

THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF WEIGHTS

By

YOSHIKAZU KATAYAMA

Osaka University

1. Introduction

Let  $\varphi$  (resp.  $\psi$ ) be a normal semi-finite weight on a von Neumann algebra  $M$  (resp.  $N$ ). There exists the maximal weight  $\varphi \otimes \psi$  on  $M \otimes N$  such that  $\varphi \otimes \psi (x \otimes y) = \varphi(x)\psi(y)$  for each  $x$  in  $(m_\varphi)_+$  and  $y$  in  $(m_\psi)_+$ . Furthermore if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are faithful in addition,  $\varphi \otimes \psi$  is a faithful semi-finite weight on  $M \otimes N$  and its one-parameter modular automorphism group is the tensor product of one-parameter modular automorphism groups  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^\psi$ . Let  $\varphi_1$  (resp.  $\psi_1$ ) be a normal semi-finite,  $\Sigma$ -invariant weight on  $M$  (resp.  $\Sigma^\psi$ ,  $N$ ). By [5] Theorem 5.12 there is a unique positive self-adjoint operator  $h$  affiliated with the sub-algebra of fix-points for  $\Sigma$  (resp.  $k, \Sigma^\psi$ ) such that  $\varphi_1 = \varphi(h \cdot)$  (resp.  $\psi_1 = \psi(k \cdot)$ ). We get  $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1 = \varphi \otimes \psi (h \otimes k \cdot)$ .

## 2. The Tensor Product of Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators

Theorem 2.1. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be Hilbert spaces,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  self-adjoint operators on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  on the Hilbert space  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  such that  $D(K_1 \otimes K_2) \supset D(K_1) \otimes_a D(K_2)$  and  $K_1 \otimes K_2(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = K_1 \xi_1 \otimes K_2 \xi_2$  for all  $\xi_1 \in D(K_1)$  and  $\xi_2 \in D(K_2)$ , where  $D(K_1) \otimes_a D(K_2) = \{ \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^1 \otimes \xi_k^2 \in H_1 \otimes H_2 : \xi_k^1 \in D(K_1), \xi_k^2 \in D(K_2) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \}$ . Moreover if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are positive,  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is positive.

Proof. Let  $K_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda)$  and  $K_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nu dE(\nu)$  be the spectral decompositions of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively.

Put  $D = \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} R(e_n \otimes E_m)$  where  $e_n = e(n) - e(-n)$  and  $E_m = E(m) - E(-m)$ .

Define an operator  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  on  $D$  by ;

$$(K_1 \otimes K_2)\xi = (K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\xi, \quad \text{where } \xi \text{ in } R(e_n \otimes E_m).$$

Then  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is a well-defined and densely defined symmetric operator. Furthermore, it is essentially self-adjoint.

[i]  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is well defined. Suppose that  $\xi$  in  $R(e_n \otimes E_m)$  and  $\xi$  in  $R(e_{n_1} \otimes E_{m_1})$ .

We may assume  $n \leq n_1$  and  $m \leq m_1$  without the loss of generality.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1 e_{n_1} \otimes K_2 E_{m_1})\xi &= (K_1 e_{n_1} \otimes K_2 E_{m_1})(e_n \otimes E_m)\xi \\ &= (K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\xi \\ &= (K_1 \otimes K_2)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

[ii]  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is densely defined and symmetric

$D = \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} R(e_n \otimes E_m)$  is dense in  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  since  $s - \lim e_n = 1$  and  $s - \lim E_m = 1$ .

For all  $\xi$  in  $D$  and  $\eta$  in  $D$  we have

$$((K_1 \otimes K_2)\xi | \eta) = ((K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\xi | \eta) \quad \text{for sufficient large } n, m.$$

Since  $K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m$  is bounded and self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((K_1 \otimes K_2)\xi | \eta) &= (\xi | (K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta) \\ &= (\xi | (K_1 \otimes K_2)\eta). \end{aligned}$$

$K_1 \otimes K_2$  is densely defined and symmetric

[iii]  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is essentially self-adjoint. Suppose that there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|((K_1 \otimes K_2)\xi | \eta)| \leq C \|\xi\|$ , for all  $\xi$  in  $D$

Take  $\xi = (K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta = (e_n \otimes E_m)(K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta$  in  $D$  then we have

$$\|(K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta\| \leq C \quad \text{for all } n, m.$$

Since  $\|(K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta\|^2 = ((K_1^2 e_n \otimes K_2^2 E_m)\eta | \eta)$  is monotone increasing with respect to  $(n, m)$ , there exists  $\lim_{(n,m)} \|(K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta\|^2$ .

