A NOTE ON MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Hitoshi SAITOH (Gunma College of Technology) 斎藤 斉 (群馬高専)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$ denote the class of functions of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_n z^n \qquad (a_p = 1; p \in N = \{1,2,3,---\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$.

A function f(z) belonging the class A is said to be p-valently $\alpha\text{--convex}$ in the unit disk U if and only if

(1.2)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)} + \alpha (1 + \frac{zf^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)}) \right\} > 0$$

for some real α , and for all $z \in U(cf.[5])$.

Denoting by $A_p(\alpha)$ the subclass of A_p consisting of functions which are p-valently α -convex in the unit disk U, we see that $A_p(\alpha)$ is the generalization class of α -convex functions studied by Miller, Mocanu and Reade [2] (or [3],[4]).

Recently, Saitoh, Nunokawa, Owa, Sekine and Fukui [6] have proved some interesting results for functions belonging to the class $A_{_{D}}\left(\alpha\right).$

2. PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)$

We begin with the statements of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([1]). Let $\Phi(u,v)$ be a complex valued function, $\Phi\colon D\to C\ ,\ D\subset C\times C\ (\ C\ \text{is the complex plane}\),$ and let $u=u_1+iu_2$, $v=v_1+iv_2$. Suppose that the function $\Phi(u,v)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\Phi(u,v)$ is continuous in D;
- (ii) $(1,0) \in D \text{ and } Re\{\Phi(1,0)\} > 0$;
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}\{\Phi(\mathrm{iu}_2, \mathrm{v}_1)\} \le 0$ for all $(\mathrm{iu}_2, \mathrm{v}_1) \in D$ and such that $\mathrm{v}_1 \le -(1+\mathrm{u}_2^{\ 2})/2.$

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ---$ be regular in the unit disk U such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$ for all $z \in U$.

Ιf

$$Re\{\Phi(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0$$
 (z ϵ U),

then

$$Re\{p(z)\} > 0$$
 $(z \in U)$.

LEMMA 2 ([6]). If $f(z) \in A_p(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \ge 1$, then

(2.1)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\operatorname{zf}^{(p)}(z)}{f(p-1)(z)}\right\} > \frac{-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+8)}}{4}$$

for z ε U.

PROOF. Define the function g(z) by

(2.2)
$$\frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)} = \beta + (1 - \beta)g(z)$$

for $f(z) \in A_p(\alpha)$, where

$$\beta(\alpha) = \frac{-\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha + 8)}}{4}$$

It follows from the above that g(z) is regular in the unit disk U, and that $g(z) = 1 + g_1 z + g_2 z^2 + ---$.

Making the logarithmic differentiations of both sides in (2.2), we have

(2.4)
$$1 + \frac{zf^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)} = \beta + (1 - \beta)g(z) + \frac{(1 - \beta)zg'(z)}{\beta + (1 - \beta)g(z)}.$$

Thus we can see that

(2.5)
$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-\alpha)\frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)} + \alpha(1+\frac{zf^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)})\}$$
$$= \operatorname{Re}\{\beta + (1-\beta)g(z) + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)zg'(z)}{\beta + (1-\beta)g(z)}\} > 0$$

for $f(z) \in A_{D}(\alpha)$. Letting

(2.6)
$$\Phi(u,v) = \beta + (1 - \beta)u + \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)v}{\beta + (1 - \beta)u},$$

(note that u = g(z) and v = zg'(z)), we know that

- (i) $\Phi(u,v)$ is continuous in D = (C $\{\beta/(\beta 1)\}$) × C;
- (ii) $(1,0) \in D \text{ and } Re\{\Phi(1,0)\} = 1 > 0$;
- (iii) for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \le -(1 + u_2^2)/2$,

$$Re\{\Phi(iu_{2},v_{1})\} = \beta + \frac{\alpha\beta(1-\beta)v_{1}}{\beta^{2} + (1-\beta)^{2}u_{2}^{2}}$$

$$\leq \beta - \frac{\alpha\beta(1-\beta)(1+u_{2}^{2})}{2\{\beta^{2} + (1-\beta)^{2}u_{2}^{2}\}}$$

≤ 0

Therefore, the function $\Phi(u,v)$ defined by (2.6) satisfies the conditions Lemma 1. It follows from this fact that $\text{Re}\{g(z)\} > 0$, that is, that

(2.7)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)}\right\} > \beta$$
,

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. Let k denote the real number such that 0 < k < 1. Then we have the following inequality.

(2.8)
$$\cos k\theta \ge \cos^k \theta$$
 ($|\theta| < \pi/2$).

PROOF. We put

$$F(\theta) = \cos k\theta - \cos^k \theta$$
.

Then we have

$$F'(\theta) = k(\frac{\sin\theta}{\cos^{1-k}\theta} - \sinh\theta) \ge 0$$

and F(0) = 0.

It follows from the above that

$$F(\theta) \ge 0$$
.

Therefore, we have

$$cosk\theta \ge cos^k\theta$$
.

Consequently, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.

