

ON MACAULAYFICATION OF LOCAL RINGS  
—IN THE CASE OF  $\dim \text{non-CM} \leq 2$

KAWASAKI, TAKESI

Tokyo Metropolitan University

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. A birational proper morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be a Macaulayfication of  $X$  if  $Y$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. In 1978 Faltings constructed a Macaulayfication of  $X$  if the dimension of its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus  $\text{non-CM } X$  is at most one. Recently the author constructed a Macaulayfication of  $X$  in the case of  $\text{non-CM } X = 2$ . In the present article, we give another proof of them, which still work in general case except for only one lemma.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. A *Macaulayfication* of  $X$  is a birational proper morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $Y$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. If  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is an affine scheme, then by abuse notation the Macaulayfication  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is said to be the one of  $A$ . In 1978, Faltings [4] gave the notion of Macaulayfication and constructed a Macaulayfication of Noetherian local ring  $A$  if it possesses a dualizing complex and  $\dim \text{non-CM } A \leq 1$ . Here  $\text{non-CM } A = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not Cohen-Macaulay}\}$  is the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of  $A$ , which is closed subset of  $\text{Spec } A$  if  $A$  possesses a dualizing complex. In the present article, we will construct a Macaulayfication of a Noetherian local ring  $A$  in the case of  $\dim \text{non-CM } A \leq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.1** ([9]). *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring possessing a dualizing complex. If  $\text{Ass } A = \text{Assh } A$  and  $\dim \text{non-CM } A \leq 2$ , then  $A$  has a Macaulayfication.*

Here  $\text{Ass } A$  denotes the set of associated prime ideals of  $A$  and  $\text{Assh } A = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A \mid \dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim A\}$ .

The notion of Macaulayfication is an analogue of the resolution of singularities. In 1964, Hironaka [8] gave a resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. However the general resolution problem is still open even a variety over a field of positive characteristic. On the other hand, Faltings'

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14M05, 14B05; Secondary: 13H10, 13A30.

*Key words and phrases*. blowing-up, Cohen-Macaulay scheme, dualizing complex, resolution of singularities.

method to construct a Macaulayfication is independent of the characteristic of  $A$ . In particular, it still works if  $A$  is mixed characteristic. Of course, our method is also independent of the characteristic.

In the last section, we give an application of Macaulayfication. A dualizing complex is an important tool of Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, though we know what rings possesses it not well. It is well-known that a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring possesses a dualizing complex. In 1979, Sharp asked whether its converse is true [14]. Aoyama and Goto [1] gave a partial answer to Sharp's question by using Faltings' Macaulayfication. They showed that Sharp's question is true for a rings with  $\dim \text{non-CM} \leq 1$ . Their argument still works in the case of  $\dim \text{non-CM} = 2$ . We will show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring possessing a dualizing complex. If  $\dim \text{non-CM } A \leq 2$ , then  $A$  is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring.*

Throughout this article,  $A$  denotes a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Assume that  $d = \dim A > 0$ .

## 2. A SYSTEM OF PARAMETERS

In this section, we state on the  $p$ -standard system of parameters, which was introduced by Cuong [2]. First we recall the definition of u.s.d-sequences.

**Definition 2.1** ([7]). Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_u \in A$  is said to be a  $d$ -sequence on  $M$  if

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : x_i x_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : x_j \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq u.$$

A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_u$  is said to be a *u.s.d-sequence* on  $M$  if  $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_u^{n_u}$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $M$  for any integers  $n_1, \dots, n_u > 0$  and in any order.

The following definition and lemmas are useful to find a u.s.d-sequence, which were given by Schenzel [12, 13].