We have, for  $n \leq n_1, m \leq m_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(K_1 \otimes K_2)(e_{n_1} \otimes E_{m_1} - e_n \otimes E_m)\eta\|^2 \\ &= \|(K_1 e_{n_1} \otimes K_2 E_{m_1})(e_{n_1} \otimes E_{m_1} - e_n \otimes E_m)\eta\|^2 \\ &= \|(K_1 e_{n_1} \otimes K_2 E_{n_1})\eta\|^2 - \|(K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m)\eta\|^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon \quad \text{for sufficient large } (n_1, m_1) \geq (n, m). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s\text{-}\lim_{(n,m)} (e_n \otimes E_m)_n = 1$ , and  $s\text{-}\lim_{(n,m)} (K_1 \otimes K_2)(e_n \otimes E_m)_n$  exists, we get  $1$  in  $D((K_1 \otimes K_2)^{**})$ , therefore  $(K_1 \otimes K_2)^{**}$  is equal to  $(K_1 \otimes K_2)^*$ . We denote the closed extension of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  defined above again by  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ . It is noticed that  $(K_1 \otimes K_2)e_n \otimes E_m = K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $\xi_1$  in  $D(K_1)$  and  $\xi_2$  in  $D(K_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (K_1 \otimes K_2)(e_{n_1} \otimes E_{m_1} - e_n \otimes E_m)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) \|^2 \\ &= \| K_1 e_{n_1} \xi_1 \otimes K_2 E_{m_1} \xi_2 - K_1 e_n \xi_1 \otimes K_2 E_m \xi_2 \|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \{ \| (K_1 e_{n_1} \xi_1 - K_1 e_n \xi_1) \otimes K_2 E_{m_1} \xi_2 \|^2 + \| K_1 e_n \xi_1 \otimes (K_2 E_{m_1} \xi_2 - K_2 E_m \xi_2) \|^2 \} \\ &\leq 2 \{ \| (K_1 e_{n_1} - K_1 e_n) \xi_1 \|^2 \cdot \| K_2 E_{m_1} \xi_2 \|^2 + \| K_1 \xi_1 \|^2 \cdot \| (K_2 E_{m_1} - K_2 E_m) \xi_2 \|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We get  $\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$  in  $D(K_1 \otimes K_2)$  by the closedness of  $K_1 \otimes K_2$ , which means that  $D(K_1 \otimes K_2) \supset D(K_1) \otimes_a D(K_2)$  and  $K_1 \otimes K_2(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = K_1 \xi_1 \otimes K_2 \xi_2$  for all  $\xi_1$  in  $D(K_1)$  and  $\xi_2$  in  $D(K_2)$ .

Let  $T$  be another self-adjoint operator on  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  with the above properties. By  $T(e_n \otimes E_m)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = K_1 e_n \xi_1 \otimes K_2 E_m \xi_2 = (K_1 \otimes K_2)(e_n \otimes E_m)(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)$  for all  $\xi_1$  in  $D(K_1)$  and  $\xi_2$  in  $D(K_2)$ , and the closedness of  $T$ , we get  $T(e_n \otimes E_m) = (K_1 \otimes K_2)e_n \otimes E_m$ . Using the closedness of  $T$  again and  $s\text{-}\lim_{(n,m)} e_n \otimes E_m = 1$ , we have  $T \supset K$ , therefore  $T = K$  by the self-adjointness of  $T$  and  $K$ , then  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is determined uniquely. If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are positive,  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is positive since  $(K_1 \otimes K_2)e_n \otimes E_m = K_1 e_n \otimes K_2 E_m$  is a positive bounded operator.

Notice 2.2. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be bounded positive operators on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively,  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is a positive (bounded) operator on  $H_1 \otimes H_2$ .

Remark 2.3. In the Theorem 2.1 if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are affiliated with von Neumann algebras  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, then  $K_1 \otimes K_2$  is affiliated with the von Neumann algebra  $M \otimes N$ .

