# Duality Theorems on an Infinite Network

マンハイム大学 Werner Oettli 島根大学 山崎稀嗣 (Maretsugu Yamasaki)

#### 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $N = \{X, Y, K\}$  be an infinite network which is locally finite and has no self-loops. Here X is the countable set of nodes, Y is the countable set of arcs,  $K: X \times Y \longmapsto \{-1, 0, +1\}$  is the node-arc incidence matrix. Local finiteness means that  $K(x, \cdot)$  has finite support in Y for every  $x \in X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the set of all real-valued functions on X, and by  $\mathcal{X}^*$  the set of all real-valued functions on X with finite support. Likewise we denote by  $\mathcal{Y}$  the set of all real-valued functions on Y, and by  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  the set of all real-valued functions on Y with finite support. For each  $w \in \mathcal{Y}$ , the divergence  $\partial w \in \mathcal{X}$  is defined as

$$\partial w(x) := \sum_{y \in Y} K(x, y) w(y).$$

For each  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ , the discrete derivative  $du \in \mathcal{Y}$  is defined as

$$du(y) := \sum_{x \in X} K(x,y) u(x) = u(b(y)) - u(a(y)),$$

where a(y) is the initial node and b(y) is the terminal node of arc y. Clearly, if  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ , then  $\partial w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , and if  $u \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , then  $du \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ , since N is locally finite.

For  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$  with either  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  in  $\mathcal{Y}^*$ , we define the inner product

$$< w_1, w_2 > := \sum_{y \in Y} w_1(y) w_2(y)$$
 .

For  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$  with either u or v in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , we define the inner product

$$((u,v)):=\sum_{x\in X}u(x)v(x).$$

Note that the fundamental formula

$$((u,\partial w))=< du,w>$$

holds if  $u \in \mathcal{X}^*$  or  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ .

The space  $\mathcal{X}$  can be identified with the product space  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , and therefore can be given the product topology of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . As usual, we call this the weak topology on  $\mathcal{X}$ . It is the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., a sequence  $\{\xi_{\nu}\}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  converges weakly to some  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $\xi_{\nu}(x) \to \xi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is given the weak topology, then  $\mathcal{X}^*$  becomes the topological dual of  $\mathcal{X}$ , which means that the continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{X}$  are precisely those of the form  $\langle u, \cdot \rangle$  with  $u \in \mathcal{X}^*$ . Henceforth, without exception,  $\mathcal{X}$  will bear the weak topology. Likewise  $\mathcal{Y}$  will always bear the weak topology, so that  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  becomes the topological dual of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We observe that the mappings  $w \longmapsto \partial w$  and  $u \longmapsto du$  are continuous, if  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  carry the weak topology. This follows from the fact that  $K(x, \cdot)$  and  $K(\cdot, y)$  have finite support.

## 2 Weak Duality

Let  $F, G: \mathcal{Y} \longmapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be two convex, weakly lower semicontinuous functions which are mutually conjugate in the following sense:

For every  $w_1 \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ ,

$$G(w_1) = \sup\{\langle w_1, w \rangle - F(w); w \in \mathcal{Y}\}, \tag{2.1}$$

and for every  $w_2 \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ ,

$$F(w_2) = \sup\{\langle w, w_2 \rangle - G(w); w \in \mathcal{Y}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$< w_1, w_2 > \le G(w_1) + F(w_2)$$
 (2.3)

for all  $w_1, w_2$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  with either  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  in  $\mathcal{Y}^*$ .

Now let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two disjoint subsets X such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ . Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  be given such that the support of  $f_1$  is contained in  $X_1$  and the support of  $f_2$  is contained in  $X_2$ . In order to introduce dual pairs of optimization problems on the network N we define a primal objective function  $E: \mathcal{Y} \longmapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$E(w) := F(w) - ((f_1, \partial w)) \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{Y},$$

and we define a dual objective function  $E^*: \mathcal{X} \longmapsto \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  as

$$E^*(u) := -G(du) + ((u, f_2)) ext{ for all } u \in \mathcal{X}.$$

In order to make E well-defined we shall employ the following hypothesis:

$$(E.1) f_1 \in \mathcal{X}^*.$$

In order to make  $E^*$  well-defined we shall employ the following hypothesis:

$$(E.2) f_2 \in \mathcal{X}^*.$$

However, if E is restricted to  $\mathcal{Y}^*$ , then (E.1) is not needed, and if  $E^*$  is restricted to  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , then (E.2) is not needed. The functions E and  $-E^*$  are convex and weakly lower semicontinuous, with values in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .

If  $w \in \mathcal{Y}$  is a flow on the arcs  $y \in Y$ , then F(w) may be considered as a generalized energy of w. And if  $u \in \mathcal{X}$  is a potential on the nodes  $x \in X$ , then G(du) may be considered as a generalized Dirichlet sum of u.

