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#### Abstract

We consider the Kadanoff-Wilson renormalization group (RG) for a class of hierarchical $P(\phi)$ model above four dimensions by using Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen's analysis. We prove triviality for the class, namely, prove existence of critical trajectory that leads to the Gaussian fixed point.


KEY WORDS: Hierarchical model; triviality; renormalization group; $P(\phi)$ model.

## 1 Introduction

Hierarchical spin model is an equilibrium statistical mechanical system introduced by Dyson, Bleher and Sinai [3] [1] [2]. This model is known as a model suitable for tracing block spin renormalization group (RG) trajectories, i.e., the RG transformation is reduced to the following nonlinear transformation $\mathcal{R}$ of a function (single spin potential) $v=v(\phi)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp [ & -\mathcal{R} v(\phi)] \\
& =\frac{\int \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} L^{d}\left[v\left(L^{-(d-2) / 2} \phi+z\right)+v\left(L^{-(d-2) / 2} \phi-z\right)\right]\right] d \nu(z)}{\int \exp \left[-L^{4} v(z)\right] d \nu(z)} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d \nu(z)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}\right) d z$, and $L$ is an even integer valued constant. It is easy to see that the trivial function $v(\phi) \equiv 0$ is a fixed point of $\mathcal{R}$, which we call the Gaussian fixed point. If, for a class of single spin potentials, RG trajectories with initial potentials in the class, converge to the Gaussian fixed point, then we say that the class of functions is trivial. Gawedzki and Kupiainen studied this recursion in detail, and proved (among other

[^0]things) the triviality for $\phi^{4}$ models with some small $\phi^{4}$ coupling constant in 4 dimensions [4] [5] [6]. See [6] for a review of their results together with the relation of (1) and the hierarchical spin model. The purpose of our work is to extend the results of Gawedzki and Kupiainen and prove triviality for a wider class of potentials. To be specific, We consider the following class of single spin potentials:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{0}(\phi) & =\mu \phi^{2}+\lambda P(\phi),  \tag{2}\\
P(\phi) & =\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k}: \phi^{2 k}: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where : $\phi^{2 k}$ : is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L^{d} \sum_{ \pm}:\left(L^{-(d-2) / 2} \phi \pm z\right)^{2 k}: d \nu(z)=L^{2 k-(k-1) d}: \phi^{2 k}: . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For example : $\phi^{6}:=\phi^{6}-\frac{15}{1-L^{-2}} \phi^{4}-\frac{45}{1-L^{-4}} \phi^{2}+\frac{90}{\left(1-L^{-2}\right)\left(1-L^{-4}\right)} \phi^{2}+$ "const".) Let us define a class of initial single spin potentials $\mathcal{V}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions for constants $L, D, C_{1}$, and $n_{0}$,
(Pa) for $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1} n_{0}^{1 / 2 N}, \exp \left[-v_{0}(\phi)\right]$ is analytic, positive for real $\phi$, even, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-\left(v_{0}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}\right| \leq \exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, 0}^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, 0}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{2 k}\right], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{A_{2 k}\right\}$ are universal constants, and $a_{2 k, 0}=\lambda \cdot a_{2 k}$
(Pb) for $|\phi|<C_{1} n_{0}^{1 / 2 N},\left(v_{0}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)$ is analytic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{0}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)=\lambda_{0} \sum_{k=2}^{N}: \phi^{2 k}:+\left(v_{0}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{C_{--} L^{-4}}{n_{0}} \leq & a_{4,0} \leq \frac{C_{++} L^{-4}}{n_{0}}, \quad C_{--}(N)>\frac{1}{48}, C_{++}(N)<\frac{1}{24}  \tag{7}\\
& C_{0} L^{-4} n_{0}^{-1}<a_{2 k, 0}<C_{0}^{\prime} L^{-4} n_{0}^{-1}, C_{0}>0  \tag{8}\\
& \left|\left(v_{0}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi)\right| \leq n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We will prove the following for our class.

Theorem 1.1 In $d \geq 4$, there exist positive constants:

$$
D(N), \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D) \geq L, \overline{n_{0}}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right) \geq L^{48}
$$

such that the following holds. Let $C_{1} \geq \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D), n_{0} \geq \bar{n}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right)$. Define the RG as (1). Then there exists $\mu_{\text {crit }} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that the iterates $v_{n}$ of the recursion converge to zero uniformly on compacts in $\mathbf{C}^{1}$, if we start from $v_{0} \in \mathcal{V}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$ with $\mu_{0}=\mu_{\text {crit }}$.