Definition 2.4. If  $h$  and  $k$  are positive self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we put  $h_\varepsilon = h(1 + \varepsilon h)^{-1}$ . We write  $h \leq k$  if  $h_\varepsilon \leq k_\varepsilon$  for some (and hence any)  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This is equivalent to the two conditions

$$D\left(\frac{1}{h^2}\right) \supset D\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{1}{h^2} \xi \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{1}{k^2} \xi \right\|^2$$

for each  $\xi$  in  $D\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)$ . We say that a net  $\{h_i\}$  of positive self-adjoint operators increases to the self-adjoint operator  $h$ , and write  $h_i \nearrow h$  if  $h_{i_\varepsilon} \nearrow h_\varepsilon$ . Thus  $h_\varepsilon \nearrow h$  when  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .

Lemma 2.5.  $K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon} \nearrow K_1 \otimes K_2$  when  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are positive self-adjoint operators on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively,  $\delta \searrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .

Proof.

$$(K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon})(e_n \otimes E_m) = K_{1_\delta} e_n \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon} E_m, \quad \text{for each } n, m \text{ in } \mathbb{N}$$

$$K_{1_\delta} e_n \leq K_{1_{\delta'}} e_n \leq K_1 e_n, \quad K_{2_\varepsilon} E_m \leq K_{2_{\varepsilon'}} E_m \leq K_2 E_m$$

$$\text{where } \delta \geq \delta' \text{ and } \varepsilon \geq \varepsilon',$$

By Notice 2.2, we get

$$(K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon})(e_n \otimes E_m) \leq (K_{1_{\delta'}} \otimes K_{2_{\varepsilon'}})(e_n \otimes E_m) \leq (K_1 \otimes K_2)(e_n \otimes E_m)$$

moreover

$$K_{1_\delta} e_n \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon} E_m \nearrow (K_1 \otimes K_2) e_n \otimes E_m.$$

Then

$$(1 + (K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon}))^{-1} e_n \otimes E_m \searrow (1 + (K_1 \otimes K_2))^{-1} e_n \otimes E_m.$$

Since the operator norms of  $(1 + K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\varepsilon})^{-1}$  and  $(1 + K_1 \otimes K_2)^{-1}$  are smaller than 1,  $s - \lim_{(n,m)} e_n \otimes E_m = 1$ , we get

$$(1 + K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\epsilon})^{-1} \rightarrow (1 + K_1 \otimes K_2)^{-1}.$$

Then we get

$$(K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\epsilon})_1 = 1 - (1 + K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\epsilon})^{-1} \rightarrow 1 - (1 + K_1 \otimes K_2)^{-1} = (K_1 \otimes K_2)_1.$$

Hence

$$K_{1_\delta} \otimes K_{2_\epsilon} \rightarrow K_1 \otimes K_2.$$

### 3. The Tensor Product of Normal Semi-Finite Weights.

In this chapter, we often refer to [5] The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebra, and let  $\varphi$  be a faithful normal semi-finite weight on von Neumann algebra  $M$ , which gives rise to a one-parameter group  $\Sigma$  of automorphisms of  $M$ . The proof of Lemma 3.1 is almost similar to [5] Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\psi$  be a normal semi-finite weight on  $M$ , if there exists a  $\sigma$ -weakly dense  $*$ -subalgebra  $B$  in  $m_\varphi$ , invariant under  $\Sigma$  such that  $\varphi = \psi$  on  $B$ , then we have  $\psi \leq \varphi$ ,  $\dot{\psi}|_{m_\varphi} = \dot{\varphi}$ , and  $\psi$  is faithful.

**Proof.** If  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $B$ , then  $\varphi(x \cdot y)$  and  $\psi(x \cdot y)$  are normal functionals on  $M$  which agree on  $B$ , since  $B$  is an algebra. Therefore  $\varphi(x \cdot y) = \psi(x \cdot y)$ . Since  $B$  is a dense  $*$ -algebra there is a net  $\{u_\lambda\}$  in  $B_+$  such that  $u_\lambda$  converges  $\sigma^*$ -strongly to 1 and  $\|u_\lambda\| \leq 1$ . Put

$$h_\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp -t^2 \sigma_t(u_\lambda) dt.$$

Since  $B$  is invariant under  $\Sigma$  we have

$$\varphi(\sigma_t(u_\lambda) x \sigma_s(u_\lambda)) = \psi(\sigma_t(u_\lambda) x \sigma_s(u_\lambda))$$

for all  $s$  and  $t$  and each  $x$  in  $M$ . It follows from [5] Lemma 3.1, by the polarization identity, that  $\varphi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) = \psi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda)$ .

