Differential forms and Stratifications (II).

都立大 理 绝含领夫

- \$0. 問題の説明、代数及び解析為樣体(variety)の理論に於いてstratificationのidenの基本的な重要性は周知である。与えられたvarietyをstratifyと表別する時次の主段階が基本的に現れることもある。
- (I) 与えるれたvariety を名々のstratum及びstrata の向の諸関係が単純(典型的)である抗にstratifyする。
- (II) 与えるかた問題に対し、個々のstratumに対してはかられている)結果をうる。
- (III) (II) で得るれた結果を「piece tagether"して 与えるかた variety 示は subvarietiesに対して,所期 の結果を得る。
- (I),(II)の段階を与えるれた問題の信所化の段階を及び(II)の段階を信為所的結果に対しての)

大域的段階、火呼いうると思われる。どの标な Variety も単純(もし人は典型的)と見做すかは、 与えるかた問題の性質及いいないになの把握の仕 ちによると思われる。

この論説及じ同大規(I)(3月:超曲面の独立特果矣 Leminar)で述べるのは、diff.formsの理谛 と(上記で説明した揺な) stratifications のiden を結 で付け様とする事である:

Contents:

- 1°. De Rhan Cohomologies and Stratifications (Complex analytic de Rhan coh. III) 973
- 2° Cochain complexes with incidence relations.
 (C.C.I.)(§1)
 175
 - 3°. The C-de Rham and the singular C.C.I.
 (82)
 - 4° P.G. adequate prestratified spaces and P.G. adequate Co de Rham C.C. I.
 (§ 3). 183.
 - 5°. Construction of normalized series (Proof of

Theorem 6.1d) (§7)--- 付銀. 18瓦

1°の内容は2~4°のResume ® algebraic de Rhan C.C.I.
(divisor Case)で、1975年1月号のJapan Acad. Proc へ 掲 さいさめる。announcementの存稿である。1° は9月のセミナーの構発銀にも掲せいしたのであるが、2°へ4°で述べた事実及びこの論説の お記し ideas の説明になると思われるので再かる。 掲せいする。(経度容を乞う次布である。)

2°~5°にだいての多米は現在作成中の 存稿(De Rham cohomologies and Stratifications)の 都合上のものであって、か々不体報ではある が、これも御電窓を乞う次和である。

等者の予定では、§1~2は、発んでの形を最終的に用いる予定であり、多3及び§7は、draftsである。(数学的内容は変更しない積りである。)。

尚 §1~ §3は、一貫した内容であるが、§7は、この海段では、独立した形になっている:9月のセミナーで、normalized series of prestratified spaces

の概念を述べ、その格はSeriesの存在定理(5元 られた germs of varieties について)を述べた。 § りは、り月のセミナーで述べた、germs of varieties に 付随された normalized series の construction の detailsである。

3月のセミナーの講究録には、§4: Normalized spaces, 及い§6: Narnalized series of prestratified spaces, 及い§6: Narnalized series attached to germs of varieties tho the ot, and stratificationsの存稿は、local real analytic varietiesに成するelementary propertiesをなす§5世際いては、Diff. forms and Stratification I, Iに見出される: 筆者は、De Rham Coh. and Stratifications で、To に見出される: 準者は、De Rham Coh. theoriesの矛下部)を近い内になってが、この論説及い9月セミナーの論説は、上記 titleの論文の「text book'の一種と見做これる、これを記している。

大雑杷に言って、9月のセミナーの内容は、松々の contextに於いて、段階(I)に相当し示、この論説は段階(II)に相当する。示段階(II)に相当する

部分は、筆者の manuscript (I7) in 1°)に見出
されうるであるう。

等者の現在の目的は、勿論 Complex analytic varietie の de Rham coch. Theory の建設'でありこの論説は、その為の'基礎工事'の接りであるが、一方この論説みが9月セミナーでの論説は上記の枠にはまうないと思めれる:

D' Normalized of prestr.' の概念は、Yamified covering の方法の方法の徹底化 x stratifications の方法の結合 z ササナナル 3: 与えられた variety V に対して、一つの ramified map 4: V ラ V, を考える代りに、Normalized series の 協に 系列 of ramitidad pop マーラ V, リラ V2 シー・・・
を恵当に x 3 事は、作者の 変見で 的 興味が

第 5 えられた variety V に対して、Yanitud map
リ: V -> T を高当に作り、Vの性気の考定を(より
筒車な)ではいりの ranitication locus の考察に転んにする。
という意味での

ある様に思われる。亦仁記の様なち法で考察 されう引対象が、de Rham col. のみに限定され る訳でないと言うのは、恐らく妥当性のある意 見であるう。特に筆者が導入した。higher discrimi nant condition'は de Rham cock,の幾つかの quantitative properties の考察に於いて軽めて 有用であるが、この条件は同時に定性的でも ある。この条件が、namitied map の系列の考察に なりるい範囲で)有用かごうかも調べるのは、筆 素には特に興味がある。

2 この論説の主配である。C.C.I.は代数性の強い de Rhan call. Theories に対しても,適用可能である事が期待で入る。(1°の最後の部分の例を考照) 示 Residue theories x も 極めて強 監禁似性がある話に思われる。(後述参照)

C.C.I. (Cochain complex with incidence conditions)

順序が多少逆になったが、この論説の主眼である C.C.I. の説明も簡単にする。この論説

中及が1°でばかた枯に C.C. I.の概念の導入は、 静とのde khan cohomology 群の local "及が"global" な対象の内係 * 明らかにする布望を持ってな された: Tを top. space, &を Toprestrabilication x t3. (2°考照) この時 &から简単な機能により三 種類の sets: &c, &o, &coを定義する. この時 &に attach された C.C. I. と X は、 次の三種の collections より なる.

 $\{C(X), X \in \mathcal{S}_{C}\}, \{C(X), X \in \mathcal{S}_{O}\}, \{C(X), X \in \mathcal{S}_{CO}\}. i : i : C(X) | t | cochain complex.$

爾この時、後っかの理由を持って、ネー、ネー の対象を夫々、global、及い、local、な対象とみな す。そして和三の対象を「global、及い、local、な対 象を終い付ける (intermentiate) object とみがれ(1:2; 考照). そして C.C. I: [には、美っかのexact segumeso をみたす事が要請される:

I(S1) は.C.C.I.のaxionataな一般海及い 後に文要な概念の夢入であり、一つのSemmaを 含む: Semma 1.1 は、'local objects' H'(C(X)), XE SO の対象から、global objects H*(C(X)) XE SC の幾っかの歴度が事かりるものを示すものである。

\$2(3°)は、C.C.I.の例として、C.de Pha. C.C.I.Ru、Sigulan C.C.I.のニッの概念も華みする。このニッは、容易に理解される性質のものであり、後の理路に忍用される。示 C.C.I.一般の理解を励けると期待する。

\$3(4°) は、「structue」を持ったC.C.I.の何であり、 我々の辛目的の一つ([5],[7] in 1°)の Eag point の一つをなす。 細却は 多3に譲る × 1て、多3 の結果は、E5]で述べた Comandegue of meromorphic comp-- arison therem の考象に於いても我々のC.C.I.の 報名が、有用であり承更に(I)~(IDで述べた段階 に沿っての議論が可能である事を示す。 享定 C.C.I.一般の概念は、多3の内容が mativation となった(1° Bび [7] in 1° 参照)。

東にじに述べた代数的な例を興味あり、これからを定の対象とするべきものと思われる。 この例かる出るを用は次の面り: 言とろけりの 南りとする: ▼: affice variet, D: hypersurface すて、この時、 $W \in H^{2}(\overline{W}-D)$ が多2種($w.r.t.(\overline{V},D)$) とは、

 $\exists w' \in H^*(\widehat{\Omega}(\nabla))$ such that $L^*(w) = w$. $\vdots : \forall L^* : \nabla - D \hookrightarrow \nabla + \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ $\exists h \in \mathbb{R}$

i = 7° (°; H'(Λ(∇-b)) → H'(Λ(D, ∇-b)) lt, h 4° 1° homomorphism. (cf. 1°).

この内容は、Wがある形かるかが、Dの左分小さ から何での学動 で決定出来る事を示す。 (これるの該済は、俗分型かり、2、3 な人の分類への provisional argumentsである)。

更に C. C. I.の理解を助ける為に, Residueの理

海×の同連を簡単に付す、以下ではで theory にってで べるが、いるいろ のstructure (analytic, algebraic ...)に関してばべるのも容易である。

i の 等 i d 3 の 4 種 の col. gr. lt. 次 a exact sequence (Mayer- Vietoris sequence) で 内係 づけ sd3:

H*(M) * global object' 他の三種を'local object'
とみするかのはResidue theorisにだいてなる。のは
Residue thの (こつである。Residue th はの
及び H*(M-T)(or H*(T, M-T)) Y H*(T) Y の () 連
を東に含むが、M, Tが variety の 場合、ここでは
その事については触りない。

上記の結果も我々の論法で記述すれば次の通りである。

 $S = M \circ prestratification = \{ \nabla, M - V \}.$ $S_{C} \Rightarrow \xi \neq \varphi \xi \Leftrightarrow \zeta = M,$ $S_{C0} = \psi \}.$ $S_{O} = \{ \nabla, M - V, (V, M - V) \}.$

 $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}^*(\nabla), \mathcal{L}^*(\Omega - \nabla), \mathcal{C}^*(\nabla, M - \nabla), \mathcal{L}^*(M)\},$ $:: \vec{c} \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{T}), \text{ signlar cochair complex. } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}),$ $: \vec{c} \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{T}), \text{ signlar cochair complex. } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}).$

以上の説明は、少し筒羊かも知れないが"HML、 もわかる" 着には、H*(M-T), H*(T), H*(M, M-T)の小生気" が(まる種の 内匙には)を分である事を示すと 言えるであるう。

以上の場に解釈した"local-global" parts of
Residue theory 5" 帯 2 o C. C. I. 事 2 o motivation o
他の一つである。

De Rham cohomologies and stratifications . Complex analytic de Rham cohomology III.

Nobuo Sasakura.

Tokyo Metropolitan University.

The importance of the idea of stratifying varieties in the study of algebraic and analytic varieties is well known. The investigation of stratification of varieties would involve basically the following steps*:

- (1) To stratify varities so that each stratum as well as the relations among the strata ,e.g., incidence relation ,..., are of simple (or typical) forms.
- (2) To obtain results of desired nature for each stratum or each series of strata, etc. with respect to a fixed stratification for given varieties.
- (3) To piece together results from the step (2) in order to obtain results of a desired sort for given varieti—es and subvarieties,...

The steps $\{(1),(2)\}$ and (3) might reasonably called, respectively, localization steps (for given global problems)

^(*) See R.Thom [8], H.Whitney [9]. The author learned the theories of stratifications in connection with his proposed approach to Complex analytic de Rham cohomology. (Cf. [4],[5].)

and globalization steps (to be applied to local results).

In [5],[7] we investigated certain quantitative properties of real analytic varieties. Results of [5] are used
in our study of the complex analytic de Rham cohomology.

Our investigations in [7] are carried out using steps (1),

(2) and (3). Exact sequences of Mayer- Vietoris type are
used repeatedly in our globalization steps. The basis of our
arguments used in the globalization steps is algebraic in
nature.

The main purpose of the present note is to introduce the notion of cochain complex with incidence relations

(C.C.I.) for a prestratified space. (See n.l. and n.2. below.) The arguments used in the study of C.C.I. are generalizations, as well as abstractions, of those in [7].

When C.C.I.'s are related to de Rham cohomologies of certain types, the arguments applicable to C.C.I.'s in general clarify relations between local and global data in the de Rham cohomologies in question. Actually the author's hope in introducing the notion of C.C.I. is to clarify relations between local and global data in de Rham cohomologies of various types.

^(*) The terms local and global in this note should be understood in the sense explained at the beginning of this note.

The cotents of this note are preliminary in nature. However, the arguments applicable to C.C.I. in general are indispensable in [5] and have certain theoretically pleasa--nt aspects.

- n.l. <u>Prestratifications</u>. Let X be a topological space. By a prestratification (S) of X we mean a collection $(S) = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of subsets S_{λ} 's of X satisfying the following conditions.
- (1.1) X is the disjoint union of S_{λ} 's in (S): $X = VS_{\lambda}$, $S_{\lambda} \in (S)$.
- (1.1) Frontier condition: For each stratum $S \in \mathbb{S}$, fron $(S_{\lambda}) = \overline{S_{\lambda}} S_{\lambda}$ is the disjoint union of lower dimensional strata of (S).

To substantially simplify notations in later arguments we assume that

(1.1) is a finite set. **

A pair (X,\S) consisting of a topological space and its prestratification (S) will be called a <u>prestratified space</u>. Let (X,\S) be a prestratified space. For (S,\S) let (S) denote the

^(*) For definitions of stratifications and prestratifications, see J.Mather [2], R.Thom[8]. Our definition of prestratifications is ,for technical reasons, not the same as in [2],[8].

^(**) For the case where (S) is locally finite . see [6]

support of (T): |T| = VS, , $S \in (T)$. Moreover, for $(T) \in (T) \in (S)$, $|T| \in (T)$ denotes the closure of $(T) \in (T)$. We list certain notations used below. For $(T) \in (S)$, define $(T) \in (T)$ by

 $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{C}} \subset \mathbb{T} : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{T}} - \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{C}} = \text{for closed}, \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{C}}$

 $T_m = \{S_c(T) : 1(S_c) \le m\}$. *

Moreover, let T_c denote the collection of series of strata in T:

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{T}_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t = S_{\lambda_t} < \dots < S_{\lambda_t} \\ 1 \end{array} \right\} : S_{\lambda_j} \in \mathbb{T}(j=1,\dots,t) \left\} \\ \text{In the above } S_{\lambda_1} < S_{\lambda_2}, \dots \text{ means that } S_{\lambda_i} \in \text{fron}(S_{\lambda_i}) \\ \subset \mathbb{T} \text{ and a series } S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t, S_{\lambda_j} \in \mathbb{T}' \\ \text{-ote the intersection} \mathbb{T}_{m_1} \left\{ S \in \mathbb{T}' : S_{\lambda_t} < S \right\}. \quad \text{For } \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T} \\ \text{by} \end{array}$

 $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{OC} = \left(\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{m}'(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}) : \widehat{\mathbb{T}}' \subset \widehat{\mathbb{T}}, S_{\lambda_{J}} \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}}', j = 1, \ldots, t\right)$ Then one easily derives the following fact .

(1.2) If $\mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, if $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfy the relation $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Here $T_1^V T_2$ if, for any $S_{\lambda_1} \in T_1(L = 1,2)$, $S_{\lambda_1}^+ S_{\lambda_2}^-$, $S_{\lambda_1}^+ S_{\lambda_2}^-$, $S_{\lambda_1}^+ S_{\lambda_2}^-$.

n.2. Cochain complex with incidence relation (C.C.I):

Let \mathbb{R} be a noetherian ring , and let (X,\mathbb{S}) be a prestratified space. Moreover, let $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{S})$ be a collection

^(*) For $S \in (S)$, the length l(S) can be defined in an obvious manner (see [6]).

 $(1.3)_{3} \quad 0 \rightarrow (\widehat{T}_{m+1})^{h_{1}} \xrightarrow{(\underline{T}_{m})} \widehat{C}'(\underline{T}_{m}) \xrightarrow{m+1} \widehat{C}(\underline{S}_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{h_{2}} \underbrace{(\underline{T}_{m})}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{\underline{T}_{m+1}} \widehat{T}_{m+1} - \widehat{T}_{m}.$ $(1.3)_{3} \quad 0 \rightarrow (\widehat{U}_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \underbrace{(\widehat{U}_{m})}_{m} \xrightarrow{E} \underbrace{(\widehat{U}_{m})}_{m} \xrightarrow{m+1} \underbrace{E}(\underline{S}_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \underbrace{\lambda_{t+1}}_{t+1}) \xrightarrow{h_{2}} \underbrace{(\underline{U}_{m})}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{t+1}} \underbrace{E}(\widehat{U}_{m}(\underline{S}_{m+1})) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } (\underline{U}_{m} = \widehat{T}_{m}(\underline{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, \lambda_{t}) \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \underbrace{(\underline{U}_{m})}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \underbrace{(\underline{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, \lambda_{t})}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \underbrace{(\underline{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, \underline{S}_{\lambda_{t}}, \cdots, \underline{S}_{\lambda_{t}})}_{k+1} \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \underbrace{(\underline{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, \underline{S}_{\lambda_{t}}, \cdots, \underline{S}_{\lambda_{t}$

Postulated conditions of the existence of isomorphisms in $(1.3)_1$, $\{(1.3)_2, (1.3)_2^*\}$ and homomorphisms in $(1.3)_3, (1.3)_3^*$ will be called Identification condition, Disjoint condition

^(*) Isomorphisms and homomorphisms are those of R-cochain complexes.

and Incidence condition (Mayer- Vietoris condition) respectively. The collection of isomorphisms i(S),... and homomorphisms h_k 's will be denoted by $K(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}))$. When we emphasize the role of $K(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}))$, we say that $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S})$ is $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}))$ -C.C.I. Let $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}))$ be as above. Then isomorphisms i(S),...and homomorphisms h_k 's of cochain complexes induce corresponding isomorphisms $i^*(S)$,...and homomorphisms h_k 's of cohomology groups naturally. The collection of $i^*(S)$,...and h_k 's will be denoted by $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}))$.