To prove of the triviality for (1) with potentials of the form $(\mathrm{Pa})-(\mathrm{Pb})$, we will show that the parameters will enter the region where the Theorem of Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen [6] can be applied (i.e. G-K region), after some iterations (finite time iterations) of the RG. The point of our proof is to change the induction hypothesis after some iterations to reflect the dominant terms in the potential. The proof goes along the following line. In the beginning, we are in the region where $\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N}(\phi)$ is dominant. For properly chosen initial data, $\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N}(\phi)$ decreases rapidly, and we then go into the region where $\phi^{2 N-2}$ term of $v_{n}(\phi)$ is comparable to $\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 2 N(\phi)$. As the recursion proceeds, the $\phi^{2 N-2}$ term becomes positive and dominant, and then $\phi^{2 N-4}$ becomes positive and dominant etc. After all, $v_{n}(\phi)$ enters the G-K region. To trace the trajectory, we will divide up the induction into $N+1$ parts along the trajectory and impose different induction hypothesis for the $a_{2 k, n}$ dominant regime for $k=N, N-1, \cdots, 2,1$. (Compare the induction hypotheses L1.2a and L1.2b with L1.3a and L1.3b, respectively.) We will prove this by means of two lemmas. First, for $N>m>2, n \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{m}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$ be the class of potentials $v_{n}$ satisfying:

L1.2a for $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}, \exp \left[-v_{n}(\phi)\right]$ is analytic, positive for real $\phi$, even, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}\right| \leq \exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n}^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi)^{2 k}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

L1.2b for $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m},\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)$ is analytic, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)=\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n} \phi^{2 k}+\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{4, n}-L^{(d-2 k) n} a_{2 k, 0}\right| \leq n L^{(d-2 k) n} n_{0}^{-1-2 / N}, \text { for } k=1, \cdots, N  \tag{12}\\
& \left|\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 2 N+2\right| \leq\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right) L^{-n / N} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.2 Let $3 \leq m \leq N$ There exist constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(N), \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D) \geq L, \overline{n_{0}}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right) \geq L^{48} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the following holds. Let $1 / 2 N>\delta>0, C_{1} \leq \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D), n_{0} \geq$ $\overline{n_{0}}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right)$ and $n \geq 0$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left(L^{(d-2 m) n} n_{0}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2 m} \geq\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(L^{(d-2 m+2) n} n_{0}^{-1}\right)^{1 /(2 m-2)} & \text { if } m>3  \tag{15}\\
\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{-1 / 4} & \text { if } m=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Suppose also that $v_{0} \in \mathcal{V}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$, and $v_{n} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{m}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$. Then, there exists a closed interval $J_{n} \subset I_{n}=\left[-\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{-1-\delta},\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{-1-\delta}\right]$ such that for $\mu_{n}$ running through $J_{n}, v_{n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^{m}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$. Further, the map $\mu_{n} \mapsto \mu_{n+1}$ sweeps $I_{n+1}$ continuously.
Since $\mathcal{V}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{0}^{N}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$, we can iterate Lemma 1.2 for $m=N$, and for $n \geq 0$ as long as (15) is satisfied. For $3 \leq m \leq N-1$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{m}=\min \left\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid\left(L^{(d-2 m) n} n_{0}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2 m} \leq\left(L^{(d-2 m+2) n} n_{0}^{-1}\right)^{1 /(2 m-2)}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\frac{1}{d} \log _{L} n_{0} \leq n_{m}<\log _{L} n_{0}$. By Lemma 1.2 for $m=N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n_{N-1}} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_{N-1}}^{N}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n_{N-1}}^{N-1}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we can restart applying Lemma 1.2 for $m=N-1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{n_{m-1}}^{m}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{n_{m-1}}^{m-1}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $m$, this can be continued until $n=n_{3}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}=\min \left\{n:\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{1 / 4} \leq\left(L^{2 n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 6}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let us define a class of single spin potentials $\mathcal{V}_{n_{2}+n}^{2}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$ satisfying:

L1.3a for $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{1 / 4}, \exp \left[-v_{n_{2}+n}\right]$ is analytic and positive for real $\phi$, even, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|e^{-\left(v_{n_{2}+n}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{k, n_{2}}^{1 / 2 k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n_{2}+n}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi)^{2 k}\right], \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