**Definition 2.2.** For any finitely generated  $A$ -module  $M$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}_i(M)$  be the annihilator of  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}(M) = \prod_{i \neq \dim M} \mathfrak{a}_i(M)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. If  $A$  possesses a dualizing complex, then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *For all  $i$ ,  $\dim A/\mathfrak{a}_i(M) \leq i$ . In particular,  $\dim A/\mathfrak{a}(M) < \dim M$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $A$  such that  $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = i$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } A/\mathfrak{a}_i(M)$ . In particular,  $\text{Ass } M = \text{Assh } M$  if and only if  $\dim A/\mathfrak{a}_i(M) < i$  for all  $i < \dim M$ .*
- (3) *If  $M$  is equidimensional, then  $\text{non-CM } M = V(\mathfrak{a}(M))$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_u$  a system of parameters for  $M$ . Then*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : x_i \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : \mathfrak{a}(M) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq u.$$

The following definition is slightly different from Cuong's one.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_u$  is a system of parameters for  $M$ . We say that  $x_1, \dots, x_u$  is a  $p$ -standard system of parameters of type  $s$  if

$$\begin{cases} x_{s+1}, \dots, x_u \in \mathfrak{a}(M) \\ x_i \in \mathfrak{a}(M/(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_u)M) \quad \text{for } i \leq s. \end{cases}$$

If  $A$  possesses a dualizing complex and  $s \leq \dim A/\mathfrak{a}(M)$ , then we can take a  $p$ -standard system of parameters of type  $s$  for  $M$  by using (1) of Lemma 2.3.

The following is the main theorem of this section, which was given by Cuong in his unpublished work.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module,  $x_1, \dots, x_u$  its  $p$ -standard system of parameters of type  $s$  and  $t \leq u$  a positive integer. Then  $x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_u^{n_u}$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $M$  for any integers  $n_t, \dots, n_u > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have to prove that

$$(2.6.1) \quad (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} = (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_j^{n_j}$$

for any  $t \leq i \leq j \leq u$ . If  $j \geq s+1$ , then the both side of (2.6.1) equal to  $(x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : \mathfrak{a}(M)$ .

Assume that  $j \leq s$  and take an element  $a$  of the left hand side of (2.6.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d)M : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} \\ &= (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d)M : x_j^{n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$x_j^{n_j} a \in (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d)M \cap (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i}.$$

The following lemma assures us that the right hand side of this equation is equal to  $(x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *In the same notation as Theorem 2.6,*

$$(2.7.1) \quad (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_u)M \cap (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} = (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M$$

for all  $t \leq i \leq j \leq u$ .

*Proof.* We work by descending induction on  $j$ . If  $j = u$ , then there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $j < u$  and let  $a$  be an element of the left hand side of (2.7.1). Then  $a = b + x_{j+1}c$  with  $b \in (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_u)M$  and  $c \in M$ . By using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &\in (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_u)M : x_i^{n_i} x_{j+1} \\ &= (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_u)M : x_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M : x_i^{n_i} \cap (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_u)M \\ &= (x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}})M \end{aligned}$$

by induction hypothesis.  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The main theorem of this section is the following

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $d \geq 2$  and there is a subsystem of parameters  $x_t, \dots, x_d$  for  $A$  satisfying the following two conditions for some integer  $s \geq t - 1$ :*

(#)  $x_t^{n_t}, \dots, x_s^{n_s}, x_{\sigma(s+1)}^{n_{s+1}}, \dots, x_{\sigma(d)}^{n_d}$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $A$  for any positive integers  $n_t, \dots, n_d$  and for any permutation  $\sigma$  of  $s+1, \dots, d$ .

(%)  $x_t, \dots, x_i$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $A/(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$  for all  $t \leq i \leq s+1$ .

We put  $\mathfrak{q}_i = (x_i, \dots, x_d)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$  and  $X_i = \text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{b}_i T]$  for  $t \leq i \leq s+1$ , where  $T$  is an indeterminate.

If  $s-1 \leq t \leq s+1$ , then  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X_i, p} \geq d-t+1$  for all closed point  $p \in X_t$ .

Theorem 1.1 immediately comes from Theorem 3.1. In fact, if  $d \leq 1$ , then  $A$  itself is Cohen-Macaulay. If  $d \geq 2$ , then  $s = \dim \text{non-CM } A \leq d-2$  by (2) and (3) of Lemma 2.3. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a  $p$ -standard system of parameters of type  $s$  for  $A$ . Theorem 2.6 says that  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  satisfies (#) and (%). Hence  $X_1$  is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. From now on, we use the notation of Theorem 3.1. Of course,  $x_{t+1}, \dots, x_d$  satisfy (#) and (%) as a system of parameters for  $A/x_t^l A$  for any positive integer  $l \leq s+1$ . Furthermore, they satisfy (#) and (%) as a system of parameters for  $A$ . For example, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_i x_j &= \bigcap_l (x_t^l, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_i x_j \\ &= \bigcap_l (x_t^l, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_j \\ &= (x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_j \end{aligned}$$

by Krull's intersection theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $y_0, \dots, y_u \in A$ . If  $y_1, \dots, y_u$  is a  $d$ -sequence on  $A/y_0A$ , then*

$$(3.2.1) \quad (y_1, \dots, y_k)(y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0 = (y_1, \dots, y_k)[(y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0] + 0 : y_0$$

for all  $n > 0$  and  $1 \leq k \leq u$ .

