The Asymptotic Behavior of the Free Boundary
in a One Phase Stefan Problem

Yoshiro Kakei and S.T. Kuroda

## §1. Introduction

A one phase Stefan problem is a free boundary problem arising from a description of melting ice, where ice is maintained at zero degrees centigrade. In this note we are concerned with a Dirichlet type problem.

The situation is roughly described as follows.

Let D be a bounded simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n\geq 3$ , with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . (D is the heat island, so to speak.) The exterior  $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus \overline{D}$  of D is occupied by water or ice. At t=0 water occupies a domain G bounded by  $\partial D$  and another bounded connected smooth hypersurface  $\Gamma_0$  lying outside D. We suppose that the temperature of water on  $\partial D$  for all t>0 is given and positive. Let us denote this boundary value by g(x,t), t>0. Let W(t) be the region occupied by water at time t. As time goes on, W(t) will increase.

In this note we are interested in the following question:

Supposing that g(x,t) satisfies the inequality (1.1)  $c_1(1+t)^{\beta} \leq g(x,t) \leq c_2(1+t)^{\beta}$ , 136

can one prove the estimate of the type

(1.2)  $\{ x \mid |x| \leq R_1 (1+t)^b \} \subset W(t) \cup D \subset \{x \mid |x| \leq R_2 (1+t)^b \}$ 

and, if so, for what value of b?

A.Friedman [3],[4] proved (1.2) for the case  $\beta=n/2-1$  with b=1/2. Recently, S.Tokuda [11] proved the second relation for the case  $\beta=0$  with b=1/n. In this note we extend these results for  $0 \le \beta \le n/2-1$  with  $b=(\beta+1)/n$  (see Theorems 2 and 3). The second relation of (1.2) holds in fact for all  $\beta \ge 0$  (Theorem 2) but we do not know if the first relation holds outside the mentioned range of  $\beta$ . Our result will be proved for weak solutions. The proof is based on a simple comparison argument. We compare the solution with a function which may be called a quasistationary solution.

- § 2. Formulation of the one phase Stefan problem
- 2.1. One phase Stefan problem

Let G be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , whose boundary consists of two smooth connected hypersurfaces  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $\Gamma_0$ , with  $\partial \hat{\mathbb{D}}$  lying inside  $\Gamma_0$  and bounding a simply connected domain  $\mathbb{D}$ . Further we assume that  $\mathbb{D}$  contains the origin 0. We put  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Since W(t), the region occupied by water at time t, increases with t in a one phase problem, we can assume

that W(t) can be expressed as  $W(t) = \{(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,\infty) \mid t > s(x)\} \ ,$  where s(x) is a certain function defined on  $\Omega$ . The free boundary  $\Gamma_t$  at time t is given by  $\Gamma_t = \{x \in \Omega \mid s(x) = t\}$ . Now, the Stefan problem we are concerned with is formulated as follows:

Problem (I) Given smooth functions  $h(x) \ge 0$ ,  $x \in G$ , and g(x,t) > 0,  $x \in \partial D$ , t > 0, find (smooth) functions s(x),  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\theta(x,t)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t \ge s(x)$ , which satisfy

(2.1) 
$$(-\Delta + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\Theta(x,t) = 0$$
,  $t > s(x)$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\Theta(x,0) = h(x), x \in G$$

$$\Theta(x,t) = g(x,t) , (x,t) \in \partial D \times (0,\infty) ,$$

$$\Theta(x,t) = 0$$
 ,  $t = s(x)$ 

(2.5) 
$$\operatorname{grad}_{x} \Theta \cdot \operatorname{grad}_{x} s = -\kappa$$
,  $t = s(x)$ , where  $\kappa$  is a given positive constant.

In several space variables, the existence of a classical solution of Problem (I) is proved only for a small time interval (see E.-I. Hanzawa [6] and A.M. Meĭrmanov[9,10]). In this note we shall prove (1.2) for weak solutions which have been proved to exist. Specifically, we follow the approach of G.Duvaut [2], A.Friedman and D.Kinderlehrer [5], and formulate the problem as a parabolic variational inequality.

## 2.2. Variational inequality

According to Duvaut [2], Friedman and Kinderlehrer [5], Problem (I) leads to the variational inequality, expressed in a pointwise form, of the following type. Let  $K = \{v \mid v \in H^1(D), v \geq 0\}$ .