L1.3b for $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{1 / 4},\left(v_{n_{2}+n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)$ is analytic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{n_{2}+n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)=\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n}: \phi^{2 k}:+\left(v_{n_{2}+n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{4, n_{2}+n}-a_{4,0}\right| \leq\left(n_{2}+n\right) n_{0}^{-1-2 / N},  \tag{22}\\
& \left|a_{2 k, n_{2}+n}-L^{(d-2 k)\left(n_{2}+n\right)} n_{0}\right| \leq\left(n_{2}+n\right) L^{(d-2 k)\left(n_{2}+n-1\right)} n_{0}^{-1-2 / N},  \tag{23}\\
& \left|\left(v_{n_{1}+n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi)\right| \leq L^{-3 n-n_{2} / N} n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.3 There exist constants

$$
N, D(N), \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D) \geq L, \overline{n_{0}}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right) \geq L^{48}
$$

such that the following holds. Let $N^{-1}>\delta>0, C_{1} \geq \bar{C}_{1}(N, L, D), n_{0} \geq$ $\overline{n_{0}}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right), \log _{L} n_{0} \geq n \geq 0 . v_{0}(\phi) \in \mathcal{V}_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$, and $v_{n_{2}+n} \in$ $\mathcal{V}_{n_{2}+n}^{2}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$. Then, there exists a closed interval $J_{n_{2}+n} \subset I_{n_{2}+n}=$ $\left[-\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{-1-\delta},\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{-1-\delta}\right]$ such that for $\mu_{n_{2}+n}$ running through $J_{n_{2}+n}, v_{n_{2}+n+1} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_{2}+n+1}^{2}$. Further, the map $\mu_{n_{2}+n} \mapsto \mu_{n_{2}+n+1}$ sweeps $I_{n_{2}+n+1}$ continuously.

The proof of Lemma 1.3 is close to the proof of Lemma 1.2. A different point from Lemma 1.2 is the difference in the condition of the region where $v_{n_{2}+n}(\phi)$ satisfies analyticity. In fact we require that $\exp \left[-v_{n_{2}+n}(\phi)\right]$ is analytic for $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{1 / 4}$ in Lemma 1.3. Because $\phi^{4}$ term becomes dominant compared with $\left(v_{n_{1}+n}\right) \geq 6(\phi)$ this time.

With Lemma 1.3 we can continue iterations, and we can make sure that after a finite number of iterations, this potential is in the region where Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen studied [6]:
G-Ka $e^{-\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}$ is analytic in $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{1 / 4}$, positive for real $\phi$, even and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\exp \left[-\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)\right]\right| \leq \exp \left[D-\lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}|\phi|^{2}+A_{1} \lambda_{n}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{4}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

G-Kb for $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{1 / 4},\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)$ is analytic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)=\lambda_{n} \phi^{4}+\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 6}(\phi) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{C_{-} L^{-4}}{n_{0}+n} & \leq \lambda_{n} \leq \frac{C_{+} L^{-4}}{n_{0}+n}, C_{-}=\frac{1}{48}, C_{+}=\frac{1}{24},  \tag{27}\\
\left|\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 6}(\phi)\right| & \leq\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{-3 / 4} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In this class $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{G-K}\left(L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$, Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen proved the following,

Theorem 1.4 (Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen) There exist constants $D$, $\bar{C}_{1}(L, D), \bar{n}_{0}\left(L, D, C_{1}\right)$ such that the following holds. Let $C_{1} \geq \bar{C}_{1}(L, D)$, $n_{0} \geq \bar{n}_{0}\left(L, D, C_{1}\right)$ and $n \geq 0$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(\phi)=\mu_{n}-\frac{6 \lambda_{n}}{1-L^{-2}} \phi^{2}+\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi) \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{G-K}\left(L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$. Then, there exists a closed interval $J_{n} \subset I_{n}$ such that for $\mu_{n}$ running through $J_{n},\left(v_{n+1}\right)_{\geq 4}(\phi)=v_{n+1}(\phi)-$ $\mu_{n+1} \phi^{2}+\frac{6 \lambda_{n+1}}{1-L^{-2}} \phi^{2} \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^{G-K}\left(L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$. Further, the map $\mu_{n} \mapsto \mu_{n+1}$ sweeps $I_{n+1}$ continuously.

## 2 Proof of Lemma 1.2

Now we start to prove Lemma 1.2. Let $2<m<N$, we will only prove that $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)=v_{n+1}(\phi)$ is in $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^{m}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}, n_{0}\right)$, if $\mu_{n}$ is in $I_{n}$. As before, we separate the cases into two ; small field case or large field case corresponding to the cases either $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, or $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ respectively. In the small field case, we prove that $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)$ satisfies $\mathbf{L} 1.2 \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, the condition L1.2b with $n$ being replaced by $n+1$, by using the Taylor expansion, and some estimation of the Gaussian integrals as in [6]. As for the large field region, we only investigate global behavior of $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)$, i.e., we confirm that $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)$ satisfies (13) of L1.2a', the condition L1.2a with $n$ being replaced by $n+1$. We use $K$ for calculable absolute constants, whose values will vary in each occurrence.

