

Non Zero-Divisors in the Cohomology Ring
of a Finite Group

Tetsuro Okuyama (Osaka City Univ.)
奥山 哲郎 (大阪市立大学)

0. Introduction

In my lecture we discuss Carlson's problem on the index of a module over a finite group algebra. The concept of the index of a module was introduced by Carlson in his lecture at Conference of Tsukuba ICRA '90. There he defined the index in terms of homogeneous systems of parameters for cohomology rings and modules involving exactness conditions on some Koszul complexes. Then he and Benson [5],[6] showed that the index can be defined using the notion of quasi-regular sequences in cohomology rings.

It is very interesting problem to calculate the index of the trivial module because it provides many informations on generators and their relations in the cohomology ring of a finite group.

We first prove "Old" theorem on the existence of non zero-divisors in the cohomology ring applying a "new" argument by Benson-Carlson [4]. As a consequence we can show that the index of the trivial module of a finite simple group of 2-rank 3 is 0.

Next we discuss "relative projective covers" of a module introduced by Knörr [8]. I believe that "relative projective

covers" provides a useful tool to investigate the cohomology ring. Asai [2] and Asai-Sasaki [3] used it to calculate the cohomology ring of finite groups with dihedral 2-Sylow subgroups.

1. Index of a Module

Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$. For a kG -module M , let $H^n(G, M) = \text{Ext}_{kG}^n(k, M)$ and $H^*(G, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n(G, M)$. It is known that $H^*(G, k)$ is a "commutative" noetherian graded ring and $H^*(G, M)$ is a finitely generated graded $H^*(G, k)$ -module.

Definition (1.1). Let M be a kG -module and ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r be homogeneous elements in $H^*(G, k)$ with $\text{deg} \rho_i = r_i$. Then ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r is said to be a quasi-regular sequence of index t for M if for each $s (1 \leq s \leq r)$, the map given as multiplication by ρ_s

$$\tilde{\rho}_s : H^n(G, M) / \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} H^{n-r_i}(G, M) \rho_i \longrightarrow H^{n+r_s}(G, M) / \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} H^{n+r_s-r_i}(G, M) \rho_i$$

is injective for all $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} r_i + t$.

A quasi-regular sequence is complete if, in addition, $\tilde{\rho}_r$ is an isomorphism.

Definition (1.2). [6]. Index of $M = \min\{t: \text{there exists a complete quasi-regular sequence of index } t \text{ for } M\}$.

Remark (1.3). At Tsukuba Conference '90 Carlson defined the index by using "Koszul complex" of certain types. The equivalence of these two definitions was proved in Benson=Carlson [5] and Carlson [6].

Concerning the index of a module Carlson asked the following.

Carlson's Problem (1.4). Is the index of k zero ?

As is remarked in [6] the following is known :

Example (1.5). The index of k is zero in the following cases.

- (1). The Sylow p -subgroup of G is abelian
- (2). $p=2$ and the Sylow 2-subgroup is extra special [9]
- (3). $G=GL(n, q^m)$, q a prime $\neq p$ [10]
- (4). G has p -rank 2
- (5). $p=2$ and G is M_{12} , $G_2(q)$ or ${}^3D_4(q)$, q an odd prime power [1].

It is known [6] that the index of an indecomposable module is at most the index of its source module. In the rest of our discussion we assume that G is a p -group.

2. Non Zero-Divisors

Let ρ be in $H^r(G, k)$. Using an isomorphism $H^r(G, k) \cong \text{Hom}_{kG}(\Omega^r(k), k)$ we regard ρ in $\text{Hom}_{kG}(\Omega^r(k), k)$. Then we obtain an exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_\rho \rightarrow \Omega^r(k) \xrightarrow{\rho} k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{where } L_\rho = \text{Ker } \rho.$$

Tensoring $\Omega^n(k)$ with (2.1) we have an exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow L_\rho \otimes \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow \Omega^r(k) \otimes \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow 0$. Use the fact that $L_\rho \otimes \Omega^n(k) \cong \Omega^n(L_\rho) \oplus \text{projectives}$ and $\Omega^r(k) \otimes \Omega^n(k) \cong \Omega^{n+r}(k) \oplus \text{projectives}$ to obtain

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(L_\rho) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+r}(k) \oplus P \rightarrow \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{where } P \text{ is projective (or } 0).$$

The following is a very useful result :

Theorem (2.3). [4]. The map given as multiplication by ρ : $H^n(G, k) \rightarrow H^{n+r}(G, k)$ is injective if and only if $P=0$.

