### FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR UNSTEADY SLAG FLOW IN THE HEARTH

Hideo KAWARADA (Tokyo University)

Takao HANADA (Univ. Electro Communication)

#### O. Introduction.

The hearth drainage is one of the most important factors for successful blast furnace operation. The slag is considered to be more difficult to drain than the metal because of its higher When the slag surface reaches the level of tap hole, viscosity. the furnace gas starts to blow out. Then tapping should The amount of undrained molten material at the end stopped. tapping is estimated by the shape of the slag surface. to determine the influence of tapping conditions due to the shape of the slag surface, the three dimensional problem of the slag flow during tapping was solved by using the finite element method by Ichihara and Fukutake [2]. They concluded that their computational instability was resolved by Kawarada and Natori using the penalty method developed by themselves [5-7, 10].

The objective of this report is to give mathematical justification of penalty formulation, i.e., to prove the convergence of the penalized free boundary to the one of an original problem when we let the penalizing parameter  $\epsilon$  tend to 0. In section 1, we review the formulation for two dimensional problems of the

slag flow, and then we give the penalized formulation by using the method of integral penalty. Section 2 is devoted to the main theorems. In section 3-5, we give the proof of the main theorems.

#### 1. Formulation.

We consider 2-dimensional flow of the slag in a hearth, which is bounded by an impermeable boundary  $\Gamma_0$  and a free boundary.  $\Gamma_0$  is consisting of lines  $\mathbf{y}=0$ ,  $\mathbf{x}=0$  or  $\mathbf{a}$ , and on the boundary  $\mathbf{x}=0$  a tapping hole is bored near the bottom  $\mathbf{y}=0$ . On the other hand the free boundary is represented by  $\mathbf{y}=\phi(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x})$ , and it is denoted by  $\Gamma(\phi)$ .

In a slag region, which is written by the function  $\phi$  as

$$\mathbf{\Omega}(\phi,t) = \{ (x,y) \mid 0 < x < a \text{ and } 0 < y < \phi(t,x) \},$$

velocity vector v of slag satisfies the condition

$$v = - d\nabla u$$

with a potential

$$u = (P - \overline{P}) / (\overline{\rho}g) + y$$

by Darcy's law. Here d is permeability of slag,  $\bar{\rho}$  is density of slag, g is the gravitational acceleration,  $\bar{P}$  is a pressure of slag and  $\bar{P}$  is a pressure at a standard point.

From the equation of continuity,  $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ , it follows that

$$\Delta u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega(\phi,t)$ .

Boundary conditions for the potential u are given such that u=y on the free boundary  $\Gamma(\phi)$ , and  $u_{,n}=0$  on the fixed boundary except the tapping hole, on which it is assumed to be equal to a given function.

On the expression  $\phi(t,x)$  of the surface of free boundary, a point  $(x,\phi(t,x))$  on the boundary moves to a point (x-dt,x)  $\phi(t,x)-dt$  after time dt past, it is followed by

$$\phi(t,x) - du_{,y}dt = \phi(t+dt, x - du_{,x}dt)$$

$$= \phi(t,x) + \phi_{,t}(t,x)dt - d\phi_{,x}(t,x)u_{,x}dt + 0(dt)^{2}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\phi_{,t}(t,x) = d(u_{,x}\phi_{,x} - u_{,y}).$$

While the unit vector n (t,x) of outward normal direction is

$$(1 + \phi_{,\times}(t,\times)^2)^{-1/2}(-\phi_{,\times}(t,\times), 1).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\phi_{,t}(t,x) = -d(1 + \phi_{,x}^{2})^{1/2}u_{,n} / \Gamma(\phi),$$

$$\phi_{,t}(t,x) = \overline{\phi}_{,x}(x).$$

The above equations are rewritten as equations of p = u - y and  $\phi$  as follows:

$$\Delta p = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega(\phi, t),$$

$$p_{,n} \mid \Gamma_{0} = \pi, \qquad p \mid \Gamma(\phi) = 0,$$

$$\phi_{,t} = -d[1 + (1 + \phi_{,\times}^{2})^{1/2}p_{,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi)^{1},$$

$$\phi(0) = \overline{\phi}.$$

Here  $\pi=-1$  on the bottom of boundary (y=0), and  $\pi=0$  on the side boundary (x=0,a) except the tapping hole.

