

A note on the biadjunction between 2-categories of traced monoidal categories and tortile monoidal categories

BY MASAHITO HASEGAWA AND SHIN-YA KATSUMATA

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
e-mail: hassei@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp, sinyu@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Received 16 January 2009; revised 25 February 2009)

Abstract

We illustrate a minor error in the biadjointness result for 2-categories of traced monoidal categories and tortile monoidal categories stated by Joyal, Street and Verity. We also show that the biadjointness holds after suitably changing the definition of 2-cells.

In the seminal paper “Traced Monoidal Categories” by Joyal, Street and Verity [4], it is claimed that the Int-construction gives a left biadjoint of the inclusion of the 2-category **TortMon** of tortile monoidal categories, balanced strong monoidal functors and monoidal natural transformations in the 2-category **TraMon** of traced monoidal categories, traced strong monoidal functors and monoidal natural transformations [4, proposition 5.2]. However, this statement is not correct. We shall give a simple counterexample below.

Notation. We follow notations and conventions used in [4]. We write $\text{Int } \mathcal{V}$ for the tortile monoidal category obtained by the Int-construction on a traced monoidal category \mathcal{V} , and $N : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Int } \mathcal{V}$ for the canonical functor defined by $N(X) = (X, I)$ and $N(f) = f$.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{N}, 0, +, \leq)$ be the traced symmetric monoidal partially ordered set of natural numbers. Then the compact closed preordered set $\text{Int } \mathbf{N}$ is equivalent to the compact closed partially ordered set $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{Z}, 0, +, -, \leq)$ of integers. The biadjointness would imply that $\mathbf{TraMon}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Z})$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{TortMon}(\text{Int } \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Z})$, which in turn is equivalent to $\mathbf{TortMon}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$. However, some calculation shows that $\mathbf{TraMon}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to the partially ordered set of natural numbers, while $\mathbf{TortMon}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to a discrete category with countably many objects.

It is possible to recover the biadjointness, by introducing the 2-category \mathbf{TraMon}_g of traced monoidal categories, traced strong monoidal functors and *invertible* monoidal natural transformations. Note that the 2-cells of **TortMon** are invertible because of the presence of duals [3, 5], and the inclusion of **TortMon** in **TraMon** factors through \mathbf{TraMon}_g .

PROPOSITION 1. *The inclusion of the 2-category **TortMon** in the 2-category \mathbf{TraMon}_g has a left biadjoint with unit having component at a traced monoidal category \mathcal{V} by $N : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Int } \mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. What we need to show is, for each traced monoidal category \mathcal{V} and tortile monoidal category \mathcal{W} , composition with N induces an equivalence of categories from

TortMon(Int \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W}) to **TraMon**_g(\mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W}). We prove that this induced functor is essentially surjective on objects, and is fully faithful.

For showing that it is essentially surjective, the proof of [4, proposition 5.2] is sufficient. For a traced monoidal functor $F: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, let $K: \text{Int } \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be the balanced strong monoidal functor sending (X, U) to $FX \otimes (FU)^\vee$ and $f: (X, U) \rightarrow (Y, V)$ to

$$\begin{aligned} FX \otimes (FU)^\vee &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \eta \otimes 1} FX \otimes FV \otimes (FV)^\vee \otimes (FU)^\vee \xrightarrow{Ff \otimes 1} FY \otimes FU \otimes (FV)^\vee \otimes (FU)^\vee \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes c^{-1} \otimes 1} FY \otimes (FV)^\vee \otimes FU \otimes (FU)^\vee \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon'} FY \otimes (FV)^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

That K is a balanced strong monoidal functor is shown exactly in the same manner as in the proof of [4, proposition 5.2]. Clearly $KN \simeq F$ holds.