Using the above theorem we shall prove

Old theorem(2.4). Let Z be a central subgroup of G of order p . If ρ_Z is not nilpotent, then ρ is not a divisor of zero.

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \Omega_Z^n(k) \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ be projective resolutions of k as a kG -module and as a kG/Z -module respectively. Using the projectivity of P_i 's we obtain the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(k) & \rightarrow & P_n & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & P_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma_n & & & & \downarrow \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow \Omega_Z^n(k) & \rightarrow & Q_n & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & Q_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Tensoring $\Omega^n(k)$ and $\Omega_Z^n(k)$ with (2.1) we have a commutative diagram :

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow L_\rho \otimes \Omega^n(k) & \rightarrow & \Omega^r(k) \otimes \Omega^n(k) & \rightarrow & \Omega^n(k) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow I_{L_\rho} \otimes \gamma_n & & \downarrow \gamma_n & & \\ 0 \rightarrow L_\rho \otimes \Omega_Z^n(k) & \rightarrow & \Omega^r(k) \otimes \Omega_Z^n(k) & \rightarrow & \Omega_Z^n(k) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

As ρ_Z is not nilpotent, L_ρ is projective. Thus $L_\rho \otimes Q_i$ is for all i and therefore $I_{L_\rho} \otimes \gamma_n$ is an isomorphism "modulo projectives". So we have from (2.5) the following commutative diagram :

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(L_\rho) & \rightarrow & \Omega^{n+r}(k) \oplus P & \rightarrow & \Omega^n(k) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{iso.} & & \downarrow \gamma_n & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(L_\rho) & \rightarrow & \Omega^r(\Omega_Z^n(k)) \oplus Q & \rightarrow & \Omega_Z^n(k) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}, \text{ where } P \text{ and } Q \text{ are}$$

projective (or zero).

Using the fact that $\Omega^n(L_\rho)_Z$ is projective and $\text{Ker} \Omega_Z^n(k) \supset Z$ we can conclude that Q is 0. By (2.6) we have an exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{n+r}(k) \oplus P \rightarrow \Omega^r(\Omega_Z^n(k)) \oplus \Omega^n(k) \rightarrow \Omega_Z^n(k) \rightarrow 0$$

and it follows that P is 0. Thus by Theorem (2.3) ρ is not a divisor of zero.

We can generalize the above argument to prove the following :

Theorem (2.7). Let r be a p -rank of $Z(G)$. Then there exists a quasi-regular sequence ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r of index 0 for k

such that $L_{\rho_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\rho_r} Z(G)$ is projective.

Using Corollary 9.11[5] we have

Corollary (2.8). If p -rank of $Z(G) \geq p$ -rank of $G - 1$, then the index of k is zero.

Example (2.9). If $G = U_3(2^m)$ or $Suz(2^m)$, then the index of k is zero for $p=2$. Using the classification of finite simple groups of 2-rank 3 [7] and the result (1.5) (4), (5) we know that that the index of k is zero for finite simple groups 2-rank ≤ 3 for $p=2$.

3. Relative Projective Covers

$H^r(G, k) = \text{Ext}_{kG}^r(k, k)$. Let ρ be in $H^r(G, k)$ and

(3.1) $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow X_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ be a corresponding exact sequence of length r .