### 2.1 Small field region analysis

Let $v_{n} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}^{m}$. We must also prepare some notations. Write $\chi_{1}(z)=\chi(|z|<$ $\left.\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}\right)$ and throughout this subsection, we assume that $\phi$ is in the region $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. Note that we have to put $C_{1}$ to satisfy the inequality $\left|L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ for $|z|<\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ and $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. Next, decompose $v_{n+1}(\phi)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{n+1}(\phi)=v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)=\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)+\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)  \tag{30}\\
& e^{-\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)}=\int \exp \left[-\frac{L^{4}}{2} \sum_{ \pm} v_{n}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right] d \nu_{1}(z) /(\phi=0)_{\text {small }} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
(\phi=0)_{\text {small }} & =\int \exp \left[-L^{4} v_{n}(z)\right] d \nu_{1}(z)  \tag{32}\\
d \nu_{1}(z) & \equiv \chi_{1}(z) e^{-z^{2} / 2} \frac{d z}{\sqrt{ } 2 \pi} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.1 Estimation of $\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$

Let us take a logarithm of (31).

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)= & \sum_{k=1}^{N} L^{4-2 k}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right) \phi^{2 k} \\
& -\log \int e^{-w_{\phi}(z)} d \nu_{1}(z)+\log (\phi=0)_{\text {small }}, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{2 k, n}, w_{\phi}(z)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{2 k, n}: \phi^{2 k}:=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right) \phi^{2 k}  \tag{35}\\
& w_{\phi}(z)=w_{0}(z)+w_{2}(z) \phi^{2}+w_{4}(z) \phi^{4}+w_{6}(z) \phi^{6}+w_{\geq 8}(\phi, z), \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{0}(z)=L^{4} v_{n}(z) \\
& w_{2 p}(z) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N} L^{4-2 p}\left\{\binom{2 k}{2 p}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right) z^{2 p}+\frac{d^{2(N-p)}}{d z^{2(N-p)}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(z)\right\} \phi^{2 N-2 p}, \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

for $p=0, \cdots, N-1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi, z)= \frac{L^{-4} \phi^{2 N+2}}{(2 N+1)!}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)^{2 N+1} \frac{d^{2 N+2}}{d z^{2 N+2}}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 2 N+2\left(L^{-1} t \phi+z\right)\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)^{2 N+1} \frac{d^{2 N+2}}{d z^{2 N+2}}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 2 N+2  \tag{38}\\
&\left.\left(L^{-1} t \phi-z\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

From the conditions L1.2a-L1.2b, $v_{n}(\phi)$ is even and analytic. We can estimate $\frac{d^{2 N+2}}{d z^{2 N+2}}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 2 N+2(\phi)$ on the support of $d \nu_{1}(z)$ as follows by using the Cauchy formula and (13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{(2 N+2)!} \int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)^{2 N+1}\left|z^{2 N+2} \frac{d^{2 N+2}}{d z^{2 N+2}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(t z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C_{1}}{(2 N+2)!\left(C_{1}-1\right)^{2 N+3}} n_{0}^{-\frac{3}{2 N}} n_{0}^{-\frac{(N+1)}{m}} L^{-\left(\frac{1}{N}+\frac{(N+1)(m-2)}{m}\right) n}|z|^{2 N+2} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(z)$ to $\frac{d^{2 N}}{d z^{2 N}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}(z)$ can be estimated as (39). From the perturbation expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\log \int e^{-w_{\phi}(z)} d \nu_{1}(z) \\
& \quad=-\log \int d \nu_{1}(z)+\left\langle w_{\phi}(z)\right\rangle_{0}-\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{\phi}(z) ; w_{\phi}(z)\right\rangle_{t} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdots\rangle_{t} \equiv \int \cdots e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)} d \nu_{1}(z) / \int e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)} d \nu_{1}(z) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we shall estimate each part of (40). Using the estimation of the Gaussian integrations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle w_{\phi}(z)\right\rangle_{0}= & L^{4}\left\langle v_{n}(z)\right\rangle_{0} \\
& +\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} L^{4-2 k}\binom{2 k}{2 p}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right) \phi^{2 N-2 p}(2 p-1)!! \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{N} \widetilde{R}_{2 k}^{0,0}\left(L, n_{0}, n\right) \phi^{2 k}+\left\langle w_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi, z)\right\rangle_{0}, \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where, the terms $\widetilde{\sim}_{2 i}^{0,0}\left(L, n_{0}, n\right), i=1, \cdots, N$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{R}_{2 i}^{0,0}\left(L, n_{0}, n\right)\right| \leq\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right) n_{0}^{-(N+1) / m} L^{-(1 / N+(N+1)(m-2) / m) n} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (39) and the similar estimates for $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}, \cdots$, $\frac{d^{2 N}}{d z^{2 N}}\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle w_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi, z)\right\rangle_{0}\right| \leq L^{4-n / N}\left(1+\left(n_{0}\right)^{-1 / m} L^{(4-2 m) n / m}\right)\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{\phi}(z) ; w_{\phi}(z)\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t) \sum_{i, j}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} ; \tilde{w}_{2 j}\right\rangle_{t} \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}+\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t) \sum_{i, j \neq 0}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} ; \tilde{w}_{2 j}\right\rangle_{t} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{w}_{2 i}= \begin{cases}w_{2 i}(z) \phi^{2 i} & i=0, \cdots, 2 N \\ w_{\geq 2 N+2}(\phi, z) & i=N+1 .\end{cases}
$$

