Program on resolution of singularities in characteristic p

Jarosław Włodarczyk, Purdue University

December 4, 2008

Canonical Resolution of Singularities in char.0 (Hironaka), (simplifications by Bierstone-Milman, Villamayor and others)

Thm Let X be an algebraic variety (char 0). There exists $f: \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that

- (1) \widetilde{X} is smooth,
- (2) f is projective
- (3) f isomorphism over X_{ns} ,
- (4) $f^{-1}(V(\text{Sing}X))$ is normal crossings,
- (5) functorial on smooth morphisms
- (6) functorial on field extensions.

Embedded Desingularization(Hironaka)

Let X be a subvariety on smooth M (char. 0). There exists **embed. desingular.** of $X \subset M$: seq. of blow-ups σ_i with smooth centers C_{i-1}

 $M = M_0 \stackrel{\sigma_1}{\longleftarrow} M_1 \longleftarrow \dots M_i \longleftarrow \dots M_r = \widetilde{M}$

(i) The exc. divisors E_i of has only SNC and C_i has SNC with E_i .

(ii) The strict transform $\widetilde{X} := X_r$ of X is smooth and have SNC with the except. div. (iii) $(M, X) \leftarrow (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{X})$ is a functor:

-commutes with smooth morphisms

-commutes with embeddings of ambient varieties

-commutes with field extensions

Canonical Principalization(Hironaka)

Let \mathcal{I} sheaf of ideals on smooth M (char. 0). There exists a **principalization** of \mathcal{I} : seq. of blow-ups σ_i with smooth centers C_{i-1}

$$M = M_0 \stackrel{\sigma_1}{\longleftarrow} M_1 \longleftarrow \dots M_i \longleftarrow \dots M_r = \widetilde{M}$$

(i) The exc. divisors E_i of has only SNC and C_i has SNC with E_i .

(ii) The total transform $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} := (\sigma_r^* \dots \sigma_1^*)(\mathcal{I})$ is the ideal of SNC divisor with comp. in E_r (iii) $(M,\mathcal{I}) \leftarrow (\widetilde{M},\widetilde{\mathcal{I}})$ is a functor: -commutes with smooth morphisms

-commutes with embeddings of ambient varieties

-commutes with field extensions

Hironaka resolution principle

(used by Villamayor in his proof)

(1) (Canonical) Principalization of the sheaves ${\mathcal I}$ on M

(2) (Canonical) Embedded Desingularization of subvarieties $X \subset M$

 \Downarrow

 \Downarrow

(3) (Canonical) Desingularization.

Let \mathcal{I} be a sheaf of ideals on smooth X.

Definition: order of \mathcal{I} at $x \in M$. :

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{I}) = \max\{k : m_{x}^{k} \supset \mathcal{I}\}$$

Definition: Let $\sigma : M' \to M$ be the blow-up at smooth center. The <u>weak transform</u> of \mathcal{I} is

$$\mathcal{I}(D)^{-\mu}\sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$$

where $\mathcal{I}(D)^{\mu}$ is a maximal power of the exc. divisor which divides $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$

The main strategy of principalization :

Reduce the maximal order of the weak transform \mathcal{I}' of $\mathcal I$

 $maxord(\mathcal{I}) := max\{ord_x(\mathcal{I}) : x \in M\}$

The main tool **marked ideal** (idealisistic exponent, basic object):

 (\mathcal{I},μ)

"Part of ${\mathcal I}$ where the order of ${\mathcal I}$ is $\geq \mu$ "

The support of marked ideal

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I},\mu) = \{x \in M \mid \operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{I}) \ge \mu\}$$

Definition: Let $\sigma : M' \to M$ be the blowup at smooth center $C \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, \mu)$. The <u>controlled transform</u> of (\mathcal{I}, μ) is

$$\sigma^{\mathsf{C}}(\mathcal{I},\mu) := \mathcal{I}(D)^{-\mu}\sigma^{*}(\mathcal{I})$$

Rephrasing the main strategy: **Resolve marked ideal**:

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I},\mu) = \emptyset.$$

Key observation

If $C: \overline{x} = 0 \subset \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I}, \mu)$,

then at any point $p \in C$ the functions $f \in \mathcal{I}$ can be written in the form

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge \mu} c_{\alpha}(\overline{y}) \overline{x}^{\alpha}$$

After the blow- up

$$\overline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) = (z = x'_1, z x'_2, \dots, z x'_k),$$

where z is the exceptional divisor.

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge \mu} c_{\alpha}(\overline{y}) \overline{x}^{\alpha}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\sigma^{*}(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \ge \mu} c_{\alpha}(\overline{y}) z^{|\alpha|} \overline{x'}^{\alpha}$$

7

Hironaka resolution principle

(0) (Canonical) Resolution of marked ideals (\mathcal{I}, μ)

(1) (Canonical) Principalization of the sheaves ${\mathcal I}$ on M

 \Downarrow

\Downarrow

(2) (Canonical) Embedded Desingularization of subvarieties $X \subset M$

\Downarrow

(3) (Canonical) Desingularization.

p-order ord^p in characteristic p.

Let $a = a_0 + a_1 p + \ldots + a_k p^k$ be the (reverse p-adic expansion of $a \in \mathbf{N}$.) Set $[a] := (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k, 0, \ldots) \in \mathbf{N}^{finite}$

1. Put $\operatorname{ord}^p(x^a) = [a]$

Example char.
$$K = 2$$

ord ^{p} (x^2) = (0, 1, 0, ...) (2 = 0 · 1 + 1 · 2)
ord ^{p} (y^3) = (1, 1, 0, 0, ...), (3 = 1 · 1 + 1 · 2)
ord ^{p} (z^4) = (0, 0, 1, 0, ...) (4 = 0 · 1 + 0 · 2 + 1 · 2^2)

2. Put
$$\operatorname{ord}^{p}(x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{b_{n}}) = \operatorname{ord}^{p}(x_{1}^{b_{1}}) + \ldots + \operatorname{ord}^{p}(x_{n}^{b_{n}}) = [b_{1}] + \ldots + [b_{n}] \in \mathbf{N}^{finite}$$

Example

ord^{*p*}($x^2y^3z^4$) = (0, 1, 0, ...)+(1, 1, 0, ...)+(0, 0, 1, 0, ...) (1, 2, 1, 0, ...)