*Proof.* We work by induction on  $k$ . Let  $k = 1$  and  $a$  an element of the left hand side of (3.2.1). Then  $y_0a = y_1b$  with  $b \in (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n$ . By using Theorem 1.3 of [7],  $b \in (y_0) : y_1 \cap (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n \subseteq (y_0)$ . If we put  $b = y_0a'$ , then  $a' \in (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0$  and  $a - y_1a' \in 0 : y_0$ . Thus  $a$  belongs to the right hand side of (3.2.1).

Assume that  $k \geq 2$  and let  $a$  be an element of the left hand side of (3.2.1). We put  $y_0a = y_kb + b'$  with  $b \in (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n$  and  $b' \in (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})(y_1, \dots, y_u)^n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &\in (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) : y_k \cap [(y_0) + (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n] \\ &= (y_0) + (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})(y_1, \dots, y_u)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

by using Theorem 1.3 of [7] again. Let

$$b = y_0a' + c$$

with  $c \in (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})(y_1, \dots, y_u)^{n-1}$ . Then  $a' \in (y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a - y_ka' &\in (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})(y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0 \\ &= (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})[(y_1, \dots, y_u)^n : y_0] + 0 : y_0 \end{aligned}$$

by induction hypothesis. The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following is a bottle neck of the general Macaulayfication problem.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $i = s$  or  $s + 1$ , then*

$$\mathfrak{q}_{i-1}[\mathfrak{b}_i^n : x_{i-1}^l] \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_i^n \quad \text{for all } n > 0 \text{ and } l > 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $i = s + 1$ . Then Lemma 3.2 says that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n : x_s^l &= \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} : x_s^l] + 0 : x_s^l \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} : x_s] + 0 : x_s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the assertion.

Next assume that  $i = s$ . We prove

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathfrak{b}_s^n : x_{s-1}^l = \mathfrak{b}_s^{n-1}\mathfrak{q}_{s+1}[\mathfrak{q}_s : x_{s-1}] + x_s^n\mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} : x_{s-1}] + 0 : x_{s-1}$$

for all  $n > 0$  and  $l > 0$ . Let  $a$  be an element of the left hand side of (3.3.1). Then by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in \mathfrak{q}_s^{2n} : x_{s-1}^l \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_s^{2n-1} [\mathfrak{q}_s : x_{s-1}] + 0 : x_{s-1} \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_s^{n-1} \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n [\mathfrak{q}_s : x_{s-1}] + 0 : x_{s-1} + x_s^n \mathfrak{q}_s^{n-1} [\mathfrak{q}_s : x_{s-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may assume that  $a = x_s^n a'$  with  $a' \in \mathfrak{q}_s^{n-1} [\mathfrak{q}_s : x_{s-1}]$ . Then

$$x_{s-1}^l x_s^n a' \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{2n} + \cdots + x_s^{n-1} \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n+1} + x_s^n \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n.$$

We put  $x_{s-1}^l x_s^n a' = b + x_s^n b'$  with  $b \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n+1}$  and  $b' \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s-1}^l a' - b' &\in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n+1} : x_s^n \cap \mathfrak{q}_s \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n [\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} : x_s] + 0 : x_s \cap \mathfrak{q}_s \\ &\subset \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a' \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^n : x_{s-1}^l = \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{n-1} [\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} : x_{s-1}] + 0 : x_{s-1}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Thus (3.3.1) is proved and the assertion comes from it.  $\square$

Next we consider affine charts of  $X_i$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}_i &= (x_{s+1}^{s-i+2}, \dots, x_d^{s-i+2}) \\ &\quad + (x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} x_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_{k-2}} x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1} \mid i \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{k-1} \leq s < \alpha_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \leq i \leq s+1$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *The ideal  $\mathfrak{c}_i$  is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{b}_i$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{b}_i^n = \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1}$  for a sufficiently large  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We work by descending induction on  $i$ . If  $i = s+1$ , then  $\mathfrak{b}_{s+1} = \mathfrak{c}_{s+1} = \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$ . There is nothing to prove.