Each  $h_\lambda$  is an analytic element with

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(h_\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \exp(-(t-\alpha)^2) \sigma_t(u_\lambda) dt \\ \|(1-\sigma_\alpha(h_\lambda))\xi\| &= \|(1-\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \exp(-(t-\alpha)^2) \sigma_t(u_\lambda) dt)\xi\| \\ &= \|\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \exp(-(t-\alpha)^2) \sigma_t(1-u_\lambda) \xi dt\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int |\exp(-(t-\alpha)^2)| \|\sigma_t(1-u_\lambda)\xi\| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \exp(\operatorname{Im}\alpha)^2 \int \exp-(t-\operatorname{Re}\alpha)^2 \|\sigma_t(1-u_\lambda)\xi\| dt\end{aligned}$$

$\lim_\lambda \|\sigma_t(1-u_\lambda)\xi\| = 0$  and  $\|\sigma_t(1-u_\lambda)\xi\| \leq 2\|\xi\|$ , for all  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and so by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_\lambda \|(1-\sigma_\alpha(h_\lambda))\xi\| = 0 \text{ i.e. } s\text{-}\lim_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(h_\lambda) = 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ in } \mathbb{C}.$$

Take now  $x$  in  $m_+$ . Using the  $\sigma$ -weakly lower semi-continuity of  $\psi$  and

$\frac{1}{\Delta^2} h_\lambda \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_{-1/2}(h_\lambda)$  on  $D(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  by [5] Lemma 3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &\leq \underline{\lim} \psi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) = \underline{\lim} \varphi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) = \underline{\lim} \|\eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}} h_\lambda)\|^2 \\ &= \underline{\lim} \|\operatorname{Sh}_\lambda \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2 = \underline{\lim} \|\int_{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} h_\lambda \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2 \\ &= \underline{\lim} \|\sigma_{-1/2}(h_\lambda) \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2 = \lim \|\sigma_{-1/2}(h_\lambda) \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2 \\ &= \|\eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2 = \varphi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\psi \leq \varphi$ .

By [1] Lemma 2.3, there exists  $T$  in  $\pi_p(M)'$  such that

$$0 \leq T \leq 1, \quad \psi(y^*x) = (\eta(x) | T \eta(y))$$

for  $x, y$  in  $n_p$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) &= (\eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}} h_\lambda) | T \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}} h_\lambda)) \\ &= \|\int_{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} h_\lambda \eta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument above

$$\psi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) = \left\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} J \sigma_{-1/2}(h) J \eta(x^2) \right\|^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then we have } \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \psi(h_\lambda x h_\lambda) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} J \sigma_{-1/2}(h_\lambda) J \eta(x^2) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| T^{\frac{1}{2}} J \cdot J \eta(x^2) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| T \eta(x^2) \right\|^2 = \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $(m_\varphi)_+$ .

We refer to [5] Lemma 3.1 with respect to the faithfulness of  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** ([5] proposition 5.9) If  $\psi$  is  $\Sigma$ -invariant normal semi-finite weight on  $M$  which is equal to  $\varphi$  on a  $\sigma$ -weakly dense  $\Sigma$ -invariant  $*$ -subalgebra of  $m_\varphi$  then  $\varphi = \psi$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be faithful normal semi-finite weights on von Neumann algebras  $M, N$ ,  $\sigma_t$  and  $\rho_t$  one-parameter groups of automorphisms of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , which are denoted by  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^\psi$  respectively. There exists a unique  $\Sigma \otimes \Sigma^\psi$ -invariant normal semi-finite weight  $\theta$  on  $M \otimes N$  such that

$$m_\theta \supset m_\varphi \otimes_a m_\psi, \quad \theta(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(y)$$

for all  $x$  in  $(m_\varphi)_+$ ,  $y$  in  $(m_\psi)_+$ . Moreover let  $g$  be a normal semi-finite weight on  $M \otimes N$  such that  $m_g \supset m_\varphi \otimes_a m_\psi$ ,  $g(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x)\psi(y)$  for  $x$  in  $(m_\varphi)_+$ ,  $y$  in  $(m_\psi)_+$ . Then we have  $g \leq \theta$ ,  $g|_{m_\theta} = \theta$  and  $g$  is faithful.

