## NOTE ON THE EQUATIONAL DEFINABILITY OF ADDITION IN RINGS

Hisao TOMINAGA (Okayama University)

Boolean rings and Boolean algebras, through historically and conceptually different, were shown by M.H. Stone to be equationally interdefinable. Indeed, in a Boolean ring, addition is definable in terms of multiplication and the successor operation (Boolean complementation)  $x^* = x + 1 : x + y = \{(xy^*)^*(x^*y)^*\}^* = (x^*y^*)^*(xy)^*.$ 

In Theorem 1 of [2], H.G. Moore and A. Yaqub proved that this type of equational definability of addition also holds for rings satisfying the identity  $\mathbf{x}^n = \mathbf{x}^{n+k}$  in which the idempotents are in the center. More generally, in Theorem 2 of [2] it was shown that this equational definability of addition still holds when the identity  $\mathbf{x}^n = \mathbf{x}^{n+k}$  above is replaced by the identity  $\mathbf{x}^n = \mathbf{x}^{n+1}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{t}]$ .

However, the following proposition will show that the hypotheses assumed in Theorems 1 and 2 of [2] are equivalent.

Proposition ([1, Proposition]). If R is a ring with identity, then the following are equivalent:

R is normal (every idempotent in R is central)
 and there exists a positive integer n and a polynomial

- $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 2) There exists a positive integer n and a polynomial  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$  and  $(xy)^n f(xy)^n = (yx)^n f(yx)^n$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 3) There exists a positive integer n and a polynomial  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $(xy)^n = (yx)^{n+1}f(yx)$  for all x, y  $\in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 4) There exists a positive integer n and a polynomial  $f(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}f(x)$  and  $(xy)^n = (yx)^n$  for all x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 5) R is normal and there exist positive integers n, k such that  $x^n = x^{n+k}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Proof. The equivalence of 3) and 4) is immediate.

- 1)  $\Rightarrow$  5). Clearly qR = 0, where q =  $|2^{n+1}f(2) 2^n|$  (>1). We set d = deg f(t) (>0), and k =  $q^{d+1}$ . If x is nilpotent, then we readily obtain  $x^{nk} = x^{nk+(nk)!}$  (=0). Next, we consider the case that x is not nilpotent. Evidently,  $e = x^n f(x)^n$  is an idempotent with  $x^n = x^n e = (xe)^n$ . Let y = xe = ex. Since  $e = y^n f(y)^n$  and  $y^n = y^{n+1} f(y)$ ,  $y^* = f(y)e$  is the inverse of y in eRe. Then, it is easy to see that  $|\langle y^* \rangle| \leq k$ , and that  $y^{*k} = e$  with some positive integer k < k. Hence, we obtain  $(x^n)^k = (y^n)^k = (y^k)^n = e$ , and thus  $x^{nk} = x^{nk+nk} = x^{nk+(nk)!}$ .
- 5)  $\Rightarrow$  4). It is easy to see that there exists a positive integer m such that  $x^m = x^{2m} = x^{m+1}x^{m-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ . Now, let x, y be arbitrary elements of R. Since  $(xy)^m$

and  $(yx)^{m}$  are central idempotents, we have

$$(xy)^{m} = x(yx)^{m-1}(yx)^{m}y = (yx)^{m}(xy)^{m},$$

and similarly  $(yx)^m = (xy)^m (yx)^m$ . Hence,  $(xy)^m = (yx)^m$ .

- 4)  $\Rightarrow$  2). Actually,  $(xy)^n f(xy)^n = f(xy)^n (yx)^{2n} f(yx)^n = (xy)^{2n} f(xy)^n f(yx)^n = (xy)^n f(yx)^n = (yx)^n f(yx)^n$ .
- 2)  $\Rightarrow$  1). Let e be an arbitrary idempotent of R. By 2), for any unit u of R we have

$$e = e^{n} = e^{2n}f(e)^{n} = e^{n}f(e)^{n} = (euu^{-1})^{n}f(euu^{-1})^{n}$$
  
=  $(u^{-1}eu)^{n}f(u^{-1}eu)^{n} = u^{-1}(e^{n}f(e)^{n})u = u^{-1}eu$ .

Hence, e commutes with all units, and therefore with all nilpotents. This proves that e is central.

We shall conclude this note with giving an elegant proof to Theorem 1 of [2]: Since  $q=2^{n+k}-2$  is zero in R, we have  $x^*=x-1=x+(q-1)$  for any  $x\in R$ . Let x, y be arbitrary elements of R. By hypothesis,  $e=x^{nk}$  and  $e'=(x+1)^{nk}$  are central idempotents of R such that  $ex^n=x^n$  and  $e'(x+1)^n=(x+1)^n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that n is odd. Since

$$1-e = (x^{n}+1)(1-e)$$

$$= (x+1)^{n}(x^{n-1}-x^{n-2}+...-x+1)(1-e) = e'(1-e),$$

we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} &= & (\mathbf{e}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e} + \{\mathbf{e}^{*}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}\} (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \{ & (\mathbf{e}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{1}\} [\{\mathbf{e}^{*}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}\} (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{1}] - \mathbf{1} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{1}\} \times \\ &= [ & (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1}) (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k}} - \mathbf{1})^{2} \{ & (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{1})^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{1}\} + \mathbf{1}] - \mathbf{1} \\ &= [ & \{ \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}}\mathbf{y})^{\wedge} \}^{\wedge} \{ \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} ((\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k}})^{\vee})^{2} ((\mathbf{x}^{\wedge})^{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}}\mathbf{y}^{\vee})^{\wedge} \}^{\wedge} ]^{\vee} . \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] H. Abu-Khuzam, H. Tominaga and A. Yaqub: Equational definability of addition in rings satisfying polynomial identities, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 22 (1980), 55-57.
- [2] H.G. Moore and A. Yaqub: Equational definability of addition in certain rings, Pacific J. Math. 74 (1978), 407-417.