

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

An Introduction to Lawson Homology, II

Mark E. Walker
University of Nebraska – Lincoln

December 2010

Review of Lawson homology

For X quasi-projective over $\mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_r(X) = \text{topological abelian group of } r\text{-cycles on } X$$

$$L_r H_m(X) := \pi_{m-2r} \mathcal{Z}_r(X)$$

There are maps:

$$H_m^{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{BM}}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

The left-hand map is an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Z}/n$ -coefficients.

The right-hand map is the topic of Suslin's Conjecture (see below).

Review of Lawson homology

For X quasi-projective over $\mathbb{C}$:

$\mathcal{Z}_r(X)$ = topological abelian group of r -cycles on X

$$L_r H_m(X) := \pi_{m-2r} \mathcal{Z}_r(X)$$

There are maps:

$$H_m^{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r)) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{BM}}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}(r))$$

The left-hand map is an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Z}/n$ -coefficients.

The right-hand map is the topic of Suslin's Conjecture (see below).

Additional comment: These are maps of (non-finitely generated) MHS's, where $H_m^{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(r))$ has the trivial MHS. The MHS of $L_r H_m(X)$ is induced by MHS on $H_*^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{C}_{r,e}(X))$.

I mentioned (but did not define) **morphic cohomology**, $L^*H^*(X)$, yesterday.

There is also a version of K -theory that uses algebraic equivalence, called **semi-topological K -theory**: Let $\text{Grass} = \coprod_n \text{Grass}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For X projective,

$$K_q^{\text{semi}}(X) := \pi_q \left(\text{Maps}(X, \text{Grass})^{h+} \right)$$

where h_+ denotes “homotopy theoretic group completion” of the homotopy-commutative H -space $\text{Maps}(X, \text{Grass})$.

For example, $K_0^{semi}(X) = K_0(X)/(\text{alg. equiv.})$.

“Every formal property one might expect involving L_*H_* , L^*H^* and K_*^{semi} does indeed hold.”

Some of the properties of K_*^{semi}

- There are natural maps

$$K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{semi}}(X) \rightarrow ku^{-n}(X(\mathbb{C})).$$

- $K_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n^{\text{semi}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ for $m > 0$.
- There is a Chern character isomorphism

$$ch : K_n^{\text{semi}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus L^q H^{2q-n}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

- For X smooth, there is an Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = L^{-q}H^{p-q} \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}^{\text{semi}}(X),$$

which degenerates upon tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$.

(Thin) Evidence: Cases where Suslin's Conjecture is known

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

- For codimension one cycles. Proof is by explicit calculation of $L_{\dim(X)-1}H_*(X)$.
- For L_0H_* (by Dold-Thom Theorem) and $L_{\dim(X)}H_*$ (trivially). In particular, it's known for all surfaces.
- For special varieties, such as toric varieties, cellular varieties, linear varieties (that are smooth).
- Certain hyper-surfaces of dim. 3 [Voineagu]
- With finite coefficients — i.e., Bloch-Kato is known [Voevodsky].
- The cohomological form holds for π_0 [Bloch-Ogus]

$$L_{d-t}H_{2(d-t)}(X) = L^t H^{2t}(X) \cong \mathbb{H}_{Zar}^{2t}(X, tr^{\leq t} \mathbb{R}\pi_* \mathbb{Z})$$

Finite generation of Lawson homology

Suslin's Conjecture predicts, in particular, that

$L_r H_m(X)$ is finitely generated for $m \geq \dim(X) + r - 1$.

The converse is also known: let $C_r H_*(X)$ be the “cone” of the map from $L_r H_*$ to H_*^{BM} , so that

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_r H_m(X) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{BM}}(X) \rightarrow C_r H_{m-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Voevodsky's Bloch-Kato $\Rightarrow C_r H_m(X, \mathbb{Z}/n) = 0$ for $m \geq \dim(X) + r - 1$, and hence $C_r H_m(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a divisible group in this range.

Thus, if $L_r H_m(X)$ is finitely generated for $m \geq \dim(X) + r - 1$, then $C_r H_m(X) = 0$ in this range, and hence Suslin's Conjecture holds.

