

Towards a Spectral Theory on an Indefinite Inner Product Space

Frank Hansen*

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University

Let $(H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an indefinite inner product space, that is a real or complex vectorspace H equipped with a bilinear respective sesquilinear, hermitian and non-degenerate form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. A norm p on H is said to be selfpolar if

$$p(x) = \sup_{p(y) \leq 1} |\langle x | y \rangle| \quad \forall x \in H$$

Usually $(H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ allows many different and even non-equivalent selfpolar norms. However, when $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is definite the inner square gives rise to a norm $\tau(x) = |\langle x | x \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ which is the unique selfpolar norm on H . We will henceforth assume that H allows a Hilbert space structure $(\cdot | \cdot)$ making $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ continuous.

Proposition 1 Let H^+ and H^- be subspaces of H such that

- (i) $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is positive (negative) definite on $H^+(H^-)$
- (ii) $(H^+)^\perp = H^-$, $(H^-)^\perp = H^+$
- (iii) $H^+ \oplus H^-$ is dense in H
- (iv) H^+ and H^- are regular

There is a unique selfpolar quadratic norm p on H such that

* On leave from Matematisk Institut, Universitetsparken 5,
2100 København Ø, Denmark.

$$p(x) = \sqrt{\langle x^+ | x^- \rangle - \langle x^- | x^+ \rangle}$$

for $x \in H^+ \oplus H^-$, $x = x^+ + x^-$, $x^\pm \in H^\pm$

The norm p introduced in Proposition 1 is said to be a quasi-decomposition norm and the pair (H^+, H^-) is referred to as the quasi-decomposition of H corresponding to p . Associated with p we introduce a closed involution J with domain $D(J) = H^+ \oplus H^-$ defined by

$$J(x^+ + x^-) = x^+ - x^- \quad , \quad x^\pm \in H^\pm$$

We refer to [1] for a detailed discussion of quasi-decomposition norms and their characterisation in the set of quadratic selfpolar norms.

Theorem 2 Let p_1 and p_2 be quasi-decomposition norms with corresponding quasi-decompositions (H_1^+, H_1^-) and (H_2^+, H_2^-) . If $H_1^+ \oplus H_1^- = H_2^+ \oplus H_2^-$, then p_1 and p_2 are equivalent.

Let A be a linear operator on $(H, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ with dense domain $D(A)$. We define

$$D(A)^\# = \{y \in H \mid \exists y_1 \in H : \langle Ax | y \rangle = \langle x | y_1 \rangle \quad \forall x \in D(A)\}$$

and put $A^\# y = y_1$ for $y \in D(A)^\#$. Because $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate and $D(A)$ is dense, this definition makes sense and gives a linear operator $A^\#$ called the adjoint of A with respect to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$.

Remarks 3

- 1) $A^\#$ can be unbounded (even non-densely defined) for a bounded A
- 2) A projection P , that is $P^2 = P$, $P^\# = P$ can be unbounded
- 3) $A = A^\# \in L(H)$ can be nilpotent

Case 1) and 2) can happen even when $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is definite (non-complete) while 3) is a phenomenon occurring only in manifestly indefinite inner product spaces.

Definition 4 An operator A is said to be reduced by a quasi-decomposition norm p if

$$(i) \quad D(J) \subseteq D(A)$$

$$(ii) \quad AJ \subseteq JA$$

where J is the involution associated with p .

It follows from condition (ii) in Proposition 1 that H^\pm are closed. Consequently a reducible A has the representation

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & A^- \end{pmatrix} \quad A^\pm \in L(u^\pm)$$

Note that A can be unbounded although A^+ and A^- are bounded. A non-vanishing reducible selfadjoint operator A can not be nilpotent.

Theorem 5 If A and $A^\#$ are reduced by a quasi-decomposition norm p , then A is p -continuous.

Suppose A is selfadjoint and reducible. The bounded operator A^+ on H^+ is $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ -selfadjoint and according to

Theorem 5 also p -bounded. There is a positive operator $a^+ \in L(H^+)$ such that $\langle x|y \rangle = (a^+x|y) \forall x, y \in H^+$. The mapping

$$H^+ \ni x \rightarrow (a^+)^{\frac{1}{2}} x$$

extends to a unitary U^+ mapping the p -completion $(\overline{H^+}, p)$ of H^+ onto $(H^+, (\cdot|\cdot))$. We set

$$\widehat{A}^+ = U^+ \overline{A^+} (U^+)^*$$

where $\overline{A^+}$ denotes the extension of A^+ to $(\overline{H^+}, p)$ and observe that \widehat{A}^+ is a bounded selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space $(H^+, (\cdot|\cdot))$. Consequently \widehat{A}^+ allows a spectral decomposition

$$\widehat{A}^+ = \int \lambda d\widehat{E}^+(\lambda)$$

If a spectral decomposition of A^+ in terms of $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ -selfadjoint projections should exist, the spectral measure $\overline{E}^+(\lambda)$ must satisfy

$$\widehat{E}^+(\lambda) = U^+ \overline{E}^+(\lambda) (U^+)^*$$

However, this equation has in general no operator solutions. Thus a spectral theory in terms of operator distributions must be adopted or conditions on A ensuring operator solutions to the above equation must be imposed.

Theorem 6

If $A = \begin{pmatrix} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a reducible generator for a semi-group of bounded transformations on H , then A is bounded.

Reference

- [1] F. Hansen: Selfpolar Norms on an Indefinite Inner Product Space. RIMS-262 September 1978 (preprint).