Assume that  $i \leq s$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_j^n = \mathfrak{c}_j \mathfrak{b}_j^{n-1}$  for all  $i < j \leq s+1$  and for a sufficiently large  $n$ . Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $0 \leq k \leq s-i$ . Then, since  $x_{i+k}^{k+1} \mathfrak{c}_{i+k+1} \subset \mathfrak{c}_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{i+k-1}^{k-1} \mathfrak{q}_{i+k}^{kn - \binom{k}{2}} \mathfrak{b}_{i+k}^n &= \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{i+k-1}^{k-1} \mathfrak{q}_{i+k}^{(k+1)n - \binom{k}{2}} \mathfrak{b}_{i+k+1}^n \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{i+k}^k \mathfrak{q}_{i+k+1}^{(k+1)n - \binom{k+1}{2}} \mathfrak{b}_{i+k+1}^n \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{i+k-1}^{k-1} \mathfrak{q}_{i+k}^{(k+1)(n-1) - \binom{k}{2}} [x_{i+k}^{k+1} \mathfrak{c}_{i+k+1} \mathfrak{b}_{i+k+1}^{n-1}] \\ &\subset \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{i+k}^k \mathfrak{q}_{i+k+1}^{(k+1)n - \binom{k+1}{2}} \mathfrak{b}_{i+k+1}^n + \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{b}_i^n &= \mathfrak{q}_i^n \mathfrak{b}_{i+1}^n \\
&\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_{i+1}^n \mathfrak{b}_{i+1}^n + \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1} \\
&\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \mathfrak{q}_{i+2}^{2n-1} \mathfrak{b}_{i+2}^n + \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1} \\
&\quad \dots \\
&\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \mathfrak{q}_{i+2}^2 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s^{s-i} \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{(s-i+2)n - \binom{s-i+1}{2}} + \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1} \\
&= \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{b}_i^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

because  $(x_{s+1}^{s-i+2}, \dots, x_d^{s-i+2}) \subset \mathfrak{c}_i$  is a reduction of  $\mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$ .  $\square$

Thus  $X_i$  is covered by spectrum of such rings as

$$A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_\alpha^{s-i+2}] = A\left[\frac{x_i}{x_\alpha}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_\alpha}\right]$$

with  $s+1 \leq \alpha \leq d$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
&A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} x_{\alpha_2}^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}] \\
&= A\left[\frac{x_i}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_{\alpha_1-1}}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \frac{x_{\alpha_2}}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \frac{x_{\alpha_1+1}}{x_{\alpha_2}}, \dots, \frac{x_{\alpha_{k-1}-1}}{x_{\alpha_{k-1}}} \frac{x_{\alpha_k}}{x_{\alpha_{k-1}}} \frac{x_{\alpha_{k-1}+1}}{x_{\alpha_k}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_{\alpha_k}}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

with  $i \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{k-1} \leq s < \alpha_k \leq d$ . Assume that  $i > t$ . Then it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
A[\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}/x_\alpha^{s-i+3}] &= A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_\alpha^{s-i+2}][x_{i-1}/x_\alpha], \\
A[\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}/x_{i-1} x_\alpha^{s-i+2}] &= A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_\alpha^{s-i+2}][x_\alpha/x_{i-1}], \\
A[\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}] &= A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}][x_{i-1}/x_{\alpha_1}], \\
A[\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}/x_{i-1} x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}] &= A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}][x_{\alpha_1}/x_{i-1}], \\
\mathfrak{q}_i A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_\alpha^{s-i+2}] &= x_\alpha A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_\alpha^{s-i+2}]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{q}_i A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}] = x_{\alpha_1} A[\mathfrak{b}_i/x_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_1-i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{s-\alpha_{k-1}+1}].$$

Therefore

**Corollary 3.5.** *The sheaf  $\mathfrak{q}_{i-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_i}$  of ideals is locally generated by two elements and  $X_{i-1}$  is the blowing-up of  $X_i$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{q}_{i-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_i}$  for all  $t < i \leq s+1$ .*

Now we prove Theorem 3.1 by induction on  $t$ . We may assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed without loss of generality: see the proof of [6, Proposition 3.5].