Behavior of correspondences on Lawson homology

A map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_r(X)$ (for example, an inclusion) determines a cycle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \hookrightarrow & Y \times X \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

rel. dim. r

and hence a map on cycles spaces

$$\Gamma_* : \mathcal{Z}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_r(X)$$

determined by

$$y \mapsto \Gamma_y = p^{-1}(y) \in \mathcal{Z}_r(X).$$

Applying π_{m-2r} gives

$$\Gamma_* : H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y(\mathbb{C})) = L_0 H_{m-2r}(Y) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X).$$

Lifting elements to singular cohomology

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Given an element

$$\alpha \in L_r H_m(X) = \pi_{m-2r} \mathcal{Z}_r(X)$$

it lifts to $\tilde{\alpha} \in H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{C}_{r,e}(X)(\mathbb{C}))$ along the maps

$$H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{C}_{r,e}(X)(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{Z}_r(X)) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_{m-2r} \mathcal{Z}_r(X).$$

Using singular Lefschetz, $\tilde{\alpha}$ lifts to

$$\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}} \in H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X)$$

for some $Y \subset \mathcal{C}_{r,e}(X)$ with $\dim(Y) \leq m - 2r$.

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

where each map $H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y) \rightarrow L_r H_m(X)$ is the map associated to an equi-dimensional correspondence $\Gamma : Y \dashrightarrow X$ of rel. dim. r :

◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ◻ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ◀ ≡ ▶ ≡ 🔍 ↺

Lifting to smooth varieties, using Hodge theory

For such a correspondence Γ , the composition

$$H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*} L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X)$$

coincides with the map on singular cohomology induced by Γ :

$$\Gamma_* : H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X)$$

When X is smooth, letting $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities, Hodge theory gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im} \left(H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X) \right) = \\ \text{im} \left(H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Characterizing image in singular homology

Proposition (Friedlander-Mazur)

For X smooth and projective, the topological filtration is contained in the “correspondence” filtration: Every element of

$$F_r^{top} H_m^{sing}(X) := \text{im} (L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C})))$$

is contained in the image of

$$\Gamma_* : H_{m-2r}^{sing}(W(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

where W is smooth with $\dim(W) \leq m - 2r$ and Γ is a correspondence.

(Since $H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is f.g., a single pair W, Γ suffices.)

Weak form of Suslin's Conjecture

Recall Suslin's conjecture predicts

$$L_r H_m(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_m^{\text{sing}}(X(\mathbb{C})), \text{ for } m \geq \dim(X) + r.$$

Conjecture (Weak form of Suslin's Conjecture (or Friedlander-Mazur Conjecture))

For a smooth, projective variety X , the map

$$L_r H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

is onto for $m \geq \dim(X) + r$.

In particular, the weak Suslin conjecture predicts:

$$L_{m-\dim(X)}H_m(X) \twoheadrightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X)$$

is onto, for all m . (If $m < \dim(X)$, let $L_{m-\dim(X)} := L_0$.)

Consequence of weak Suslin conjecture

Using the Proposition concerning the lifting of elements of $\text{im}(L_r H_m \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}})$ along correspondences:

Proposition

Assume the weak form of Suslin's Conjecture holds for X . Let $d = \dim(X)$.

Then for each integer m , there is a smooth, projective variety Y of $\dim 2d - m$ and a correspondence $\Gamma: Y \dashrightarrow X$ of $\text{rel. dim. } m - d$ such that

$$\Gamma_* : H_{2d-m}^{sing}(Y(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

is onto.

The existence of such a Y, Γ turns out to be a very strong condition on X . In fact....

Beilinson's Theorem

Theorem (Beilinson)

The validity of all Grothendieck's standard conjectures over $\mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to the following property: For each smooth, projective X , there is a Y and Γ as above such that

$$\Gamma_* : H_{2d-m}^{sing}(Y(\mathbb{C})) \twoheadrightarrow H_m^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

is onto.

Corollary (Beilinson)

The weak form of Suslin's Conjecture is equivalent to the validity of all of Grothendieck's standard conjectures over $\mathbb{C}$.

Note: The $\Leftarrow$ direction of the Corollary was originally shown by Friedlander-Mazur.

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Should we believe Suslin's Conjecture?