**Proof.** We may assume that  $M = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0})$ ,  $N = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0})$  where  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}$  are the maximal modular algebras associated with  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$  in [2] Theorem 2.13 respectively. By [4] Theorem 11.1.  $(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0} \otimes_a \mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}; \Delta_1(\alpha) \otimes_a \Delta_2(\alpha), \alpha \in \mathbb{C})$  is also a modular algebra, moreover we get

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0} \otimes_a \mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}).$$



By [4] Lemma 2.1 there exists a unique positive self-adjoint non-singular operator  $\Delta$  on  $H_\varphi \otimes H_\psi$  such that  $\Delta^\alpha$  is the closure of  $\Delta_1(\alpha) \otimes_a \Delta_2(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , therefore  $\Delta^{it} = \Delta_1^{it} \otimes \Delta_2^{it}$  for all  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For each  $\eta_1$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0}$  and  $\eta_2$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t \otimes \rho_t(\pi(\eta_1) \otimes \pi(\eta_2)) &= \sigma_t(\pi(\eta_1)) \otimes \rho_t(\pi(\eta_2)) \\ &= (\Delta_1^{it} \pi(\eta_1) \Delta_1^{-it}) \otimes (\Delta_2^{it} \pi(\eta_2) \Delta_2^{-it}) \\ &= (\Delta_1^{it} \otimes \Delta_2^{it})(\pi(\eta_1) \otimes \pi(\eta_2))(\Delta_1^{-it} \otimes \Delta_2^{-it}) \\ &= \Delta^{it} \pi(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \Delta^{-it}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sigma_t \otimes \rho_t$  coincides with the modular automorphism group of  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0} \otimes_a \mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}$  on a  $\sigma$ -weakly dense sub-algebra  $\pi(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0}) \otimes_a \pi(\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0})$ . Therefore  $\sigma_t \otimes \rho_t$  is equal to it.

Let  $\theta$  be the canonical weight of  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0} \otimes_a \mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}$  defined in [2]

Theorem 2.11. By [2] Proposition 4.4  $\theta$  is a faithful normal semi-finite K.M.S. weight with respect to  $\sigma_t \otimes \rho_t$ ,  $\beta = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0}$  (resp  $\mathcal{U}_{\psi_0}$ ) is equivalent to  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$  (resp  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$ ) we have  $\xi \otimes \eta$  in  $(\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_0} \otimes_a \mathcal{U}_{\psi_0})$  for each  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$  and  $\pi(\xi \otimes \eta) = \pi(\xi) \otimes \pi(\eta)$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta((\pi(\xi) \otimes \pi(\eta))^*(\pi(\xi) \otimes \pi(\eta))) &= \theta(\pi(\xi \otimes \eta)^* \pi(\xi \otimes \eta)) \\ &= (\xi \otimes \eta \mid \xi \otimes \eta) \\ &= \|\xi\|^2 \cdot \|\eta\|^2 \\ &= \varphi(\pi(\xi)^* \pi(\xi)) \cdot \psi(\pi(\eta)^* \pi(\eta)). \end{aligned}$$

By [2] Lemma 2.4 for each  $x$  in  $(m_\varphi)_+$  and  $y$  in  $(m_\psi)_+$  there exist  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$  such that  $\frac{1}{x^2} = \pi(\xi)$ ,  $\frac{1}{y^2} = \pi(\eta)$ , then we have  $\theta(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(y)$ .

Let  $g$  be another  $\Sigma \otimes \Sigma^\psi$ -invariant normal semi-finite weight on  $M \otimes N$  such that ;

$$m_g \supset m_\varphi \otimes_a m_\psi, \quad g(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \psi(y)$$

for all  $x \in (m_\varphi)_+, y \in (m_\psi)_+$ . By Proposition 3.2 we have  $\theta = g$ . The last part of Proposition 3.3 is clear by Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  be normal semi-finite weights on  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  the support projections of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  respectively. There exists a unique normal semi-finite weight  $\theta_1$  on  $M \otimes N$  such that ;

$$(i) \quad m_{\theta_1} \supset m_{\varphi_1} \otimes_a m_{\psi_1}$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_1(x \otimes y) = \varphi_1(x) \cdot \psi_1(y)$$

for each  $x \in (m_{\varphi_1})_+$  and  $y \in (m_{\psi_1})_+$ , and that  $\theta_1$  is  $\Sigma^{\varphi_1} \otimes \Sigma^{\psi_1}$ -invariant on the von Neumann algebra  $p \otimes q (M \otimes N) p \otimes q$ . Furthermore  $\theta_1$  is the maximal normal semi-finite weight with the properties (i), (ii) and its support projection is the tensor product  $p \otimes q$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.3.