Problem (II) Given functions f(x),  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\Psi(x,t) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \partial D$ , t > 0, find  $u \in L^2_{loc}([0,\infty); H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}))$  such that  $u_t \in L^2_{loc}([0,\infty); L^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}))$  and  $\left( (-\Delta u + u_t)(v-u) \geq f(v-u) \right)$  a.e. for  $v \in K$ ,

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta u + u_t)(v-u) \ge f(v-u) & \text{a.e. for } v \in K, \\ u = \Psi & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, \infty), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } D \times \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Problems (I) and (II) are related as follows. Given g , h , and  $\kappa$  of Problem (I), define f and  $\Psi$  as

$$(2.6) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_0^t g(\mathbf{x},\tau) d\tau \ , \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial D, \ t \ge 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$f(\mathbf{x},t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in G \end{array} \right.$$

$$-\kappa & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \setminus G \end{array} \right.$$

It was proved in [5] that Problem (II) has then a unique solution u and that u satisfies  $u_t \ge 0$ , a.e., and

$$u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}([0,\infty); H^{2,p}_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}))$$
 for  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathsf{t}} \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}_{\mathsf{loc}}([0,\infty); \mathbf{L}^{\infty}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\bar{\Omega})) = \mathbf{L}^{\infty}_{\mathsf{loc}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0,\infty)) .$$

Thus  $u \ge 0$  , a.e. Relate  $\theta$  and u through  $\theta(x,t) = u_{+}(x,t) \quad ,$ 

$$(2.7) \begin{cases} u(x,t) = \int_{s(x)}^{t} \Theta(x,\tau) d\tau & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus G \text{, } s(x) \leq t \text{,} \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus G \text{, } 0 \leq t \leq s \text{,} \\ u(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \Theta(x,\tau) d\tau & \text{if } x \in G \text{, } 0 \leq t \text{.} \end{cases}$$

Then it was proved in [5] that u is a solution of Problem (II) if and only if  $\Theta$  is a weak solution of Problem (I) in the sense of [4]. We also remark that u was proved to be continuous in  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ .

The following comparison theorem, also due to [5], will be used as a basic tool in our proof.

Theorem 1. Let u ,  $\hat{u}$  be solutions of Problem (II) for f ,  $\Psi$  and  $\hat{f}$  ,  $\hat{\Psi}$  respectively. Assume that  $f \leq \hat{f}$  and  $\Psi \leq \hat{\Psi}$ . Then  $u \leq \hat{u}$  in  $\Omega$  .\*)

## § 3. Main results

Let G and D be as at the beginning of §2 and let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  Given g, h, and K as in Problem (II), let u be the solution of Problem (II) with f and  $\Psi$  defined as (2.6). Put (3.1)  $W(t) = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x,t) > 0 , t > 0 \}$ . Our main results can now be formulated as follows.

Theorem 2. If g(x,t) is majorized by a smooth non-decreasing function  $\eta$  (t) , namely if

(3.2) 
$$0 < g(x,t) \le \eta(t)$$
,  $x \in \partial D$ ,  $t > 0$ ;  $\eta_t \ge 0$   
( $\eta_t = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}$ ), then there exist positive constants  $a_1$ 

<sup>\*)</sup> We remark that this theorem applies even if f and  $\Psi$  of Problem(II) are not derived from Problem(I).

and a<sub>2</sub> such that

(3.3) 
$$W(t) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x|^n < a_1 \}_0^t \eta(\tau) d\tau + a_2 \}, t > 0$$
.

Theorem 3. If there exist constants  $\beta$  ,  $0 \ \leq \ \beta \ \leq \ n/2 - 1 \ , \ and \ k > 0 \ such \ that$ 

(3.4) 
$$k(1 + t)^{\beta} \le g(x,t)$$
,

then there exist positive constants k' and  $t_0$  such that  $(3.5) \quad \text{W(t)} \cup \text{D} \supset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, |x| \leq k' \, (1+t)^{(\beta+1)/n} \} \text{ , } t > t_0 .$ 

Remark 1. Theorem 3 and Corollary to Theorem 2 show that (1.1) implies (2.1) if  $0 \le \beta \le n/2 - 1$ .