For showing the full faithfulness, for an invertible monoidal natural transformation $\beta: KN \rightarrow K'N$ with balanced strong monoidal functors $K, K': \text{Int } \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, let $\bar{\beta}: K \rightarrow K'$ be the monoidal natural transformation whose (X, U) -component is given by

$$K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes (KNU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta_X \otimes (\beta_U^{-1})^\vee} K'NX \otimes (K'NU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'(X, U).$$

That $\bar{\beta}$ is a monoidal natural transformation is verified by direct calculation. We have $\overline{\alpha N} = \alpha$ for a monoidal natural transformation $\alpha: K \rightarrow K'$, as

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, U) &\xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha N}_{(X, U)}} K'(X, U) \\ &= K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes (KNU)^\vee \xrightarrow{(\alpha N)_X \otimes ((\alpha N)_U^{-1})^\vee} K'NX \otimes (K'NU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'(X, U) \\ &= K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes (KNU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\alpha_{NX} \otimes (\alpha_{NU}^{-1})^\vee} K'NX \otimes (K'NU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'(X, U) \\ &= K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes (KNU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes (K((NU)^\vee))^{\vee\vee} \\ &\xrightarrow{\alpha_{NX} \otimes \alpha_{(NU)^\vee}^{\vee\vee}} K'NX \otimes (K'((NU)^\vee))^{\vee\vee} \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'NX \otimes (K'NU)^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'(X, U) \\ &= K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} KNX \otimes K((NU)^\vee) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{NX} \otimes \alpha_{(NU)^\vee}} K'NX \otimes K'((NU)^\vee) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K'(X, U) \\ &= K(X, U) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(X, U)}} K'(X, U) \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted some details on the structural isomorphisms. Note the isomorphism $(X, U) \simeq (X, I) \otimes (I, U) = NX \otimes (NU)^\vee$; also note that, for a 2-cell $\alpha: K \rightarrow K'$ in **TortMon**, its inverse $\alpha^{-1}: K' \rightarrow K$ is given by (cf. [3, proposition 7.1], [5, corollary 2.2])

$$K'C \xrightarrow{\simeq} (K'(C^\vee))^\vee \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{C^\vee})^\vee} (K(C^\vee))^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} KC.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\bar{\beta}N = \beta$ holds. Hence the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \alpha N$ is a bijection, and the functor induced by composition with N is full and faithful.

Remark. This biadjointness result has been frequently quoted in the literature, often with no mention of 2-cells. However, there are some cases where the incorrect statement in [4] is inherited, with explicit mention of 2-cells. For example, in [2], the biadjunction is incorrectly stated for non-invertible 2-cells [2, section 5.1], although the technical development there does not depend on the choice of 2-cells and the error has no effect on the results. Another case is [1] in which the biadjointness of a variant of the Int-construction for linearly

distributive categories is stated [1, proposition 27]; it contains the same problem as [4, proposition 5.2], and we expect that a similar change in the definition of 2-cells will make the claim correct. Again, this error has no effect on the other results in [1].

Acknowledgements. This research was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20500010 (Hasegawa) and the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 20700012 (Katsumata).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. F. BLUTE, J. R. B. COCKETT and R. A. G. SEELY. Feedback for linearly distributive categories: traces and fixpoints. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **154** (2000), 27–69.
- [2] M. HASEGAWA, M. HOFMANN and G. D. PLOTKIN. Finite dimensional vector spaces are complete for traced symmetric monoidal categories. *In Pillars of Computer Science: Essays Dedicated to Boris (Boaz) Trakhtenbrot on the Occasion of His 85th Birthday*. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. vol. 4800 (Springer-Verlag, 2008), pp. 367–385.
- [3] A. JOYAL and R. STREET. Braided tensor categories. *Adv. Math.* **102** (1993), 20–78.
- [4] A. JOYAL, R. STREET and D. VERITY. Traced monoidal categories. *Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.* **119** (1996), 447–468.
- [5] M.-C. SHUM. Tortile tensor categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **93** (1994), 57–110.