**Definition 3.5.** The maximal weight above is called the tensor product of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$ , which is denoted by  $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** ([3] Proposition 6.2) Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two von Neumann algebras,  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  two normal strictly semi-finite weights on  $M^+$  and  $N^+$ ,  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  [resp.  $(g_j)_{j \in J}$ ] a family of positive normal linear functionals such that  $\sum_{i \in I} f_i = \nu$  on  $M^+$  and their supports are mutually orthogonal [resp.  $\sum_{j \in J} g_j = \mu$ ,  $N^+$ ].

(i)  $\tau = \sum_{i,j} f_i \otimes g_j$  is a strictly semi-finite normal weight on  $(M \otimes N)^+$ .

This weight does not depend on the choice of  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $(g_j)_{j \in J}$ , and

its support is the tensor product of the supports of  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ . The algebra  $m_\tau$  contains  $m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu$  and we have  $\dot{\tau}|_{m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu} = \dot{\nu} \otimes_a \dot{\mu}$ . Let  $\theta$  be another normal semi-finite weight on  $(M \otimes N)^+$  with the above properties. Then we get ;

$$m_\theta \supset m_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\tau} = \dot{\theta}|_{m_\tau}$$

(ii) We suppose that  $\nu$  [resp.  $\mu$ ] is K.M.S. with respect to a one-parameter automorphism group  $\{\omega_t\}$  [resp.  $\{\chi_t\}$ ],  $\beta = 1$ . Then there exists a unique normal weight  $\tau$  on  $(M \otimes N)^+$  such that  $m_\tau \supset m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu$ ,  $\dot{\tau}|_{m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu} = \dot{\nu} \otimes_a \dot{\mu}$  and  $\tau$  is K.M.S. with respect to  $\{\omega_t \otimes \chi_t\}$  on  $M \otimes N$ ,  $\beta = 1$ . This weight is equal to the weight defined above,

Proof. (i) By the choice of  $f_1$ ,  $f_1(\cdot)$  is equal to  $\nu(p_1 \cdot p_1)$  where  $p_1$  is the support projection of  $f_1$ , therefore  $f_1$  is  $\Sigma^\nu$ -invariant on  $pMp$  where  $p$  is the support projection of  $\nu$ . Similarly  $g_1$  is  $\Sigma^\mu$ -invariant on  $qNq$  where  $q$  is that of  $\mu$ . Since  $\tau = \sum_{i,j} f_i \otimes g_j$  is  $\Sigma^\nu \otimes \Sigma^\mu$ -invariant on  $p \otimes q(M \otimes N)p \otimes q$ ,  $\tau$  is the maximal weight in Theorem 3.4.

(ii) By the uniqueness of K.M.S. in [2] Proposition 4.8 we have ;

$$p\omega_t(\cdot)p = \sigma_t^\nu$$

$$q\chi_t(\cdot)q = \sigma_t^\mu$$

Therefore  $\tau$  is  $\Sigma^\nu \otimes \Sigma^\mu$ -invariant on  $p \otimes q(M \otimes N)p \otimes q$ . It follows from Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.7. ([2] Corollary 6.5) Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be two normal semi-finite traces on von Neumann algebras  $M$  and  $N$ .  $\tau = \nu \otimes \mu$  is a unique normal semi-finite trace of  $M \otimes N$  such that  $m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu \subset m_\tau$  and  $\dot{\tau}|_{m_\nu \otimes_a m_\mu} = \dot{\nu} \otimes_a \dot{\mu}$ .

Remark 3.8. (i) If  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are strictly semi-finite normal weights on  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\nu \otimes \mu$  is a normal strictly semi-finite weight on  $M \otimes N$ .

(ii) If  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are normal semi-finite traces on  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\nu \otimes \mu$  is a normal semi-finite trace on  $M \otimes N$ .