The cumulants are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} ; \tilde{w}_{2 j}\right\rangle_{t}= & \left\langle e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)}\right\rangle_{0}^{-1}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} \tilde{w}_{2 j} e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)}\right\rangle_{0} \\
& -\left\langle e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)}\right\rangle_{0}^{-2}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)}\right\rangle_{0}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 j} e^{-t w_{\phi}(z)}\right\rangle_{0} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the support of $d \nu_{1}(z)$ is $|z|<\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. From (15), we get the uniform estimate $\left|w_{\phi}(z)\right| \leq K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}$ for $|z|<\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ and $|\phi|<\frac{10 L C_{1}}{11}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{(i, j) \neq(0,0)}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} ; \tilde{w}_{2 j}\right\rangle_{t}\right| \\
& \quad \leq e^{K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}} \sum_{(i, j) \neq(0,0)}\left(\langle | \tilde{w}_{2 i}| | \tilde{w}_{2 j}| \rangle_{0}+\langle | \tilde{w}_{2 i}| \rangle_{0}\langle | \tilde{w}_{2 j}| \rangle_{0}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

From (37)-(38), we can estimate $\left|\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t) \sum_{(i, j) \neq(0,0)}\left\langle\tilde{w}_{2 i} ; \tilde{w}_{2 j}\right\rangle_{t}\right|$ similarly as in (39), and we obtain
|2nd term of RHS of (45)|

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq K e^{K \cdot C_{1}^{2 N}} L^{-2} n_{0}^{-2}\left(|\phi|^{2}+\right. & \left.\sum_{k=2}^{N} L^{-(4-2 k) n-2}|\phi|^{2 k}\right) \\
& +\mid \text { higher order terms } \mid . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The higher order terms are estimated as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { higher order terms } \mid \leq K e^{K \cdot L^{N} C_{1}^{2 N}} L^{4(N-1)-n / N} C_{1}^{4(N-1)}\left(n_{0}^{-4 / 2 N}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we estimate $\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}$. Since $\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}$ is analytic function in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, by Cauchy formula we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}-\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}\right|_{\phi=0} \mid \\
& \leq K \exp \left(K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}\right) \cdot L^{-2} n_{0}^{-2}|\phi|^{2} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{\phi}(z) ; w_{\phi}(z)\right\rangle_{t}-\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}\right|_{\phi=0} \mid \\
& \leq K \exp \left(K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}\right) L^{-2} n_{0}^{-2}\left(|\phi|^{2}+\cdots+L^{-(4-2 N) n}|\phi|^{2 N}\right) \\
&+\mid \text { higher order terms } \mid \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { higher order terms } \mid \leq K e^{K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}} L^{4(N-1)-n / N} C_{1}^{4(N-1)}\left(n_{0}^{-4 / 2 N}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

These coefficients are large, but not terrible, because we can take $n_{0}$ sufficiently large. In the following, we put $n_{0}^{1 / 2 N} \geq K \cdot C_{1}^{4(N-1)} L^{4(N-1)} e^{K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}}$. From (34) and (40), we infer that

$$
\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} L^{4-2 k}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right) \phi^{2 k}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
+ & \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}{L_{2 k}^{4-2 k} C_{2 p}\left(a_{2 k, n}-c_{2 k, n}\right)(2 N-2 p-1)!!\phi^{2 p}}+\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{2 N} \widetilde{R_{2 k}}\left(N, L, n_{0}, n\right) \phi^{2 k}+\left(\tilde{v_{n}}\right)^{\prime} \geq 2 N+2
\end{array}\right),
$$

where, the terms $\widetilde{R}_{2 i}\left(N, L, n_{0}, n\right), i=1, \cdots, N$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\widetilde{R}_{2 i}\left(N, L, n_{0}, n\right)\right| \leq L^{-10-(4-2 i) n} n_{0}^{-2+1 / 2 N}+\left|\widetilde{R}_{2 i}^{0,0}\left(N, L, n_{0}, n\right)\right| \\
i=1, \cdots, N \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

and from $(44)$ and $(52),\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right)^{\prime} \geq 2 N+2(\phi)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right)^{\prime} \geq 8(\phi)\right| \leq L^{4-n / N}\left(1+L^{-(4-2 m) n / m}\left(n_{0}\right)^{-1 / m}+L^{-4}\right)\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(4-2 m) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. Notice that

$$
(\phi=0)_{\text {small }}=\log \int d \nu_{1}(z)-\left\langle w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{0}+\left.\int_{0}^{1} d t(1-t)\left\langle w_{0}(z) ; w_{0}(z)\right\rangle_{t}\right|_{\phi=0}
$$