Equivalences between C.C.I.'s. Let (X,\S) be a prestratified space, and let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{S}})$ be $(K(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^1(\widehat{\mathbb{S}})))$ -C.C.I. (1=1,2). Moreover, let \mathbb{C}^* , \mathbb{C}^* and \mathbb{C}^* be families of \mathbb{C}^* -homomorphisms of the following forms: $\mathbb{C}^* = \{\mathbb{C}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) : \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})), \mathbb{C}^* = \{\mathbb{C}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) : \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}), \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) : \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})), \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^*(\widehat{\mathbb{D}}) : \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^* : \mathbb{$

Now , in our investigations, there are reasons for regarding $((\mathbb{T}), (\mathbb{T})) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}})$ as '(local' data. The following lemma shows that certain propert-

-ies of global data are derived from those of local data.

Lemma 1, Let $\widehat{C}^{1}(\widehat{S}) = \widehat{C}^{1}(S), \widehat{E}^{1}(\widehat{S}), \widehat{E}^{1}(\widehat{S})$ be $\widehat{K}(\widehat{C}^{1}(\widehat{S}))$ -C.C.I.(i = 0,1,2) of a prestratified space (X, \widehat{S}).

- (I) If $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{E}}^0(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}))$ is a finitely generated $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ -module for each $\widehat{\mathbb{U}} \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{\mathbb{C}}$, them $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^{'0}(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}))$ is so for each $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (II) Let $\mathbb{C}^{i}(\mathbb{S})$ (i = 1,2) be $\mathbb{C}^{*}, \beta^{*}, \beta^{*}$ —equivalent. Then $\mathbb{C}^{*}(\mathbb{T})$ is an \mathbb{R}^{+} isomorphism for each $\mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Here $\mathbb{C}^{*}, \beta^{*}, \beta^{!}$ are families of \mathbb{R}^{-} hbmomorphisms of the forms given in the be—ginning of n.2.

For the proof of Lemma 1, see [6].

n.3. An exact sequence of Mayer- Vietoris type. Let K be an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. In n.3. every variety in question is assumed to be a reduced K-variety. Let Λ^n , V and D be an affine space of dimension n, a variety in A and a divisor in A, respectively, such that for each irreducible component V_j of V, $V_j \not\leftarrow D$ and $V_j \land D$ $\downarrow \not\leftarrow D$. We denote by W the variety in A characterized by $|W| = |V| \land |D|$. Now let $(O) \dot{T}_V, \dot{T}_W$ and $(\dot{T}_D) = O(h)$ denote respectively the ring $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the ideals of V, W, and D. The completions $(D, v) \cdot D$ and $(D, v) \cdot D$ be respectively. We denote the localizations $(D, v) \cdot D$ and $(D, v) \cdot D$ be respectively the completions defined by $(D, v) \cdot D$ be respectively the completions defined by $(D, v) \cdot D$ and $(D, v) \cdot D$ and $(D, v) \cdot D$ in the above we regard $(D, v) \cdot D$ as contained in $(D, v) \cdot D$ and $(D, v) \cdot D$ in a natural fashion. For the graded

ring $\widehat{\Omega}$ of K-differential forms over A, let $\widehat{\Omega}^V$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, respectively denote $\widehat{\Omega}$ $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, ..., $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$, $\widehat{\Omega}^W$. Then we have :

Lemma 2. For the rings (V, ..., (W, V-W), the exact sequence (V, V-W) (V-W) (V

holds. In (I) the continuous homomorphisms $(V, \cdot, V, W, V - W)$ are determined naturally from topologies of $(V, \cdot, V, W, V - W)$.

改约

For the proof of Lemma 2, see [6]. The exact sequence (I) relates cohomology groups $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}^V})$, $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}^V}^{-W})$ and $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}^W}, V^{-W})$ to the cohomology group $H^*(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}^V})$. For a pair (V,W) of smooth varieties (defined over the complex number field \mathbb{C}), the idea of relating the cohomology groups of W,V^{-W} and $N(W)^{-W}$ to that of V may be regarded as one of the basic ideas in the classical (analytic) theories of residues. (Cf. J.Leray[2], P.A.Griffith[1],...). The sequence (I) might be regarded as a generalization in an algebraic direction of the idea explained above. Moreover, Lemma 2 enables us to attach the algebraic de Rham \widehat{C} . C.I. to the prestratified space (V,S=(W,V-W)) in a natural manner.

Remarks about results untouched here. In this note, we have spent several pages explaining ideas used in defining C.C.I.'s. For arguements on C.C.I.'s untouched here, see [6]. In particular, [6] contains examples of C.C.I.'s such

^(*) N(W) is a suitable neighbourhood of W in V.

as the singular , the C^{∞} de Rham , and the P.G.(polynomial growth) de Rham C.C.I.'s as well as an application of the sequence'(I).

References.

[1] P.A. Griffiths	, On the periods of Certain Rational
	Integrals I,II,Ann.of Math. 1969, pp.461-
	495, 496-541.
[2] J.Leray ,	Probleme de Cauchy III, Bull.de la Soc.
	Math. de France 1959,pp.81-180.
[3] J.Mather,	Notes on topological Stability , Mimeo-
	-graphed notes . Harvard University, 1971.
[4] N.Sasakura ,	Complex analytic De Rham Cohomology I.
	Proc. of Japan Acad. Soc. 1973, pp 718-
	722.
[5]	Ibid. II, ibid. 1974,pp.292-295.
[6]	Differential forms and Stratifications
	(Manuscript), to appear in the seminar
	notes from RIMS. on the seminar of Complex Manifold on october 1974.
[7]	Division and Asymptoyic Properties of
	coherent sheaves . Seminar Note No.192.
	Hyperfunction and Linear Differential Equation .L.RIMS., pp37- 327(1973).
[8] R.Thom,	Ensembles et Morphismes stratifies. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75,pp 240-284.(1969)
[9] H.Whitney,	Tangents to an analytic variety, Ann. of Math. 81, 469-549 (1965)

- 1. Cochain complexes with incidence relations.
- 1.1. Prestratified spaces. Let V be a topological space and S a subset of V. For a subset V of V such that $S \subset V'$, we denote by \overline{S}_{V}' the closure of S in V'. When it is clear from contexts that we are taking the closure of S in V' we write S_{V}' simply as \overline{S} .

Now we define the notion of a prestratification of a topological spee in the following manner:

Definition 1.1. Let V be a topological space. A <u>prestra-</u>
-tification S of V is a collection as follows:

- (1.1)₁ A family $S = \{S_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of subsets S_{λ} 's of V (call-ed strata of S).
- $(1.1)_2$ A family D = $\{\dim_{\chi} : \chi_{\Lambda}\}$ of integer valued functions \dim_{χ} 's (called <u>dimention functions</u> on S_{χ} 's).

Families S and D are required to satisfy the following conditions.

- (1.2), S is locally finite.
- $\left(1.2\right)_2$ V is expressed as the disjoint union of all the strata in S.

^(*) For the general notions of stratifications and pre-stratifications, see J.Mather [], R.Thom [] and H.Whitney []. Our definition of prestratification is not the same as theirs by technical reasons. Our definition is convenient to treat prestratifications of topological spaces(like C^{∞} -mani-folds, real and complex analytic varieties, algebraic varieties, etc.) at the same time.

- (1.2) Dimension condition: For each S \in S, the dimenstion function $\dim_{\lambda}(\mathbf{P}_{\!\lambda})$ is bounded on S $_{\!\lambda}$.
- (1.2)₄ Frontier condition: For each $S_{\lambda} \in S$, the frontier: fron $S_{\lambda} = \overline{S}_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}$ is expressed as the disjoint union: fron $S_{\lambda} = VS_{\mu}$; $S_{\mu} \in S$, $S_{\lambda} \cap (\overline{S}_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, for any $S_{\mu} \subset S_{\lambda}$ fron S_{λ} , max. $S_{\mu} \cap (S_{\mu}) \subset S_{\lambda}$ and $S_{\mu} \cap (S_{\lambda}) \cap (S_{\lambda})$. Here $S_{\mu} \cap (S_{\lambda}) \cap (S_{\lambda}) \cap (S_{\lambda}) \cap (S_{\lambda})$.

We call $\dim_{\lambda}(P_{\lambda})$ the <u>dimension of S_{λ} at P_{λ} with respect to S and write it usually as $\dim_{P_{\lambda}}S_{\lambda}$. Moreover, we call $\max_{P_{\lambda}}\dim_{P_{\lambda}}S_{\lambda}$ the <u>dimension of S_{λ} with respect to S and write it as dim S_{λ} . When there is no fear of confusions, we call the dimension of S_{λ} at P_{λ} (the dimension of S_{λ}) with respect to S simply the <u>dimension of S_{λ} at P_{λ} </u> (the <u>dimension of S_{λ} </u>).</u></u>

In the sequel of this papar, we <u>always</u> assume that a prestratification S of a topological space satisfies the following condition.

(1.3) There exists a positive number n_0 such that dim $S_{\lambda} \leq n_0$ for each stratum S_{λ} of S.

Remark : Let S = (S,D) be a prestratification of a top-ological space V. When there is no fear of confusions, we write the prestratification S as S. Also we call a stratum S_{λ} of S as a stratum S of S. Of course these simplified

notations coincide with the standard notations (cf. J.Mather [].)

Let V be a topological space, and let S = (S,D) be a prestratification of V. Let $S_{\lambda_1} \neq S_{\lambda_2}$ be strata of S. We write $S_{\lambda_1} \prec S_{\lambda_2}$ if $S_{\lambda_1} \subset \text{fron } S_{\lambda_2}$. For a sequence $S_{\lambda_1} \cdots S_{\lambda_t}$ of strata of S, we use an abbriviated notation: $S_{\lambda_1} \cdots S_{\lambda_t}$. Moreover, let $S_{\lambda_1} \subset S_{\lambda_1} = S_{\lambda_$

Let V be a topological space and S = (S,D) \mid 2 prestratification of V. Moreover, let ∇_1 be an open set of V. Define a collection S₁ of subsets of V₁ by S₁ = $\left\{S_{\lambda_1} \cap V_1\right\}_{\lambda_1}$. Here strata S₁ exhaust all the strata of S such that $S_{\lambda_1} \cap V_1 \neq \phi$.

^(*) dim S = max. dim S λ

Moreover, define a collection D_1 of integer functions by $D_1 = \left\{ \dim_{\lambda_1}^1 \right\}_{\lambda_1}$. Here $\dim_{\lambda_1}^1$ is the restriction of \dim_{λ_1} to $S_{\lambda_1} \cap V_1$. Then it is clear that $S_1 = (S_1, D_1)$ is a prestratification of V_1 in an obvious manner. We call this prestratification S_1 the <u>restriction</u> of S_1 to S_2 .

A pair (V,S) of a topological space V and a prestratification S of V will be called a <u>prestratified space</u>. Let $(V_1,S_1)(i=1,2)$ be prestratified spaces, and let $f\colon V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ be a continuous map. We say that f is <u>compatible</u> with $S_1(i=1,2)$ if, for each stratum S_1 of S_1 , $f(S_\lambda)$ is a stratum of S_2 . A continuous map $f\colon V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$, which is compatible with $S_1(i=1,2)$, will be called a <u>map from the prestratified space</u> (V_1,S_1) to (V_2,S_2) .

C,O and CO-sets of prestratification. Let (V,S) be a prestratified space. We write S explicitly as S = (S,D). For a subset T < S, let |T| denote the support: U S, λ_j , $\lambda_j \in T$, of T. No-te that, for a subset T < S, |T| is closed if and only if

(1.3)₁ for any $S \in T$, from $S_{\lambda} \subset |T|$. Moreover, for a subset $T \subset S$,

 $(1.3)_2$ $|T| - |T| = U S_{\mu}$, where S_{μ} 's are strata of S such that S_{μ} from S_{λ} with a stratum $S_{\lambda} \in T$ and $S_{\lambda} \in T$.

Furthermore, let T be a subset of S. Assume that |T| - |T|

^(*) The letters C and O are taken from the initials of the words 'closed' and 'order'.

 $*\phi$. Then |T| - |T| is closed if and only if

(1.3)₃ for any S_{μ} such that $S_{\mu} \in |T| - |T|$, from $S_{\mu} \cap T = \phi$.

Now we shall introduce certain notations convenient for later arguments: Let (V,S) be a prestratified space, where S = (S,D). Let S_C denote the collection $\{T \subset S; \overline{|T|} - |T| = \phi \text{ or clo-}$ -sed}. We call this set $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{C}}$ the $\underline{\mathbf{C-set}}$ of \mathbf{S} . Moreover, we call the collection $\{S_{0_1},\ldots,S_t\}_{0_1,\ldots,0_t}$ of sequences of strata in S the 0set of S and write it as S_{0} . Furthermore, we define the C_{0} set Sco of S to be the subset of the product Scx Z+x So chara--cterized as follows: $(T, m, S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t) \in S_C \times Z^+ \times S_O$ is in S_{CO} if and only if $S_{\lambda} \in T(j=1,..,t)$. Now let $T \in S_C$, and let $m \in Z^+$. We denote by T_m the subset $\{S \in T; I_T(S) \leq m \}$ of T. Here $I_T(S)$ is the length of S_{λ} in T. (Note that T defines a prestratifica--tion of T of the form (T, $D_{\phi})\text{, where }D_{\phi}$ is the restriction of D to T.) Moreover, let $T \in S_C$, and let $S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t$ be a sequ--ence of strata of T. Then we denote by $T(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)$ the sub--set $\{S_{\mu}, S_{\lambda_{\pm}} \prec S_{\mu}\}$ of T. Note that $T(S_{\lambda_{1}}) = \overline{T}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \lambda_{2}) = \cdots$ = $T(S_{\lambda}, ..., \lambda_{L})$.

Now the following are derived easily from the above definitions.

-cation (T,D $_{\rm T}$) of T is contained in S $_{\rm C}$.

Let $(T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)\in S_{CO}$. Then T_m and $T_m(S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)\in S_C$ by $(1.4)_2$. We define a map $h_{CO}: S_{CO} \longrightarrow S_C$ by $h_{CO}(T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$ = $T_m(S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$. When there is no fear of confusions, we write $(T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$ as $T_m(S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$.

Remark: When a prestratification S of a topological space V is obtained from certain geometric considerations, the C-set S_C of S has clear geometric meanings. Moreover, if we want to investigate the C-set S_C in details, the O- and CO-sets S_O and S_{CO_K} appear in a natural fashion. (Cf.[],[]. Also see the end of § 2.) Furthermore, there are reasons to regard objects related to S_C and objects related to S_O , resp-ectively, as global and local objects (in the senses explanied in the introduction) in investigations of S. (Cf.[], []) Objects related to S_{CO_K} can be, then, regarded as objects connecting local and global data in the above senses.

1.2. Cochain complex with incidence relations (C.C.I.). Let R be a noetherian ring. In this subsection $\S1.2.$,

we fix R once and for all. Our arguments in §1.2. will be do-

^(*) Note that the map h_{CO} is not injective. However, the symbol $T_m(S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$ contains three symbols $T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t$ which characterize the element $(T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)\in S_{CO}$. Therefore our use of the symbol $T_m(S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$ for $(T,m,S_{\lambda_1},\ldots,\lambda_t)$ will not be harmful.

-ne for this fixed ring R. We mean, in this subsection § 1.2, by a cochain complex C an R-cochain complex of the form: $C = \sum_n C_n$ where $C_n = 0$ for n < 0 or $n > n_0$ with a suitable n_0 .