For any
$$\alpha := (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^{finite}$$
 set
 $|\alpha| := a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_k p^k$

Then $\operatorname{ord}(x^{\beta}) = |\operatorname{ord}^p(x^{\beta})|$

The order on N^{finite}: $\alpha < \alpha'$ if $|\alpha| < |\alpha'|$ or $|\alpha| = |\alpha'|$ but $\alpha <_{lexicograph.} \alpha'$

Example $\operatorname{ord}^p(xy) = (2, 0, ...) < \operatorname{ord}^p(x^2) = (0, 1, 0...) < \operatorname{ord}^p(xyz) = (3, 0, 0, 0, ...)$

3. For $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$, put ord^{*p*}(*f*) = min{ord^{*p*}(x^{α})) | $\alpha \neq 0$ } Example: char K=2

 $\operatorname{ord}^{p}(x^{2}-y^{2}) = (0, 1, 0, \ldots) > \operatorname{ord}^{p}(xy) = (2, 0, \ldots)$

Properties of ord^p

A. ord^{*p*}(
$$f_1 + f_2$$
) $\geq min\{ord^p(f_1), ord^p(f_2)\}$

- B. $\operatorname{ord}^p(f_1 \cdot f_2) \ge \operatorname{ord}^p(f_1) + \operatorname{ord}^p(f_2)$
- C. ord^{*p*}(*f*) \geq (ord(*f*), 0, ..., 0,)
- D. ord^{*p*}((f^{p^k}) \geq (0,...,0,ord(f)_{*k*},...)

Independence of coordinates. Let ϕ be an automorphism defining the coordinate change

$$\phi^* : x_1, \dots, x_k \mapsto x'_1, \dots, x'_k$$
(1) Let $a \in \mathbf{N}$ write $a = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_k p^k$
 $\operatorname{ord}^p(\phi^*(x^a)) = \operatorname{ord}^p(\phi^*(x^{a_0} \cdot (x^{a_1})^p \dots \cdot (x^{a_k})^{p^k}) \ge$
 $\operatorname{ord}^p(\phi^*(x^{a_0})) + \operatorname{ord}^p(\phi^*(x^{a_1})^p) \dots + \operatorname{ord}^p(\phi^*(x^{a_k})^{p^k}) \ge$
 $(a_0, 0, \dots) + (0, a_1, 0) + \dots = (a_0, \dots, a_k) = \operatorname{ord}^p(x^a).$

(2) Now

$$\operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x_{1}^{b_{1}}\cdots x_{k}^{b_{k}})) = \operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x_{1}^{b_{1}})\cdots \phi^{*}(x_{k}^{b_{k}})) \geq$$

$$\operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x_{1}^{b_{1}})) + \operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x_{2}^{b_{2}}) + \dots + \operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x_{k}^{b_{k}})) \geq$$

$$\operatorname{ord}^{p}((x_{1}^{b_{1}})) + \dots + \operatorname{ord}^{p}((x_{k}^{b_{k}})) = \operatorname{ord}^{p}(x_{1}^{b_{1}}\cdots x_{k}^{b_{k}})$$

(3) In general for
$$f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$$
,
 $\operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(f)) \ge \min\{\operatorname{ord}^{p}(\phi^{*}(x^{\alpha})) \mid c_{\alpha} \neq 0)\} \ge$
 $\min\{\operatorname{ord}^{p}(x^{\alpha})) \mid c_{\alpha} \neq 0\} = \operatorname{ord}^{p}(f)$

Derivations and support (in char. 0) (Giraud, Villamayor)

Let \mathcal{I} be a coh. sheaf of ideals on sm. var. M. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ locally gener. by $f \in I, \partial f / \partial x$.

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I},\mu) := (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}),\mu-1)$$

(i) If $\operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{I}) = \mu$ and $i \leq \mu - 1$ then $\operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{D}^i(\mathcal{I})) = \mu - i.$ In particular $\operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\mathcal{I})) = 1$

(ii) supp (\mathcal{I}, μ) = supp $(\mathcal{D}^i(\mathcal{I}), \mu - i)$) ($i \le \mu - 1$,)

In particular supp $(\mathcal{I}, \mu) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\mathcal{I}), 1))$

Hasse-Dieudonne derivations in positive characteristic

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n local system of coordinates. Let $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Then

$$D_x^{\alpha} := 1/\alpha! \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{a_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^{a_n}}$$

is defined in characteristic p. $D_x^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}) = {\beta \choose \alpha} x^{\beta-\alpha}$.

In general

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{D}^{i}(\mathcal{I})) \neq \operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{I}) - i.$$

The order of derivation D_x^{α} is equal to

$$\operatorname{ord}(x^{\alpha}) = |\alpha| = a_1 + \ldots + a_n$$

and its p-order is equal to

$$\operatorname{ord}^{p}(\alpha) := \operatorname{ord}^{p}(x^{\alpha}) = \mu(x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{a_{n}})$$

Derivations and support in positive characteristic

Let \mathcal{I} be a coh. sheaf of ideals. $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{finite}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{I})$ locally gener. by $D^{\alpha'}(f)$, where $\alpha' \leq \alpha$.

Lemma 1 If $\operatorname{ord}_x^p(\mathcal{I}) = \mu^p$ and $\mu^p - \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{finite}$ then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}^{p}(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{I})) = \mu^{p} - \alpha$$

 $\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{D}^{|\alpha|}(\mathcal{I})) = |\mu^{p}| - |\alpha|$

In particular let $\mu^p = (0, ..., 0, a_k, a_k + 1, ...,)$. Set $1_k := (0, ..., 0, 1_k, 0, ...,)$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}^{p}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-1_{k}})(\mathcal{I}) = 1_{k}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{D}^{|\mu^{p}|-p^{k}})(\mathcal{I}) = p^{k}$$

Note that

Lemma 2

(ii) $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I}, a) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{I}), a - b))$ (b < a,)

In particular

(ii)
$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I}, a) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D}^{a-p^k}(\mathcal{I}), p^k))$$

Hironaka's hypersurfaces of maximal contact in char. 0

-(i) contain support of marked ideal-(ii) property (i) is persistent with resp. to any mult. test blow-up

Idea of hypersurface of maximal contact: Reduce the problem of resolution to lower dimensions.