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Beilinson's result makes it clear Suslin's Conjecture is a very strong conjecture. Its weak form is equivalent to Grothendieck's standard conjectures.

Perhaps the strong form of Suslin's conjecture is simply false.

The first unknown case occurs for 1-cycles on a smooth projective 3-dimensional variety X :

Question

For a smooth, projective 3-dimensional variety X , is

$$\pi_{m-2}\mathcal{Z}_1(X) =: L_1H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m^{\text{sing}}(X(\mathbb{C}))$$

one-to-one for $m \geq 3$?

Mixed Hodge structures for Lawson homology

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Assume (for simplicity) X is projective. Then we have a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{Y, \dim(Y) \leq m-2r} H_{m-2r}^{\text{sing}}(Y(\mathbb{C})) \twoheadrightarrow L_r H_m(X)$$

of (non f.g.) MHS's (and where the maps are given by correspondences).

Thus, $L_r H_m(X)$ has same Hodge type as H_{m-2r}^{sing} of a union of (highly singular) varieties of dimension $m - 2r$.

For example,

$$\bigoplus_{Y, \dim(Y)=1} H_1^{\text{sing}}(Y(\mathbb{C})) \twoheadrightarrow L_1H_3(X)$$

and so $L_1H_3(X)$ has Hodge type: $(0, 0), (-1, 0), (0, -1)$.

If we assume $\dim(X) = 3$, then Suslin's conjecture predicts

$$L_1H_3(X) \hookrightarrow H_3^{\text{sing}}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}(1)) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$

and the target has Hodge type $(-1, 0), (0, -1)$.

A Conjecture concerning Hodge type

Conjecture

For X smooth, projective of dimension 3, the Lawson group $L_1 H_3(X)$ has Hodge type $(-1, 0), (0, -1)$.

A proof (or counter-example) of just this conjecture would represent highly significant progress.

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

A Conjecture concerning Hodge type

Conjecture

For X smooth, projective of dimension 3, the Lawson group $L_1H_3(X)$ has Hodge type $(-1, 0), (0, -1)$.

A proof (or counter-example) of just this conjecture would represent highly significant progress.

Note that the validity of this conjecture implies:

Conjecture

For X smooth, projective of dimension 3, the map

$$H_3^M(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow L_1 H_3(X) \text{ is a torsion map.}$$

$$\dim(X) = 3, Y \subset X, \dim(Y) = 2, U = X \setminus Y$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_1 H_4(Y) & \xrightarrow[\dim(Y) = 2]{\cong} & H_4^{\text{sing}}(Y) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L_1 H_4(X) & \xrightarrow[\text{GSConj} \Rightarrow \text{onto}]{\text{SuslinConj} \Rightarrow \cong} & H_4^{\text{sing}}(X) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L_1 H_4(U) & \xrightarrow{\text{SuslinConj} \Rightarrow \cong} & H_4^{\text{sing}}(U) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L_1 H_3(Y) & \xrightarrow[\dim(Y) = 2]{\cong} & H_3^{\text{sing}}(Y) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L_1 H_3(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{SuslinConj} \Rightarrow 1-1} & H_3^{\text{sing}}(X) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L_1 H_3(U) & \longrightarrow & H_3^{\text{sing}}(U)
 \end{array}$$

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Passing to function fields

Let $\mathbb{C}(X) := \varinjlim_{U \subset X} U$.

Proposition

$$L_r H_m(\mathbb{C}(X)) = 0 \text{ if } m < d + r.$$

For example, $L_1 H_3(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) = 0$ if $\dim(X) = 3$.

Assuming Grothendieck Standard Conjectures:

$$L_1 H_4(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow H_4^{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{SuslinC}} L_1 H_3(X) \rightarrow H_3^{\text{sing}}(X).$$

Challenge

Find a good method of describing/constructing elements of

$$H_m^{\text{sing}}(\mathbb{C}(X)) = H_{\text{sing}}^{2d-m}(\mathbb{C}(X)).$$

Review of
Lawson
homology and
related
theories

Suslin's
Conjecture

Correspondence

Beilinson's
Theorem

More on
Suslin's
(strong)
conjecture

Thanks for your attention!