Now we shall introduce the following

Definition 1.2. Let (V,S) be a prestratified space, where S = (S,D). A cochain complex C with incidence relations attached to S is a pair (C,K) as follows:

- $(1.5)_1$ C is a collection of cochain complexes of the following form: C = $\{C(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$. Here C(X) is a cochain complex and S_C, \ldots , are the C-set of S, \ldots
- (1.5)₂ K is a collection of homomorphisms of the follow--ing forms:
- $(1.5)_{2.1} \quad K(S_{\lambda}): \quad C(\{S_{\lambda}\}) \longrightarrow C(S_{\lambda}); \quad S_{\lambda} \in S. \text{ Here we regard } \{S_{\lambda}\} \text{ and } S \text{ in the image $C(S_{\lambda})$ respectively as elements of S_{C} and S_{O}.}$
 - $(1.5)_{2.2} \quad K(T_1,T_2): \quad C(T_1 V T_2) \longrightarrow C(T_1) \oplus C(T_2), \text{ where } T_1, \\ T_2 \in S_C \text{ such that } T_1 V T_2.$
 - $(1.5)_{2.2}^{'} \quad \text{K(X$_{1}$):} \quad \text{C(X$_{1}$)} \longrightarrow \oplus \text{C(S}_{\lambda_{t+1}^{1}}), \text{ where X$_{1}$ is an}$ element of S\$_{CO}\$ of the form (T\$,1,S\$_{\lambda_{1}},...,\$_{\lambda_{t}}\$) and S\$_{\lambda_{t+1}^{1}}\$ exhaust all the strata in T\$_{1}(S\$_{\lambda_{1}},...,\$_{\lambda_{t}}\$).

$$(1.5)_{3} \begin{cases} K_{1}(T,m): C(T_{m+1}) \longrightarrow C(T_{m}) \oplus \{ \oplus C(S_{\lambda^{m+1}}) \}, \\ K_{2}(T,m): C(T_{m}) \oplus \{ \oplus C(S_{\lambda^{m+1}}) \} \longrightarrow C(T_{m}(S_{\lambda^{m+1}})), \end{cases}$$

where $(T,m) \in S_{C^*}Z^+$ such that $T_{m+1}-T_m \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $S_{m+1}=0$ exhaust all the elements in $T_{m+1}-T_m$.

$$(1.5)_{3}^{i} \begin{cases} K_{1}(X_{m}): & C(X_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{m} C(X_{m}) \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus C(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \sum_{\substack{m+1 \ t+1}}^{m}) \right\} \\ K_{2}(X_{m}): & C(X_{m}) \bigoplus C(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \sum_{\substack{m+1 \ t+1}}^{m}) & \bigoplus C(X_{m}(S_{m+1})), \end{cases}$$

where $X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)$, $X_{m+1} = T_{m+1}(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)$ such that $X_{m+1} - X_m \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, S_{m+1} exhaust all the atrata in $X_{m+1} - X_m$.

The data C and K are required to satisfy the following exact sequences:

$$(1.6)_1$$
 $0 \rightarrow C(S) \xrightarrow{K(S)} C(S) \rightarrow 0$.

$$(1.6)_2 \xrightarrow{0 \longrightarrow C(T_1UT_2)} \xrightarrow{K(T_1,T_2)} \xrightarrow{C(T_1) \oplus C(T_2)} 0.$$

$$(1.6)_{2}' \quad 0 \longrightarrow C(X_{1}) \xrightarrow{K(X_{1})} \longrightarrow C(S_{1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$(1.6)_{3} \xrightarrow{0 \longrightarrow C(T_{m+1})} \xrightarrow{K_{1}(T,m)} C(T_{m}) \oplus \{ \bigoplus C(S_{\chi^{m+1}}) \} \xrightarrow{K_{2}(T,m)}$$

$$\bigoplus C(S_{\chi^{m+1}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$(1.6)_{3}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{0 \longrightarrow C(X_{m+1})} \xrightarrow{K_{1}(X_{m})} C(X_{m}) \oplus \{ \oplus C(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}) \}$$

$$\xrightarrow{K_{2}(X_{m})} \oplus C(X_{m}(S_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1})).$$

In the above exact sequences, the symbols S,T_1,T_2,\ldots,X_1 ,..., $S_{\lambda^{m+1}}$, $X_{\lambda^{m+1}}$,... have the same meanings as in (1.5).

The conditions of the validity of the exact sequences (1.6)₁,(1.6)₂,2' and (1.6)₃,3' imposed on the pair (C,K) will, be, respectively, called the identity, the disjoint and the incidence (or the Mayer-Vietoris) conditions.

Let (V,S) be a prestratified space, and let C = (C,K) be a cochain complex with incidence relations attached to S. We call C simply a C.C.I. attched to S.

Remark on the terminology of C.C.I. Let (V,S) be a pres-tratified space, and let C = (C,K) be a C.C.I. attached to S. We write S and C explicitly as S = (S,D) and $C = \{C(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO} \}$. Note that our notion of C.C.I. is based on the collection C of cochain complexes and that C itself has not been endowed a structure of a cochain complex. Now we fo-rm a direct sum $\bigoplus C(X)$, $X \in S_C, S_O$ or S_{CO} . The direct sum $\bigoplus C(X)$ is a cochain complex in a natiral manner; It is easy to form a collection $\bigoplus C$ of homomorphisms in terms of $\bigoplus C$ so th-at $\bigoplus C$ corresponds to K. Also it is easy to state conditions corresponding to (1.6) in terms of the pair $\bigoplus C$ in $\bigoplus C$ in the above brief explanation would suffice to assure the existence of an equivalent form of the notion of C.C.I. based on the cochain complex $\bigoplus C$, and would also justify our use of the

C.C.I. (cochain complex with incidence relations) for the notion introduced in Definition 1.2.

Remark. Typical examples of C.C.I.'s will be given in §2, §3 and Appendix I: The singular and the C -de Rham C.C.I.'s introduced in § 2 would increase understandings of the notion of C.C.I.'s in general. On the otherhand, arguments concerned with the P.G.-C -de Rham C.C.I. in §3 and those concerned wi-th residue theories in Appendix I would make clear our moti-vations for the introduction of the notion of C.C.I.

Notions and notations related to C.C.I. We will introduce certain notions and notations related to the notion of C.C.I:

- (I) Let (V,S) be a prestratified space, where S = (S,D). Moreover, let C = (C,K) be a C.C.I. attached to S. We write C explicitly as C = $\{C(X), X \in S_C, S_C \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$.
- or S_{CO_1} . We call C(X) the cochain complex of C for X. Let $X \in S_C$, S_{O_2} .
- (I)₂ The D-set S_D of S, the cochain complex C(Y) of C for Y and the homomorphism K(Y) of K for $Y \in S_D$:

To introduce terminologies similar to those in (I), it is convenient to introduce the $\underline{D\text{-set}}$ S $_{D}$ of S to be the

collection as follows: (i) $S_c \in S_c$ (ii) $(T_1, T_2) \in S_c \times S_c$ such that $T_1 \vee T_2$. (iii) Elements X_1 's in S_c , where X_1 is of the form: $X_1 = T_1(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t). \text{ (iv) } (T,m) \in S_c \times Z^+. \text{ (iv) ' } (T,m,m+1) \in S_c \times Z^+ \times Z_1^+.$ (v) $X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t) \in S_{c_0}, \text{ (v) '} (X_m,m+1) \in S_c \times Z^+.$

An element in S_D will be usually denoted by Y. Now we define, for $Y \in S_D$, the cochain complex C(Y) of C for Y and the homomorphism K(Y) of K for Y as follows:

$$(\widetilde{1})$$
 If $Y = S_{\chi} C(Y) = C(\{S_{\chi}\}), K(Y) = K(S_{\chi}).$

$$(\widetilde{ii})$$
 If Y = (T_1, T_2) , $C(Y) = C(T_1 \cup T_2)$, $K(Y) = K(T_1, T_2)$.

$$(iii)$$
 If $Y = X_1$, $C(Y) = C(X_1)$, $K(Y) = K(X_1)$.

$$(iv)$$
 If Y = (T,m), $C(T,m) = C(T_m), K(T,m) = K_1(T,m)$.

$$(iv)$$
' If $Y = (T,m,m+1)$, $C(T,m,m+1) = C(T_m) \oplus \{ \oplus C(S_{\chi^{m+1}}) \}$, $K(Y) = K_2(T,m)$

$$(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}})$$
 If $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{m}}$, $C(\mathbf{Y}) = C(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{m}}) K(\mathbf{Y}) = K(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{m}})$

(v) If Y = (X_m,m+1), C(Y) = C(X_m) \bigoplus \bigoplus C(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_{m+1}), K(Y) = K_{\lambda_2}(X_m). In the aboves, S,(T₁,T₂),...,(X_m,m+1) have the same meanings as in the definition of S_D.(Also see the exact sequences in (1.6)). Moreover, for X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t) in (v),(v), we den-ote T_{m+1}(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t) by X_{m+1}.

The above definitions are somewhat lengthy. However, arguments in later will be simplified by using, the above definitions.

of (1.4), the cochain complex C(X') is defined for any $X' \in T_C$, T_C , or T_{CO} . We write the collection $\{C(X'), X' \in T_C, T_C\}$ or T_{CO} as C_T and call it the restriction of C to T. Moreover, let $Y' \in T_D$. Then, in view of (1.4), K(Y) is defined. We write the collection $\{K(Y'), Y' \in T_D\}$ as K_T and call it the restrictination of K to T. Then the pair $C_T = (C_T, K_T)$ is a C.C.I. attached to the prestratification (T, D_T) of T in an obvious manner. (D_T is the restriction of the collection D of dimension functions to T). We call C_T the restriction of C to T.

Remark. Let (V,S) be a prestratified space. Moreover, let $C = \{C(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$ be a collection of cochain complexes C(X) s. In this case we also call C(X) the cochain complexely of C for X. Furthermore, let Y be an element in the D-set S_D . Then we can define a cochain complex C(Y) in an entirely same manner as in the case of a C.C.I. (Cf. (i) (v) in Cf.) We call this cochain complex C(Y) the cochain complex of Cf. Y.

(II) Sub C.C.I. Let (V,S) be a prestratified space, and let C = (C,K) be a C.C.I. attached to S. We write C explicit—

-ly as $C = \{C(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$. Moreover, let C' = (C',K') be an another C.C.I. attached to S. We say that C' is a sub—

-C.C.I. of C if

 $(1.7)_1$ for any X S_C , S_O or S_{CO} , C (X) is a subcochain complex of C(X),

and if

 $(1.7)_2$ for any Y S_D, K'(Y) is the restriction of K(Y) to C'(Y).

Next let C'' = C''(X), $X S_C, S_O$ or S_{CO} be a collectoon o cochain complexes C''(X)'s. We assume that C''(X) is a subcoch—ain complex of C(X) for each $X S_C, S_O$ or S_{CO} . For each $Y S_D, C''(Y)$ denotes

the cochain complex of C" for Y. Let K(Y) be the homomorphism of

K for Y. We say that K(Y) preserves C"(Y) if the following

inclusions are valid according to the nature of Y.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{K(S)(c"(S))} \subset \text{C"(S), K(T_1,T_2)(c"(T_1,T_2))} \subset \text{C"(T_1)} \oplus \text{C"(T_2)} \\ \text{,..., K(X_m,m+1)(c"(X_m)} \oplus \oplus \text{c"(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}})}) \subset \oplus \text{c"(X_m(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}))}. \end{array}$

(Cf. (1.6)). In the aboves $S,T_1,T_2,...$ have the same meanongs as in the definition of S_D .

We say that K preserves C'' if, for each $Y \in S_D$, K(Y) preserves C''(Y).

(III) Equivalence of C.C.I.'s: Let (V,S) be a prestratif-ied space. Moreover, let $C_i = (C_i, K_i)$ (i=1,2) be C.C.I.'s
attached to S. We write C_i, K_i (i=1,2) explicitly as $C_i = \{C_i(\mathbf{X}), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$ and $\{K_i(Y), Y \in S_D\}$. For each $Y \in S_D$,

we denote by $K_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{*}}(Y)$ the homomorphism (defined on $H^{\underline{*}}(C_{\underline{i}}(Y))$) induced from $K_{\underline{i}}(Y)$.

 $(\mathbf{III})_1 \quad \text{Let} d^* (X), \quad X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO} \} (d) = \{d(X), \quad X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO} \}$ or $S_{CO} \}$ be a collection of homomorphisms $d^*(X) : H^*((C_1(X)) \longrightarrow H^*(C_2(X))) (d(X) : C_1(X) \longrightarrow C_2(X)).$ For any $Y \in S_D$, we can

a homomorphism $\mathcal{C}(Y)(\mathcal{C}(Y))$ on $\mathcal{H}^*(C_1(Y))(C_1(Y))$ by operating homomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ on summands of $\mathcal{H}^*(C_1(Y))(C_1(Y))$.

Moreover, let \mathcal{C} be a direct summands of cochain complexes in \mathcal{C} . Then we can also a homomorphism $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}})$ on $\mathcal{H}^*(\mathcal{C})$ (\mathcal{C}) by operating homomorphisms in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ on summands of $\mathcal{H}^*(C)$ (\mathcal{C}). If \mathcal{C} is of the form $\mathcal{C}(X)$ or $\mathcal{C}(X)$, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(X))$ or $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(X))$,...

Now we say that $\mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{K})$ commutes with K_1 and K_2 if for any Y S_D ,

 $(1.8)^* \quad \partial_{\widetilde{C}(Y)}^* K_1^*(Y) = K_2^*(Y) \, \partial_1^*(Y),$

(1.8) $d_{\tilde{c}(Y)}K_{1}(Y) = K_{2}(Y)d(Y).$

Here $\widetilde{C}(Y)$ is the cochain complex appearing in the right side of the exact sequence in (1.6), in which the cochain complex $C_1(Y)$ appears: Namely, according to X = S, $(T_1, T_2), \ldots, X_m$, $(X_m, m+1)$, $\widetilde{C}(Y) = C_1(S)$, $C(T_1) \oplus C(T_2), \ldots, C_1(X_m) \oplus C_1(S_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \lambda_t^m)$, $\oplus C(X_m(S_{m+1}))$, where $S, T_1, T_2, \ldots, X_m, (X_m, m+1)$ have the same

meanings as in (1.6).

(iII)₂ Let $Q^* = \{Q^*(X); X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO} \}$ be a collection of homomorphisms $Q^*(X): H^*(C_1(X)) \rightarrow H^*(C_2(X))$. We say that $C_1 = (C_1, K_1)(i=1,2)$ are $Q^* = C_1 = C_1 + C_2 = C_2 = C_1 + C_2 = C_2 =$

 $(1.9)_1 \bigwedge^*$ commutes with K_1, K_2 ,

and

 $(1.9)_2$ for each $X \in S_0$, $\partial^*(X)$ is bijective.

We say that $C_1 = (C_1, K_1)(i=1,2)$ are equivalent if they are $\frac{1}{2}$ -equivalent with a suitable collection $\frac{1}{2}$ of homomorphism as above.

(III)₃ Let $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O}^*(X) / (\mathcal{O}_X) / (X) / ($

Now it is clear that if $\mathcal{N}^*(\mathcal{O})$ commutes with K_1 and K_2 then $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{T}})$ commutes with K_{lT} and K_{2T} . Also it is clear that if $C_1=(C_1,K_1)$ (i=1,2) are $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathrm{T}}$ -equivalent, then $C_{\mathrm{iT}}=(C_{\mathrm{iT}},K_{\mathrm{iT}})$ are $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathrm{T}}$ -equivalent.

A lemma connecting local and global data* Let (V,S) be a prestratified space. Moreover, let $C_i = (C_i, K_i)$ (i=0,1,2) be

C.C.I.'s attached to S. Furthermore, let $X = \{X^*(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO} \}$ be a collection of homomorphisms $X^*(X) : H^*(C_1(X)) \longrightarrow H^*(C_2(X))$. Now we prove a lemma which shows that certain properties of

the cohomology gruups $H^*(C_1(X)), X \in S_C$ are derived from those of $H^*(C_1(X)), X \in S_C(i=0,1,2)$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $(V,S),C^{i}(i=0,1,2)$ and O^{*} be as above. We assume that S is a finite set.

(1.10)₁ If $H^*(C_0(X))$ is a finitely generated R-module for each $X \in S_0$, then $H^*(C_0(X))$ is so for each $X \in S_C$.

$$(1.10)_{2} \quad \underline{\text{If }} \quad C_{1} = (C_{1}, T_{1}) \text{ (i=1,2)} \quad \underline{\text{are }} \quad \partial^{*} - \underline{\text{equivalent, then}}$$

$$\partial^{*}(X) : H^{*}(C_{1}(X)) \longrightarrow H^{*}(C_{2}(X))$$

is bijective for each X €S_C.

We remarked that $H^*(C_1(X))$, $X \in S_C$ and $H^*(C_1(X))$, $X \in S_O$ (1=0,1,2) can be regarded as global and local objects.(Cf. §1.1.) The above lemma shows that certain properties of global data are derived from those of local data(by under-standing the words local and global as explained in the in-troductiom).

<u>Proof of Lemma 1.1</u>. We first show the following facts under the assumptions in Lemma 1.1:

(1.10) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for any element X_m in $S_C \phi$ of the form: $X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)$, $(1.10)_1 \quad H^*(C_C(X_m)) \text{ is a finitely generated R-module,}$ $(1.10)_2 \quad \partial_t^*(X_m) \text{ is bijective.}$

The above two facts will be shown by the induction on m:

If m = 1, then the disjoint condition for $C_1(i=0,1,2)$ suffices to assure $(1.16)_{1,2}^{'}$. We assume that $(1.10)_{1,2}^{'}$ are true for m m. Then the Mayer-Vietoris condition for $C_1(i=0,1,2)$ as well as the five lemma suffices to assure $(1.10)_{1,2}^{'}$ for m+1.