#### 1.1. An approximation by penalization.

Let the whole hearth be denoted by  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I} \times ]0,b[$ ,  $\mathbf{I} = ]0,a[$ , and  $\mathbf{H}$  be the Heaviside function. Then we penalize the free boundary problem as follows.

We assume a penalized equation in the region  $B \setminus \Omega$  ( $\phi$ ,t) with a penalty parameter  $\epsilon > 0$ , then the state equations satisfied by functions  $p(\epsilon)$  and  $\phi(\epsilon)$  of t, x and y are

$$- \Delta p(\epsilon)(t,x,y) + \epsilon^{-1}H(y-\phi(\epsilon)(t,x))p(\epsilon)(t,x,y) = 0,$$

$$p(\epsilon), n \mid \Gamma_0 = \pi,$$

$$p(\epsilon) \mid \Gamma_1 = 0.$$

Where  $\Gamma_1$  is the top of the boundary  $\partial B$ , and  $\Gamma_0$  is extended to the whole rest boundary. For  $\phi$  ( $\epsilon$ ), we have in the distribution sense of  $\mathfrak{D}$  (B)

$$e^{-1}H(y - \phi(e)(t,x))p(e)(t,x,y)$$

$$\rightarrow (-\phi_{,x}(t,x)p_{,x}(t,x,y) + p_{,y}(t,x,y))H'(y - \phi(t,x)),$$
and

$$e^{-1} \int_{y}^{b} H(\eta - \phi(\epsilon)(t,x)) p(\epsilon)(t,x,\eta) d\eta$$

$$\rightarrow -(1 + \phi_{,x}(t,x)^{2})^{1/2} p_{,n}(t,x,\phi(t,x)) (1 - H(y - \phi(t,x))),$$
as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which are similarly shown as in [3]. Therefore as the governing equations of  $\phi(\epsilon)$  we introduce

$$\phi\left(\varepsilon\right)_{,t}(t,x) = -d(1 - \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-\phi(\varepsilon)(t,x)}^{b} p(\varepsilon)(t,x,y)dy),$$

$$\phi\left(\varepsilon\right)(0,x) = \overline{\phi}(x),$$

by the idea of the integrated penalty.

### 2. Main results.

### 2.1. Theorem 1.

There exists a unique solution  $p^{O}$  and  $\phi^{O}$  such that

$$\Delta p^{0} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega (\phi^{0}, t),$$

$$p^{0}, n \mid \Gamma_{0} = \pi, \qquad p^{0} \mid \Gamma (\phi^{0}) = 0,$$

$$\phi^{0}, n = -d[1 + (1 + \phi^{0}, x^{2})^{1/2}p^{0}, n \mid \Gamma (\phi^{0})^{1},$$

$$\phi^{0}(0) = \overline{\phi},$$

if  $\overline{\phi}$  is smooth enough and T > 0 is small enough.

# 2.2. <u>Theorem 2.</u>

There exists a unique solution  $p^{\epsilon}$  and  $\phi^{\epsilon}$  such that

$$-\Delta p^{\epsilon}(t,x,y) + \epsilon^{-1}H(y-\phi^{\epsilon}(t,x))p^{\epsilon}(t,x,y) = 0, (x,y) \in B,$$

$$p^{\epsilon}, n \mid \Gamma_{0} = \pi, \quad p^{\epsilon} \mid \Gamma_{1} = 0,$$

$$\phi^{\epsilon}, t(t,x) = -d[1 - \epsilon^{-1}\int_{-\phi}^{b} \epsilon_{(t,x)} p^{\epsilon}(t,x,y)dy],$$

$$\phi^{\epsilon}(0,x) = \overline{\phi}(x),$$

if  $\overline{\phi}$  is smooth enough, T > 0 is small enough (uniformly in  $\epsilon$ ).