If  $t = s + 1$ , then  $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$  is a u.s.d.-sequence on  $A$ . Let  $R = A[\mathfrak{q}_{s+1}T]$  and  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}R + R_+$ . Then  $H_{\mathfrak{M}}^i(R)$  is finitely graded for all  $i \leq d - s$ , that is, the homogeneous component  $[H_{\mathfrak{M}}^i(R)]_n$  is zero for all but finitely many  $n$ . By using [3, Satz 1], we have  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X_{s+1}, p} \geq d - s$  for all closed point  $p \in X_{s+1}$ .

Next we assume that  $t \leq s$  and let  $p$  be a closed point of  $X_t$ . Since the blowing-up  $X_t \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is a closed map, we have an expression:

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} = A \left[ \frac{x_t}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \frac{x_{t+1}}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_{\alpha_k}} \right]_{(\mathfrak{m}, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t, x_{t+1}/x_{\alpha_1} - a_{t+1}, \dots)}$$

(or  $\mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} = A[\mathfrak{b}_t/x_{\alpha_1}^{s-t+2}]_{(\mathfrak{m}, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t, x_{t+1}/x_{\alpha_1} - a_{t+1}, \dots)}$ ) with  $a_t, a_{t+1}, \dots \in A$ . Assume that  $\alpha_1 > t$  and let  $l$  be a positive integer. Let

$$B = A \left[ \frac{x_{t+1}}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_{\alpha_k}} \right]_{(\mathfrak{m}, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t, x_{t+1}/x_{\alpha_1} - a_{t+1}, \dots)},$$

$$B^{(l)} = A/x_t^l A \left[ \frac{x_{t+1}}{x_{\alpha_1}}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{x_{\alpha_k}} \right]_{(\mathfrak{m}, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t, x_{t+1}/x_{\alpha_1} - a_{t+1}, \dots)}$$

and  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the maximal ideal of  $B$ . Since  $x_{t+1}, \dots, x_d$  satisfies (#) and (%) as a subsystem of parameters for  $A$  and for  $A/x_t^l A$ , the induction hypothesis says that  $\text{depth } B, \text{depth } B^{(l)} \geq d - t$ .

We compute  $H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^i(B)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}_t B$  is generated by  $x_t$  and  $x_{\alpha_1}$ , which are non-zero divisor on  $B$ , we have  $H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(B) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1, 2$ . Taking direct limit, local cohomology with respect to  $x_{\alpha_1}$  and localization of a short exact sequence

$$(3.5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n : x_t^l}{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n + 0 : x_t} \xrightarrow{x_t^l} \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n}{x_t^l \mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n + (x_t^l)}{(x_t^l)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain  $H_{x_{\alpha_1}}^1 H_{x_t}^1(B) = \varinjlim_{l,m} B^{(l)}/x_{\alpha_1}^m B^{(l)}$  because the left term of (3.5.1) is annihilated by  $x_{\alpha_1}$ : see Proposition 3.3. The spectral sequence  $E_2^{pq} = H_{x_t}^p H_{x_{\alpha_1}}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{(x_t, x_{\alpha_1})}^n(-)$  induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{x_{\alpha_1}}^1 H_{x_t}^{p-1}(-) \rightarrow H_{(x_t, x_{\alpha_1})}^p(-) \rightarrow H_{x_{\alpha_1}}^0 H_{x_t}^p(-) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^2(B) = \varinjlim_{l,m} B^{(l)}/x_{\alpha_1}^m B^{(l)}$ . Since  $x_{\alpha_1}$  is a non-zero divisor on  $B^{(l)}$ ,

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}}^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^2(B) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p < d - t - 1.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(A[\mathfrak{b}_t T]_+) = H_{x_{\alpha_1}}^0 H_{x_t}^1(A[\mathfrak{b}_t T]_+) = \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n : x_t}{\mathfrak{b}_{t+1}^n + 0 : x_t},$$



from (3.5.1). In fact,  $x_{\alpha_1}$  is a non-zero divisor on the right term of (3.5.1) because  $(x_t^l) : x_{\alpha_1} \cap [(x_t^l) + \mathfrak{q}_{\alpha_1}] = (x_t^l)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{q}_t H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B) = 0$ .

