"On tilts and Inter-universal Teichmüller theory"

Go Yamashita

RIMS, Kyoto

20/March/2025

The contents of these slides are essentially same as §3.5.3 of Mochizuki's paper "On the Essential Logical Structure of Inter-universal Teichmüller Theory".

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to RIMS secretariat for typesetting his hand-written manuscript.

We discuss { • similarities, and • differences

between



 $\leftarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{i.e., equipped } w/|\cdot|:k \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ |p| < 1 \\ \\ \bullet|\cdot|: \text{ non-discrete} \\ \bullet k: \text{ complete for } |\cdot| \\ \bullet \mathcal{O}_k/p \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_k/p \quad x \mapsto x^p \\ \text{ is surjective} \end{array}\right)$ *p* : prime number k : perfectoid field of char= 0 \overline{k} : an algebraic closure of k $G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ $\mathbb{C}_{\iota} = \widehat{\overline{k}} \quad \leftarrow \text{ again perfectoid field}$ $|\cdot|_{k^{\flat}} = |(\cdot)^{(0)}|_{k}$ $\mathcal{O}_{k^{\flat}} \coloneqq \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_k / p \mathcal{O}_k, \quad k^{\flat} \coloneqq \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}_{k^{\flat}} \colon \mathsf{perfect}(\mathsf{oid}) \ \mathsf{CDVF} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{char} = p > 0$ $\vee (x_n)_n$ $\cong \varprojlim_{\varphi:x\mapsto x^{p}} \mathcal{O}_{k} \quad \overleftarrow{\zeta} \\ \underset{\omega}{\swarrow} \quad (x^{(n)}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \widetilde{x}_{m+n}^{p^{m}})_{n} \\ (x^{(n)})_{n}, (y^{(n)})_{n} \\ (x+y)^{(n)} = \lim_{m \to \infty} (x^{(n+m)} + y^{(n+m)})^{p^{m}}, (xy)^{(n)} = x^{(n)}y^{(n)}$

$$\mathcal{O}^{\times \mu} \coloneqq \mathcal{O}^{\times}/(\text{torsion}), \quad \mathcal{O}^{\times \mu'} \coloneqq \mathcal{O}^{\times}/(\text{prime-to-}p \text{ torsion})$$

We have

$$\mathcal{O}_{k^{\flat}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{k}^{\times}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times \overline{\mu}} := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}}^{\times \mu'}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}^{\flat}}^{\times \mu} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}^{\flat}}^{\times \mu'} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}}^{\times \overline{\mu}} := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}}^{\times \mu'}$$



multiplicative topological modules compat. w/ natural actions of $G_{k^{\flat}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{k}$ \uparrow tilting equiv.

$$\stackrel{\dagger}{k}, \stackrel{\ddagger}{k}: \text{ perfectoid fields of char }= 0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \stackrel{\dagger}{k}_{k}\stackrel{\flat}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\bigstar}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\dagger}{k}_{k}\stackrel{\flat}{\longrightarrow} \\ \text{as topological fields} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{e.g.} \quad \stackrel{\dagger}{k} = E(\zeta_{\rho^{\infty}})^{\Lambda}, & \overleftarrow{\rho} \text{-adic} \\ \stackrel{\ddagger}{k} = E(\pi^{1/\rho^{\infty}})^{\Lambda}, & \overleftarrow{\rho} \text{-adic} \\ \stackrel{\ddagger}{\pi: \text{uniformizer}} \\ \pi: \text{uniformizer} \\ E/\mathbb{Q}_{\rho} \text{ fin. unram. ext.} \\ \downarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} G_{\dagger_{k}}, G_{\ddagger_{k}} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\rho}} \\ \text{closed, not open} \end{pmatrix} \\ \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{\star}_{\dagger_{k}}}^{\times \tilde{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{\star}_{\dagger_{k}}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{\star}_{\dagger_{k}}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{\star}_{\dagger_{k}}}^{\times \mu} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\dagger_{k}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\dagger_{k}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\dagger_{k}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\dagger_{k}}^{\times \mu} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\dagger_{k}}} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\bullet_{k}}} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\bullet_{k}}} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\bullet_{k}} \\ \stackrel{\frown}{G_{\bullet_{k}}} \\$$

natural isom's of multiplicative top. modules w/ continuous actions by top. groups.

For
$$\mathbb{Q}_{p} \subset K \subset K_{1} \subset \cdots \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$$
 fin ext's, $K_{\infty} := \bigcup_{i} K_{i}$

$$\begin{cases} \bullet i \gg 0 \quad K_{i+1}/K_{i} \quad \text{totally ramified of deg } = p \\ \bullet K_{\infty}/K \text{ deeply ramified (i.e. } \nexists v > 0 \quad K_{\infty} \subset \overline{K}_{n}^{(v)}) \\ \text{corr. to ram. fil.} \end{cases}$$

$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\text{LCFT}} G_{K_{\infty}}^{ab} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \lim_{i \text{ induced by incl.}} G_{K_{i}}^{ab} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \lim_{i \text{ norms}} \widehat{K}_{i}^{\times} \\ \cup \\ \mu_{p'}(G_{K_{\infty}}^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} K_{n} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} K_{n} \\ \bigcap_{\substack{i \text{ Hord}(G_{K_{\infty}}) \\ induced py \text{ incl.}}} \xrightarrow{\bigcup} K_{n} \xrightarrow{K_{\infty}} f_{n} \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow} K_{n} \\ K_{n} \xrightarrow{K_{\infty}} K_{n} \xrightarrow{K_{n}} K_{n$$