Remark 2. Suppose that D is a ball with center O and  $g(x,t)=c(1+t)^{\beta}$ . The proof of Theorems 2 and 3 shows that  $\partial\theta/\partial r|_{\partial D}, r=|x|$ , is of  $O(t^{\beta})$ . Thus, (1.2) shows that the amount of heat used to melt ice up to time t is of the same order as the amount of heat having flown in from D up to time t. In fact, the proof shows that the heat retained in water at time t is of lower order if  $\beta < n/2-1$ .

141

- §4. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3
- 4.1. Upper bound for W(t); the proof of Theorem 2

The proof is based on Theorem 1 . In order to obtain  $\tilde{u}$ , with which u, the solution of Problem (II), is to be compared, we introduce a melting-ice situation with heat generation in the water region. It is a spherically symmetric problem, and for convenience we express the free boundary as  $|x| = \rho(t)$ . The function  $\rho$  and the temperature function  $\Phi(r,t)$ , r = |x|, are defined as follows.

$$(4.1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi(r,t) = c(t) \left\{ r^{2-n} - \rho(t)^{2-n} \right\}, \ r e(0,\rho(t)) \right\}, \ t \geq 0, \\ \rho(t)^{n} = \frac{n(n-2)}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{t} c(\tau) d\tau + \rho(0)^{n}, \end{array} \right.$$

where c(t) is a positive function to be determined later. Note that  $\Phi$  is determined by c(t) and  $\rho(0)$  . We use the notation

$$B = \{(x,t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R} | |x| \le \rho(t)\},$$

$$\tilde{s}(x) = \rho^{-1}(x).$$

where  $\rho^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $\rho$  . The free boundary would then be described by  $t = \tilde{s}(x)$  .

It is now easy to prove the following properties of  $\ \ ^{\varphi}$  . Lemma 1.

$$\begin{split} & \Delta \Phi (\,|\,\mathbf{x}\,|\,,t) \,=\, 0 \ , \ (\mathbf{x},t) \,\in\, \mathbf{B} \ , \ t \,\geq\, 0 \ , \\ & \Phi (\,\rho \,(t)\,,t) \,=\, 0 \ , \ t \,\geq\, 0 \ , \\ & \mathrm{grad} \ \Phi (\,|\,\mathbf{x}\,|\,,t) \,\cdot \mathrm{grad} \ \tilde{\mathbf{s}} \,(\mathbf{x}) \,=\, -\, \kappa , \ |\,\mathbf{x}\,| \,=\, \rho (t) \ , \ t \,>\, 0 \ . \end{split}$$

Lēmma 2. 
$$c_t(t) \ge 0 \Rightarrow \Phi_t(r,t) \ge 0$$
,  $r \le \rho(t)$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. We use function  $\Phi$  with c(t) equal to  $c'\eta(t)$  where c' is a positive constant to be determined. We take  $\rho(0)$  large enough so that the set  $\{x \mid |x| \leq \rho(0)\}$  contains D. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that  $(\Phi, \tilde{s})$  is a solution of Problem (I) if we make the following replacement. Firstly,  $\Theta$ , s and G are replaced by  $\Phi$ ,  $\tilde{s}$  and  $\tilde{G} = \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| < \rho(0)\}$ , respectively. Secondly, h(x) and g(x,t) are replaced by the values of  $\Phi(|x|,t)$  on the respective set. Finally, equation (2.1) is replaced by an inhomogeneous equation that

$$(-\Delta + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\Phi(|x|,t) = q(x,t)$$
,  $t > \tilde{s}(x)$ ,

where

$$(4.2) \quad q(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(|x|,t) \ge 0.$$

Define now  $\tilde{u}$  by (2.7) with obvious replacements. Then, it is seen that  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution of Problem (II) with  $\Psi$  and f replaced respectively by  $\tilde{\Psi}$  and f defined as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x,t) = \begin{cases} t & \Phi(|x|,\tau) d\tau, x \in \partial D, t > 0, \\ 0 & \Phi(|x|,0) + Q(x,t) & \text{if } x \in G, t > 0, \\ -\kappa + Q(x,t) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus G, t > 0, \end{cases}$$

where we put

$$Q(x,t) = \begin{cases} \int_{\widetilde{S}(x)}^{t} q(x,\tau)d\tau, & x \in \Omega \setminus \widetilde{G}, t > 0, \\ \int_{0}^{t} q(x,\tau)d\tau, & x \in \widetilde{G}, t > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that by choosing c' large enough and  $\rho(0)$  still larger, if necessary, we can make  $\overset{\sim}{G}\supset G$ ,  $\overset{\sim}{\Psi} \geq \Psi$ , and  $\overset{\sim}{f} \geq f$ . Applying Theorem 1, we then obtain  $\overset{\sim}{u} \geq u$ . Therefore, u is zero whenever  $\overset{\sim}{u}$  is zero. Thus, we get