To show the original assertion $(1.10)_{1,2}$, let $S_C(m)$ denote the subset of S_C consisting of those elements $X \in S_C$ of the form $X = T_m$ with a suitable $T \in S_C$. We will show the assertions $(1.10)_{1,2}$ for $S_C(m)$ inductively on m. If m = 1, then the identity and the disjoint conditions suffices to assume $(1.10)_{1,2}$. Assume that $(1.10)_{1,2}$ are true for $m \le m$. Then the Mayer-Vietoris condition and the five lemma suffice to assure the assertions in $(1.10)_{1,2}$ for any $X \in S_C(m+1)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

2. The singular C.C.I. and the C^{∞} -de Rham C.C.I.

In this section we first define the notion of C^{∞} -thicke-ning for a prestratified space. We then define two C.C.I.'s ,the singular and the C^{∞} -de Rhem C.C.I.'s, for a prestratified space endowed with a C^{∞} -thickening.

In this section all the strata in question are C^{∞} -man-fold and for a point P_{λ} on a startum S_{λ} in question, $\dim_{P}S_{\lambda}$ is the dimension of S_{λ} at P_{λ} (as a C^{∞} -manifold). We use symbols $S = \{S_{\lambda}, S_{\lambda} \in S\}$ expressing collections of C^{∞} -manifolds for prestratifications.

Arguments in this section require finiteness conditions on prestratifications in question. We assume that every pres-tratification S in question is a finite set.

- \$2.1. Co-thickenings of prestratified spaces. Let M be a Co-manifold and V a subset of M. We call an open neighbour-hood N(V) in M a Co-thickening of V in M if
- (2.1) the natural isomorphism $i^*: H^*(N(V),R) \to H^*(V,R)$ induced from the inclusion $i: V \hookrightarrow N(V)$ is bijective.

When there is no fear of confusions, we call a C -thick-ening N(V) of V in M a C -thickening N(V) of V.

Now let (V,S) be a prestratified space, where V is a subset of a C*-manifold M. Moreover, let $N = \{N(S_i), S_i \in S\}$ be

^(*) In this section we discuss explusively cohomological aspects of neighbourhoods. For homotopical aspects of neighbourhoods of strata, see the general theory in R.Thom[]. See also [],[] for homotopical versions in our contexts.

a collection of open neighbourhoods $N(S_{\lambda})$'s of S_{λ} 's in M. For an element T in the C-set S_{C} of S (cf. § 1) we write the union $U(N(S_{\lambda}), S_{\lambda} \in T)$, as N(T). Also for an element $S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S_{\lambda_{t}} \in S_{0, \lambda_{t}}$ and element $T_{m}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S_{t}) \in S_{CO}$, we write $f(N(S_{\lambda_{1}}))$ and $f(N(S_{\lambda_{1}}))$? Furthermore, let $T \in S_{C}$ and $N(S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S_{\lambda_{t}})$ and $N(T_{m}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S_{\lambda_{t}}))$. Furthermore, let $T \in S_{C}$ and $N(S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S_{\lambda_{t}})$ and $N(S_{\lambda_{1}}, ..., S$

After the above preparations we introduce the notion of C-thickening for a prestratified space as follows: manner:

Definition 2.1. Let M be a Co-manifold, and V a subset of M. Moreover, let $S = \{S_{\lambda}, \lambda \in A\}$ be a prestratification of V. A collection $N = \{N(S_{\lambda}), S_{\xi}\}$ of C^{∞} thickenings of S_{λ} 's fin M will be called a C^{∞} -thickening of S in M if the following conditions are satisfied.

- $(2.2)_1$ If $N(S_{\lambda}) \cap N(S_{\mu}) \neq \emptyset$, then $S_{\lambda} \prec S_{\mu}$ or $S_{\lambda} \prec S_{\lambda}$
- $(2.2)_2$ If $N(S_{\mu}) \cap S_{\lambda} \neq \phi$, then $S_{\mu} \lt S_{\lambda}$.
- (2.2)₃ For any $T \in S_C$ and for any $X \in T_{O_{\frac{1}{2}}}$, the natural homomorphism $i^*(X): H^*(N(X);R) \to H^*(N(X)_T;R)$ induced from the inclusion: $i(X): N(X)_T \hookrightarrow N(X)$ is bijective.
- (2.2)₄ For any $X \in S_C$, S_O or S_{CO} , N(X) is a paracompact C^{∞} -manifold.

If there is no fear of confusions, we call a C^{∞} -thick-ening N of S in M a C^{∞} -thickening N of S.

§ 2.2. The singular C.C.I. and the Co-de Rham C.C.I.

Let M be a C^{∞} -manifold and V a subset of M. Moreover, let $S = \{S_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a prestratification of V and $N = \{N(S_{\lambda}); \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ as C^{∞} -thickening of S in M. We shall define two C.C.I.'s , called the singular and the C^{∞} -de Rham C.C.I.'s, for the pair (S,N) in the following manner:

- (i) Let X be an element in one of three sets: S_C , S_Q or S_{CO} . We denote by $\Delta(N(X))$ and $\Omega(N(X))$ respectively the co-chain complexes of the singular cochains over R and the C°-differential forms. We write the collections $\{\Delta(N(X)); X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$ and $\{\Delta(N(X)); X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$ and $\{\Delta(N(X)); X \in S_C, S_O \text{ and } S_{CO}\}$ respectively as $\Delta(S,N)$ and $\Delta(S,N)$, We call these collections respectively the singular and the C°-de Rham collections attached to the pair $\{S,N\}$.
- (ii) Here we define two collections, denoted by $K(\Delta(S,N)), K(\Omega(S,N)), \text{ of homomorphisms for the pair } (S,N) \text{ so that } (\Delta(S,N),K(\Delta(S,N))) \text{ as well as } \Omega(S,N),K(\Omega(S,N))) \text{ become } C.C.I. \text{ s attached to S: We define homomorphisms for } Y \in S_D, according to the nature of <math>Y \in S_D$. To simplify notations, we use the symbol \square for both Δ and Ω in (ii) \square (ii) \square below.

(ii) Let $S_{\lambda} \in S$. Then it is clear that $\Pi(N(|S_{\lambda}|)) = \Pi(N(|S_{\lambda}|))$. (In the right side of this equality, we should regard S_{λ} as an element in S_{0} .) We define $K(\Pi; S_{\lambda})$ to be the identity map $I(\Pi; S_{\lambda})$: $\Pi(N(|S_{\lambda}|)) \longrightarrow \Pi(N(|S_{\lambda}|))$.

(ii) 2,1 Let T_1,T_2 be elements in S_C such that $T_1 \lor T_2$. By (2.2), $N(T_1 U T_2)$ coincides with the disjoint union: $N(T_1)UN(T_2)$. Therefore, the inclusion $i(T_1,T_2):U(N(T_1)UN(T_2))\to U(T_1UT_2)$ in-duces the natural isomorphism

 $i(\Pi;T_1,T_2): \quad 0 \to \Pi(N(T_1UT_2)) \to \Pi(N(T_1)) \cap (T_2) \to 0 \ .$ We write this isomorphism as $K(\Pi;T_1,T_2)$.

(ii) 2,2 Let $X_1 = T_1(S_{\lambda_1}, ..., \lambda_t) \in S_{CO}$. We write X_1 explicately as $X_1 = \{S_{\lambda_1}^1\}$. Then by (2.2), $N(X_1)$ coincides with the disjoint union: $VN(S_{\lambda_{t+1}})$. The inclusion $I(X_1): VN(S_{\lambda_{t+1}}) \longrightarrow X_{t+1}$

 $N(X_1)$ induces naturally the isomorphism

$$1(\square; X_1) \longrightarrow (N(X_1)) \longrightarrow \oplus \square(N(S_1)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We write this isomorphism $i(\Box; X_1)$ as $K(\Box; X_1)$.

(ii) 3,1 Let $(T,m) \in S_C \times Z^+$. We write $T_{m+1} - T_m$ explicitly as $\{S_{m+1}\}$. Then we have the following relations:

$$N(T_{m}) \quad \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}} \left\{ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}} N(T_{m}) \right\} = \begin{cases} N(T_{m+1}) \\ \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}} N(T_{m}(S_{m+1})) \end{cases}$$

From the above relations, we obtain the short exact sequence (Mayer-Vietoris sequence):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{T}_{m+1})) \xrightarrow{h_1(\mathbb{D};\mathbb{T},m)} \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{T}_m)) \oplus \left\{ \oplus \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{S}_{m+1})) \right\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{h_2(\mathbb{D};\mathbb{T},m)} \oplus \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{S}_{m+1})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We write $h_{\underline{i}}(C;T,m)$ as $K_{\underline{i}}(D;T,m)$ (i=1,2).

(ii) 3.2 Finally let $X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t) \in S_{CO}$. We write $T_{m+1}(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)$ as X_{m+1} and $X_{m+1} - X_m$ as $\{S_{m+1}\}$. Then by an argument pararell to that in (ii) 3.1, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{X}_{m+1})) \xrightarrow{h_1(\mathbb{D};\mathbb{X}_{m})} \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{X}_{m})) \oplus \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda_1},\dots,\mathbb{X}_{t+1}^{m+1})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We write $h_{i}(\mathbf{D}; \mathbf{X}_{m})$ as $K_{i}(\mathbf{D}; \mathbf{X}_{m})$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$).

We write the collection of homomorphisms constructed in $(i1)_1 \sim (i1)_3$ as $K(\square(S,N))$ and $K(\varOmega(S,N))$ according to whether $\square = \triangle$ or \varOmega . Also to make clear the dependence of homomorphis—ms $K(\square;Y)$'s on the pair (S,N), we will write $K(\triangle;Y)$ and $K(\varOmega;Y)$ as $K(\triangle;Y)$ and $K(\varOmega;Y)$ and $K(\varOmega;Y)$.

- (iii) From the arguments in (i) and (ii), it is clear that the pairs $\Delta(S,N) = (\Delta(S,N),K(\Delta(S,N)))$ and $\Omega(S,N) = (\Omega(S,N),K(S,N))$ are C.C.I. s attached to the prestratification S. We call these C.C.I. s respectively the singular and the Code Rham C.C.I. s attached to the pair (S,N).
- (iv) Let $T \in S_C$, and let N_T be the cotraction of N to T. We shall define a C.C.I., called the contraction of $\Delta(S,N)$ to T in the following manner: (a) Let $X \subset T_C, T_O$ or T_{CO} . Then

we write the cochain complex $\Delta(N(X')_{\pi})$ of the singular coch--ains (over $\P R$) as $\Delta(N_{\eta}; X)$. We write the collection $\{(N_{\eta}; X');$ $X \in S_C, S_O$ or S_{CO} as $\Delta(N_T)$ and call it the contraction of Δ (S,N) to T. (b)Corresponding to considerations in (ii) $_1\sim$ (ii) $_3$ take a stratum $S_{\lambda} \in T'$, a pair $(T_1, T_2) \in T_C \times T_C$ such that $T_1 \vee T_2$,..., $X_m = T_m(S_{\lambda_1}, ..., \lambda_t) \in T_{CO}, ...$ Also corresponding to nei-ghbourhoods N(S), $N(T_1 \cup T_2)$, ... $N(X_m)$, ... in the considerations in $(ii)_1,(ii)_{2,1},...(ii)_{3,2}$ we take intersections $N(S_{\lambda})_{\pi}$, $N(T_1'UT_2')_m, \dots, N(X_m')_m, \dots$ We then restrict homomorphisms $K(\Delta(S,N),S_{\lambda}'),K(\Delta(S,N),T_{1}',T_{2}'),\ldots,K(\Delta(S,N),X_{m}'),\ldots$ to $\Delta(N_{T};S_{\lambda})$ $\Delta(N_T;T_1,V_2),\ldots,\Delta(N_T;X_m),\ldots$ We write the resulting homomor--phisms as $K(\Delta(N_m);S_{\lambda}^{\dagger}), K(\Delta(N_m);T_{1}^{\dagger},T_{2}^{\dagger}), \ldots, K(\Delta(N_m);X_{m}^{\dagger}), \ldots$ We write the collection of these homomorphisms $K(\Delta(N_m),Y)$'s, $Y = S_{\lambda}^{\prime}, (T_1^{\prime}, T_2^{\prime}), \ldots$, as $K(\Delta(N_T))$. Then it is clear that the pair $\Delta(N_T) = (\Delta(N_T), K(\Delta(N_T)))$ is a C.C.I. attached to the pre--stratification T of [T], We call this C.C.I. the contraction of $\Delta(S,N)$ to T.

§ 2.3. Equivalences between the singular and the C[∞]-de Rh--am C.C.I.s,etc.

Let M be a C^{∞} -manifold and V a subset of M. Moreover, let S be a prestratification of V and N a C^{∞} -thickening of V in M. Let $\Delta(S,N)$ and R(S,N) denote respectively the singular and the C^{∞} -de Rham C.C.I.s. Now take an element $X \in S_C, S_O$ or

 S_{CO}^{\bullet} . Then, by the theorem of de Rham([]), there exists a canonical isomorphism

 $A^*: H^*(S(X)) \longrightarrow H^*(A(X)).$

We write the collection $\{\chi^*(X), X \in S_C, S_O \text{ or } S_{CO}\}$ as χ^* . Then it is clear that χ^* commutes with $K^*(\Delta(S,N))$ and $K^*(\Omega(S,N))$, where K^* is as above are collections of homomorphisms $K^*(Y)$ induced from K(Y) is, $Y \in S_D$. Therefore we obtain the following

Proposition 2.1. Let the notations be as in the beginning of §2.3. Then the singular C.C.I. $\Delta(S,N)$ and the C-de Rham C.C.I. are equivalent (in a natural manner). $\Omega(1,N)$

Next let $T \in S_C$. we denote by $\Delta(S,N)_T$ and $\Delta(N_T)$ respectively the <u>restriction</u> and the <u>contraction</u> of $\Delta(S,N)$ to T.

Take an element $X \subseteq T_C, T_O$ or T_{CO} . Then the inclusion i(X'): $N(X')_T \subset N(X')$ induces a homomorphism $i^*(X')$: $H^*(\Delta(S,N)_T;X)$ $\longrightarrow H^*(\Delta(N_T;X))$ naturally. We write the collection $\{i^*(X'), X' \in T_C, T_O \text{ or } T_{CO}\}$ as I^* , It is clear that I^* commutes with collections $K^*(\Delta(S,N))_T$ and $K^*(\Delta(N_T))$ of homomorphisms of cohomology groups (obtained from K's). This fact, together with $(2.2)_3$, leads to the following

Proposition 2.2. Being notations as above, the restric
-tion $\Delta(S,N)_T$ and the contraction $\Delta(N_T)$ of the singular C.C.I. $\Delta(S,N)$ to $T \in S_C$ are equivalent.

Now we conclude this section by the following

Lemma 2.1. Let M be a C^{∞} -manifold and V a subset of M. Moreover, let (S,N) be a pair consisting of a prestratification S of V and a C^{∞} -thickening of S in M. Then for any $T \in S_C$, we have a natural isomorphism:

(2.3)
$$-H^*(\Omega(S,N)) \Longrightarrow H^*(IT|;R)$$
.

Here $\Omega(S,N)$ is the C^{∞} -de Rham C.C.I. attached to (S,N).

Proof. Besides the C.C.I. $\Omega(S,N)$, let $\Delta(S,N)$ denote the singular C.C.I. attached to (S,N). Then, from Proposition 2.2. and Lemma 1.1, we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^*(\Delta(s,N)_T;T) \sim H^*(\Delta(N_T;T)).$$

Clearly, $H^*(\Delta(S,N)_T;T) = H^*(\Delta(S,N);T)$ and $H^*(\Delta(N_T;T))$ $\simeq H^*(TT;R)$. On the other and, we know from Proposition 2.1 that

$$H^*(\Omega(S,N);T) \simeq H^*(\Delta(S,N;T)).$$

From the above observations, (2.3) follows immeadiately.

Remarks to \$1.2.

§ 3. P.G.-adequate prestratification and P.G.-adequate Code kham C.C.I.

In this section we assume that each stratum in question is equidimensional real manifold.