So we can check that the constant term $(\phi=0)_{\text {small }}$ vanishes. The estimate (55) is a little weaker than what we want (see (13)). So, we need a stronger estimate. Since $\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$ is analytic in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{-(4-2 m) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, $\phi^{-2 N-2}\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right)^{\prime}>8(\phi)$ is also analytic in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{-(4-2 m) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. We obtain from the maximum principle

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mid\left(v_{n}\right)^{\prime} \geq 2 N+2
\end{array}(\phi) \left\lvert\, \leq\left(\frac{|\phi|}{(10 L / 11) C_{1}\left(L^{-(4-2 m) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}}\right)^{2 N+2}\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right)\right.\right)
$$

so that for $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{-(4-2 m)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid\left(\tilde{v}_{n}\right)^{\prime} \geq 2 N+2 \\
& \quad \times(\phi) \left\lvert\, \leq\left(\frac{11}{10}\right)^{2 N+2} L^{-(2 N+2)(1-(4-2 m) / 2 m)}\right.  \tag{57}\\
& \quad \times\left(L^{4-n / N}\left(1+L^{-(4-2 m) n / m}\left(n_{0}\right)^{-1 / m}+L^{-4}\right)\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

2.1.2 Estimation of $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$ for $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{-(4-2 m) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$

Represent (30) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)= & \log \left(1+\frac{\int \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} L^{4} \sum_{ \pm} v_{n}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right]\left(1-\chi_{1}(z)\right) d \nu(z)}{e^{-\tilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)}(\phi=0)_{\text {small }}}\right) \\
& +\log (\phi=0)_{\text {small }}-\log (\phi=0) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

We want to prove that $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$ is analytic in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ and sufficiently smaller than $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$. To prove these properties, we have only to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} L^{4} \sum_{ \pm} v_{n}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right]\left(1-\chi_{1}(z)\right) d \nu(z)}{e^{-v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)}(\phi=0)_{\text {small }}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic and sufficiently small in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. First of all, we estimate the denominator of (59). We can show that the denominator is bounded from below by a constant which depends on $C_{1}$, but not on $n_{0}$. From L1.2b, and (54) together with uniform estimate of $w_{0}(z)$ under the condition of (15), we estimate denominator as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { denominator of }(59) \mid \geq \exp \left[-K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}\right] . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we estimate the numerator part of (59),
|numerator of (59)|

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \int\left(1-\chi_{1}(z)\right) \prod_{ \pm}\left|\exp \left[-v_{n}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right]\right|^{L^{4} / 2} d \nu(z) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10) of L1.2a for $\left|L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, we have
|numerator of (59)|

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \exp \left[K+L^{4} D+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} C_{0}^{\prime} C_{1}^{2 k}-\frac{1}{4}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / m}\right] . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,
|(59)|

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\exp \left[K \cdot L^{2 N} C_{1}^{2 N}+L^{4} D+\sum_{k=2}^{2 N} A_{2 k} C_{0}^{\prime} C_{1}^{2 k}-\frac{1}{4}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right] . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $L, D$ and $C_{1}$, we can take $n_{0}$ large enough to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { RHS of }(63) \leq \exp \left[-\frac{1}{8}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / m}\right] . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate is also valid for $\log (\phi=0)-\log (\phi=0)_{\text {small }}$. According to (64), we can show that $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$ is analytic and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)\right| \leq 2 e^{-1 / 8\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / m}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.3 Estimation of coefficients