The following is easy to prove :

Proposition (3.2). For each $n \geq 0$,

$$(3.3) \quad \dim_k H^{n+r}(G, k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \dim_k H^{n+i}(G, X_i) + \dim_k H^n(G, k).$$

If "=" holds in (3.3) for all $n \geq 0$, then ρ is not a divisor of zero.

Proposition (3.4). Assume that in (3.1) for each i , X_i is \mathcal{X}_i -projective for some family \mathcal{X}_i of subgroups of G . If "=" in (3.3) holds for all H in \mathcal{X}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), then "=" holds for G .

Proof. This follows from Higman's Criterion for \mathcal{X}_i -projective modules.

We "want to" have ρ such that

(3.5) For each i , $X_i = \bigoplus_j k_{H_{ij}}^G$, where $H_{ij} \subsetneq G$ (so that $H^n(G, X_i) \cong \bigoplus_j H^n(H_{ij}, k)$) and

(3.6) "=" in (3.3) holds.

If we have such a ρ , then (I believe) we can apply induction argument to solve Carlson's Problem (1.4). In order to find such a ρ I think that "relative projective covers" of modules will be useful.

Definition (3.7) [8]. Let \mathcal{X} be a family of subgroups of G and M be a kG -module. Then there exists a unique short exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that

- (1) X is \mathcal{X} -projective
- (2) the sequence is \mathcal{X} -split
- (3) N has no \mathcal{X} -projective direct summand.

The sequence is called an \mathcal{X} -projective cover of M and we denote $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}(M)$ for N . If $\mathcal{X} = \{1\}$, then an \mathcal{X} -projective cover is a usual projective cover of a module.

Example (3.8).

(1) $G = \langle x, y : x^2 = y^2 = (xy)^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$, a dihedral 2-group of order 2^{n+1} .

$\mathcal{X} = \{ \langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle \}$. Then $\Omega^{\circ} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}(k) = k$. We have the following :

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow kG \rightarrow k_{\langle x \rangle} \oplus k_{\langle y \rangle} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{see [2]})$$

(2) G a (generalized) quaternion 2-group. Then $\Omega^4(k) = k$ and we have the following :

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow kG \rightarrow kG \oplus kG \rightarrow kG \oplus kG \rightarrow kG \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

(3) $p = \text{odd}$, $G = M_m(p) = \langle x, y : x^p = y^{p^{m-1}} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{1+p^{m-2}} \rangle$ ($m \geq 3$).

$\mathcal{X} = \{ \langle x \rangle \}$. Then $\Omega^{2(p-1)} \circ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^2(k) = k$ and we have the following :

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow kG \xrightarrow[2(p-1)]{\dots} kG^{(r)} \rightarrow k_{\langle x \rangle}^G \oplus kG \rightarrow k_{\langle x \rangle}^G \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 .$$

(4) $p = \text{odd}$, $G = M(p) = \langle x, y : x^p = y^p = [x, y]^p = 1 = [[x, y], x] = [[x, y], y] \rangle$.
 $\mathcal{X} = \{ \langle x \rangle, \langle x^i y \rangle, i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \}$. Then $\Omega^{2(p-1)} \circ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^2(k) = k$ and we have the following :

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow kG \xrightarrow[2(p-1)]{\dots} kG^{(r)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{X}} k_A^G \oplus kG^{(t)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{X}} k_A^G \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 .$$

(5) $p = 2$, $G =$ a 2-sylow subgroup of $A_8 = \text{SL}(4, 2)$.

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & axz & & \\ & 1 & by & \\ & & 1 & c \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, H_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ & 1 & by & \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, H_{21} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ax & 0 & \\ & 1 & b & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, H_{22} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & x & 0 \\ & 1 & x+yy & \\ & & 1 & a \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

$$H_{23} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & by & \\ & & 1 & c \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, H_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & b & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, H_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & c \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, a, b, c, x, y, z \in \text{GF}(2).$$

$\mathcal{X}_1 = \{H_1\}$, $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{H_{21}, H_{22}, H_{23}\}$, $\mathcal{X}_3 = \{H_3\}$, $\mathcal{X}_4 = \{H_4\}$. Then

$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_4} \circ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_3} \circ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_2} \circ \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_1}(k) = k$ and we have the following :

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k_{H_4}^G \rightarrow k_{H_3}^G \rightarrow k_{H_{21}}^G \oplus k_{H_{22}}^G \oplus k_{H_{23}}^G \rightarrow k_{H_1}^G \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0 .$$

All the above examples satisfy conditions (3.5) and (3.6).