Definition(Villamayor) We say that a marked ideal is of **maximal order** if

 $\max\{\operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{I}) \mid x \in M\} = \mu$

Existence of hypersurface of maximal contact in char. 0

A marked ideal of maximal order $(M, \mathcal{I}, \emptyset, \mu)$ admits locally hypersurfaces of maximal contact.

Lemma (Giraud) Let (\mathcal{I}, μ) max. order. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\mathcal{I})$ ord_x(u) = 1. Then $V(u) = \operatorname{supp}(u, 1) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\mathcal{I}), 1) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I}, \mu)$

(ii) Let $\sigma : M \leftarrow M'$ be a blow-up at CSet $u' := \sigma^c(u) = u \circ \sigma/y$. (y-exceptional div). Then $u' \in \sigma^c(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\mathcal{I})) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\mu-1}(\sigma^c(\mathcal{I},\mu))$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{x'}(u') = 1$. Hence

 $V(u') \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(\sigma^c(\mathcal{I},\mu))$

Existence of hypersurface of maximal contact in char. *p*

Lemma Let (\mathcal{I}, μ) max. order. Then

ord^p_x =
$$\mu^p = (0, ..., 0, a_k, a_k + 1, ...,)$$

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{D}^{\mu - p^k}(\mathcal{I})$ ord^p_x(\mathcal{U}) = 1_k. Then
 $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$,

where $ord_x(f) > p^k$ supp $(\mathcal{U}, p^k) \supseteq$ supp $(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-p^k}(\mathcal{I}), p^k) \supseteq$ supp (\mathcal{I}, μ)

(ii) Let $\sigma : M \leftarrow M'$ be a blow-up at CSet $\mathcal{U}' := \sigma^c(\mathcal{U}) \in \sigma^c(\mathcal{D}^{\mu-p^k}(\mathcal{I})) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\mu-p^k}(\sigma^c(\mathcal{I},\mu))$ and $\mu_{x'}(\mathcal{U}') = 1$. Hence

$$supp(\mathcal{U}', p^k) \supseteq supp(\sigma^c(\mathcal{I}, \mu))$$

Remark. The scheme $supp(\mathcal{U}, p^k)$ is a "hypersurface of maximal contact" for (\mathcal{I}, μ) in positive characteristic.

Restriction to the hypersurface of maximal contact

1. char(K) = 0. Let V(u) be a hyp. of max. contact. Let u, x_1, \ldots, x_k be a local system of coord. at p. Write a function g as a formal power series at p.

$$g = c_0 + c_1 u + \ldots + c_i u^i + \ldots,$$

where $c_i = c_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are formal power series in x_1, \ldots, x_k . Then

$$g_{|V(u)} = (c_0)_{|V(u)} \leftrightarrow c_0$$

is the restriction of g to V(u).

2. char(K) = p. Let $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$. Write

$$g = c_0 + c_1 \mathcal{U} + \ldots + c_i \mathcal{U}^i + \ldots,$$

where $\deg_u(c_i) < p^k$. Then

$$g_{|\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{U},p^k)} := c_0$$

is the **restriction of** g to supp (\mathcal{U}, p^k) .

Partial restriction in positive characteristic

There are some minor disadvantages of the restriction.

In view of Example A2 we will be using more natural **partial restriction**. Write g as a finite sum

 $g = c_0 + c_1 \mathcal{U} + \ldots + c_i \mathcal{U}^i + \ldots + c_{p^k - 1} \mathcal{U}^{p-1}$

where c_i contains u^l , where

$$l = j + ap^{k+1},$$

 $j < p^k$, and $a \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then

 $g_{||\mathsf{supp}(\mathcal{U},p^k)} := c_0$

is the partial restriction of g to $supp(\mathcal{U}, p^k)$.

A. Constraints for maximal contacts

A1 Consider hypersurface of maximal contact in A^{p+2}

$$\mathcal{U} = (1+X)u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p,$$

where X and X' = 1 + X are both equations of exceptional divisors (passing through different points)

In the neighbrhood U of $u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = X = 0$ it has a form

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p.$$

In the neighbrhood U' of $u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = X' = 0$ it has a form

$$X'u^p + v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p.$$

Possible centers for U and V:

$$u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = 0$$
 for *U* and *V*.
 $u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = X = 0$ for *U*,
 $(u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = X' = 0$ for *V*)

The second blow-up does not change singularity.

To resolve the singularity one needs to perform the blow-up at the center

$$u = v_1 = \ldots = v_p = 0.$$

The generic points of the first center has singularity

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p$$

The singularities along the center are the same from the point of view of the algorithm. That is the algorithm considers the following singularities to be the same.

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p.$$
$$\mathcal{U}' = v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p + X'u^p$$
$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p$$

Condition 1- Coherency of the algorithm. The maximal contact $\mathcal{U} = u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p$ at the point 0 still remains a maximal contact in the neighborhood of 0. That is

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p$$

is a maximal contact in the neihgborhood. To fullfill the condition for the maximal contact

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + X^a f$$

we allow a weaker condititon $\operatorname{ord}_x(X^a f) \ge p^k$. The stronger condition $\operatorname{ord}_x(X^a f) > p^k$ is valid only at certain points and is not valid along the centers of blow-ups.

Condition 2- Commutativity of maximal contacts

The algorithm (and the invariant) does not distinguish between the maximal contact \mathcal{U} , in U, where

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + X v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p.$$

and the maximal contacts $(v_i, 1)$ in U',

$$\mathcal{U}' = v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_p + X' u^p.$$

In particular the order of maximal contact is not a part of the invariant which is constant along the center.

The finer invariant controlling the algorithm may lead to the infinite loop in the algorithm.

Condition 3 - Restriction vs partial restriction to maximal contact.