## 2.3. <u>Theorem 3.</u>

If  $\overline{\phi}$  is smooth enough and T > 0 is small enough, then

$$\phi \in \rightarrow \phi^0$$
 as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

in maximum norm.

#### 2.4.

In order to show the theorem 1 and 2 we will use the Nash-Moser's implicit function theorem, which is described as follows:

let  $E_j$ ,  $F_j$  be real Banach space  $(j=0,1,\cdots,11)$  such that  $E_0\supset E_1\supset\cdots\supset E_{11},\ F_1\supset\cdots\supset F_{11}.$  And let  $\delta>0$ ,  $V=\{\times\in E_1\mid |\times|_1<\delta\}$ , and  $F:V\to F_1$  such that  $F(V\cap E_j)\subset F_j$  for any i.

If

- (1) [the existence of smoothing operators]
- $\begin{array}{lll} \exists \; \theta \; \geq \; 1 \; \text{and} \; \; \exists \; \theta \; \in \; (\mathsf{E}_0 \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{E}_{11}) \; ; \; \; \forall \; \mathsf{i}, \mathsf{j}, \; 0 \; \leq \; \mathsf{i} \; \leq \; \mathsf{j} \; \leq \; 11 \; \Rightarrow \\ \\ & \cdot \; \times \; \in \; \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{i}} \; \Rightarrow \; \mid \; \mathscr{C} \; \theta \; \times \; \mid \; \mathsf{j} \; \leq \; \mathsf{C} \; \theta \; \stackrel{\mathsf{j}-\mathsf{i}}{\mathsf{i}} \; \mid \; \times \; \mid \; \mathsf{j} \; , \\ \\ & \cdot \; \times \; \in \; \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{j}} \; \Rightarrow \; \mid \; \times \; \; \mathscr{C} \; \theta \; \times \; \mid \; \mathsf{j} \; \leq \; \mathsf{C} \; \theta \; \stackrel{\mathsf{i}-\mathsf{j}}{\mathsf{j}} \; \mid \; \times \; \mid \; \mathsf{j} \; , \end{array}$
- (2) [F-differentiability of F]

(3) [the existence of right inverse of F]

€ V∩E;,

$$(4) \qquad | F(0) | < \epsilon(C, \delta)$$

then

 $\exists_{\times} \in \forall; F(\times) = 0.$ 

### 3. Proof of theorem 1.

In order to apply Nash-Moser's implicit function theorem, the problem will be reformulated as an equation of  $\phi$ . Let a function  $\rho$  defined in [0,T]  $\times$  I be a difference of the free boundary from the initial state, and F be the functional in  $\rho$  defined by

$$F(\rho) = \rho_{,t} + d[1 + (1 + \phi_{,x}^{2})^{1/2}P(\rho)_{,n} | \Gamma(\phi)^{1},$$
  
$$\phi = \overline{\phi} + \rho_{,t}$$

where  $P(\rho)$  is the solution of

$$-\Delta P(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega(\phi,t),$$

$$P(\rho)_{,n} \mid \Gamma_{0} = \pi$$

$$P(\rho) \mid \Gamma(\phi) = 0.$$

Then the problem is reduced to find a zero point  $ho^0$  of  $\emph{\textbf{F}}$  .

#### 3.1.

For any 
$$\delta > 0$$
, let  $I_{\delta} = [\delta, a-\delta]$ , then 
$$F \in (C^{m}([0,T] \times I) \rightarrow C^{m-1}([0,T] \times I_{\delta})).$$

3.2. (The first Frechet derivative of F.)

$$F'(\rho,\sigma) = \sigma_{,t} + d[(1+\phi_{,\times}^{2})^{-1/2}\phi_{,\times}\sigma_{,\times}^{p(\rho)}, n \mid \Gamma(\phi)$$
$$+ (1+\phi_{,\times}^{2})^{1/2}[p(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho(\sigma)]$$

and

$$[P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho(\sigma) = P'(\rho, \sigma), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)$$

$$+ P(\rho), ny \mid \Gamma(\phi), \sigma + P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi), n \mid \Gamma(\phi), \rho, \rho, \rho$$

where P'  $(\rho, \sigma)$  is the solution of an equation

$$-\Delta P'(\rho,\sigma)=0, P'(\rho,\sigma)_{,n}/\Gamma_{0}=0,$$

$$P'(\rho,\sigma) \mid_{\Gamma(\phi)} = -P(\rho)_{n} n_{v} \mid_{\Gamma(\phi)} \sigma.$$