Consider the spectral sequence  $E_2^{pq} = H_n^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_n^p(-)$ . Since  $\text{depth } B \geq d-t$ ,  $E_2^{p1} = H_n^{p+1}(B) = 0$  for  $p < d-t-1$ . Thus

$$H_n^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(B) = 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq 1, 2 \text{ or } p < d-t-1$$

and

$$\mathfrak{q}_t H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B) = 0.$$

By using this, we compute the depth of

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} = B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}]_{(n, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t)} \cong \left( \frac{B[U]}{\bigcup_{l>0} (x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t) : x_{\alpha_1}^l} \right)_{(n, U - a_t)},$$

where  $U$  denotes an indeterminate. Taking local cohomology with respect to  $(x_t, x_{\alpha_1})$  of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B[U] \xrightarrow{x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t} B[U] \rightarrow B[U]/(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t) \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[U]) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[U]/(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^2(B[U]) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^2(B[U]/(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t)) \rightarrow 0.$$

By using an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{(U-a_t)}^1 H_n^{p-1}(-) \rightarrow H_{(U-a_t, n)}^p(-) \rightarrow H_{(U-a_t)}^0 H_n^p(-) \rightarrow 0,$$

we get  $H_{(n, U-a_t)}^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(B[U]) = 0$  if  $q \neq 1, 2$  or  $p < d-t$ . Hence we obtain

$$H_{(n, U-a_t)}^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[U]/(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t)) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d-t.$$

Taking local cohomology of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\bigcup_{l>0} (x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t) : x_{\alpha_1}^l}{(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t)} \rightarrow \frac{B[U]}{(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t)} \rightarrow B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}] \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}]) \cong H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[U]/(x_{\alpha_1} U - x_t))$$

that is,

$$H_{(n, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t)}^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^1(B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}]) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d-t.$$

Of course,  $H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}]) = 0$  if  $q \neq 1$ . The spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{(n, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t)}^p H_{\mathfrak{q}_t}^q(B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}]) \Rightarrow H_{(n, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t)}^n(B[x_t/x_{\alpha_1}])$$

says that  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} \geq d-t+1$ .

If  $\alpha_1 = t$  or  $\mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} = A[\mathfrak{b}_t/x_{\alpha_1}^{s-t+2}]_{(m, x_t/x_{\alpha_1} - a_t, \dots)}$  then we can also show  $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X_t, p} \geq d-t+1$  in the same way as above. Thus Theorem 3.1 is proved.

## 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 in the same way as [1]. Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring possessing a dualizing complex and  $s = \dim \text{non-CM } A$ .

First we assume  $\text{Ass } A = \text{Assh } A$ . We work by induction on  $s$ . If  $s < 0$ , that is,  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then the idealization  $A \ltimes K_A$  of the canonical module  $K_A$ , which exists because  $A$  possesses a dualizing complex, is a Gorenstein ring [11] and  $A$  is its homomorphic image.

When  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ , let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -standard system of parameters of type  $s$  for  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}_i = (x_i, \dots, x_d)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$  for  $i \leq s+1$ . We consider  $R = A[\mathfrak{b}_1^{d-1}T]$  and  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m} + R_+$ . If  $s = 0$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay [7, Theorem 7.11] and  $A$  is its homomorphic image. Since  $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$  also possesses a dualizing complex,  $A$  is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring.

Assume that  $s > 0$  and let  $\mathfrak{P} \subset R$  be a prime ideal such that  $\dim R/\mathfrak{P} \geq s$ . We show that  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, hence  $\dim \text{non-CM } R_{\mathfrak{M}} < s$ . Without loss of generalities, we may assume that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is homogeneous. If  $\mathfrak{P} \not\supset R_+$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 1.1. If  $\mathfrak{P} \supset R_+$ , then we put  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{p}R + R_+$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supset \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[T]$  is Cohen-Macaulay. If  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$ , then  $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$  is a system of parameters for  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which forms a u.s.d-sequence on  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  because  $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim R/\mathfrak{P} \geq s$ . Hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[\mathfrak{q}_{s+1}^{d-1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}T]$  is Cohen-Macaulay. By induction hypothesis, we find that  $R_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring and  $A$  is also.