A2 Consider hypersurface of maximal contact in A^{p+1}

$$\mathcal{U} = (1+X)u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{p-1}(u+v_p^2)$$

where X and X' = 1 + X are both equations of exceptional divisors passing through different points.

It has two different forms along the center

$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + Xv_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{p-1}(u+v_p^2).$$
$$\mathcal{U}' = v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{p-1}(u+v_p^2) + X'u^p$$

It "follows" from commutativity of max. cont.

$$\mathcal{U}, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, u+v_p^2$$

that partial restr
triction shall be used. We do not "kill" ${\mathcal U}$ by partial restricting to
 $u+v_p^2.$

Normal form of the maximal contact

It follows from the previous **Example** that Giraud form

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f, \quad \operatorname{ord}_x(f) > p^k$$

is not preserved along the center.

Instead we introduce:

Definition. The maximal contact $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$ in the **normal form** if $\operatorname{ord}_x(f) \ge p^k$, and u is transversal to exceptional divisors. Moreover the following conditions are satisfied:

-If $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$ and $\operatorname{ord}_x(f) > p^k$ then \mathcal{U} is in the normal form.

-If D is the exceptional divisor then $\mathcal{U}=u^{p^k}+f$ is in normal form if $\mathcal{U}_{|\mathbb{D}}$ is in the normal form

-If $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$, where $\operatorname{ord}_x(f) = p^k$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U})$ is maximal contact of \mathcal{U} through x then \mathcal{U} is in the normal form if $\mathcal{U}_{||\mathcal{V}}$ is in the normal form.

Standard approach to the resolution of (\mathcal{U}, p^k)

Write,

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + X^a f$$

where a and ord(f) are maximal possible.

Natural invariant - classic approach

$$\mu_{2,p}(\mathcal{U}) = \operatorname{ord}_p(f).$$

Gives a good control on the singularity. Not well controlled under the blow-ups**requires further modifications.** There are major problems to overcome.

B . Frobenius phenomenon.- Ambiguity of order and jumping phenomen

B0. $\mathcal{U} = u^p + v^{kp}w$, where $k \ge 1$

Automorphism acting on (\mathcal{U}, p) $u \to u + tv^k$ $w \to w - t^p, \quad v \to v$

The only possible center of blow-up: u = v = 0(by canonicity)

What is the μ_2 - order of \mathcal{U} ?

B1. $\mathcal{U} = u^p + v^{2p}w + t_1^{1000p+1}t_2.$

We blow-up $u = v = t_1 = t_2 = 0$. After the blow-up $(X = t_2)$ it becomes

$$\sigma^* \mathcal{U} = X^p u^p + X^{2p} v^{2p} w + X^{1000p+2} t_1^{1000p+1}.$$

$$\mu_2(\mathcal{U})=2p?$$

30

B2. $\mathcal{U} = u^p + v^{2p}w + w^{1000p+1}t_2.$

We blow-up $u = v = w = t_2 = 0$. After the blow-up $(X = t_2)$ it becomes $\sigma^* \mathcal{U} = X^p u^p + X^{2p+1} v^p w + X^{1000p+2} w^{1000p+1}$.

$$\mu_2(\mathcal{U}) = 2p + 1?$$

B3.
$$\mathcal{U} = u^p + v_1^{2p} w_1 + v_2^{2p} w_2 + HOT$$
 ?

B4. Increase of μ_2

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^2} + v^{p^2}(w_1 + w_2^p) + w_1^{p^3 + 1} + w_3^{p^3 + 1}$$
$$\mu_2 = p^2 + 1.$$

Possible blow-up $u = v = w_1 = w_3 = 0$. (support of \mathcal{U}). After blow-up

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^2} + v^{p^2} (Zw_1 + w_2^p) + Z^{p^3 + 1 - p^2} w_1^{p^3 + 1} + Z^{p^3 + 1 - p^2}$$

 $\mu_2 = p^2 + 2$ increases after the blow-up.

C. Moh- Seidenberg phenomenon $\mathcal{U} = u^3 + X^2 Y^3 (Y^2 + X^3)$ After blow-up at the u = X = Y = 0 $\mathcal{U} = u^3 + X^4 Y^2 (Y^2 + X)$ $\mathcal{U} = u^3 + X^4 Y^4 (X + Y) \ \mu = 1 \ (\mu \text{ drops by } 1)$ $\mathcal{U} = u^3 + Z^6(-1 + v^2 - v^3 + v^5)$ $u \mapsto u + Z^3 v^2$ $\mathcal{U} = u^3 + Z^6(v^2 - v^3 + v^5)$ (µ increases by 1)

Virtual marked ideals

Introducing new invariant leads to the new objectsvirtual marked ideals considered from the perspective of this invariant. For a maximal contact $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + X^a f$ we introduce

$$\left[\mathcal{U}\right]_{p^k} = \left[X^a f\right]_{p^k} := \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{O}^{p^k}$$

to be the class of the element

$$\mathcal{U}+\mathcal{O}^{p^k}$$
 in the quotient $\mathcal{O}^{p^k}\text{-}$ module $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{p^k}.$

Virtual marked ideals have form $([g]_{p^k}, \mu)$, where μ is "virtual marking". They are controlled by "the virtual order -vord".

The virtual objects behave nicely with respect to the logarithmic derivations XD_X, D_u . In particular

$$D^{p^{l}}[X^{a}f]_{p^{k}} = D^{p^{l}}(X^{a}f), \text{ for, } l < k$$
$$D^{p^{l}}[X^{a}f]_{p^{k}} = [D^{p^{l}}(X^{a}f)]_{p^{k}}, \text{ for, } l \ge k$$

In view of **Examples B, C** the definition of order of the virtual element [U] requires important adjustments.

Let
$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + X^a f$$
.

1. "**Pure case**" $f = f_0 + HOT$, where f_0 is the initial form of f and $f_0 \notin (X_1, \ldots, X_l)$.