4.2. Lower bound for W(t); the proof of Theorem 3 We first reduce the problem to a spherically symmetric one. Let us denote by  $B_R$  the open ball of radius R with the center 0. Since D is a domain containing 0, we can take  $R_1$  such that  $\overline{B_R} \subset D$ . We fix such an  $R_1$  and take  $R_0$  so that  $0 < R_0 < R_1$ . Letting k and  $\beta$  be constants appearing in (3.4), we introduce a function of the type  $\Phi$  defined by (4.1). Namely, we put

$$(4.3) \qquad \hat{\Phi}(r,t) = kR_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta} \{r^{2-n} - \hat{\rho}(t)^{2-n}\}, \quad r \in (0, \hat{\rho}(t)], t > 0$$

144

$$(4.4) \qquad \hat{\rho}(t)^{n} = \frac{n(n-2)kR^{n-2}}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{t} (1+\tau)^{\beta} d\tau + \hat{\rho}(0)^{n}, \hat{\rho}(0) = R_{1}.$$

We now consider the following problem:

Problem (III) This is Problem (II) with D , G , etc. replaced by the following  $\hat{D}$  ,  $\hat{G}$  , etc.

$$\begin{cases} \hat{D} = B_{R_0}, \hat{G} = B_{R_1} \setminus \overline{B_{R_0}}, \\ \hat{h}(x) = \hat{\Phi}(|x|, 0); \hat{g}(x, t) = \hat{\Phi}(R_0, t), |x| = R_0. \end{cases}$$

This problem has a classical solution  $\hat{\theta}(x,t)$  and  $\hat{s}(x)$ . The pair  $(\hat{\theta},\hat{s})$  gives rise to a solution  $\hat{u}$  of the corresponding variational inequality in  $(R^n \setminus \hat{D}) \times (0,\infty)$ . Since  $R^n \setminus \hat{D} \supset \Omega$ ,  $\hat{u}$  may be regarded as a solution of the variatinal inequality in  $\Omega \times (0,\infty)$ . Thus, we can compare the solution u of the original problem with  $\hat{u}$ . Since the boundary value  $\hat{g}$  of Problem (III) is non-decreasing and majorizes the initial value, it is clear that  $\hat{\theta}(x,t) \big|_{x \in \partial D} \leq \max_{|x|=R_0} \hat{\theta}(x,t) \leq k(1+t)^{\beta}$ , where the last inequality follows from the definition of  $\hat{\phi}$ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1 that  $\hat{u} \leq u$ . Thus, we have seen that it suffices to prove Theorem 3 for the solution  $\hat{\theta}(0,x)$  of Problem (III).

Since  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{u}$  are spherically symmetric, there exists a smooth function  $\hat{r}(t)$  such that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{W}}(\mathsf{t}) = \{ \mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{R}^n \setminus \widehat{\mathsf{D}} \mid \widehat{\mathsf{u}}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{t}) > 0 \} = \mathsf{B}_{\widehat{\mathsf{r}}(\mathsf{t})} \setminus \overline{\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{R}_0}} .$$

The following lemma, which expresses the conservative law for heat flow, can be proved easily by integrating the heat equation by parts.

Lemma 3. Denoting by |A| the volume of the set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $(\theta_r = \partial \theta / \partial r)$ 

$$(4.5) \qquad \int_{\widehat{W}(t)} \widehat{\Theta}(\xi, t) d\xi - \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{h}(\xi) d\xi + \kappa \{ |\widehat{W}(t)| - |\widehat{G}| \}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \int_{\partial \widehat{D}} \widehat{\Theta}_{r}(\xi, \tau) d\xi = 0$$

On the other hand, we see from the proof of Theorem 2 that  $(4.6) \qquad \hat{\theta}(x,t) \leq \hat{\Phi}(|x|,\,t) \;,\; x \in \hat{W}(t) \;,\; t > 0 \;.$  Since  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{\Phi}$  take the same boundary values on  $\hat{\theta}\hat{D}$ , the following lemma holds. This lemma is crucial, as it gives a lower bound of the amount of heat flowing in through  $\hat{\theta}\hat{D}$ .