§ 3.1. <u>Definitions</u>. (1) Let $\mathbb{R}^n(x)$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^n(z)$) be a real (resp. complex) euclidean space of dimension n. We assume that $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and that $\operatorname{Rez}_1 = x_1; i = 1, \ldots, n$. For points $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_1 = (z^1)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_2 = (z^2)$ in \mathbb{C}^n let $d(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_1, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_2)$ denote the natural distance $\sum_{j=1}^n \{|z_j^1 - z_j^2|^2\}^{1/2}$. Moreover, for a point $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and a subset $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, define $d(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}},\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ to be $\inf_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}} d(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}},\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}})$. For a point $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and x > 0, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}; r)$ stands for a disc with the center $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and the radius x. If $\widehat{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\Delta(\mathbb{P}; r) = \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathbb{P}; r) \bigwedge_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n$. For two subsets $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 = \phi$ in \mathbb{C}^n and a couple $(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ of positive numbers, define an open neighbourhood $\widetilde{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1, \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2)$ of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1 - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ by

 $\widetilde{N}_{\delta}(\widetilde{Z}_{1},\widetilde{Z}_{2}) = V_{P_{1}}\widetilde{\triangle}(P_{1}:\delta_{1}\cdot d(P_{1},\widetilde{Z}_{2})^{\delta}), \text{ where } P_{1} \in \widetilde{Z}_{1}-\widetilde{Z}_{2}.$

If Z_1 , $Z_2 \neq A$ \mathbb{R}^n , then define $N_{\mathcal{S}}(Z_1, Z_2) = \widetilde{N}(Z_1, Z_2) \cap \mathbb{R}^n$. In the above definitions we assumed that $Z_2 = A$. In the later arguments it is convenient to use similar symbols for the case where $Z_2 = A$. Let (S) be a couple of the form: $(S) = (S_1, 0)$. Then we define $\widetilde{N}(\widetilde{Z}) = \widetilde{N}(\widetilde{Z}, A)$ by $\widetilde{N}(\widetilde{Z}) = V_{P \in Z_1}^{A}(P; S_1)$.

^(*) P.G. = polynomial growth.

Remark. In the sequel of the present paper the use of the symbols $N_{\delta}(\widetilde{Z}_{1},\widetilde{Z}_{2})$ is description the above senses: To be sure, if $\widetilde{Z}_{2} \neq \emptyset$, a couple (§) is always a couple (δ_{1},δ_{2}) of positive numbers. If $\widetilde{Z}_{2} = \emptyset$, a couple (§) is always of the form $(\delta_{1},0)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}$ be a bounded (set in C^n and $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1$ a subset of C^n such that $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1 \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ be a subset of C^n such that $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1 \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{U}} \neq \emptyset$. The set $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_2$ intersects (d)-regularly with $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_1$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}$ if

$$d(\widetilde{P}_1,\widetilde{\Xi}_2) \sim d(\widetilde{P}_1,\widetilde{\Xi}_{1/\widetilde{\Sigma}_2}) \text{ for any } \widetilde{P}_1 \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{1/\widetilde{\Sigma}_1} U.$$

Remark. The notion of intersect (d)-regularly is inspired that of regularly suited in Marglange []. The former is essentially same as the later except modifications of technical natures.

Let \widetilde{U} be a bounded set in C^n . We then define the radius $r(\widetilde{U})$ of \widetilde{U} by $r(\widetilde{U}) = \sup_{\widetilde{P}, Q \in U} d(\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{Q})$. For a bounded set \widetilde{U} in C^n , a subset \widetilde{U}' of C^n is $\overline{d}(\underline{d})$ -envelop of $\underline{\widetilde{U}}$ if the following condion (\mathcal{K}) is valid.

(**) For any $\widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{U}$, $\widetilde{\Delta}(\widetilde{P}; kr(\widetilde{U})) \subset \widetilde{U}'$, where k is in R such that $k \geq 2$.

If \widetilde{U} is a (d)-envelop of \widetilde{U} , then the following $(\not x)$ is obvious.

(\mathbf{X})' For a subset $\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\cap} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}} \neq \emptyset$, $d(P, \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\cap} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}')$ = $d(P, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}})$ for any $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}$.

Henceforth our arguements will be done in Rⁿ. Let U be a bounded domain and U a (d)-envelop of U. Moreover, let U be a domain in Rⁿ containing U'. Furthermore, let f be a real analytic function defined in U and V the zero locus of f in U. Then the following is also obvious.

(\bigstar)" If $V_{\Lambda}U \neq \emptyset$, then $f(P) \sim d(P,V) = d(P,V_{\Lambda}U')$ for any PeU.

Remark. Let U, U and U", f be as in (A)". Choose couples (a), (a') such that f(P) (a) (a') $d(P, V_{\Lambda}U')$. Then, for any U" such that U' \(U'' \) U", we have f(P) (a) (a') $d(P, V \cup U'')$.

- (2) A bounded domain U satisfying
- (3.1) r(U) < 1/2,

is called to satisfy (d)-regularization condition. Let U be such a domain and V a closed set in U. For a pair (U,V), a pair (U',V') of a bounded domain $U'\subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a closed set V in U' is $\overline{d}(d)$ -envelop of (U,V) if

(3.2) U' is a (d)-envelop of U and $V_0U=V$.

Let (U,V),(U',V') be as above, and let (S,S') be a pair of prestratifications of V and V'respectively. If S is a restriction of (S') to V, then the triple (U',V',S')

^(*) If A subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then a subset $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be called a (d)-envelop of U provided $U' = \widetilde{U}' \cap \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $\widetilde{U} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{L}}$ is a (d)- envelop of U in the original sense.

is called a (d)-envelop of (U,V,S). For the above (S,S) we say that (S,S) satisfies (5)-separation condition if the following is true.

- (3.3) With each $S \in S$ associated is a couple (§) = §(S) such that if $N_{\widetilde{\xi}}(S_{\lambda})$, fron (S_{λ}) $) \cap N(S_{\lambda})$, fron (S_{λ}) $) \neq \emptyset$, then $S_{\lambda} \times S_{\lambda}$ or $S_{\lambda} \times S_{\lambda}$.
- In (3.2) the rule in the use of a couple (δ) is kept. (Cf. the first remark in § 3.1.). Moreover, (S,S') satisfies (\underline{d}) -regular intersection condition if the following is true
- (3.4) For any $S_{\lambda'}$ $S_{\lambda''}$ such that $S_{\lambda'} S_{\lambda''} U \neq \emptyset$, $S_{\lambda''}$ (resp. $S_{\lambda''}$) intersects $S_{\lambda''}$ (resp. $S_{\lambda''}$) regularly in U.

For a relation between the above two conditions, see the remark in the end of this section.

- (3) Let (U,V) be as in the beginning of (2) and (S a prestratification of V. Moreover, let (U',V',S') a (d)-envelop of (U,V,S). Let $F' = \{f'(S); S \in S\}, G = \{g'(S); S \in S, fron(S) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $H' = \{h'(T,S) S \in S\}, T \in S$ such that $S \in T$ for real analytic functions. Such families F', G, H will be called families of comparison functions (f.c.f) associated with ((S,S')) if the followings are true.
- $(3.5)_1$ Functions f'(S),g'(S) and h(T,S)'s are defined in U'. $N_{\Sigma}(S',fron(S'))$, where $\widetilde{i}=\widetilde{S}(S')$ is a suitable coupleand $S'\in S'$ such that $S'_{\Sigma}U=S$.

- $(3.5)_2$ For each $S \in S$, we have the following comparisons: (i) f'(S:Q)~d(Q,S) and g'(S:Q)~d(Q,fron(S)) for any $Q \in N_7(S,fron(S))$.
- (ii) $h(T,S:Q) \sim d(Q,T^{n+}(S))$ for any $Q \in N_{\widetilde{S}}(S,fron(S))$ $\bigcap_{T} N(T^{n+}(S) \overline{S})$. Here $\widetilde{S} = \widetilde{S}(S,T)$ is a suitable couple and $T^{n+}(S) = T^{n+}(S) - \{S'; \dim_S S \neq n\}$.
- (4) Let (U,V) be as in the beginning of (2). A finite prestratification S of V is <u>P.G.-adequate</u> (polynomial growth adequate) if we can find the following data.
- $(3.6)_1$ A triple (U', V', S') of a (d)-envelop of (U, V, S) satisfying (S)-separation condition (and so the regular intersection condition) (cf. the end of this section.)
- (3.6)₂ Families F, G,H associated with (S,S).

 When we emphasize (U',V',S') and (F',G,H) we say that

 S is P.G.-adequate with (U',V',S') and (F',G,H).
- § 3.2. Sets of C°- functions. Let (U,V) be as in (4), § 3.1. Moreover, let S be a P.G.-adequate prestratification of V with (U',V',S') and (F',G',H). Fix a C°-function $\mathfrak{X}_0(t)$; ter satisfying the conditions :(i) $0 \le \mathfrak{X}_0(t) \le 1$, (ii) $\mathfrak{X}_0(t) = 1$, if $t \le 1/2$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0(t) = 0$, if $t \ge 1$. Now we define C°-functions of the following two types:
 - (i) Let SeS such that fron(S) + →. For a couple (a)

of positive numbers , define a C - function $X_a(f',g':S)$ by $X_a(f',g':S) = X_0(a_1 \cdot f^{a_2}g^{a_1}).$

This function \mathcal{L}_a is defined in U' - fron(S'), where $S \subset S'$ such that $S \setminus U = S$.

(ii) Let (S,T) be a pair of S \in S and (T \in S $_{c}$. For a couple (a) = (a₁,a₂), define a C $^{\circ}$ -function χ_{a} (f',h: S,T) by

$$\chi_a(f',h':S,T) = \chi_0(a_1h^22/f')$$

The function $X_a(f',h')$ is defined in(U'-S) $N_{\delta}(S:fron(S))$.

The following properties of functions $\chi_a(f',g')$ and $\chi_a(f',h')$ will be utilized in the later arguments:

- (i) Let S be as in (i). Given a couple (δ), we find a couple (a) and a couple (δ) = (δ (a)) such that
- (3.7) $\chi_a(f,g) = 0$ outside $N_g(S,fron(S))$, and $\chi_a(f,g) = 1$ in $N_G(S,fron(S))$.
- (ii) Let S(T) be as in (ii). Given a couple (S), we find a couple (a) and a couple (S) = (S(a)) such that
- $(3.7)_{2} \chi_{a}(f',h') = 0 \text{ outside } N_{s}(\overline{T}''+(S),\overline{S})_{\Lambda}N \text{ (S,fron(S))},$ and = 1 in $N_{s'}(\overline{T}''+(S),\overline{S})_{\Lambda}N(S,\text{fron(S))}.$

- § 3.3. Assignments $\bigcap_{S}(S,N)$ and $\bigcap_{S}(S,N)$. (1) Let (U, V) be as in the beginning of (2),§ 3.1. Moreover, let $\bigcap_{S}(S,N)$ be a P.G.-adequate prestratification of V. A $\bigcap_{S}(S,N)$ be a P.G.-adequate prestratification S is always assumed to the following condition in addition to (2.1), (2.2). (A) For each $S \in S$, $N_S(S, fron(S)) \subset N(S) \subset N_S(S, fron(S))$ with suitable couples (S) = (S(S)), (S) = (S(S)). Let $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S))$ be a system of $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S))$. Let $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S))$ be a system of $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S))$. Thickenings of a P.G.-adequate S. We say that $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S))$ in addition to the conditions $\bigcap_{S}(S) = (S(S)) = (S(S))$ the following condition is valid.
- (3.8) For any S \leq S and any couple (S), N_j(S) \subset N_S(S, fron(S)) with a suitable j.

For a direct system (N = {N, } of a P.G.-adequate S, we define, for each j, assignments $(C(\Omega_{p,g,j}(S,N)), E(\Omega_{p,g,j}(S,N)))$ and (S,N) and (S,N) by the following formulas.

$$(3.9)_1$$
 $\hat{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_{p,g,j}(N,S)) : \mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{p,g}.(N_j(\mathbb{T});fran(\mathbb{T})),$

$$(3.9)_{2} \quad (C(\Omega_{\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{p.g.j}(\mathsf{N},\mathsf{S})): \ \mathsf{V} \in \mathsf{S}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Pup.g.}^{(\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{J}}(\mathsf{V}),\mathsf{fron}(\mathsf{V}))},$$

$$(3.9)_{3} \quad (\mathcal{C}(\Omega_{p,g,j}(S,N)): \mathcal{C} \in S_{OC} \longrightarrow \Omega_{p,g}, (N_{j}(\mathcal{C}), fron(\mathcal{C}))$$

Obviously 12 p.g.; s in the right side of (3.9)_{1,2,3} are graded differential rings with the differential operator d. Also note that the above $\Omega_{p,g,r}(\nabla, fron(\mathfrak{F}))$ are determined by $N_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and by $U \in S_C$, $U \in S_C$, $U \in S_C$ uniquely. We, therefore, denote them by $\Omega_{p,g,j}(\tau)$; $\tau \in S_C$, S_o or S_{oc} . For an element $\mathbb{T} \subset S_{\mathbb{C}}, S_{\mathbb{O}}$ or $S_{\mathbb{O}\mathbb{C}}$, let $\ell_{j'j}(\mathbb{T})$; j < j, denote the induced homomorphism $e_{i,j}: \Omega_i(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{*} \Omega_i(\mathcal{T})$ from the inclusion $i_j'_j$; $N_j'(U) \longrightarrow N_j(U)$. Then, for any \mathbb{T} , $\{j'j(\mathbb{T}) (\Omega_{p,g,j}(\mathbb{T})) \subset \Omega_{p,g,j'}(\mathbb{T}) \}$ The restriction of $f_{j'j}(U)$ to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p.g.j}(U)$ will be denoted by (p.g.j'j . Now we have the following direct systems of graded differential rings of two types: $\{C_j(\sigma), e_j\}_{\sigma}$ $\{\Omega_{p,g,j}(U), \rho_{l,j',j}\}$. We let , for any U , $\widehat{\Omega}$ (S,N:U) and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{D.g.}(S,N;T)$ be the direct limits $\underline{\lim} \, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(N_j(U))$ and lim (p.g.; () respectively. The differntial operator d commutes with $\rho_{j'j}$'s and $\hat{\rho}_{p,q,j'j}$'s, and $\hat{\Omega}_{i} = \hat{\Omega}_{i}(S,N;U)$, $\hat{\mathbb{R}}_{\text{p.g.}}(\text{S,N,C})$ are graded differential rings with the operat--or d in an obvious manner. Define assignments $C'(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(S,\mathbb{N}))$, E(Â(S,N)), E'(Â(S,N)) (resp. C'(Â_{p.g.}(S,N)), EÂ_{p.g.}(S,N)), $E'(\hat{Q}_{up.g.}(S,N))$ by the following formulas. $(3.10)_{1} \begin{cases} C'(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(S,N)) ; & T \in S_{C} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(S,N:T), \\ C'(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}_{p.g.}(S,N)) : & T \in S_{C} \longrightarrow p.g. \\ \end{cases} p.g. (S,N:T).$

 $^{(*)\}Omega_{1}(\mathbf{U}) = \Omega(\mathbf{N}_{1}(\mathbf{U})).$

 $(3.10)_2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{N})) : \mathbb{T} \geq \mathbf{S}_0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{N};\mathbb{T}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{N})) : \mathbb{T} \leq \mathbf{S}_0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{N};\mathbb{T}). \end{array} \right.$

 $(3.10)_{3} \begin{cases} E : (\widehat{\Omega}(S,N)) : & \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(S,N:\mathcal{T}), \\ E : (\widehat{\Omega}_{p.g.}(S,N)) : & \cup \in S_{OC} \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{p.g.}(S,N:\mathcal{T}). \end{cases}$

collections $C'(\widehat{\underline{\mathbb{Q}}}(S,N))$, $E(\widehat{\underline{\mathbb{Q}}}(S,N))$, $E'(\widehat{\underline{\mathbb{Q}}}(S,N))$ and $C'(\widehat{\underline{\mathbb{Q}}}_{p.g.}(S,N))$, $E'(\widehat{\underline{\mathbb{Q}}}_{p.g.}(S,N))$ are denoted by $\widehat{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}(S,N)$ and $\widehat{\underline{\mathbf{Q}}}_{p.g.}(S,N)$ respectively.

(2) Collections $K(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}(S,N))$ and $K(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p,g,j}(S,N))$. Let $\{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j}(U), \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)\}$ and $\{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p,g,j}(U), \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p,g,j',j}(U)\}$ be the direct systems of graded differential rings defined in (1) § 3.3. In this part § 3.3.(2), we write $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)$ as $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)$, $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)$ according to whether $U \in S_{C}$, S_{C} or S_{C} . We write collections $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{j',j}(U)$; $U \in S_{C}$, $U \in S_{$

Then it is easy to see that A_j ' commutes with $K_j = K(S_k(S,N))$ and $K_j = K(S_k(S,N))$; $1 \le j \le j$. From the commutativity of A_j ', with K_j and K_j ' we obtain the following direct systems of homomorphisms: (i) $\{i,(S);S \in S\}$, (ii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, and (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, and (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_2 \in S_C\}$, $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_1,T_2 \in S_C\}$, and (iii) $\{i,(T_1,T_2):T_2 \in S_C\}$,

In the above T_1 and T_2 are assumed to be independent.