## 3.3. (The second Frechet derivative of F.)

$$F''(\rho)(\sigma)^{2} = d[(1+\phi_{,\times}^{2})^{-3/2}\sigma_{,\times}^{2}P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)$$

$$+ 2(1+\phi_{,\times}^{2})^{-1/2}\phi_{,\times}\sigma_{,\times}[P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho(\sigma)$$

$$+ (1+\phi_{,\times}^{2})^{1/2}[P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho(\sigma)^{2}]$$

where  $P''(\rho, \sigma^2)$  appeared in  $[P(\rho), n \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho \rho$  is the solution of an equation of the same kind. Therefore  $F''(\rho) \in L(\mathbb{C}^m \to L(\mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^{m-3}))$  is bounded.

#### 3.4.

For  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{m+4}$ , let  $J(\rho) \in (\mathbb{C}^{m+1-\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}^m)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , be an operator defined by  $I(\rho)(\tau) = \sigma$  such that

$$\sigma_{,t} + d\{(1+\phi_{,x}^{2})^{-1/2}\phi_{,x}P(\rho)_{,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi)\sigma_{,x} + (1+\phi_{,x}^{2})^{-1/2}[P(\rho)_{,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi)], \rho\sigma\} = \tau.$$

Thus we can apply the Nash-Moser's implicit function theorem with  $E_j = C^{m+4j-4}, \ F_j = C^{m+4j-8-\alpha}.$ 

Here since  $|F(0)|_{m-4-\alpha} \le CT^{\alpha} |F(0)|_{m-4}$ , we can make |F(0)| small enough by taking T be small.

### 3.5. (Uniqueness.)

Let  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  be zero points of F, and let  $\rho=\rho_1-\rho_0$ . Then  $\rho$  satisfies

+ 
$$d((1+\phi_{1},x^{2})^{1/2}+(1+\phi_{0},x^{2})^{1/2})^{-1}(\phi_{1},x^{+}\phi_{0},x^{)}P(\rho_{1}),n \mid \Gamma(\phi_{1})\rho_{,x}$$
  
+  $d(1+\phi_{0},x^{2})^{1/2}B(\rho_{0},\rho_{1})\rho_{1}=0,$   
 $\rho(0)=0,$ 

where  $B(\rho_0, \rho_1) = \int_0^1 [P(\rho_{\theta}), n | \Gamma(\phi_{\theta})], \rho, \quad \rho_{\theta} = \rho_0 + \theta (\rho_1 - \rho_0)$  and  $\phi_{\theta} = \overline{\phi} + \rho_{\theta}$ . It follows that  $\rho = 0$ .

#### 4. Proof of theorem 2.

In order to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the penalized problem, let us define  $F^{-\epsilon}$  by

$$F \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} (\rho) = \rho_{,t} + d[1 - \epsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{b} H(y - \phi(t, x)) P \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} (\rho) dy],$$
  
$$\phi = \overline{\phi} + \rho_{,t}$$

where  $P^{\epsilon}(\rho)$  is the solution of an equation

$$- \Delta P^{\epsilon}(\rho) + \epsilon^{-1}H(y - \phi(t,x))P^{\epsilon}(\rho) = 0,$$

$$P^{\epsilon}(\rho)_{,n}|_{\Gamma_0} = \pi, \quad P^{\epsilon}(\rho)|_{\Gamma_1} = 0.$$

Then the penalized problem is reduced to find a zero point ho  $^{\epsilon}$  of F  $^{\epsilon}$  .

