > \ell \Rightarrow$ the inequality is weak)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

ii) $\{(\underline{q}^{j^2})^N\}_j \mapsto \underline{q}$ it DOES NOT work !

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー のへで



mono-theta cycl. rig. comes
 from the quadraticity of [,]
 cf. [EtTh, Rem2.19.2]

 $\longrightarrow \Theta^N \ (N > 1) \longrightarrow \nexists$ Kummer compat.

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日



IF it WORKED

→ contradition to a lower bound given by analytic number theory (Masser, Stewart-Tijdeman)

IUTch IV

[IUT IV, Prop 1. 2] k_i/\mathbb{Q}_p fin with ram. index = : e_i ($i \in I, \# I < \infty$) For autom. $\forall \phi : (\otimes_{i \in I} \log_p O_{k_i}^{\times}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} (\otimes_{i \in I} \log_p O_{k_i}^{\times}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$

(Ind 1)
étale transport
indet.
$$\bowtie$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (Ind 2) \\ ^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} \simeq^{\ddagger} \mathcal{O}^{\times\mu} \\ hor. indet. \rightarrow \end{pmatrix}$$

of \mathbb{Q}_p -vect. sp. which induces an autom. of the submodule $\otimes_{i \in I} \log_p O_{k_i}^\times$, put

$$a_i := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e_i} \left\lceil \frac{e_i}{p-1} \right\rceil & (p > 2) & b_i := \left\lfloor \frac{\log \frac{pe_i}{p-1}}{\log p} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{e_i} \\ 2 & (p = 2), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_i &:= \text{ ord } (\text{different of } k_i / \mathbb{Q}_p) \\ a_I &:= \sum_{i \in I} a_i, \quad b_I &:= \sum_{i \in I} b_i, \quad \delta_I &:= \sum_{i \in I} \delta_i \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Then, we have } p^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor} \otimes_{i \in I} \frac{1}{2p} \log_p O_{k_i}^{\times} \\ (\text{Ind 1})(\text{Ind 2}) & \cap I \longleftarrow (\text{Ind 3}) \uparrow \\ \downarrow & \text{normalisation} \\ \phi(p^{\lambda} O_{k_{i_o}} \otimes_{O_{k_{i_o}}} (\otimes_{i \in I} O_{k_{i_o}})^{\sim}) \subseteq p^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \lceil \delta_I \rceil - \lceil a_I \rceil} \otimes_{i \in I} \log_p O_{k_i}^{\times} \\ \subseteq p^{\lfloor \lambda \rfloor - \lceil \delta_I \rceil - \lceil a_I \rceil - \lceil b_I \rceil} (\otimes_{i \in I} O_{k_i})^{\sim} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{its hol. upper bound}$$

this contains the union of all possible images of Θ -pilot objects for $\lambda \in \frac{1}{e_{i_0}}\mathbb{Z}$. (For a bad place, $\lambda = ord(q_{\underline{v}_{i_0}})$)

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{e.g.} & e$$

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ 主 ト キ 三 ト ト 三 シ へ (や 86 / 99

It's a THEATRE OF ENCOUNTER of



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

87 / 99

 \rightsquigarrow Diophantine conseq. !

By this upper bound, ([IUT IV, Th 1.10]) main thm. of IUT $-|\log(\underline{\Theta})|$ $\Delta \parallel$ $\frac{\ell+1}{4}\left\{\left(1 + \frac{36d_{\text{mod}}}{\ell}\right)\left(\log \mathfrak{d}^{F_{tpd}} + \log \mathfrak{f}^{F_{tpd}}\right)\right\}$ $-|\log(q)|$ \log -diff + \log -cond ("(almost zero) \leq - (large)") +10($d_{mod}^* \cdot \ell + \eta_{prm}$ (\leftarrow abs. const. given by prime number thm.) $-\frac{1}{6}\left(1-\frac{12}{\ell^2}\right)\log(\mathfrak{q})\} - \log(\underline{q})$ ht

 \rightsquigarrow ht_<(1 + ε)(log-diff + log-cond))

$\mathsf{ht} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(\mathsf{log-diff} + \mathsf{log-cond})$ \uparrow *miracle equality*

already appeared in Hodge Arakelov theory.

$$\Gamma((E/N)^{\dagger}, \mathcal{O}(P)|_{(E/N)^{\dagger}})^{<\ell} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \otimes_{j=-\ell}^{\ell^{*}} \underbrace{q}^{j^{2}} O_{K} \otimes K$$

$$P \in (E/N)[2](F)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{polar coord} & \frac{1}{\ell} \deg(LHS) \approx -\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} i [\omega_E] \approx -\frac{1}{2} [\omega_E] \\ \| \\ \\ \text{cartesian coord} & \frac{1}{\ell} \deg(RHS) \approx -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell^*} j^2 [\log q] \approx -\frac{1}{24} [\log q] \end{array}$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ

i.e. discretisation of " $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$ " cartesian polar coord coord

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{ht} &\leq \delta + *\delta \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\uparrow} \mathsf{log}(\delta) \\ & \uparrow \\ \mathsf{it appears as a kind of} \\ & ``quadratic balance'' \\ & \delta \coloneqq \mathsf{log} \cdot \mathsf{diff} + \mathsf{log} \cdot \mathsf{cond.} \end{aligned} \right) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{cf. Masser, Stewart-Tijdeman} \\ & \mathsf{analytic lower bound}) \end{aligned}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ の < ⊙ 91/99

$\frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow \text{Riemann zeta }$?

```
calculation of the intersection number

IUT : \Delta \Delta for \quad ``\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} \mathbb{Z}''
More precisely \Delta . (\Delta + \varepsilon \Gamma_{Fr})

\uparrow

the graph of "abs. Frobenius"

cf. \Theta - link \leftrightarrow abs. Frob.

\uparrow

"mod p^2 lift"
```

$\Delta, \Delta \leftrightarrow \text{Gauss-Bonnet}$ $|\log(\underline{\Theta})| \leq |\log(q)| \neq 0$ expresses the hyperbolicity of NF Θ-link $\begin{pmatrix} \underline{p\text{Teich}} & \text{der. of can. lift of Frob.} \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \hookrightarrow \Phi^* \omega \Rightarrow (1-p)(2g-2) \leq 0 \end{pmatrix}$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 目 の Q () 93 / 99

$$\Delta . (\Delta + \varepsilon \Gamma_{Fr}) = \underline{\Delta . \Delta}_{\downarrow} + \underline{\Delta . \varepsilon \Gamma_{Fr}}_{\downarrow}$$

main term of abc ε -term
$$\uparrow_{\frac{1}{2} \text{ appeared}}$$



Can we "integrate" it to

$\Delta . \left(\Delta + \varepsilon \Gamma_{\mathsf{Fr}} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Gamma_{\mathsf{Fr}}^2 + \dots\right) = \Delta . \Gamma_{\mathsf{Fr}}$ Riemann !!?

・ロ ・ ・ 一部 ・ ・ 注 ・ く 注 ・ う え や の や 95 / 99

Recall

$\Theta \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \zeta$

étale Θ also plays crucial roles in IUTch.

(ロ)、(部)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQで 96/99 [IUTchI] arith. upper & lower half plane
[IUTchII] arith. funct. eq. (étale theta)
[IUTchIII] arith. analytic cont. (log-shell & log-link)

a phenomenon of Fourier transf. in IUTch



\exists ? IU-Mellin transf.