From the above direct systems of homomorphisms we have the following direct limits: (i) $\{\hat{1}(S); S \in S\}$, (ii) $\{\hat{1}(T_1, T_2); T_1, T_2 \in S_C\}$, where $T_1^V T_2$, (ii) $\{\hat{1}(U); for any U = T_1^V (S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t)\}$, (iii) $\{\hat{1}_{kj}(T_m^+); T \in S_C, k=1,2\}$, and (iii) $\{\hat{1}_{kj}(U); U \in S_{OC}\}$. In the above $\hat{1}(S) = \lim_{j \to \infty} i_j(S), \dots$, Let $K(\widehat{M}(S,N))$ be the collection of all the above homomorphisms. Then $\widehat{M}(S,N)$ becomes a cochain complex with $K(\widehat{M}(S,N))$ (attached to S) in an obvious manner. Next recall that , for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{j+1}}$, $\widehat{M}_{P,G,G}(S,N) = \widehat{M}_{P,G,G}(S,N) = \widehat{M}_{P,G,G}(S,N)$.

Proof. We will show the following relations for maps in question.

$$(3.11)_{1} \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p.g.j}(S) \xrightarrow{i_{j}(S)} \mathbb{E}_{p.g.j}(S) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(3.11)_{2} \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p.g.j}(T_{1}, T_{2}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p.g.j}(T_{1}) \oplus$$

$$C_{p,g}(T_2) \longrightarrow 0$$
.

$$(3.11)_{3} \xrightarrow{0 \to E_{p.g.j}} (y) \xrightarrow{i_{j}(y)} \bigoplus_{\lambda_{t+1}} E_{p.g.j}(s_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, s_{t+1}) \to 0;$$

$$(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{T}_{1}^{+}(\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t+1})$$

$$(3.11)_{4} \xrightarrow{0 \rightarrow c_{p.g.j}(T_{m+1})} \xrightarrow{I_{1}, (T_{m}^{+})} c_{p.g.j}(T_{m}^{+}) \oplus \bigoplus_{m \neq 1}$$

$$I_{23}(T_{m}^{+}): C_{p.g.j}(T_{m}^{+}) \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus_{\chi^{m+1}} C_{p.g.j}(S_{\chi^{m+1}}) \right\} \longrightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{\chi^{m+1}} E_{p,g,j}(T_m^+(S_{\chi^{m+1}})).$$

$$(3.11)_{5} \xrightarrow{O \longrightarrow E_{p.g.j}(U_{m+1})} \xrightarrow{I_{j}(U_{m})} E_{p.g.j}(U_{m}) + \\ \left\{ \bigoplus_{\substack{m+1 \\ t \neq i}} E_{p.g.j}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t+n}) \right\}; U_{m} = T_{m}^{+}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t}), \\ (=0,1), U_{m+1} = T_{m+1}^{+}(S_{1}, \dots, t).$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{2\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{m}}) : \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{j}}'(\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{m}}) \oplus \left\{ \mathbf{\oplus} \begin{array}{l} \lambda_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{j}}'(\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdot \cdot \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1}}) \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{j}}'(\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdot \cdot \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1}}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}} E_{p,g,j}(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, M+1)$$

In the aboves
$$U_m = T_m^+(S_{\lambda_1}, ..., S_{\lambda_t})$$
, $U_{m+1} = T_{m+1}^+(S_{\lambda_1}, ..., S_{\lambda_t})$.

In the aboves $S, \ldots, \widehat{T}_m^+(S_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{+})$ have the same meanings as in (1.5), (1.6), (1.7). We show the above 'obvious' relations by checking each case. At first (3.11) is trivial from the definitions of Cp.g.j and Ep.g.j . Next $(5.7)_{2.3}$ are immeadiate from the following remaks: (a) The independence of T_1 and T_2 implies the relation : $d(Q_i, fron(T_i)) \sim d(Q_i, fron(T_i^U T_2))$ for each $Q_i \in N_i(T_i)$, i = 1, 2. (a') For $T_1^+(S_{\lambda_1}, ..., \lambda_t) = \{S_{\lambda_{t+1}}\}$, strata $S_{\lambda_{t+1}}$, are independent each other. Then d(Q, fron(S $_{\lambda_{t+1}}$)) \sim d(Q, fron($T_1^+(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t)$) for each $Q \in N_j(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_{t+1})$. Concerning (3.11)_{3.4} first note obvious relations: fron (T_{m+1}^+) < fron(T_m), fron(U_{m+1})< fron(U_m), where T⁺_{m+1},..., U_m are as in (3.11)3,4. Combining the above remaks with the facts $(a_1), (a_1),$ $(a_1) \ d(Q, fron(S_{\lambda_{m+1}})) \sim d(Q, fron(T_{m+1}^+)) \ for \ Q \in N_j(S_{\lambda_{m+1}}, fron(S_{\lambda_{m+1}})),$ (a₁) $d(Q,fron(S_{n+1}) \sim d(Q,fron(U_{m+1}))$ for $Q \in N_j(S_{n+1})$, $fron(S_{n+1})$ we obtain the first relations involving $I_{pj}(T_m^+)$ and $I_{L_p^+}(U_m)$ in $(3.11)_4$ and $(3.11)_5$ respectively. Concerning the second relations in (3.11)4,5 note the following facts. for $Q \in N_j(\S_{m+1})$, (a2) $d(Q,fren(U_m)) \sim d(Q,S_{\chi^{m+1}}) \sim d(Q,fren(T_m^+(S_{\chi_1},..,\chi_t))$ for $Q \in N_{\mathbf{J}}(T_{\mathbf{m}}^{+}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t}, \lambda_{t+1}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}).$ Now the inclusions for $I_{2j}(T_m^+)$, $I_{2j}(T_m^+(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t,\lambda_t^{m+1}))$ in

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{(3.11)}_4 \text{ and (3.11)}_5 \text{ follow} & \text{from (a}_2), (a_2) \text{ and from the} \\ \text{obvious relations: } \text{fron(S}_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}) \subset \overline{S}_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}, & \text{fron(S}_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}) \subset \overline{S}_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}. \end{array}$

For homomorphisms $i_j(0), \dots, i_{2j}(T_m^+(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, i_t))$, define a set of direct limits of homomorphisms as follows.

$$(3.12) \begin{cases} \{\hat{\mathbf{i}}(S) = \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{j}}(S)\}, \{\mathbf{i}(T_{1}, T_{2}) = \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{j}}(T_{1}, T_{2})\}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{i}}(T_{1}^{+}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t})) = \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{j}(T_{1}^{+}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t})), \\ \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}}(T_{\mathbf{m}}^{+}) = \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{j}}(T_{\mathbf{m}}^{+}), \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}}(U_{\mathbf{m}}) = \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{j}}(U_{\mathbf{m}}); \\ \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{m}} = T_{\mathbf{m}}^{+}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \lambda_{t}), \quad \mathbf{k} = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

In (3.12) S,..., U_m are as in (3.11). We let $K(\widehat{\Omega}_{p,g}, (S,N))$ be the collection of homomorphisms $\{\widehat{i}(S); S \in S\}, \{\widehat{i}(T_1,T_2); T_1, T_2 \in S_C\}, \{\widehat{i}(T_1^+(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t)); \text{ for any } T_1^+(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t)\},$ $\{\widehat{f}_{kj}(T_m^+); T \in S_C\}, \{I_{kj}(U_m); U_m \in S_{OC}\}, \{I_{kj}(S_1,...,S_t)\}, \{I_{kj}(S_1,...,S_t)\}, \{I_{kj}(S_1,...,S_t)\},$ homomorphisms $\widehat{i}(S)$, $\widehat{i}(T_1,T_2)$, $\widehat{i}(T_1^+(S_1,...,S_t))$ in $K(\widehat{\Omega}_{P,3,3})$

((S,N)), exact sequences for $\widehat{C}_{p.g.}(S)$,..., $\widehat{E}_{p.g.}(S)$,...,

 $(3.13)_1 \quad \operatorname{Ker} \widehat{I}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^+) = \operatorname{image} \widehat{I}_1(\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^+) \; ; \; \mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \; , \; \text{and that} \\ \mathbb{I}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^+) \; \operatorname{is \; surjective}, \; \operatorname{where} \; \mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}.$

(3.12)₂ Krr(U_m

- $(3.12)_2$ Ker $\hat{I}_2(U_m)$ = $image \hat{I}_1(U_m)$, and that $\hat{I}_2(U_m)$ is surjective, where $U_m \in S_{OC}$.
- Let (U,V) be as in the beginning of § 3.3, and S a prestratification of V. Let $T \in S_C$, and let $S \in T$. For a point $P \in S$, R_P^n and $|T|_P$ stands for the germs of the ambient space R^n and |T| at P. We say that T is complete along S if the following is satisfied.
- (\nearrow) If at a point PeS $\mathbb{R}_p^n \subset \mathbb{T}_p^n$, then for any PeS $\mathbb{R}_p^n \subset \mathbb{T}_p^n$. Moreover, if $\mathbb{S} \subset \operatorname{int}(\bigcirc)$, then $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}, \operatorname{fron}(\mathbb{S})) \cap \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ holds with a suitable (\mathbb{S}).
- Let (U,V) be as above and S a P.G.-adequate prestratif--ication of V. Moreover, let N be a direct system of \tilde{C} thickenings of (\tilde{S}) . Now we show the following lemma.
 - Lemma 3.1. Let the triple(U,V,S) be just as above.

 Assume that ,for each pair $(S,T) \in S \times S_C$ satisfying the relation $S \in T$, the condition (A) is valid. Then $(3.12)_{1,2}$ are valid, and the collection $\widehat{\Omega}_{p,g}$ (S,N) is a cochain complex attached to S with $K(\widehat{\Omega}_{p,g},(S,N))$.

(by 2 m+1) of (3.12), below. Then the proof of (3.12) is applicable to that of $(3.12)_1$ word by word. Let F', G', H' be the families of analytic functions associated with S. Take an element $(U_m = T_m^+(S_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \lambda_t) \in S_{OC}$. In the arguements below, for an element $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{p.g.} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{p.g.}(\mathbf{T}_m^+)$, $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{p.g.}((\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_{L})),...,\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{p.g.}$ stands for an element in $\Omega_{p.g.}$ ((\mathbb{T}_m)),..., $\Omega_{p.g.}$ ($(S_{\lambda_1},...,\lambda_t)$) such that $P_{p,q,j}(y_{p,q,j}) = \hat{y}_{p,q}$

(I) First we show that $\ker \widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{m}$ = $\operatorname{imageI}_{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{m})$. Take an element $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{p.g.}$ in ker($\hat{\mathcal{I}}_{2}(\mathbf{U}_{m})$). Regarding $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{p.g.}$ an element $in \Omega(U_m)$, we can write a representative $\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{p.g}$ in the following form.

(3.13)
$$\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} = I_{1j}(\mathcal{Y}_j)$$
, where $\mathcal{Y}_j \in \mathcal{R}(N_j(U_{m+1}))$

For our purpose, it is , then, sufficient to show that (3.13) we know that

(3.14) $\mathcal{Y}_{j} = \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.; in N_{j}(\tilde{U}_{m})}$ and in $N_{j}(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, M+1)$ for each λ_{t+1}^{m+1}

On the other and $d(Q,fron(U_m)) \sim d(Q,\overline{S_{m+1}})$ in $N_{j}(S_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1})$, and $d(Q, \overline{S}_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1}) \approx d(Q, fron(S_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1}))$ outside Nj(S $_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1}$). Therefore, we know that

 $(3.14)' \ d(Q,fron(U_m)) \sim \ d(Q,fron(U_{m+1})) \ in \ N_j(U_m) - V_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}} N_j(S_{m+1}) \ .$

Moreover, $d(Q, fron(S_{m+1})) \sim d(Q, fron(\widetilde{U}_{m+1}))$ in $\lambda_{t+1}^{(S_{m+1})}$. It is clear that (3.13),(3.14),(3.14) and the distance comparison just above suffices to show that $\varphi_{p,g,j}$ $\in I_1(\Omega_{p,g,j}(\widetilde{U}_{m+1}))$.

- (II) For $U_m = T_m^+(S_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \lambda_t)$, let $U_m^{"''}$ be the subset of U_m composed of those elements S's such that $S \subset \text{int}[U_m]$. Of course U_m contains strata with dimension at most n-1. If U_m does not contain a stratum of dimension n, then $U_m = U_m^{"''}$. Note that $U_m \subset S_C$ implies that $U_m^{"} \subset S_C$. We prove the surjectivity of the map $\widehat{I}_{2}(U_m)$ in the following two steps:
- $(II)_{1} \quad \text{For an element} \\ \hat{y}_{\text{p.g.}} \in \\ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\text{p.g.}}^{\text{min}} \\ \text{p.g.} \cdot \\ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\text{p.g.}}^{\text{min}} \\ \text{define an element} \\ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\text{p.g.}}^{\text{p.g.}} \\ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\text{p.g.}}^{\text{min}} \\ \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\text{p.g.}}^{\text{min}$

$$(3.15)_{1} \quad \text{For } \lambda_{t+1}^{m+1} \quad \text{such that} \quad U_{m}^{"'}(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}) = \phi \quad , \quad \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} = \psi \quad ,$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} \text{ in int } | \mathcal{V}_{m}^{\prime\prime}(S_{\lambda_{1}}^{m+1}) | \bigwedge_{j} | (S_{\lambda_{1}}^{\prime\prime}, \dots, \chi_{m+l}^{m+l}), \text{ and } \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} = \\ \chi_{a}^{\prime}(f', h': S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}, \mathcal{V}_{m}^{\prime\prime}(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}})) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}. \text{ in } N_{j} | (S_{\lambda_{1}}^{\prime\prime}, \dots, \chi_{t+l}^{m+l}) = \\ \text{int } (\mathcal{V}_{m}^{\prime}(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}})). \end{array}$$

In the above, j' > j, and(a)is a suitable couple (cf.§3.

2.). By a suitable choice of (a) and by the condition (**), we can assume that $\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}' = \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} \quad \text{in} \bigvee_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}} \mathcal{N}_{j}(\mathcal{U}_m(S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}})).$

Moreover, we can assume that this form $\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}$ is \mathcal{C} -differentiable in \mathcal{V}_{m+1} \mathcal{V}_{j} (\mathcal{V}_{m} (\mathcal{V}_{m} (\mathcal{V}_{m+1})) $-\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{m+1}$ Then $\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}$ is of polynomial growth with respect to \mathcal{V}_{j} $\mathcal{$

(II)₂ Next we start with the form p.g.j constructed in (II)₁. In this case , for a suitable couple(b), let p.g.j be a form defined by

$$\mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1} \\ \lambda_{t+1}}} \mathcal{Y}_{p,g.j} (f',g': S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{p.g.j}.$$

Then for a suitable couple(b), we can assume that $\mathcal{G}_{p,g,j}^{II}$ = $\mathcal{G}_{p,g,j}^{II}$ in $\mathbb{N}_{p,g,j}^{II}$ \mathbb{N}_{p,g

 $\mathcal{G}_{p.g.j}^{"} \text{ is a C-form in N_j, V } (U - V_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}} N_j, (S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}})) \text{ by letting}$ $\mathcal{G}_{p.g.j}^{"} = 0 \text{ outside} V_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}} N_j, (S_{\lambda_{t+1}^{m+1}}) .$

Moreover, define $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{p,g,j}^{"}$ by the following equation.

(3.16)
$$\widetilde{Y}_{p.g.j}^{"} = (1 - \chi_b(f',g'; s_{n+1})) \cdot Y_{p.g.j}^{"}$$

in each N_{j} , $(S_{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, X_{t+1}^{m+1})$.

This function $\S_{p,g,j}$ is defined in $\bigvee_{\lambda_{t+1}}^{m+1} \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} \bigvee_{k+1}^{m+1} \bigvee_{k+1}^{N} \bigvee_{k+1}^{m+1} \bigvee_$

We call the C.C.S. $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}(S,N)$ with $\widehat{K}(\widehat{R}(S,N))$ in Lemma 3.1 will be called the (P.G.-C-de Rham cochain complex attached to (S,N).

3.4. Relations between $\widehat{\Omega}(S,N)$ and $\widehat{\Omega}_{p.g.}(S,N)$. Let (U, V) and (S,N) be as in Lemma 3.1. Then we have two C.C.S. S $\widehat{\Omega}(S,N)$ and $\widehat{\Omega}_{p.g.}(S,N)$. Let U denote an element in (S_C, S_O) or (S_O) . Note that, for each $(S_C)^{-1}$ and $(S_C)^{-1}$ and

Corollary to Lemma 3.1. Let (U,V) and $(\widehat{S},\widehat{N})$ be as above. Assume that the momorphism.

(3.16)
$$\beta'(U)$$
: $H^*(\widehat{\Omega}_{p.g.}(U)) \longrightarrow H^*(\widehat{\Omega}(U))$; $U \in S_0$
is isomorphism. Then, for each $T \in S_0$ we have the following

(3.17)
$$H^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_{p.g.}(T)) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^*(T)} H^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(T)) \xrightarrow{} H^*(|T|;R)$$

Proof. The first isomorphism follows from (3.16) and Proposition 1.1. The second isomorphism follows from the difinition of diffect systems of C^{\sim} thickengs (§ 2) and the obvious fact $N_{jT}(T) = |T|$ for each j.