1.1 "Pure irregular case"

 $\overline{\operatorname{ord}}_{x}([\mathcal{U}]_{p^{k}}) = \overline{\operatorname{ord}}_{x}([X^{a}f]) := lp^{k} + \delta_{r},$ where $\delta_{r} = 0, p^{r}$

if - vord_x(f_0) = lp^k and $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}^{p^k}$, and $X^a \in \mathcal{O}^{p^r}$

or

- $\operatorname{vord}_x(f_0) = lp^k$ and f_0 contains $(v^b)^{p^k} u^{p^r}$, where v, u are local coordinates.

1.2. "Pure regular case" .

 $\operatorname{vord}_{x}([\mathcal{U}]) = \overline{\operatorname{vord}}_{x}([X^{a}f]) := \operatorname{ord}_{x} f_{0} = \operatorname{ord}_{x} f$

What is a virtual order "vord" ?

Conceptual definition: Two possible definitions of order for an ideal \mathcal{I} : **Standard order**

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{I}) = \max\{k : m_{x}^{k} \supset \mathcal{I}\}$$

Effective order along center.

Let $\sigma : M' \to M$ be the blow-up at smooth center C and exceptional divisor. Then $\operatorname{vord}_{x,C,\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}$ is the maximal power of the exc. divisor which divides $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I})$

$$\mathcal{I}(D)^{\mu} \supset \sigma^{*}(\mathcal{I})$$

Definition. $\operatorname{vord}_{x}([\mathcal{U}])$ is a function defined on the permissible centers of blow-ups.

What is a monomial mod \mathcal{O}^{p^k} ?

According to our definition of virtual order

$$\operatorname{vord}[X^a]_{p^k} = \delta_r,$$

where $X^a \in \mathcal{O}^{p^r} \setminus \mathcal{O}^{p^{r+1}}$. The monomial $[X^a]_{p^k}$ behave as if its relative order is 0. However -passing to a neighborhood or blow-up may easily transform to

$$[X^{(bp^k)}u^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

and then to

$$[X^{(bp^k)}Z^{p^r}u^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

and more generally to $[X^{ap^r}u^{p^r}]_{p^k}$.

Conceptual definition of monomial

Monomial $[X^a]_{p^k}$ is a coherent object stable under permissible blow-ups.

In particular

$$[X^{a}]_{p^{k}}$$
, $[X^{(bp^{k})}u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}} [X^{(bp^{k})}Z^{p^{r}}u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}} [X^{ap^{r}}u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}}$.

are different incarnations of monomial.

Instability of monomial forms .

Key Observation: The monomial form $[X^a]_{p^k}$ is unstable.

To assure stability of the

$$([x^{(bp^k)}u^{p^r}]_{p^k},\delta_r)$$

we introduce the condition of SNC of the monomial with the center. We say that

$$([x^{(bp^k)}u^{p^r}]_{p^k},\delta_r)$$

has SNC with the center of blow-up ${\cal C}$ if either

$$-[u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}} \in \mathcal{I}_{C}^{p^{r}} + \mathcal{O}^{p^{k}}. \text{ We write } \delta_{r}(C) = p^{r}$$

or
$$[u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}} \text{ is transversal to } C \text{ i.e. for every } x \in C,$$

$$\operatorname{vord}_{x}([u^{p^{r}}]_{p^{k}|C}) = \delta_{r}.$$

We write $\delta_r(C) = 0$.

39

If

$$[x^{(bp^k)}u^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

does not have SNC with C then after a single blow-up we may loose a monomial form

$$[x^{(bp^k)}u^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

Example. Suppose u has a form $u = u' + v^2$, where $u' \in \mathcal{I}_C$ and v transversal to C. Then

$$[x^{(bp^k)}(u'+v^2)^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

transforms to

$$[x^{(bp^k)}(Zu'+v^2)^{p^r}]_{p^k}$$

Since $[x^a]_{p^k}$ $[x^{(bp^k)}(u)^{p^r}]_{p^k}$ and $[x^{(ap^r)}(u)^{p^r}]_{p^k}$ are not distinguished the notion of the SNC can be considered with respect to all three forms. It can be generalized to a set of monomials.

Permissibilty of centers.

In the resolution procedure we shall use the following objects:

-marked ideals (\mathcal{I}_i, μ) -virtual marked ideals $([f_j]_{p^k}, \mu)$ -virtual monomial marked ideals $([x_l^{\alpha}]_{p^k}, \delta_*)$

The center C will be called **permissible** if

- 1. $C \subset \bigcap \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I}_i, \mu) \cap$ $\bigcap \operatorname{supp}([f_j]_{p^k}, \mu) \cap$ $\bigcap \operatorname{supp}([x_l^{\alpha}]_{p^k}, \delta_*)$
- 2. *C* has SNC with $([x_l^{\alpha}]_{p^k}, \delta_*)$
- 3. C has SNC with the exceptional divisors E.

In the virtual monomial $([x_l^{\alpha}]_{p^k}, \delta_r)$ the function δ_r is defined on the set of permissible centers of blow-ups, and has values $0, p^r$.

Homogenized derivations Let (\mathcal{I}, μ) be of maximal order, such that

 $\operatorname{ord}_{\log,x}(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{I}) \text{ for } x \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{I},\mu).$

There exists

$$(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}^{\mu - p^r}(\mathcal{I}), p^r),$$

where $p^r|\mu$ is of maximal order. By the **ho-mogenizing derivations** we mean

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{D}^{p^r} \cap \mathcal{D}^{p^r}_{\mathsf{log}}$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(f) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{x}(H(f)),$$

where $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Homogenized ideals and tangent directions

By the **homogenized ideal** we mean the ideal $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}), \mu)$ generated by functions $f \in \mathcal{I}$ and all its homogenized derivatives.

Then $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}), \mu)$ is equivalent to (\mathcal{I}, μ) . (Have the same supports and the same resolutions.)

Moreover for any two maximal contacts $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{I}), \mu$, the restrictions $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}_{||\mathcal{U}_i}), \mu)$ are equivalent. In fact we have

Lemma

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I})_{||\mathcal{U}_{1}}),\mu) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I})_{||\mathcal{U}_{2}}),\mu)$$

If

Coefficient ideals in positive characteristic Let (\mathcal{I}, μ) be an ideal of maximal order. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$$

be a maximal contact of (\mathcal{I}, μ) , where $p^k | \mu$. Denote $r := \min(p, \mu/p^k)$.