We use Proposition (3.4) to check the condition (3.6).

Remark (3.9). We can show that for A_8 , $p = 2$, the index of k is zero by Example (3.8), (5). The cohomology ring of A_8 and its 2-Sylow subgroup are already calculated by Tezuka-Yagita [11].

4. Extra Special p -Groups

We conclude our discussion with the following observation on which I could not talk in my lecture.

Let G be an extra special p -group of order p^{2r+1} .

If $p=2$, then

(4.1) $G = D_8 * \underbrace{\dots * D_8}_r$, the central product of r copies of a dihedral 2-group D_8 of order 8 or

(4.2) $G = Q_8 * D_8 * \underbrace{\dots * D_8}_{r-1}$, the central product of a quaternion 2-group Q_8 of order 8 and $(r-1)$ copies of D_8 .

Put $\mathcal{X}_s = \{P \subseteq G: P \cap Z(G) = 1, |P| = 2^s\}$. Then we can show the following :

Proposition (4.3).

- (1) In case (4.1) $\prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} 2^{r-1-s} \circ_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_r}} (k) = k$.
- (2) In case (4.2) $\prod_{s=0}^{r-2} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} 2^{r-s} \circ_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{r-1}}} 4 (k) = k$.

Taking relative projective covers of k as in Proposition (4.3) we obtain in case (4.1) (resp. (4.2)) an exact sequence of length $n=2^r$ (resp. 2^{r+1}) of the form :

$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$ where X_i is \mathcal{X}_{s_i} -projective for some s_i . This sequence satisfies (3.5).

Question (4.4). Does the above sequence satisfy (3.6) ?

If p is odd, then let $\mathcal{X}_s = \{P \subseteq G: P \cap Z(G) = 1, |P| = p^s\}$.

Question (4.5).

- (1) Is $\prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} 2^{(p-1)p^{r-1-s}} \circ_{\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_r}} 2 (k) = k$?

(2) the same question as in (4.4).

Remark (4.6). If $r=1$, then questions (4.4) and (4.5) are answered in Example (3.8).

References

- [1] A.Adem, J.Maginnis and R.J.Milgram; The geometry and cohomology of the Mathieu group M_{12} , J.Alg.139(1991).
- [2] T.Asai; A dimension formula of the cohomology ring of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, to appear.
- [3] T.Asai and H.Sasaki; Lecture in this conference.
- [4] D.J.Benson and J.F.Carlson; Diagrammatic methods for modular representations and cohomology, Comm. in Alg.15(1987).
- [5] D.J.Benson and J.F.Carlson; Projective resolutions and Poincare duality complexes, to appear.
- [6] J.F.Carlson; Projective resolutions and degree shifting for cohomology and group rings, to appear.
- [7] D.Gorenstein and K.Harada; Finite simple groups of low 2-rank and the families $G_2(q)$, $D_4^2(q)$, q odd, Bull. A.M.S.77(1971).
- [8] R.Knörr; Relative projective covers, in Proc. Symp. on Modular Repre. Finite Groups, Aarhus Univ. 1978.
- [9] D.Quillen; The mod-2 cohomology ring of extra-special 2-groups and the spinor groups, Math. Ann.194(1971).
- [10] D.Quillen; On the cohomology and K-theory of the general linear groups over a finite field, Ann. of Math.19(1972)
- [11] M.Tezuka and N.Yagita; The cohomology of subgroups of $GL_n(F_q)$, Contemporary Math.19(1983).