4.1.

$$F \stackrel{\epsilon}{}' (\rho, \sigma) (t, x) = \sigma_{,t}(t, x)$$

$$- d \epsilon^{-1} [\int_{-\phi}^{b} (t, x) P^{\epsilon} '(\rho, \sigma) (t, x, y) dy$$

$$- P(\rho) (t, x, \phi(t, x)) \sigma(t, x) ]$$

here  $\delta p^{\epsilon} = P^{\epsilon'}(\rho, \sigma)$  is the solution of an equation

$$- \Delta \delta p^{\epsilon} + \epsilon^{-1} H(y - \phi(t, x)) \delta p^{\epsilon} = 0,$$

$$\delta p \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} , n \mid \Gamma_0 = 0, \quad \delta p \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} \mid \Gamma_1 = 0,$$

$$\delta p \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} 0, n \mid \Gamma(\phi) - \delta p \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} 1, n \mid \Gamma(\phi) = \epsilon^{-1} p \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} (\rho) n_y \mid \Gamma(\phi) \sigma.$$
 Thus we have  $F \stackrel{\epsilon}{=} (\rho, \sigma) \in C^{m-1}$ .

4.2.

$$\begin{split} F & \stackrel{\varepsilon}{=} "(\rho, \sigma^2)(t, \times) = - \ \mathrm{d} \ \varepsilon^{-1} [ \int \stackrel{b}{\phi}_{(t, \times)} \mathsf{P}^{\varepsilon} "(\rho, \sigma^2)(t, \times, y) \, \mathrm{d} y \\ & - 2\mathsf{P}' \ (\rho, \sigma)(t, \times, \phi(t, \times)) \\ & - \mathsf{P}(\rho)_{, \, \, \vee}(t, \times, \phi(t, \times)) \ \sigma(t, \times) \ ] \end{split}$$

here  $\delta^2 p = P^{\epsilon} (\rho, \sigma^2)$  satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta \delta^2 p + \epsilon^{-1} H(y - \phi(t,x)) \delta^2 p = 0,$$
 
$$\delta^2 p_{,n} \mid \Gamma_0 = 0, \quad \delta^2 p \mid \Gamma_1 = 0,$$
 
$$\delta^2 p_0 \mid \Gamma(\phi) - \delta^2 p_1 \mid \Gamma(\phi) = \epsilon^{-1} P^{\epsilon}(\rho) n_y \mid \Gamma(\phi) \sigma^2,$$
 
$$\delta^2 p_{0,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi) - \delta^2 p_{1,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi) = \epsilon^{-1} [P^{\epsilon}(\rho) n_y], \rho \sigma^2 + \cdots.$$
 So we have  $F^{\epsilon}(\rho, \sigma^2) \in C^{m-1}.$ 

4.3.

For  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{m+2}$ , we can define the operator  $I(\rho) \in (\mathbb{C}^{m+1-\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}^m)$  by  $I(\rho)(\tau) = \sigma$  such that  $F^{\epsilon}(\rho) = \tau.$ 

Thus we can apply the Nash-Moser's implicit function theorem with  $E_j = C^{m+2j-2}, \; F_j = C^{m+2j-4-\alpha}, \; \alpha > 0.$ 

#### 5. Convergency of penalized solutions (outline).

## 5.1.

It is shown in [9] that

$$P(\epsilon, \rho) \rightarrow P(\rho)(1 - H(y - \phi(t, x)))$$
 as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

5.2.

For small enough  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|P^{\epsilon}(\rho) \mid \Gamma(\phi)\|_{m}$$

$$\leq C \epsilon^{1/2} \|P(\rho)_{,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi)\|_{m+1},$$

$$\|(P^{\epsilon}(\rho) + \epsilon^{1/2}P(\rho)_{,n}) \mid \Gamma(\phi)\|_{m}$$

$$\leq C \epsilon \|P(\rho)_{,n} \mid \Gamma(\phi)\|_{m},$$

$$\|\int \Gamma(\phi)^{\perp}P^{\epsilon}(\rho) - \epsilon^{1/2}P^{\epsilon}(\rho) \mid \Gamma(\phi)\|_{m}$$

$$\leq$$
 C  $\in$   $\mathbb{P}^{e}(\rho) \mid \Gamma(\phi) \mid_{m+1}$ .