Assume the coordinate u can be chosen transversal to exceptional divisors.

By the **coefficient ideal** of (\mathcal{I}, μ) at $\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + f$ we mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I},\mu)_{||\mathcal{U}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{r} ((H_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{p^{k}})^{i}H_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}))_{||\mathcal{U}}), \mu - ip^{k}) + \\ & ([\mathcal{U}]_{p^{k}}, p^{k}) \end{aligned}$$

If

$$g = c_0 + c_1 \mathcal{U} + \ldots + c_i \mathcal{U}^i + \ldots + c_{p^k - 1} \mathcal{U}^{p - 1} \in \mathcal{I}$$
 then

$$c_i = c_{i||\mathcal{U}} \in H_T(\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{p^k})^i(\mathcal{H}_T(\mathcal{I}))_{||\mathcal{U}}$$

Conceptual definition Coefficient ideal can be characterized by 4 conditions.

1.
$$supp((\mathcal{U}, p^k) + (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I}, \mu)_{||\mathcal{U}})) = supp(\mathcal{I}, \mu)$$

2. Condition 1. persistent with respect to blow-ups $C \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, \mu)$.

3. If $\sigma^{c}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I},\mu)_{||\mathcal{U}})$ is monomial then $\sigma^{c}(\mathcal{I},\mu)$ is easily resolvable- (reduces to $(u,1)+(x^{\alpha},\mu_{\alpha}))$

4. If $\sigma^c(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I},\mu)_{||\mathcal{U}})$ is 0 then $(\mathcal{I},\mu) = u^{p^k}$)

Coefficient ideals defined for the exceptional divisors. Let (\mathcal{I}, μ) be an ideal of maximal order. Let

$$X := \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$$

be a coordinates of some exceptional divisors maximal contact. Consider

$$\mathcal{T}_X = (X_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} = (X_i)_{i \in I} \mathcal{D} \cap \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\log}$$

By the **coefficient ideal** of (\mathcal{I}, μ) at X = 0 we mean

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I},\mu)_{|X} = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu} \sum_{|\alpha|=i} (\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X},\mu-i)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X} = 1/X^{\alpha}(f_{|X} \mid f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}), X^{a}|f\}$$

Derivations compatible with centers

Let u_1, \ldots, u_n local coordinates at $x \in C$ such that

$$C: u_1 = \ldots = u_m = 0.$$

The local coordinates at $x' \in \sigma^{-1}(x)$ are of the form

$$u_i' = \frac{u_i}{u_m}$$
 for $i < m$

and $u'_i = u_i$ for $i \ge m$, where $u_m = u'_m = Y$ is exc. divisor. Then

$$\sigma^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}) = \frac{1}{Y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_i}, \quad 1 \le i < m;$$

$$\sigma^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_m}) = -\frac{1}{Y} (u'_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_1} + \ldots + u'_{m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_{m-1}} - Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u'_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}, \quad m < i \le n.$$

48

Two kinds of compatible derivations

$$rac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$$
, for $1\leq i\leq m$,

compatible with C of order -1

The derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$ for $m+1 \le i \le n$., **compatible with C of order 0** for $m+1 \le i \le n$.

Similarly $X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}$ are compatible with C of order 0

"Pure regular case"

 $\operatorname{vord}[\mathcal{U}]_{p^k} = [X^a f] = [X^a (f_0 + HOT)] = \mu \in N.$

Let $\operatorname{ord}_x^p(f_0) = \mu^p = (0, \dots, 0, a_r, \dots, a_m, 0, \dots)$. Then, since we are in regular case.

$$\mu^{p} < (0, \dots, 1_{r}, 0, \dots, a_{p} \equiv \mu - p^{r}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$\mu^{p} - 1_{r} < (0, \dots, 0, a_{k} \equiv \mu - p^{r}, 0, \dots)$$
Then $\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{\mu^{p} - 1_{r}}(\mathcal{O})^{p^{k}} \equiv 0$. Set
$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\log}^{\mu - p^{r}} := \{ D \in \mathcal{D}_{\log}^{\mu - p^{r}} \mid D(\mathcal{O})^{p^{k}} \equiv 0 \} \ni \mathcal{D}_{\log}^{\mu^{p} - 1_{r}}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\log}^{\mu - p^{r}}([\mathcal{U}]_{p^{k}}, p^{r}) \equiv \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\log}^{\mu - p^{r}}(X^{a}f)$$

By the above

$$(\mathcal{T}([\mathcal{U}]_{p^k}, p^r) := (1/X^a \cdot \overline{D}_{\log}^{\mu - p^r}(X^a f), p^r)$$

is the real (i.e non-virtual) marked ideal of maximal order. There exists a maximal contact

$$\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{T}(\left[\mathcal{U}
ight]_{p^k}, p^r)$$

Set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}([\mathcal{U}]) \cdot D_{\log}^{p^{r}}$$

Note $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{p^r}) = 0$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{T}}([\mathcal{U}]) = [\mathcal{U}] \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(X^a f)$ Consider the coefficient ideal

$$(\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{U}])_{||\mathcal{V}}) = [\mathcal{V}] \oplus [\mathcal{U}]_{||\mathcal{V}} \oplus$$

$$1/X^{a} \sum_{i=0}^{r} (H_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{p^{k}})^{i} \overline{H}_{\mathcal{T}}[\mathcal{U}])_{||\mathcal{V}})$$

If $\mathcal{U} = c_0 + c_1 \mathcal{V} + \ldots + c_i \mathcal{V}^i + \ldots + c_{p^k - 1} \mathcal{V}^{p - 1}$ then $c_i = c_{i||\mathcal{V}} \in H_T(\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{p^k})^i (\mathcal{H}_T(\mathcal{I}))_{||\mathcal{V}}.$ We can write $[\mathcal{U}] = [c_0] + c_1 \mathcal{V} + \ldots + c_i \mathcal{V}^i + \ldots + c_{p^k-1} \mathcal{V}^{p-1},$ where

 $[c_0] = c_{0||\mathcal{V}} = [\mathcal{U}_{||\mathcal{V}}]$ is the "virtual part".