Now, we assume that $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ i.e. $\phi$ is in the small field region of $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)$. Notice that the small field region is in the region $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, so we can use the argument above. Thus, $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$ is analytic in the small field region of $v_{n}^{\prime}$, and we can obtain power series expansion of $\widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(\phi)$. With the use of Cauchy's estimate, we see that coefficients of $\phi^{2}$ to $\phi^{2 N}$ satisfy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{d \phi^{k}} \approx \widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(0)\right| \leq e^{-1 / 8\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / m}}, k=2,4, \cdots, 2 N . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the bounded convergence theorem, we see that $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d \phi^{2}} \widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(0), \frac{1}{4!} \frac{d^{4}}{d \phi^{4}} \widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}$ (0), $\cdots \frac{1}{2 N!} \frac{d^{2 N}}{} \frac{\widetilde{2 N}}{\phi^{2 N}}(0)$ are continuous functions of $\mu_{n}$ on $I_{n}$. From (57) and (65), if $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(v_{n}\right)_{\geq 2 N+2}^{\prime}(\phi)\right| \leq L^{-(n+1) / N}\left(n_{0}^{-3 / 2 N}\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. From (4), (53), (54), and (66), we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{2 k, n+1}-L^{4-2 k} a_{2 k, n}\right| & =\left|R_{2 k}\left(N, L, n_{0}, n\right)+\frac{1}{2 k!} \frac{d^{2 k}}{d \phi^{2 k}} \widetilde{v_{n}^{\prime}}(0)\right| \\
& \leq L^{(4-2 k) n} n_{0}^{-1-2 / N}, k=3, \cdots 2 N . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2 k, n+1}-L^{(4-2 k)(n+1)} a_{2 k, 0}\right|<(n+1) L^{(4-2 k) n} n_{0}^{-1-2 / N} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (13) of $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{1} . \mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. From (53), (54), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{4, n+1}-a_{4, n}\right| \leq n_{0}^{-1-2 / N} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{4, n+1}-a_{4,0}\right|<(n+1) n_{0}^{-1-2 / N}, \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of L1.2b'. Similarly, we get estimation of coefficient $\mu_{n}^{\prime}$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{n}^{\prime}-L^{2} \mu_{n}\right| \leq K \times n_{0}^{-1-2 / N} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that map $R: \mu \mapsto \mu^{\prime}$ is continuous, and image $R\left(I_{n}\right)$ include $I_{n+1}$. So that we can take for $J_{n+1}$ a connected component of this inverse image $R^{-1}\left(I_{n+1}\right) \subset I_{n}$.

This ends the analysis of the small field properties.

### 2.2 Large field region analysis

Next, we prove that $e^{-\left(v_{n}\right)^{\prime}(\phi)}$ satisfy the condition L1.2a'. First, we prove it in the case where $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \phi|>C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. Next, we prove it in $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ i.e. this region includes the small field region of $v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)$.

### 2.2.1 $\quad$ The case where $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { e }} \phi|>C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$

Note that the definition of the RG (1) has the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-v_{n}^{\prime}(\phi)}=\int \prod_{ \pm} \exp \left[-v_{n}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right]^{L^{4} / 2} d \nu(z) /(\phi=0) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(L^{-1} \phi \pm z\right)\right|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$, if $|\operatorname{Im} \phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. From the condition L1.2a,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|e^{-\left(v_{n}\right)^{\prime} \geq_{4}(\phi)}\right| \leq \exp \left[L^{4} D-L^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n}^{1 / 2 k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} L^{4-2 k} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{2 k}\right] \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-L^{4} \mu_{n} z^{2}-L^{4} \sum_{k=2}^{2 N} a_{2 k, n}^{1 / 2 k} z^{2}} d \nu(z) /(\phi=0) \tag{74}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that, $\left\{a_{2 k, n}\right\}$ are positive and sufficiently small, hence, this integral part and ( $\phi=0$ ) estimated as absolute constants, so we get

RHS of (74)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \exp \left[L^{4} D-L^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n}^{1 / 2 k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} L^{4-2 k} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n}(\operatorname{Im} \phi)^{2 k}+K\right] . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $D$ and $L$ are given, we take $C_{1}$ sufficiently large and then we take $n_{0}$ sufficiently large. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\exp \left(-\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq 4(\phi)\right)\right| \\
& \quad<\exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{2 N} a_{2 k, n+1}^{1 / 2 k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{2 N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n+1}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{2 k}\right], \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

for $|\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi|<C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m},|\operatorname{Re} \phi|>C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$.
2.2.2 The case where $|\phi|<\frac{10}{11} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$

Now we prove remainder part of large field region. Let $\mu_{n} \in I_{n}$, and $|\phi|<$ ${ }^{\frac{10}{11}} L C_{1}\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$. From (55), (69), (71), (72), and $K\left(n_{0}+n\right)^{1 / 4}>$
$\left(L^{(2 m-4) n} n_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 m}$ for $m \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|e^{-\left(\left(v_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}\right| \leq & \exp \left[K \sum_{k=2}^{2 N} L^{-2} C_{1}^{2 k} n_{0}^{-1 / k}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[-\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \phi^{2 k}\right)+L^{4} n_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

