On Termination of One-Rule String Rewriting Systems

天理大学教養部辻 (式島) 佳代子 (Kayoko Shikishima-Tsuji¹)京都産業大学理学部勝良 昌司 (Masashi Katsura²)東邦大学理学部小林 * 治 (Yuji Kobayashi³)

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. The free monoid and the free semigroup generated by Σ are denoted by Σ^* and Σ^+ , respectively. The length of a word x in Σ^* is denoted by |x|. For $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, we set $OVL(x, y) = \{z \in \Sigma^+ | x = uz, y = zv \text{ for some } u, v \in \Sigma^+\}$. A rewriting system R on Σ is a subset of $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$. An element (l, r) in R is denoted by $l \to r$. If R contains only one element, R is said to be a one-rule rewriting system. A single step reduction relation \to induced by R is the following relation on Σ^* : For any $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $x \to y$ if and only if there exists $(l, r) \in R$ such that x = ulv, y = urv for some $u, v \in \Sigma^*$. \to^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \to .

A rewriting system R is said to be *confluent* if for any w, x, $y \in \Sigma^*$, $w \to^* x$ and $w \to^* y$ imply $x \to^* z$ and $y \to^* z$ for some $z \in \Sigma^*$. R is *terminating* (or *noetherian*) if there is no infinite sequence x_1, x_2, \cdots such that $x_1 \to x_2 \to \cdots$. A confluent and terminating rewriting system is said to be *complete*.

It is not known whether the completeness is decidable for one-rule rewriting systems. Let $R = \{l \rightarrow r\}$ be a one-rule rewriting system. If $r \in \Sigma^* l \Sigma^*$ then R is always non-terminating. If $|l| \ge |r|$ and $l \ne r$ then R is always terminating.

Result 1 [3] It is decidable whether or not a one-rule rewriting system is confluen.

Result 2 [2] For a confluent one-rule rewriting system $R = \{l \rightarrow r\}$ with |l| < |r|, we can effectively construct a rewriting system $R' = \{l' \rightarrow r'\}$ such that:

- (1) |l'| < |r'| and $OVL(l', l') = \emptyset$.
- (2) R' is terminating if and only if R is terminating.

Hence the completeness problem for one-rule systems is reduced to the termination problem for one-rule systems $R = \{l \to r\}$ with $\text{OVL}(l, l) = \emptyset$. It is not difficult to see that if $\text{OVL}(r, l) = \emptyset$ or $\text{OVL}(l, r) = \emptyset$ then R is terminating. In this note, we consider the case where $\text{OVL}(r, l) = \{p\}$, a singleton.

For each $s \in OVL(l, r)$, we determine $\bar{s} \in \Sigma^*$ by $l = \bar{s}s$. The decidability of the terminating problem for such one-rule systems is given as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $R = \{l \to r\}$ be a one-rule rewriting system such that $OVL(l, l) = \emptyset$ and $OVL(r, l) = \{p\}$. Let l = px, $r = y\bar{s}_k \cdots \bar{s}_1 p$, where $s_1, ..., s_k \in OVL(l, r)$ and $y \notin \Sigma^*\bar{s}$ for any $s \in OVL(l, r)$.

- (1) If there is a reduction of length $|r|^2$ starting with $(y\bar{s}_k \cdots \bar{s}_1)^3$ then R is non-terminating.
- (2) Assume that the maximal length of reductions starting with $(y\bar{s}_k\cdots\bar{s}_1)^3$ is N with $N<|r|^2$. If |x|>|y| and there is a reduction of length 2N+1 starting with $(y\bar{s}_k\cdots\bar{s}_1)^4$ then R is non-terminating, otherwise, R is terminating.

The exact characterization of non-terminating one-rule systems is given as follows.

医大脑性 化二甲基甲烷基甲酚 网络拉拉斯 医电影 医电影 医二氏病 医二氏病

Theorem 2. Let $R = \{l \rightarrow r\}$ be a one-rule rewriting system such that $OVL(l, l) = \emptyset$ and $OVL(r, l) = \{p\}$. Then R is non-terminating if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied. (k, m, n) are positive integers. $x, y, z, w \in \Sigma^+$ and $u, v \in \Sigma^*$.)

- $(1) \quad l \in \Sigma^* r \Sigma^*.$
 - $(2) \quad r = s_k u \, \overline{s}_k \cdots \overline{s}_1 p, \qquad s_1, \dots, s_k \in \text{OVL}(l, r) \cap (x u)^* x.$
 - (3) $l = p(ux)^n$, $r = (xu)^{n+m} \bar{s}_k \cdots \bar{s}_1 p$, $s_1, ..., s_k \in OVL(l,r) \cap (xu)^* x$.
 - (4) $l = p(ux)^n$, $r = (xu)^{n+m} \overline{s}_k \cdots \overline{s}_1 p$, $s_1, ..., s_k \in OVL(l, r)$, $s_1, ..., s_{j-1} \in (xu)^* x$, $s_j \in (xu)^i x$, $1 \le j \le k$, $1 \le i \le 2m$.
 - (5) l = pxy, $r = y(xyz)^m x \bar{s}_k \cdots \bar{s}_1 p$, $s_1, ..., s_k \in \text{OVL}(l, r)$, $s_1 = y(xyz)^m$, xyz = wxy.
 - (6) $l = xy((zx^{mk}y)^{m-1}zy)^{n+1}, \quad r = y((zx^{mk}y)^{m-1}zy)^{n+1}zx^{mk}y$
 - (7) $l = zxyxv (z^{2m+n+1}xyxv)^m x$, p u = zxyxv, $r = xyxv (z^{2m+n+1}xyxv)^m xuz^{2m+n}p$.
 - (8) $l = p u((p u)^k z)^{2m+n} p u(((p u)^k z)^{2m+n} p)^{m-1} x$, pu = zxyxv. $r = xyxvz ((p u)^k z)^{2m+n-1} p u(((p u)^k z)^{2m+n} p)^{m-1} x u((p u)^k z)^{2m+n} p$.
 - (9) $l = zx(yx)^{k-1}(yz^{m+n+1}x(yx)^{k-1})^m yx,$ $r = x(yx)^{k-1}(yz^{m+n+1}x(yx)^{k-1})^m yxyz^{m+n+1}x(yx)^{k-1}.$

References

- in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag (1993).
- [2] K. Shikishima-Tsuji, M. Katsura, Y. Kobayashi, Termination of onerule string rewriting systems, submitted for publication.
- [3] C. Wrathall, Confluence of one-rule Thue systems, Word Equations and Related Topics, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 572 (1992), 237-246.

grander of the second second of the second s

The second of the residual control and the control of the second of the residual control of the second of the second

and the first of the control of the first of the control of the co

the contract of the contract o

The Arm Burker we fit in About the respective

A Committee of the second of t

- ¹ Tenri University
- ² Kyoto Sangyo University
- ³ Toho University