5.3.

$$\| (P^{\epsilon'}(\rho,\sigma) - \epsilon^{-1/2}P^{\epsilon}(\rho)n_{y}\sigma) | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m}$$

$$\leq C \epsilon^{1/2} \| (n_{y}\sigma) | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m+1,\infty} \| P(\rho)_{,n} | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m+1},$$

$$\| \int \Gamma(\phi) \perp^{P^{\epsilon'}(\rho,\sigma)dn} - \epsilon^{1/2}P^{\epsilon'}(\rho,\sigma) | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m}$$

$$\leq C \epsilon \| n_{y} | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m+1,\infty} \| P(\rho)_{,n} | \Gamma(\phi) \|_{m+1}.$$

5.4.

For  $P^{\epsilon}(\rho)$ , we have

Therefore

Now let an operator F be defined by

$$F(\rho) = \int_{0}^{b} \frac{b}{\phi(t,x)} P^{\epsilon}(\rho)(t,x,y) dy,$$

so that

$$e^{\epsilon}$$
, t + d[F( $\rho^{\epsilon}$ ) - F( $\rho^{0}$ )] = 0( $\epsilon^{1/2}$ ).

Since  $\|F'(\rho,\sigma)\|_{m}$ 

$$= \| \int_{\phi(t,x)} \mathsf{P}^{\epsilon'}(\rho,\sigma)(t,x,y) \, \mathrm{d}y - \mathsf{P}^{\epsilon}(\rho) \, \sigma \mid_{\Gamma(\phi)} \|_{\mathsf{m}} \leq \mathsf{C} \, \epsilon,$$
 we can show that  $\nu(t) = \| e^{\epsilon}(t) \|_{\mathsf{C}(I)}$  satisfies the inequality

 $\nu(t) \le C \int_0^t \nu(\tau) d\tau + C e^{1/2} t$ , and  $\nu(0) = 0$ .

Therefore we have  $\nu$  (t)  $\leq C e^{1/2}$  in some finite interval [0,T].

### References

- [ 1] Dervieux, A.: A perturbation study of free boundary problem, Thesis (in French) University of Paris (1981).
- [2] Ichihara, I. and Fukutake, T.: Numerical calculation of unsteady slag flow in the blast furnace hearth during tapping, SYSTEMS, Publication of Japan UNIVAC Users Association, 39 (1976), 39-45.
- [3] Kawarada, H.: Numerical methods for free surface problems by means of penalty, Lecture Notes in Math., <u>704</u>, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [4] Kawarada, H. and Hanada, T.: Asymptotic behaviors of the solution of an elliptic equation with penalty terms, Technical Summary Report, 2533, Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin, 1983.
- [5] Kawarada, H. and Natori, M.: On numerical solutions of Stefan problem I, Memoirs of Numerical Mathematics, 1 (1974), 43-54.
- [6] Kawarada, H. and Natori, M.: On numerical solutions of Stefan problem II, Unique existence of numerical solution, Memoirs of Numerical Mathematics, 2 (1975), 1-20.
- [7] Kawarada, H. and Natori, M.: On numerical methods for the Stefan problem by means of the finite difference and the penalty, Functional Analysis and Numerical Analysis (Proc. Japan-France Seminar, JSPS, Tokyo, 1978), 183-201.

- [8] Ladyzhenskaya, O. and Vral'tseva, N.: Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Academic Press (1968).
- [ 9] Lions, J.L.: Perturbations singulieres daus les problemes aux limites et en controle optimal, Lecture Notes in Math., 323, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [10] Natori, M. and Kawarada, H.: An application of the integrated penalty method to free boundary problems of Laplace equation, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. 3, (1981), 1-17.
- [11] Natori, M. and Kawarada, H.: Numerical solution of free boundary problem for unsteady slag flow in the hearth,

  Technical Summary Report, 2536, Mathematics Research

  Center, University of Wisconsin, (1983).
- [12] Hanzawa, E.: Classical solutions of the stefan problem,
  Tohoku Math. Journ., 33 (1978), 297-335.