And, we estimate $a_{2 k, n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \phi^{2 k}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2 k, n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \phi^{2 k}\right) & \geq a_{2 k, n+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \phi)^{2 k}-K(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi)^{2 k}\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{2} D_{2 k}+2\left(a_{2 k, n+1}\right)^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}-A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n+1}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \phi)^{2 k} \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $D_{2 k}$ does not depend on $C_{1}, n_{0}$ or $n$. Put $D=\sum_{k=2}^{N} D_{2 k}$. From (77) to (78),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|e^{-\left(\left(v_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right) \geq 4(\phi)}\right| \leq & \exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{N}\left(a_{2 k, n+1}\right)^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n+1}(\operatorname{Im} \phi)^{2 k}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} D+K \cdot L^{-2} C_{1}^{2} n_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[K \cdot L^{4 / 3} C_{1}^{2 N}\left(L^{(4-2 m)(n+1)} n_{0}\right)^{1 / m}+L^{4} n_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right] \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

which is smaller than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[D-\sum_{k=2}^{N} a_{2 k, n+1}^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n+1}(\operatorname{Im} \phi)^{2 k}\right] \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $n_{0}$ is sufficiently large. Proof of Lemma 1.2 is completed.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1, using Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. First of all, we notice that it is possible to take constants $L, D(N)$, $C_{1}(N, L, D), n_{0}\left(N, L, D, C_{1}\right)$ to satisfy Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3, and Theorem 1.4. We can check that potential $v(\phi)$ can be iterated $n_{2}$ times if initial parameters satisfy the conditions $(\mathbf{P a})$ and $(\mathbf{P b})$ because of Lemma 1.2. Notice that $v_{n_{2}}(\phi)$, the potential after $n_{2}$ iterations, satisfies the conditions L1.3a and L1.3b with $n=0$, and so Lemma 1.3 can be applied to this potential. We have to iterate $\mathcal{R}$ using Lemma 1.3, sufficiently many times so that the iterated potentials satisfy the G-K conditions. Put

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{1}=\min \left\{n \in \mathbf{N}:\left|\left(v_{n_{2}+n}\right)_{\geq 6}(\phi)\right|<\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{-3 / 4}\right. \\
& \text { for } \left.|\phi|<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n_{2}+n\right)^{1 / 4}\right\} . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{6, n_{1}+n_{2}-1}<\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right)^{-9 / 4} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

By calculation, $n_{1}$ can be estimated as $n_{1}<K \log _{L} n_{0}$. Since, $a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}} \geq 0$, and by (22)

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}- & c_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}<a_{4,0}+\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) n_{0}^{-1-2 / N} \\
& <\frac{C_{++}}{L^{4}} n_{0}^{-1}+2\left(\log _{L} n_{0}\right) n_{0}^{-1-2 / N}<\frac{C_{+}}{L^{4}}\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}\right)^{-1} . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, by (82) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}-c_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}>\frac{C_{-}}{L^{4}}\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}\right)^{-1} . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we checked the condition G-Kb completely. Next, let us check the condition G-Ka. Notice that analyticity, positivity for real $\phi$, and even function of $v_{n_{1}+n_{2}}(\phi)$ are checked easily. Now, We check the bound of $v_{n_{1}+n_{2}}(\phi)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\exp \left[-v_{n_{1}+n_{2}}(\phi)\right]\right| \leq \exp [D & \left.-\sum_{k=2}^{2 N} a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}}^{1 / k}|\phi|^{2}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[+\sum_{k=2}^{2 N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{2 k}\right] . \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $-\sum_{k=3}^{2 N} a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}}^{1 / 2 k}|\phi|^{2}+\sum_{k=3}^{2 N} A_{2 k} a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{2 k}$ is nonpositive for $(\operatorname{Im} \phi)<C_{1}\left(n_{0}+n_{1}+n_{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$ from the definitions of $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$. So we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\exp \left[-v_{n_{1}+n_{2}}(\phi)\right]\right| \leq \exp \left[D-a_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}^{1 / 2}|\phi|^{2}+A_{4} a_{4, n_{1}+n_{2}}(\mathbf{I m} \phi)^{4}\right] . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have checked all of the G-K conditions. Since $a_{2 k, n_{1}+n_{2}-1}, k \geq 3$ is sufficiently small by (82), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{n_{1}+n_{2}}-L^{2}\left(\mu_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}-c_{2, n_{1}+n_{2}-1}+\frac{6 \lambda_{n_{1}+n_{2}-1}}{1-L^{-2}}\right)\right| \leq K \cdot n_{0}^{-1-2 / N} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we can take for $J_{n_{1}+n_{2}}$ a suitable connected component. So, we can adapt Theorem Gawȩdzki and Kupiainen [6]. Now, Theorem 1.1 is finished.
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