Applying the above lemmas, we prove

THEOREM. If $f(z) = A_{p}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \ge 1$, then

(2.9)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\}^{k} > \left\{\frac{1}{3-2\beta(\alpha)}\right\}^{k}$$
 (z ϵ U),

where $\beta(\alpha)$ is given by (2.3) and 0 < k \leq 1 (k ; real number).

PROOF. Step 1. First, we prove for k = 1.

Define the function g(z) by

(2.10)
$$\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z} = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)g(z)$$

with

$$(2.11) \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{3 - 2\beta(\alpha)} .$$

Then $g(z) = 1 + g_1 z + g_2 z^2 + ---$ is regular in U. Making use of

the logarithmic differentiations of both sides in (2.10), we have

(2.12)
$$\frac{zf^{(p)}(z)}{f^{(p-1)}(z)} = 1 + \frac{(1-\gamma)zg'(z)}{\gamma + (1-\gamma)g(z)}.$$

Using Lemma 2, (2.12) leads to

(2.13)
$$Re\{\frac{zf(p)(z)}{f(p-1)(z)} - \beta(\alpha)\}$$

$$= Re\{1 - \beta(\alpha) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)zg'(z)}{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)g(z)}\}$$
> 0.

Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$, and

(2.14)
$$\Phi(u,v) = 1 - \beta(\alpha) + \frac{(1-\gamma)v}{\gamma + (1-\gamma)u}$$

(note that u = g(z) and v = zg'(z)). Then, it follows from (2.14) that

(i)
$$\Phi(u,v)$$
 is continuous in $D = (C - \{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\}) \times C$;

(ii)
$$(1,0) \in D$$
 and $Re\{\Phi(1,0)\} = 1 - \beta(\alpha) > 0$;

(iii) for all (iu_2,v_1)
$$\epsilon$$
 D such that v_1 \leq -(1 + u_2^2)/2 ,
$$\gamma (1-\gamma) v_1$$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \Phi \left(\text{iu}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{1} \right) \right\} &= 1 - \beta \left(\alpha \right) + \frac{\gamma \left(1 - \gamma \right) \mathbf{v}_{1}}{\gamma^{2} + \left(1 - \gamma \right)^{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{2}} \\ &\leq 1 - \beta \left(\alpha \right) - \frac{\gamma \left(1 - \gamma \right) \left(1 + \mathbf{u}_{2}^{2} \right)}{2 \left\{ \gamma^{2} + \left(1 - \gamma \right)^{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{2} \right\}} \\ &= \frac{\left(1 - \gamma \right) \left(1 - 2\beta \left(\alpha \right) \right) \mathbf{u}_{2}^{2}}{2 \left\{ \gamma^{2} + \left(1 - \gamma \right)^{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{2} \right\}} \end{split}$$

 ≤ 0 ,

because $1-2\beta(\alpha)\leq 0$ for $\alpha\geq 1$. Thus the function $\varphi(u,v)$ defined by (2.14) satisfies the conditions in Lemma 1. This implies that $\text{Re}\{g(z)\}>0$ ($z\in U$), that is, that

(2.15) Re
$$\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\} > \gamma$$
.

Therefore, we complete the assertion for k = 1.

Step 2. In the next place, we prove for 0 < k < 1.

Letting

(2.16)
$$\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z} = h(z)$$

and

(2.17)
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{3 - 2\beta(\alpha)} > 0 .$$

In Step 1, we have

(2.18)
$$Re\{h(z)\} > \gamma > 0$$
.

Now, we put

$$h(z) = \rho(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$$
.

From (2.18), we can see that

(2.19)
$$\rho \cos \theta > \gamma > 0$$
 ($|\theta| < \pi/2$).

Therefore,

$$Re\{h(z)\}^{k} = Re\{\rho(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)\}^{k}$$

$$= Re \rho^{k}(\cos k\theta + i\sin k\theta)$$

$$= \rho^{k} \cos k\theta$$

$$\geq \rho^{k} \cos^{k}\theta \qquad (by Lemma 3)$$

$$= (\rho \cdot \cos\theta)^{k}$$

$$\geq \gamma^{k}$$

Hence, we complete the assertion for 0 < k < 1. Accordingly, we complete the proof of Theorem.

Making $\alpha = 1$ and p = 1 in Theorem, we have

COROLLARY. If the function $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ---$ is convex in U, then

(2.20)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z}\right\}^{k} > \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} \qquad (z \in U)$$

for all real number $k (0 < k \le 1)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S.Miller, Differential inequalities and Caratheodory functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81(1975), 79-81.
- [2] S.S.Miller, P.T.Mocanu and M.O.Reade, All α -convex functions are univalent and starlike, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37(1973), 553-554.
- [3] P.T.Mocanu and M.O.Reade, On generalized convexity in conformal mappings, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 16(1971), 1541-1544.
- [4] P.T.Mocanu and M.O.Reade, The order of starlikeness of certain univalent functions, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 18(1971), 815.
- [5] M.Nunokawa, On the theory of multivalent functions, Tsukuba J. Math. 11(2)(1987), 273-286.
- [6] H.Saitoh, M.Nunokawa, S.Owa, T.Sekine and S.Fukui, A remark on multivalent functions, Bull. Soc. Royale Sci. Liege, 56e(2) (1987), 137-141.