Next we consider the general case, we work by induction on  $d = \dim A$ . If  $d = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $d > 0$ . Let  $(0) = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_n$  be a primary decomposition of  $(0)$  in  $A$ . By renumbering  $\mathfrak{r}_i$ , we may assume that there is an integer  $l \leq n$  such that  $\dim A/\mathfrak{r}_i = d$  if and only if  $i \leq l$ . Let  $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_l$  and  $\mathfrak{f}' = \mathfrak{r}_{l+1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} \geq s$ . Then  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, hence equidimensional. Therefore  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{f}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supset \mathfrak{f}'$ . This implies that  $\dim \text{non-CM } A/\mathfrak{f}$ ,  $\dim \text{non-CM } A/\mathfrak{f}' \leq s$ . By induction hypothesis and the case of  $\text{Ass } A = \text{Assh } A$ , there are Gorenstein local rings  $B$  and  $B'$  such that  $A/\mathfrak{f}$  and  $A/\mathfrak{f}'$  are their homomorphic image, respectively. We may assume that  $\dim B = \dim B' = d$ .

Consider  $A$  as a subring of  $A/\mathfrak{f} \oplus A/\mathfrak{f}'$ . Let  $C$  be the inverse image of  $A$  by  $B \oplus B' \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{f} \oplus A/\mathfrak{f}'$ . Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & B \oplus B' & \longrightarrow & B \oplus B'/C \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/\mathfrak{f} \oplus A/\mathfrak{f}' & \longrightarrow & A/\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and epimorphisms  $f$  and  $g$ .

Since  $A/\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}'$  is finitely generated over  $A$ ,  $B \oplus B'/C$  and  $B \oplus B'$  are finitely generated over  $C$ . Therefore  $C$  is a Noetherian local ring by Eakin-Nagata theorem.

Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & B' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B \oplus B'/C \end{array}$$

is a fiber product,  $B$  possesses a dualizing complex: see [5, Lemma 3 and 5] or [10, Corollary 3.7]. Furthermore,  $\dim \text{non-CM } C \leq s$  and  $\text{Ass } C = \text{Assh } C$  because  $B \oplus B'$  is a Cohen-Macaulay  $C$ -module and  $\dim A/\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}' \leq s$ . Thus  $C$  is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring and  $A$  is also.

#### REFERENCES

1. Yoichi Aoyama and Shiro Goto, *A conjecture of Sharp—the case of local rings with  $\dim \text{non-CM} \leq 1$  or  $\dim \leq 5$* , Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata, Kinokuniya, 1987, pp. 27–34.
2. Nguyen Tu Cuong, *On the dimension of the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of local rings admitting dualizing complexes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **109** (1991), 479–488.
3. Gerd Faltings, *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **30** (1978), 473–476.
4. ———, *Über Macaulayfizierung*, Math. Ann. **238** (1978), 175–192.
5. ———, *Zur Existenz dualisierender Komplexe*, Math. Z. **162** (1978), 75–86.
6. Shiro Goto, *Blowing-up of Buchsbaum rings*, Proceedings, Durham symposium on Commutative Algebra, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, vol. 72, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982, pp. 140–162.
7. Shiro Goto and Kikumichi Yamagishi, *The theory of unconditioned strong  $d$ -sequences and modules of finite local cohomology*.
8. Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 109–326.
9. Takeshi Kawasaki, *On Macaulayfication of certain quasi-projective schemes*, preprint, 1995.
10. Tetsushi Ogoma, *Existence of dualizing complexes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **24** (1984), 27–48.
11. Idun Reiten, *The converse to a theorem of Sharp in Gorenstein modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 417–420.
12. Peter Schenzel, *Dualizing complexes and system of parameters*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 495–501.
13. ———, *Cohomological annihilators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **91** (1982), 345–350.
14. Rodney Y. Sharp, *Necessary conditions for the existence of dualizing complexes in commutative algebra*, Sémin. Algèbre P. Dubreil 1977/78, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 740, Springer-Verlag, 1979, pp. 213–229.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, HACHIOJI MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, TOKYO 192-03 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* kawasaki@math.metro-u.ac.jp