Lemma 4. We have

(4.7) 
$$-\hat{\theta}_{r}(x,t) \geq -\hat{\Phi}_{r}(|x|,t), x \in \partial \hat{D}, t > 0$$
.

In what follows we denote by  $c_1$  ,  $c_2$  , ... various constants which do not depend on t nor  $R_0$  . Using  $(4\eta)$  , we see that

$$(4.8) - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial \hat{D}} \hat{\Theta}_r(\xi, \tau) d\xi \ge - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial \hat{D}} \hat{\Phi}_r(|\xi|, \tau) d\xi$$
$$= c_1 R_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta+1} .$$

Using (4.7), we also obtain

$$(4.4) \int_{\hat{W}(t)} \hat{\theta}(\xi,t) d\xi \leq \int_{\hat{W}(t)} \hat{\Phi}(|\xi|,t) d\xi \leq \int_{\hat{B}_{\rho}(t)} \hat{\Phi}(|\xi|,t) d\xi$$

$$= c_2 R_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta} \int_0^{\hat{\rho}(t)} \{ r - \hat{\rho}(t)^{2-n} r^{n-1} \} dr$$

$$\leq c_3 R_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta} \{ c_4 R_0^{2(n-2)/n} (1+t)^{2(\beta+1)/n} + c_5 \}$$

$$= c_6 R_0^{n-2+p} (1+t)^{(1+2/n)\beta+2/n} + c_7 R_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta} ,$$

where  $p = \frac{2(n-2)}{n} > 0$ .

If  $0 \le \beta < n/2-1$ , the right side of (4.9) is of  $o((1+t)^{\beta+1})$ . Thus, by using (4.8) and (4.9) in (4.5) and recalling that  $\hat{h}(x) \ge 0$ , we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \{|\hat{W}(t)| - |\hat{G}|\} \ge c_8 R_0^{n-2} (1+t)^{\beta+1} + o((1+t)^{\beta+1}).$$

If  $\beta=n/2-1$ , the first term on the right side of (4.9) is of order  $(1+t)^{\beta+1}$ . However, if we take R<sub>o</sub> sufficiently small, which is permissible, so that  $c_1-c_6R_0^p>0$ , we can obtain (4.10) also for  $\beta=n/2-1$ . (3.5) for  $\hat{W}(t)$  follows from (4.10) at once. Q.E.D.

## References

- [1]: J.R.Cannon and C.D.Hill, Remarks on a Stefan problem, Jour.Math.Mech., 17(1967), 433-440.
- [2]: G.Duvaut, Résolution d'un probleme de Stefan (Fusion d'un bloc de glace à zero degreé), C.R.Acad.Sc.Paris, 276(1973), 1461-1463.
- [3]: A.Friedman, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of parabolic differential equations and of integral equations , in J.Hale and J.La Salle, ed. "Differential Equations and Dynamic Systems" (1967)
- [4]: A.Friedman, The Stefan problem in several space variables, Trans.A.M.S., 133(1968), 51-87.
- [5]: A.Friedman and D.Kinderlehrer, A one phase Stefan problem, Indiana Univ.Math.Jour., 24(1975), 1005-1035.
- [6]: E.-I. Hanzawa, Classical solutions of the Stefan problem, ^
  Tohoku Math.J., 38(1981), 297-335.
- [7]: H.Kawarada, Stefan-type free boundary problems for heat equations , Publ.RIMS , 9(1974), 517-533 .
- [8]: D.Kinderlehrer and L.Nirenberg, Regularity in the free boundary problems , Ann.Scu.Norm.Sup.Pisa , 4(1977), 373-391 .

- [9]: A.M. Meĭrmanov, On classical solvability of the multidimensional Stefan problem , Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR, 249(1979) = Soviet Math.Dokl. , 20(1979) , 1426-1429 .
- [10]: A.M. Meĭrmanov, On the classical solution of the multidimensional Stefan problem for quasilinear parabolic equations, Matem.Sb., 112(154)(1980), No.2, 170-192

  = Math.USSR Sb., 40(1981), No.2, 157-178.
- [11]: S. Tokuda, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the multidimensional one phase Stefan problem ,
  Master's Thesis, Univ. of Tokyo, (1976), (in Japanese) .