§7. Proof of Theorem 6.1, d=1,...

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 6.1_d (d=1,..) inductively on d. Our arguments of this section are divided into the following two steps.

- (I) To prove Theorem 6.1,.
- (II) To show that the validity of Theorem 6.1_d',d'=1,....,d-1, implies the validity of Theorem 6.1_d (d22).

This section consists of two parts: § 7.1 and § 7.2. First we show the induction step (II) in § 7.1. The proof of Theorem 6.1, is easy and will be given in § 7.2.

- §7.1. Discussion of the induction step: Theorem 6.1_d ' (d'=1,..,d-1) \longrightarrow Theorem 6.1_d . (d ≤ 2).
- § 7.1 consists of subsections: § 7.1.1~§ 7.1.2 , and will be , roughly, divided into the following three patrs.
- (A) §7.1.1. \sim § 7.1.2. This part is preparatory for the later parts (B),(C): In §7.1.1 we do a reduction of the induction step (II). In §7.1.2 we fix certain notations and data used in (B),(C).
- (B) §7.1.3.~ § 7.1.10. Here we start with a given and fixed adequate series R at a point $P^n \in R^n(x)$. We then construct collections from R, denoted by R(r), F(r), of (i) ser-

-ies of euclidean spaces, bounded domains, varieties and prestratifications and (ii) series of sets of functions. Here r denotes a series of positive numbers parametrizing collections R(r), F(r). Moreover, we construct collections R(r,r'), F(r,r'), parametrized by series r,r' of positive num-bers, from R(r)'s and F(r)'s by a simple divice.

(C) § 7.1. $10 \sim \S 7.1$.? The main purpose of this part is to show that collections (R(r),r'), F(r,r') are, under mild con-ditions on series r,r', normalized series-attached to the adequate series R.

Further explanations of our arguments will be, when we feel necessary, inserted in parts (A),(B) and (C).

In §7.2.1. \sim §7.2.10 ,we fix an integer d(\geq 2) once and for all and assume the validity of Theorem 6.1_d for d'=1,...,d-1.

A. Preliminaries.

7.1.1. Here we make a simple remark about the induct-

-ion step (II) as follows. We divide adequate series R s of dimension d into the following two types.

- (1) Adequate series R's satisfying the equality: $\dim R = \operatorname{rank} R$.
- (ii) Adequate series R's satisfying the inequality: dim R < rank R.

Let us assume that the assertions in Theorem 6.1_d are true for adequate series R's of type (i). We show, then, the validity of the assertions in Theorem 6.1_d also for adequate series R's of type (ii). To see this take an adequate series $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}', \mathbb{N}'\}$ at a point Pⁿ in a euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n(x)$ such that rank $\mathbb{R} > \dim \mathbb{R}$. We write $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}'$ and \mathbb{N} explicitly as follows: $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}(y_1', \dots, y_{k+1}'), \dots, \mathbb{R}^n(y_1', \dots, y_n')\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^{j}\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{\mathbb{N}^$

(a) The series R is of the form: $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}(y_1'', \dots, y_{d+1}''), \dots, \mathbb{R}^n(y_1'', \dots, y_n'')\}$, where (y_1', \dots, y_{k+1}') is a linear transform—ation of (y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}') and $(y_{k+2}'', \dots, y_n') = (y_{k+2}', \dots, y_n')$.

(In the above d=dim R.)

(b) $\widetilde{V}^{j} = V^{j}$, $\widetilde{V}^{'j} = V^{'j}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{j} = W^{j}$, j = k+1, ..., n. Moreover, $\widetilde{V}^{j} = \mathcal{I}_{jk+1}^{an}(y'')(V^{k+1})$, and $\widetilde{W}^{j} = p'$, j = d+1, ..., k.

The adequate series R satisfies the equality: dimen = •

rank $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, we can choose a normalized series $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ attached properly to $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, we can assume that the conditions $(6.8)_{1,2}$ are valid for $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. It is clear that, the normalized series $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is attached properly to the original searces $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. Also it is clear that the conditions $(6.8)_{1,2}$ are valid for the series $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$. This shows our desired fact.

By §7.1.1. we restrict our attension to those adequate series R's satisfying the equality $\dim \mathbb{R} = \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{H}$. Let Pⁿ be a point in a euclidean space Rⁿ(x) and R an adequate series R at Pⁿ, such that $\dim \mathbb{R} = \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{H}$. We write R explicit—ly as follows: $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}', \mathbb{W}'\}$, where $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}(y_1', \dots, y_{k+1}'), \dots, \mathbb{R}^n(y_1', \dots, y_n')\}$, $\mathbb{V} = \{\mathbb{V}^j\}$, $\mathbb{V} = \{\mathbb{V}^j\}$ and $\mathbb{W} = \{\mathbb{W}^j\}$, $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{K} + 1, \dots, n$. In §7.1.2 ~ §7.1.2 , we fix the above data $\mathbb{R}^n(x)$, \mathbb{P}^n and $\mathbb{R} = \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}'\}$ once and for all. Our arguments in §7.1.2~§7,1.10 will be done fot these fixed data $\mathbb{R}^n(x)$, \mathbb{P}^n and \mathbb{R}

7.1.2.

- § 7.1.2. Here we shall fix for the adequate series $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ certain notations and data used in the later arguments.
- (1) For j=k+1,...,n, let $(v)^j$ and $(v)^j$ denote the sets of all the irreducible components of v^j and $(v)^j$. Moreover, we denote by $(v)^j$ (d) and $(v)^j$ (d) the sets of all the irreducible components of dimension d of $(v)^j$ and $(v)^j$. Furthermore, we denote by $(v)^j$ (d-1) and $(v)^j$ (d-1) respectively $(v)^j$ (d) and $(v)^j$ (e) $(v)^j$ (d). We write the unions $(v)^j$ (e) $(v)^j$ (f) (f) and $(v)^j$ (f) and $(v)^j$ (f) $(v)^j$ (f) and $(v)^j$ (f) and $(v)^j$ (f) $(v)^j$ (f) $(v)^j$ (f) and $(v)^j$ (f) $(v)^$

- (ii) We denote by 0^j the ring of germs of holomorphoc functions at $P^j = \pi_{jn}(y^i)(P^n)$, j=k+1,...,n. For a germ X^j of a variety at P^j , $I_{\chi j}$ will denote the ideal of X^j 0^j .
- (iii) For each germ $X^{J}_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{V}^{J}(d)$, define a proper subgerm $Y_{0}(X^{J}_{\lambda})$ of X^{J}_{λ} by

 $Y_0(X_{\lambda}^{J}) = X_{\lambda}^{J} \cap \left\{ \bigcup_{i} X_{\lambda}^{J} \bigcup_{i} \bigcup_{i} W_{\lambda}^{J} \right\}, j=k+1,...,n.$

In the above X_{X}^{j} and W_{X}^{j} exhaust respectively all the germs in $V^{j} - \{x_{\lambda}^{j}\}$ and $W - \{x_{\lambda}^{j}\}$.

(iv) For each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \widehat{\mathbb{V}}^{j}(d)$, fix a finite basis $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ of the ideal $I_{X_{\lambda}^{j}}$ once and for all. Moreover, fix a proper subgerm $Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}, f'(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ of X_{λ}^{j} such that $X_{\lambda}^{j} - Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}, f(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ is $\{(y^{k+1}, y^{k+2}, y^{k+2}$

Symbols $\hat{V}(d)$, $\hat{V}(d-1)$, \hat{V} ,... as well as data $\hat{T}'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$, \hat{Z} , $\hat{T}'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$, ... as above will be used in the sequel of § 7.1.

B. Construction of collections R(r), F(r).

Here we shall construct collections R(r), F(r), paramet-rized by series r of positive numbers, of series explained in the beginning of §7.1. This part B contains subsections 7.1.3. \sim 7.1. 3, and contexts of this part can be subdivided as follows.

(B) Construction of an adequate series, denoted by $\Re(d-1)$ = $4\Re \Re(d-1)$ $\Re(d-1)$ $\Re(d-1)$. Here $\Re(d-1)$ $\Re(d-1)$ and $\Re(d-1)$ contain only germs of dimension at most d-1. (7.1.3~7/1.7) In the construction of $\Re(d-1)$ we use Proposition 5.1.

B₂. Construction of collections $\mathbb{R}(r)$ $\mathbb{F}(r)$ based on data $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$ and $\mathbb{F}(d)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$ and construct—ion of collections $\mathbb{R}(r)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$, $\mathbb{F}(r)$, from $\mathbb{R}(r)$ s and $\mathbb{F}(r)$ s. (§ 7.1.9 ~ 7.1.9.)

In B_1 arguments are purely local in the sense that arguments are done for only germs of varieties and functions. We will concern in B_2 with bounded domains, varieties,...

 \mathbb{R}_1 . Construction of an adequate series $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$.

- 7.1.3. (1) Here we shall associate with each $X_{\lambda}^{k+1} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}^{k+1}$ a proper subgerm $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})$ of X^{k+1} satisfying the following conditions.
- $(7.1)_{1} \quad \text{For each } X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{V}}^{1}(d), \text{ the inclusion } Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}) \supset Y_{0}(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ $\text{$\forall Y(X^{j},f'(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ holds, $j=k+1,\ldots,n$. Here we write the intersection}$ $X^{j} \cap \mathbb{E}_{k+1,j}^{-1}(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}) \quad \text{with } X_{\lambda}^{k+1} = \mathbb{E}_{k+1,j}^{an}(X_{\lambda}^{j}) \quad \text{as } Y'(X_{\lambda}^{j}).$

(7.1)₂ For any pair $(x^{j}, x^{j}) \in V^{j}(d) \times V^{j}(d)$ such that $\prod_{j,j}^{an} (x^{j}) = x^{j}, \text{ we have the following relation.}$ $\prod_{j,j}^{an} (x^{j}) = x^{j} \times V^{j}(x^{j}) \xrightarrow{loc. \ biho.} x^{j} \times Y^{j}(x^{j})$

We show a method to associate with each $X_{\lambda}^{k+1} \in \mathcal{O}^{k+1}(d)$ a proper subgerm $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})$ ssatisfying $(7.1)_{1,2}$:

(i) With each pair $(X_{\lambda}^{j}, X_{\lambda}^{j}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{j}(d) \times \mathbb{Q}^{j}(d)$ such that $X_{\lambda}^{an}, (y')(X_{\lambda}^{j}) = X_{\lambda}^{j}$, $k+l \leq j \leq j \leq n$, we associate a proper subgerm $Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}, X_{\lambda}^{j})$ of X_{λ}^{j} so that the following are valid.

 $(7.1)_{1}^{'} \quad Y(X_{\lambda}^{J}, X_{\lambda'}^{J}) \supset Y_{0}(X_{\lambda}^{J}) \cup Y(X_{\lambda}^{J}, f'(X_{\lambda}^{J})), \text{ and moreover,}$ $Y(X_{\lambda'}^{J}, X_{\lambda}^{J}) \supset Y_{0}(X_{\lambda'}^{J}) \cup Y(X_{\lambda'}^{J'}, f'(X_{\lambda}^{J'})), \text{ where we write the intersection } X_{\lambda}^{J} \cap \mathcal{T}_{1,J}^{J'}(Y(X_{\lambda}^{J}, f'(X_{\lambda}^{J})).$

 $(7.1)_2'$ π_{jj}' : $x^j - Y(x^j_{\lambda}, x^j_{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{loc.biho.}}{\longleftarrow} x^j_{\lambda} - Y(x^j_{\lambda}, x^j_{\lambda})$

(1) Nextwe define, for each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in V^{j}(d)$, a proper subgerm $Y''(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ of X_{λ}^{j} by

(7. $Y''(X_{\lambda}^{j}) = U_{\lambda}^{an} \cdot (X_{\lambda}^{j})$, where X_{λ}^{j} exhaust all the germs in $(X_{\lambda}^{j})^{j} \cdot (X_{\lambda}^{j})^{j} = X_{\lambda}^{j} \cdot (X_{\lambda}^{j})^{j$

(1) 3 Now we define, for each $X_{\lambda}^{k+1} \in V_{\lambda}^{k+1}(d)$, a proper subgerm $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})$ of X_{λ}^{k+1} by

(b) $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}) = \bigcup_{j \geq k} \prod_{k+1,j} (y')(X_{\lambda}^{j}), \text{ where } X_{\lambda}^{j} \text{ exhaust}$ all the germs in $V^{j}(d)$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{k+1,j}^{an}(X_{\lambda}^{j}) = X_{\lambda}^{k+1}$.

Then it is clear that the proper subgerm $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})$ as above of $X_{\lambda}^{k+1} \in \mathbb{Q}^{k+1}(d)$ satisfies $(7.1)_{1,2}$.

We fix, for each $X_{\lambda}^{k+1} \in V^{k+1}(d)$, a proper subgerm $Y'(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})$ of X^{k+1} satisfying $(7.1)_{1,2}$ in the later arguments.

(11) Now we define a germ $\tilde{V}^{k+1}(d-1)$ at P^{k+1} by

(7.2)
$$\tilde{V}^{k+1}(d-1) = \tilde{V}^{k+1}(d-1) \bigcup_{\lambda} V^{k+1}(\chi^{k+1}), \chi^{k+1} \in \tilde{V}^{k+1}(d)$$

Clearly dim $V^{k+1}(d-1) \le d-1$. Moreover, it is obvious that each germ Y^{k+1}_{jk} of $\widetilde{V}^{k+1}(d-1)$ is an irreducible component of $\widetilde{V}^{k+1}(d-1)$.

7.1.4. Here we use Proposition 5.1 to proceed one more step: By Proposition 5.1 there exists a bijective linear map L^{k+1} of $R^{k+1}(y'_1,...,y'_{k+1})$: $L(y'_1,...,y'_{k+1}) = (y''_1,...,y''_{k+1})$ so that the following are valid.

 $(7.3)_1$ For any $Z^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$, the map $\mathcal{I}_{kk+1} : Z^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where we abbriviate $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is integral, where $\mathbb{Z}^{n} = \mathbb{Z}^{n} =$

 $(7.3)_{2} \quad \text{For any pair} \left(Y_{\mu}^{k+l} + Y_{\mu}^{k+l} \right) \text{ of irreducible component}$ $\text{-ts of } \left(Y_{\mu}^{k+l} \right) + \mathcal{T}_{kk+l}^{an} \left(Y_{\mu}^{k+l} \right) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{kk+l}^{an} \left(Y_{\mu}^{k+l} \right)$

 $(7.3)_{3} \text{ For any pair}(Y_{\mathcal{L}}^{k+1}, X_{\lambda}^{k+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1}(d) \times \mathbb{C}^{k+1}(d) \times \mathbb{C}^{k+1}(d),$ $\mathbb{T}_{kk+1}^{an}(Y_{\mathcal{L}}^{k+1}) \leftarrow \mathbb{T}_{kk+1}^{an}(\mathbb{R}(X_{\lambda}^{k+1})), \text{ where we put } \mathbb{R}(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}) = \mathbb{R}(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}, f(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}))(cf.\%)$

We fix a bijective linear map L^{k+1} satisfying $(7.3)_{1,2}$, and the resulting cooydinates $(y_1', \dots, y_{k+1}'') \neq L(y_1', \dots, y_{k+1}')$ in the later arguments. For notational reasons we write the system of coordinates $(y_1'', \dots, y_{k+1}'', y_{k+2}', \dots, y_n')$ as $(y_1'', \dots, y_{k+1}'', y_{k+2}', \dots, y_n')$ and abbriviat projections $\mathcal{T}_{j,j}'(y'')$ as $\mathcal{T}_{j,j}'$.

The coordinates (y") and the projections \mathbb{Z}_{jj} will be utilized in §7.1.5.~§7.1.6.

Now we define a germ $V^{k}(d-1)$ at $P^{k} = \mathcal{T}_{kk+1}^{"}(P^{k+1})$ by $V^{k}(d-1) = \mathcal{T}_{kk+1}^{an}(Y^{k+1}(d-1)) \cup \mathcal{T}_{kk+1}^{an}(R(X_{\lambda}^{k+1}))$ where $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}^{k+1}(Q^{k+1}(d))$.

Our arguments from now on in (B) will be based on this $\nabla^k (d-1)$.

7.2.5. (i) Now we define germs $\tilde{V}^{j}(k-1)$ at $P^{j}(j=k+1,...$

(7.5)₁ $\tilde{V}^{j}(d-1) = \mathcal{T}_{kj}^{"-1}(V^{k}(d-1)) \cup \tilde{V}^{j}$.

Moreover, define germs $\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1)$, $\widetilde{V}^{i,j}(d-1)$ at $P^{j}(j=k,...,n)$

bу

 $(7.5)_2$ $\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1) = \widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1)$, j=k+1,...,n, and $\widetilde{V}^{k}(d-1) = \mathcal{F}_{kk+1}^{an}(V^{k+1}(d-1))$.