For $k = \widehat{K}_{\infty}$ perfectoid field $w/G_k = G_{K_{\infty}}$

$$\log_p : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_k}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_p$$

use field str. of k

$$\begin{cases} \bullet \ ^{\dagger}k \coloneqq E(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})^{\wedge} & E/\mathbb{Q}_{p} \text{ fin. unram. ext} \\ \bullet \ ^{\ddagger}k \coloneqq E(\pi^{1/p^{\infty}})^{\wedge} & \pi \in E \text{ uniformizer} \end{cases}$$

cyclotomic characters



 \Rightarrow

use field str. of
$${}^{\dagger}k$$

taking $\log_p : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{\dagger}_k}^{\times \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_{\dagger_k}$, the set of such char's
 $({}^{\dagger}\chi_{cyc} \neq)\psi := {}^{\ddagger}\chi_{cyc} |_{G_{\dagger_k}}: G_{\dagger_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ tot. ram. char.
 $w/$ open image
 $H^0(G_{\dagger_k}, \mathbb{C}_{\dagger_k}(\psi^{-1})) \neq 0$
sharp contrast to the classical case of k/\mathbb{Q}_p fin. \downarrow open image
 $H^0(G_k, \mathbb{C}_k(\psi^{-1})) = 0$
(due to Tate)

 $\begin{array}{cccc} G_{\dagger_{k}} & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} & G_{\sharp_{k}} \\ & & & & & \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{+}}^{\times \tilde{\mu}} & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{+}}^{\times \tilde{\mu}} \end{array}$ Via tilting isom

- ${}^{\dagger}k, {}^{\ddagger}k$ do not share a <u>common cyclotomic character</u> (sharp contrast to the classical case k/\mathbb{Q}_p fin.)
- ${}^{\dagger}k, {}^{\ddagger}k$ do not share a <u>"common p-adic Hodge theory"</u>

$$(classical H^0(G_k, \mathbb{C}(\psi^{-1})) = 0)$$
was a fundamental aspect
of *p*-adic Hodge theory

- In particular, any aspects of anabelian geometry involving *p*-adic Hodge theory cannot be applied to the isom via tilting isom.
- $\underline{cf.} \begin{cases} \bullet \text{ IUT has two dimensionality} \\ \bullet \text{ construction of perfectoid fields involves killing "one dimension".} \end{cases}$

(adding " $\zeta_{p^{\infty}}$ ", " $\pi^{1/p^{\infty}}$ ")

Similarity between IUT of tilts $\longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} G_{\underline{\nu}} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\underline{K}_{\underline{\nu}}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ the unit group portion of } \\ \mathcal{F}^{\parallel \bullet \times \mu} \text{-prime-strips}$ in Θ-lìnk Differences $\underbrace{\widehat{(1)} \ G_k \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{ closed, but } \underline{\text{NOT}} \text{ open, } \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{\times \tilde{\mu}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\times \tilde{\mu}} \text{ dense, but } \text{ NOT surjective}$ (2) the tilt k^{\flat} is of char = p > 0 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}^{\flat}}^{\times \mu} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}}^{\times \tilde{\mu}}$ char > 0 local char 0 local1 1 two different notions of globality is required if we regard them as localization of global fields

Short digress

(\sim we should regard them as <u>distinct</u> rings)

Analogies between theories



By contrast.

 $\begin{array}{cccc} G_{k^{\flat}} &\cong & G_{k} \\ \hline & & & & \\ \mathbb{C}_{k}^{\flat} & \not\equiv & \mathbb{C}_{k} \end{array}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} G_{\dagger k} \\ \hline & \\ \mathbb{C}_{\dagger k} \end{pmatrix} \notin \begin{pmatrix} G_{\dagger k} \\ \hline & \\ \mathbb{C}_{\dagger k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} \notin X_{2} & \text{topological} \\ \uparrow \text{ as Riemann surfaces} \\ \text{regardless of whether or not} \end{array}$ as topological fields w/ cont. actions of a top. gp regardless of whether or not one imposes a compatibility conditon a counterexample of the analogue of Neukirch-Uchida for NF

Riemann surfaces w the same underlying topological space $X_1 \not\cong X_2$ one imposes a compatibility condition

This situation is useless in IUT from the point of view of constructing any sort of theory that is structurally analoguous to IUT.

Differences (3) char $k^{\flat} > 0 \Rightarrow \nexists p$ -adic log $\rightsquigarrow \nexists$ log-link (4) char $k^{\flat} > 0 \Rightarrow \nexists$ pro-*p* part of cyclotomic rigidity isom's $\begin{pmatrix} \text{theta, LCFT,} \\ \text{global} \end{pmatrix}$ cannot switch $(5) \begin{pmatrix} G_{t_k} \\ \ddots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \notin \begin{pmatrix} G_{t_k} \\ \ddots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \nexists \text{ multiradiality of representation of the } \Theta \text{ -pilot}$ no symmetry (6) k : NOT locally compact $\Rightarrow \nexists$ compatibility of cycl. rig. (theta, LCFT) w/ profinite topology perfectoid field fundamental role in IUT (7) $k : \underline{NOT}$ locally compact $\Rightarrow \nexists \log$ -volume perfectoid field