 $c_i = c_i \mathcal{V}^i$ are elements of "real" marked ideals.

Example Let

 $\mathcal{U} = u^p + X^a(u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r + G(u_{r+1}, \ldots, u_n),$ where $\operatorname{ord}_x(G) > r$. Then $(\mathcal{T}([\mathcal{U}]_p, p) := (1/X^a \cdot \overline{D}_{\log}^{\mu - p^r}(X^a f), p^r) = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ Thus u_1 is a maximal contact.

$$(\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{U}])_{||u_1}) = [u^p + G] \oplus \sum_{i=1}^p ((u_2, \dots, u_r, HOT))^{p-i}$$

Pure irregular case

Consider the case

$$\operatorname{vord}_{x}([\mathcal{U}]_{p^{k}}) = lp^{k} + \delta_{1}$$

for simpler notations. Assume for simplicity that

 $[\mathcal{U}] = [X^a (F_1^{p^k} v_1 + \dots F_s^{p^k} v_s + G_{p^k+1} + HOT)],$ where F_i are forms of degree l and G_{p^k+1} is a regular form of degree $p^k + 1$. Then

 $\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{lp^k}[\mathcal{U}] = ([X^a v_1], \dots [X^a v_s], X^a v_{s+1}, \dots, X^a \cdot HOT)])$ Suppose the center C has SNC with

 $[X^a v_1] + \ldots + [X^a v_s]$

That is after possible rearrangements and modifications

vord($[X^a[v_i], C) = 0$ for $i \le s_0 \le s$ vord($[X^a[v_i], C) = 1$ for $s_0 < i \le s$.

There are compatible derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$ of order 0 for $i \leq s_0$ and order -1 for $s_0 < i \leq s$.

After the blow-up at C. (Y-exc. divisor)

$$\sigma^{c}([\mathcal{U}]) = [Y^{lp^{k}} X^{a'} (F_{1}^{\prime p^{k}} v_{1}^{\prime} + \dots F_{s_{0}}^{p^{k}} v_{s_{0}}^{\prime}) + Y(F_{1}^{\prime p^{k}} v_{s_{0}+1}^{\prime} + \dots F_{s}^{\prime p^{k}}] + G_{p^{k}+1}^{\prime} + HOT)].$$

After a sequence of blow-ups with permissible centers we will keep the following form: there exist exponents $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_m$, and corresponding indices $s_0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \ldots \leq s_m \leq s_{m+1}$ such that

$$\sigma^{c}[\mathcal{U}] = [X^{a_{0}}(F_{1}^{p^{k}}v_{1} + \ldots + F_{s_{0}}^{p^{k}}v_{s_{0}} + X^{a_{1}-a_{0}}(F_{s_{0}+1}^{p^{k}}v_{s_{0}+1} + \ldots + F_{s_{1}}^{p^{k}}v_{s_{1}} + X^{a_{2}-a_{1}}(\ldots + X^{a_{m}-a_{m-1}}(F_{s_{m-1}+1}^{p^{k}}v_{s_{m-1}+1} + F_{s_{m}}^{p^{k}}v_{s_{m}} + G_{p^{k}+1} + HOT)))]$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{lp^{k}}(\sigma^{c}[\mathcal{U}]) = [X^{a_{0}}v_{1}], \dots, [X^{a_{0}}v_{s_{0}})], [X^{a_{1}}v_{s_{0}+1})], \dots, [X^{a_{1}}v_{s_{1}}], \dots, [X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m-1}+1}], \dots [X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m}}], X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m}+1}, \dots, X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m+1}}, X^{a_{m}} \cdot HOT, \dots))))],$

where
$$v_{s_m+1}, \ldots, v_{s_{m+1}} \in \mathcal{D}^{lp^k}(\log(G_{p^k+1} + HOT))$$

The permissibility of the center means that after possible rearrangements and modifications there exist

 $0 \le s'_0 \le s_0 \le s'_1 \le s_1 \ldots \le s'_m \le s_m \le s_{m+1}$

vord($[X_i^a[v_j], C) = 0$ for $s_{i-1} < j \le s'_i$ vord($[X^a[v_i], C) = 1$ for $s'_i < j \le s_i$.

Moreover we assume that along the center

ord
$$(X^{a_i - a_{i-1}}) + \min_{s_i < j \le s_{i+1}} \operatorname{vord}([X^a_i[v_j], C) \ge$$
$$\max_{s_{i-1} < j' \le s_i} \delta_1([X^a_i[v_{j'}], C)$$

The latter condition is to assure linear order on the set

$$\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$$

Roughly, along the center

" ord "
$$(X^{a_i - a_{i-1}})v_j \ge$$
 " ord " $(v_{j'})$

Tilted Derivations

Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]) = \left(\frac{1}{x^{a_i}} \cdot \frac{\partial([\mathcal{U}])}{\partial v_j} \mid 0 < i \le m, s_{i-1} < j < s_i\right) +$$

 $\frac{1}{x^{a_m}}(D[U] \mid D \in \mathcal{D}_{\log}, D([X_i^a[v_j]) = 0)_{0 < i \le m, s_{i-1} < j < s_i}$

Observe: there are compatible derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$ - of order 0 for $s_{i-1} < j \le s'_i$ and - order -1 for $s'_i < j \le s_i$. After blow-up these transform

$$\sigma^{c}(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}, -\delta_{1}) = (1/Y^{\delta_{1}} \cdot \sigma^{*}(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}), -\delta_{1})$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma^{c}[\mathcal{U}]) = \sigma^{c}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]))$$

Consider the maximal contact $\mathcal{U}' \in \mathcal{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]))$.

$$\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{U}])_{||\mathcal{U}'} := [\mathcal{U}]_{||\mathcal{U}'} + \mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}[\mathcal{U}])_{||\mathcal{U}'}$$

"Logarithmic order". By the logarithmic order

 $\operatorname{ord}_{\log x(\mathcal{I})} = \mu$

we mean is the smallest natural number μ such that $\mathcal{D}^{\mu}_{\log}(\mathcal{I})$ is monomial (generated by monomials).