Corollary 3.9. (The extension of [2] Corollary 6.4) Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two faithful normal semi-finite weights on  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi, \mathcal{U}_\psi$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi \otimes \psi}$  be the generalized Hilbert algebras defined by  $\varphi, \psi$  and  $\varphi \otimes \psi$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi \otimes \psi}$  is isomorphic to the ~~achieved~~ generalized Hilbert algebra of  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi \otimes \mathcal{U}_\psi$ . Furthermore the modular operator  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi \otimes \psi}$  is the tensor product of modular operators of  $\mathcal{U}_\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\psi$ .

Proof. It has already been proved in Proposition 3.3.

#### 4. The Radon-Nikodym Theorem in the Tensor Product.

Theorem 4.1 Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be faithful normal semi-finite weights on  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi(h \cdot)$  and  $\psi_1 = \psi(k \cdot)$  where positive self-adjoint operators  $h$  and  $k$  are affiliated with  $M^{\Sigma_\varphi}$  and  $N^{\Sigma_\psi}$  respectively.

Then we get

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1(\cdot) = \varphi \otimes \psi(h \otimes k \cdot)$$

where  $h \otimes k$  has been defined in §2.

That is  $\varphi(h \cdot) \otimes \psi(k \cdot) = \varphi \otimes \psi(h \otimes k \cdot)$ .

Proof. For each  $x \in (m_{\varphi_1})_+$  and  $y \in (m_{\psi_1})_+$

$$\varphi_1(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon} \varphi(h_\varepsilon \cdot x)$$

$$\psi_1(y) = \lim_{\delta} \psi(k_\delta \cdot y).$$

By [5] Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\frac{1}{h_\varepsilon^2} x \frac{1}{h_\varepsilon^2} \in m_\varphi$$

$$\frac{1}{k_\delta^2} y \frac{1}{k_\delta^2} \in m_\psi$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$   $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1(x \otimes y) &= \varphi_1(x)\psi_1(y) \\ &= \lim_{(\delta, \varepsilon)} \varphi(h_\varepsilon x)\psi(k_\delta y) \\ &= \lim_{(\delta, \varepsilon)} \varphi \otimes \psi(h_\varepsilon \otimes k_\delta \cdot x \otimes y).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2 and [5] Proposition 4.2 we get

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1(x \otimes y) = \varphi \otimes \psi(h \otimes k \cdot x \otimes y)$$

for  $x \in (m_{\varphi_1})_+$   $y \in (m_{\psi_1})_+$ .

[5] Theorem 4.6 says that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^\varphi &= h^{it} \sigma_t^\varphi (\cdot) h^{-it} \\ \sigma_t^\psi &= k^{it} \sigma_t^\psi k^{-it}\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ ,  $\sigma_t^{\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1} = (h^{it} \otimes k^{it}) \sigma_t^\varphi \otimes \rho_t^\psi (\cdot) (h^{-it} \otimes k^{-it})$ .

Since  $h^{it} \otimes k^{it} \in M^{\Sigma^\varphi} \otimes N^{\Sigma^\psi}$  and  $h \otimes k$  commutes with  $h^{it} \otimes k^{it}$   $\varphi \otimes \psi(h \otimes k \cdot)$  is  $\sigma_t^{\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1}$ -invariant on  $[h] \otimes [k](M \otimes N)[h] \otimes [k]$  where  $[h]$  and  $[k]$  are the range projections of  $h$  and  $k$  respectively. By Theorem 3.4 we get  $\varphi \otimes \psi(h \otimes k \cdot) = \varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ .

Corollary 4.2. In Theorem 4.1 we suppose that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  are K.M.S. weights with respect to  $\sigma_t$  and  $\rho_t$  respectively.

Then  $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$  is K.M.S. weight with respect to  $\sigma_t \otimes \rho_t$ .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.1 and [5] Corollary 4.1.

#### References

- [1] Combes, F., Poids sur une  $c^*$ -algebra. J. Math. pures et appl., 47 (1968), 57 - 100
- [2] ———, Poids associé à une algebra hilbertienne a gauche. Compositio Math., 23 (1971), 49 - 77

- [3] ———, Poids et espérances conditionnelle dans algèbres  
de von Neumann. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 99 (1971), 73 - 112.
- [4] Takesaki, M., Tomita's theory of modular Hilbert algebras and  
its applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematic no.128  
Springer - Verlag 1970.
- [5] Pedersen, G. K. and Takesaki, M., The Radon - Nikodym theorem  
for von Neumann algebras. Acta. Math. 130 (1973), 53 - 87.