 $(7.5)_3$ $V^{ij}(d-1) = V_{j,i}V^{ij}$, where $V_{j,i}^{ij}$ exhaust all the irre-ducible components of V^{ij} that are not contained in $V^{ij}(j=k,...,n)$

(11) To treat germs in question at P^k , we shall introb duce the following symbols: We denote by R^k the germ $R^k(v^{''k})$ at P^k . Moreover, we denote the set R^k consisting of the single germ R^k by $V^k(d)$. Furthermore, we write the germ Y^k as $Y^k(R^k)$.

Now we summarize basic properties of $\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1),\widetilde{V}^{j}(d),...$

 $(7.6)_1 \sim V^{j}(d-1)$ and $V^{'j}(d-1)$ have no common irreducible components, j=k,...,n.

 $(7.6)_2$ For any irreducible component Y_{jl}^j of $\widetilde{V}^j(d-1)$, an (Y_{jl}^j) is an irreducible component of $\widetilde{V}^j(d-1)$, j=k,...,n.

$$(7.6)_{3} \overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} (d-1) = \mathcal{T}_{jj}^{"-1} (\overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} (d-1)) \overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} , k \leq j \leq j \leq n.$$

$$(7.6)_{4} \quad \text{For any pair } (x_{\lambda}^{j}, x_{\lambda}^{j},) \in \overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} (d) \times \overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} (d) \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{jj}^{an} (x_{\lambda}^{j}) = x_{\lambda}^{j} (k \leq j \leq j \leq n),$$

$$(7.6)_{4.1} \quad Y(x_{\lambda}^{j}) \neq \mathcal{T}_{jj}^{-1} (Y(x_{\lambda}^{j})) \overset{\text{oc.biho}}{\forall} x_{\lambda}^{j}.$$
and
$$(7.6)_{4.2} \overset{\text{JL}_{jj}}{\text{JL}_{jj}} : x_{\lambda}^{j} - Y(x_{\lambda}^{j}) \overset{\text{loc.biho}}{\longrightarrow} x_{\lambda}^{j} - Y(x_{\lambda}^{j}).$$

$$(7.6)_{5} \quad \text{For any } x_{\lambda}^{j} \in \overset{\text{vj}}{\forall} (d), k+1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$Y(x_{\lambda}^{j}) \supset Y_{0}(x_{\lambda}^{j}) \cup Y(x_{\lambda}^{j}, f'(x_{\lambda}^{j})).$$

Note that $(7.6)_{4,5}$ implies the following. $(7.6)_{6}$ For each $X_{\lambda}^{j} = \emptyset^{j}$ (d), j=k+1,...,n,

$$\triangle (f'(X_{\lambda}^{J}); (y''^{k}, y''^{J})) \cap (X_{\lambda}^{J} - Y(X_{\lambda}^{J})) = \emptyset$$

The above properties of germs X^{j},Y^{j} ,...follows easily from (7.1) and the definitions of X^{j},Y^{j} ,...,and will be used arguments in β_{2} .

In the next subsection § 7.1.6 we shall define finite sets $W^{j}(j=k,...,n)$ of germs. Arguments in § 7.1.6 will be basi for the disscusion concerning the higher discriminant cond-tion in the part C.

7.1.6. (1) Recall that, for each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \mathbb{Q}^{j}(d)$, the projection $\mathbb{Z}_{kj}^{an} \colon X_{\lambda}^{j} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is integral (j=k+1,...,n). Therefore we can attach to each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \mathbb{Q}^{j}(d)$, j=k+1,...,n, a set $f(X_{\lambda}^{j}) = f_{t}^{j}(X_{\lambda}^{j}:y^{''k})$

satisfying the following conditions.

(a)
$$: (X_{\lambda}^{j}) \subset I_{X_{\lambda}^{j}}$$

(b) The discriminant of $f_t(X^j) \neq 0, t=1,...,j-d$.

We fix, for each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in (V^{j}(d), j=k+1,...,n, a set f(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ of Weierstrass polynomials at P^{j} (with respect to the coordinate (y'')), once and for all We note that, for each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in (V^{j}(d))$

(c) $\{V(f(X_{\lambda}^{j})) - (f(X_{\lambda}^{j}),(y^{"k},y^{"j}))\} \cap X_{\lambda}^{j} - Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}), j=k+1,...$

(11) Let $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in V^{j}(d)$, j=k+1,...,n. For each $m \in Z^{+j-d}$, define a germ $W_{m}^{j}(f(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ at P^{j} by

(7.7) $W_m(f(X_j^j))$ is the locus of the gemrs of function $D^m t f_t(X_j^j)$, t=1,...,j-d and $m_t=0,...,m_t-1$. Here $D^m t f_t(X_j^j)$ is defined by $D^m t f_t(X_j^j) = D^m t f_$

Moreover, define a subgerm $W_m(f(X_{\lambda}^j))$ by (7.7) $W_m(f(X_{\lambda}^j)) = W_m'(f(X_{\lambda}^j)) \cap Y(X_{\lambda}^j)$.

Furthermore, define a finite set $W(f(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$ of sub germs of $Y(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ by the following requirement.

(7.8) A germ W^J of a variety at P^J is in $W(f(X_\lambda^J))$ if and only if, for a suitable $m \in Z^{+J-d}$, W^J is an irreducible component of $W_m(f(X_\lambda^J))$.

Let W^j $W(f(X^j_\lambda))$. Moreover, let $m_1 = (m_{k+1}^1, \dots, m_n^1)$ and $m_2 = (m_{k+1}^2, \dots, m_n^2)$ be in Z^{+j-d} ssuch that W^j is an irreducible co component of both $W_{m_1}(f(X^j_\lambda))$ and $W(f(X^j_\lambda))$. Put $m_0 = (m_{l+1}^0, \dots, m_{l+1}^0)$ where, $m_s^0 = \max(m_t^1, m_t^2)(s=k+1, \dots, j)$. Then W^j is an irreducible

component of $W_{m_0}(f(X_X^{j}))$. This mean the existence of the elem-ent $m=m(W^{j})$ characterized by the following properties.

 $(7.8)_1$ W^j is an irreducible component of $W_m(f(X_{\lambda}^j))$,

 $(7.8)_2$ The element m is maximal in z^{+j-d} satisfying (7.

in the following sense: If $W_{m}^{j} \in W_{m}(f(X_{\lambda}^{j}))$, then m < m.

We call this element $m=m(W^{j})$ the <u>exponent</u> of W^{j} with respect to $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$.

(111) We define a finite set (d-1), j=k+1,..., n by $(d-1) = \{ W(f(X_{\lambda}^{j})) \}$, where $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in V^{j}(d)$.

Moreover, define a finite set $(W)^{j}(d-1)$ of germs at P^{j} , j=k,...,n, by

$$\widehat{W}^{j}(d-1) = \widehat{W}^{j} \cup \widehat{W}^{j}(d) \wedge \widehat{V}^{j}(X_{\lambda}^{j}), X_{\lambda}^{j} \in V^{j}(d), +1 \leq j,$$

$$\widehat{W}^{k}(d-1) = \phi.$$

In the above we denote by $Y(X^{j}_{\lambda})$ the set of all the irreducib -ble components of the germ $Y(X^{j}_{\lambda})$.

7.1.7. Now we summarize arguments in $7.1.3. \sim 7.1.6$.

(i) Define series $\widetilde{V}(d-1)$, $\widetilde{V}'(d-1)$ of germs by

$$(7.9)_{1} \widetilde{V}(d-1) = \{\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1)\}_{j=1}^{n}, \{\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1) = \{\widetilde{V}^{j}(d-1)\}_{j=1}^{n}.$$

Moreover, define a series W of finite sets of germs by $(7.9)_2$ $\widetilde{W}(d-1) = {\widetilde{W}^{j}(d-1)}_{f=k}^{n}$.

Furthermore, define a series (R)(d-1L) of euclidean spaces

$$(7.9)_3$$
 \mathbb{R}^{d-1} = $\{R^j(y^{ij})\}_{j=k}^n$.

Then the collection $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(d-1) = \widehat{\mathbb{R}}(d-1), \widehat{\mathbb{V}}(d-1), \widehat{\mathbb{V}}(d-1), \widehat{\mathbb{W}}(d-1)$

is, in view of (7.6), an adequate series at $P^n \in \mathbb{R}^n(x)$.

(ii) For each j=k+1,...,n, define collections $\mathbb{F}^{j}(d)$ $\mathbb{F}^{j}(d)$ of sets of germs of functions by

 $(7.9)_{4} \quad \boxed{F}(d) = \left\{ f(X_{\lambda}^{j}), X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \overrightarrow{V}(d) \right\}, \ \boxed{F}^{'j}(d) = \left\{ f(X_{\lambda}^{j}), X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \overrightarrow{V}^{j}(d) \right\}.$ Moreover, define series F(d), F'(d) by

 $(7.9)_5 \quad (F(D) = (F^J(d))_{j=k+1}^n, \quad (F^J(d) = (F^J(d))_{j=k+1}^n.$

Data $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d)$ and $\mathbb{R}'(d)$ introduced above will basic in arguments in B_2 .

The above 7.1.7 finishes arguments of B_1 . Arguments in B_2 will bw based on the data R(d-1), V(d), F(d) and F'(d).

 B_2 Construction of collections R(r), F(r),...

7.1.8. Now we apply Theorem $6.l_d'(d'=1,..d-1)$ to the adequate series $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$ to obtain a normalized series $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$ attached properly to $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$. We assume that the normalized series $(\mathbb{R}(d-1),\mathbb{R}(d-1))$ is of monomial type and satisfies the differentiablity condition for $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$. We write the normalized series $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$ explicitly as follows: $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$ = $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$, $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$. We use the letters $(y_1,...,y_n)$ for the system of coordinates defining the series $\mathbb{R}(d-1)$. Then

we know that

(7.10) $(y_{k+2},..,y_n) = (y_{k+2},..,y_n)$, and $(y_1,..,y_{k+1})$ is a finear transformation of $(y_1,..,y_{k+1})$.

Moreover, (S)(d-1), (d-1) will mean, respectively, the series of prestratifications of (C)(d-1), (C)(d-1) in duced from (S)(d-1), (C)(d-1).

We will fix the normalized series (R(d-1),F(d-1)) as above once and for all. We also use the letters $(y_1,...y_n)$ and symbols S(d-1),S'(d-1) as above in the sequel of 7.1.

- 7.1.9. Now we construct collections (F)r) (F)r) in the following divices:
- (i) Choose a series $M = \{M^j\}_{j=1}^n$ of positive monomials so that (1) for each $X_j \in V^j$ (d), $f(X_j^j)$ is $\{(y^j), M^j\}_{-}$ estimated, (j=k+1,...,n) and (2) the normalized series $(R^j(d-1), R^j(d-1))$ is of type M. Moreover, we choose a series r_0 of positive numbers of type M so that the following are valid.
- $(7.11)_1$ The series $U(P^n,y,r)$ is consistent with (R(d-1),F(d-1)).
- (7.11)₂ Each germ $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \mathbb{V}^{j}(d)$, j=k+1,...,n, has a representative X_{λ}^{j} of X_{λ}^{j} , $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ of $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ and $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ of $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ in

T (P,4,X).

We fix the series M,r₀, chosen as above,in the sequel ϕ of §7.1. Moreover, we will fix, for each $X_{\lambda} \in V^{j}(d)(j=k+1,...,n)$, representatives X_{λ}^{j} , $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ and $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$, respectively, of X_{λ}^{j} , $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ and $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ in $U^{j}(P^{n},y,r)$ omce and for all. We write $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$, $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$ as $f(X_{\lambda}^{j})$, $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})$

(ii) Let $r < r_0'$ be a series of positive numbers of type M. For each j=1,...,n, we shall make the following convention (a) For a subset A^j of $R^j(y^j)$, we write the intersection A^j $U^j(P^n,y,r)$ as $A^j(r).(h)$ Let g^j be a function defined in a domain containing $U^j(P^n,y,r)$. When we emphasize $U(P^n,y,r)$ we write the restriction of g^j to $U^j(P^n,y,r)$ as $g^j(r).(c)$ for collections $A = A^j$ of subsets in $R^j(y^j)$ and $G = g^j$ of functions defined in domains containing $U^j(P^n,y,r)$, we arite $A^j(r)$ and $g^j(r)$ as A(r) and G(r).

,..,F (d-1,r),... Similar abbriviations of symbols as above will be done, when there does not occur a confusion.

(iii) Now we choose a series $r_0 < r_0$ of type M so that the following are valid.

 $(7:12)_1$ For each $X_{\lambda}^{j} \in \mathbb{V}^{j}(d), j=k+1,...,n,$

 $(7.12)_{1.1}$ $X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_{0})$ is the locus of $f'(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_{0}))$,

and

 $(7.12)_{1.2}$ $f(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_0))$ vanishes on $X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_0)$ and is $U^{j}(P^n,y,r_0)$ -estimated.

Moreover,

 $(7.12)_{1.3} Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_0))$ is a subvariety of $X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_0)$,

and

$$(7.12)_{1.4} X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_{0}) - Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r_{0})) is\{(y^{d}, y^{j}), f'(X_{\lambda}^{j})\}$$
-smooth.

 $(7.12)_{2} \quad \text{For any pair } (X_{\lambda}^{j}, X_{\lambda}^{j}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{V}}^{j}(d) \cup \widehat{\mathbb{V}}^{j}(d) \text{ such that } \\ \mathcal{T}_{jj}^{an} \cdot (Y_{\lambda}^{j}(d)) = Y_{\lambda}^{j}(d), \quad k+1 \leq j \leq j \leq n,$

$$\mathcal{T}_{jj}': X_{\lambda}^{j}(r) = Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r)) \xrightarrow{\text{loc.biho.}} X_{\lambda}^{j} - Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r)).$$

 $(7.12)_2^i$ For any $X_{\lambda}^j \in V^j(d)$, j=k+1,...,n,

$$\mathcal{L}_{jj}': X_{\lambda}^{j}(r) - Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r)) \xrightarrow{\text{loc.biho.}} U^{k}(d-1,r).$$

(For the conditions (7.12), compare the conditions $\S6$. The series r_0 of positive number as above eill be fixed once and for all.

(iv) Let $r < r_0$ be a series of positive numbers of type M. We define a variety $V^j(r)$ by

(7.13)
$$\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}$$
 (r) = $\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}$ (d,r) $\widehat{\mathbb{Y}}$ (d-1,r), j=k+1,...,n, j=1,...,k.

Next, for each $X_{\lambda}^{j}(r) \in V_{\lambda}^{j}(d,r)(j=k+1,...,n)$, let $S(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r))$ denote the collection of all the connected components of $X_{\lambda}^{j}(r)$ - $Y(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r))$. We write the collections $\{S(X_{\lambda}^{j}(r)), X_{\lambda}^{j} \in V_{\lambda}^{j}(d,r)\}$ as S(d,r), j=k+1,...,n. Moreover, let $S_{\lambda}^{j}(r)$ denote the collection of all the connected components of $U_{\lambda}^{j}(r)$ denote the collection ..., Then we define collections S(r) $S_{\lambda}^{j}(r)$ $S_{\lambda}^{j}(r)$

$$(7.13)_2$$
 $(7.13)_2$

$$(7.13)_{2} \qquad (3)_{2}(r) = (3)_{2}(r)_{1}(r)_{2}(r)_{2}(r)_{2}(r)_{3}(r)_{4}(r)_{5}(r)_{6}(r$$

Thirdly we define series $(\widetilde{F})^{j}(r)$, $(\widetilde{F})^{j}(r)$, $(\widetilde{F})^{j}(r)$, $(\widetilde{F})^{j}(r)$, sets of functions by

(7.13)₃
$$(\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r}), j=1,...,k, \text{ and } (\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{F})(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{k}) + (\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{k})(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{k}+1,...,n,$$

$$(7.13)_{3}^{\prime} \quad \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(r) = \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(r), j=1,...,k, \text{ and } \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(r) = \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(d-1,r)$$

$$(7.13)_{3}^{\prime} \quad \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(r) = \widehat{\mathbb{F}}^{\prime J}(d-1,r)$$

Finally we define, for any series $r < r_0$ of type M, coll -ections $\Re(r) \Re(r)$ by

$$(7.14)_{1} \quad \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} , \quad \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{r}), \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{r}), \right\}$$

Moreover, define, for any pair (r,r_0) of series of post-Htive numbers of type M such that $r < r < r_0$, collections (R)(r,r') and (F)(r,r') by

$$(7.14)_2$$
 $\mathfrak{F}(r,r') = [\mathfrak{R},Q(r),Q(r')]$, $\mathfrak{F}(r,r') = \mathfrak{F}(r')$.

It is these collections (R(r),F(r)),(R(r,r'),F(r,r')) that we will concern with in the next part (C).