Lemma If F is a form of degree μ then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\log x(X^a f)} = \operatorname{ord}_{\log x(f)} \le \mu$$

. If ${\cal F}$ is a form of degree in the "pure case" then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\log x(X^a f)} = \operatorname{ord}_{\log x(f)} = \mu$$

Corollary If F is the form of degree μ , and $X^a f \in \mathcal{O}^{p^r}$ then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\log x([X^a f)]_{p^k}} \le \mu + p^r$$

2. "Logarithmic case"

Let

$$\mathcal{U} = u^{p^k} + X^a f,$$

Write $f = f_0 + HOT$, where f_0 is the initial form of f. Then $f_0 \in (X_1, \ldots, X_l)$.

2.1 "Logarithmic regular case".

 $\operatorname{vord}_{x}([\mathcal{U}]) := \operatorname{ord}_{x}(f_{0}) \ge \operatorname{ord}_{\log x}[X^{a}f_{0}]$

Maximal contact- "old" exceptional divisors X. Consider coefficient ideal

$$\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{U}])_{|X} := [\mathcal{U}]_{|X} \oplus 1/X^a \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X} = 1/Y^{\alpha}(f_{\alpha} + f_{\alpha}) \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X} = ([\mathcal{U}]) Y^{\alpha}(f_{\alpha})$$

 $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,X} = 1/X^{\alpha}(f_{|X} \mid f \in \mathcal{H}_X([\mathcal{U}]), X^a \mid f)$

After removing old divisors.

 $\operatorname{vord}_{x}(\mathcal{U}) = \operatorname{ord}_{x}(f_{0}) \ge \operatorname{ord}_{\log x}[X^{a}f_{0}] \ge$ $\operatorname{ord}_{\log x}[\sigma^{c}(X^{a}f_{0})] = \operatorname{ord}_{x}(\sigma^{c}(f_{0})) = \operatorname{vord}_{x}(\sigma^{c}([\mathcal{U}]))$

2.2 "Logarithmic Moh-Seidenberg (kangaroo) case".

ord_x(f₀) < ord_{log x}[X^af₀] = ord(f₀) + p^r
Lemma.
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i p^j} [X^a f_0]_{p^k} = 0$$
, where $j \le k$. Thus
 $f_0 = \sum_i c_i X^{a_i} F_i^{p^k}$

We define

$$\operatorname{vord}_{x}([\mathcal{U}]) := \operatorname{ord}_{\log}(f_{0})) - \delta_{r},$$

where $\delta_r = p^r$ when \mathcal{U} in "M-S" case , and $\delta_r = 0$ when \mathcal{U} in the "pure case"

"M-S" Jumping phenomenon corresponds to passing from logarithmic case to the pure case and vice versa (in case a new initial M-S form is created). Solution is similar as for "pure irregular case"

58

The virtual order remains constant while the order along center varies as δ_r changes its value from 0 to p^r . "Tilted initial form" is stable and improves

Set for simplicity

$$\operatorname{vord}_x([\mathcal{U}]) = \mu - \delta_1$$

Suppose

$$[\mathcal{U}] = [X^a(F_1 + HOT)],$$

where F_1 is in "pure" form transforms to

$$[X^a(G_1 + F_1 + HOT)],$$

where G_1 in M-S form, as in the Lemma.

Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{\mu-1}([\mathcal{U}]) = (g_i, f_i, h_i),$$

where f_i , g_i are functions whose initial forms are of logarithmic order 1 such that

$$g_i = X^b D_{X^b}([\mathcal{U}]) = X^a (X^b D_{X^b}(G_1 + HOT)) = X^a g'_i$$
$$f_i = D_{u^b_\alpha}([\mathcal{U}]) = X^a (D_{u^b_\alpha}(F_1 + HOT)) = X^a v_i,$$
where v_i is a parameter, $|b| = \mu - 1$

There exists compatible derivations of order 0:

$$D_i = X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i},$$

where X_i are coordinates of "old divisors",

There exists compatible derivations of order -1 of the form :

$$rac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$$

After the blow-up we keep the following form

$$[\mathcal{U}] = [X^{a_0}(G_1 + F_1 + X^{a_1 - a_0}(G_2 + F_2 + X^{a_2 - a_1}(G_3 + \dots X^{a_m - a_{m-1}}(F_{m+1} + HOT))))]$$

"Two way traffic" - new G_i may form and "move down". Then they transform into F_i . Tilted initial form expands.

$$\mathcal{D}_{\log}^{lp^{k}}([\mathcal{U}]) = ((X^{a_{0}}g'_{1}, \dots, X^{a_{0}}g'_{s_{1}}, X^{a_{0}}v_{1}, \dots, X^{a_{0}}v_{t_{1}})$$
$$X^{a_{1}}g'_{t_{1}+1}, \dots, X^{a_{1}}g'_{t_{2}}, X^{a_{1}}v_{s_{1}+1}, \dots, X^{a_{1}}v_{s_{2}}$$
$$\dots, X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m-1}+1}, \dots, X^{a_{m}}v_{s_{m}}, X^{a_{m}} \cdot HOT, \dots)$$

Define

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]) &= \frac{1}{x^{a_i}} (D_j[U] \mid 0 < i \le m, s_{i-1} < j < s_i) \\ &(\frac{1}{x^{a_i}} \cdot \frac{\partial([\mathcal{U}])}{\partial v_j} \mid 0 < i \le m, s_{i-1} < j < s_i) + \end{split}$$

 $\frac{1}{x^{a_m}}(D[U] \mid D \in \mathcal{D}_{\log}, D(X^{a_0}g'_i) = 0, D(X^a_i(v_j)) = 0)$

Then since there are compatible derivations $D_j, \; \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\sigma^{c}[\mathcal{U}]) = \sigma^{c}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]))$$

If the form G_i exists in the above tilted initial form the maximal contact is defined by "old divisors". We consider a coefficient ideal

$$\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{U}])_{|X} := [\mathcal{U}]_{|X} + \mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}[\mathcal{U}])_{|X}$$

Otherwise consider a maximal contact $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}([\mathcal{U}]))$