We consider two pairs of optimization problems as follows: To the primal problem

$$(P) \qquad \qquad \inf\{E(w); w \in \mathcal{Y}, \partial w(x) = f_2(x) \text{ on } X_2\}$$

we associate the dual problem

$$(D_0)$$
  $\sup\{E^*(u); u \in \mathcal{X}^*, u(x) = f_1(x) \text{ on } X_1\}.$ 

And to the primal problem

$$(P_0) \qquad \qquad \inf\{E(w); w \in \mathcal{Y}^*, \partial w(x) = f_2(x) \text{ on } X_2\}$$

we associate the dual problem

$$\sup\{E^*(u); u\in\mathcal{X}, u(x)=f_1(x) \text{ on } X_1\}.$$

We adopt the convention that the infimum over the empty set equals  $+\infty$ , and the supremum over the empty set equals  $-\infty$ . Obviously the only difference between (P) and  $(P_0)$  and between (D) and  $(D_0)$  consists in the underlying spaces. In case N is a finite network, a similar problem was treated in [1], p. 162.

Henceforth we denote by V(P),  $V(D_0)$ ,  $V(P_0)$ , V(D) the optimal values of the problems (P),  $(D_0)$ ,  $(P_0)$ , (D) respectively. We shall study duality relations between (P) and  $(D_0)$  and between  $(P_0)$  and (D), and describe an application of our results to the potential theory on locally finite networks.

We have the following weak duality result:

**Theorem 2.1** (1) Assume that (E.1) holds. Then  $V(P) \geq V(D_0)$ . (2) Assume that (E.2) holds. Then  $V(P_0) \geq V(D)$ .

**Proof.** (1) The claim is obviously true, if (P) or  $(D_0)$  have no feasible solutions. So let w and u be feasible solutions for (P) and  $(D_0)$  respectively. Then

$$egin{array}{lcl} E(w) - E^*(u) & = & F(w) + G(du) - ((f_1,\partial w)) - ((u,f_2)) \ & = & F(w) + G(du) - ((u,\partial w)) \ & = & F(w) + G(du) - < du, w > \ & \geq & 0, \end{array}$$

from (2.3), since  $u \in \mathcal{X}^*$ . Thus  $E(w) \geq E^*(u)$  for all feasible w and u, which implies  $V(P) \geq V(D_0)$ . The proof of (2) is similar.  $\square$ 

From (E.1) it follows that problem  $(D_0)$  has a feasible solution, i.e., there exists  $u \in \mathcal{X}^*$  such that  $u = f_1$  on  $X_1$ . Likewise we have

**Proposition 2.1** Assume that (E.2) holds and that  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then problem  $(P_0)$  has a feasible solution, i.e., there exists  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  such that  $\partial w(x) = f_2(x)$  on  $X_2$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $x_0 \in X_1$ . For every  $a \in X_2$  select a finite path  $p_a \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  from  $x_0$  to a, i.e.,  $p_a$  is the path index of a path from  $x_0$  to a (cf. [6]). Then  $p_a$  is a unit flow from  $x_0$  to a, i.e.,  $\partial p_a(a) = +1$ ,  $\partial p_a(x_0) = -1$  and  $\partial p_a(x) = 0$  for all other x. Let us consider

$$w(y) := \sum_{a \in X_2} f_2(a) p_a(y).$$

Then w(y) is well-defined, since  $f_2$  has finite support in  $X_2$ , and it is easily seen that w has the requested properties.  $\square$ 

For later use we denote by  $\varepsilon_A$  the characteristic function of a subset  $A \subset X$ , i.e.,  $\varepsilon_A(x) = 1$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\varepsilon_A(x) = 0$  for  $x \in X \setminus A$ .

## 3 A General Duality Theorem

Our main tool will be a general duality result studied in [5](cf. [4]). We prepare it below for the sake of completeness.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a real vector space, let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a locally convex topological vector space, and let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the topological dual of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  and  $\psi: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  be given. Let C be a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  and Q be a nonempty subset of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let T be a transformation from  $\mathcal{U}$  into  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

Let us consider the following general extremum problem (V) and its dual problem (V\*):

$$(V) V := \inf\{\varphi(\xi) - \psi(T\xi); \xi \in C, \ T\xi \in Q\},$$

$$(V^*) \hspace{1cm} V^* \coloneqq \sup\{\psi^*(\zeta) - arphi_T^*(\zeta); \zeta \in \mathcal{W}\},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \psi^*(\zeta) &:=& \inf\{\zeta(\eta)-\psi(\eta); \eta \in Q\}, \\ \varphi_T^*(\zeta) &:=& \sup\{\zeta(T\xi)-\varphi(\xi); \xi \in C\}. \end{array}$$

It is always true that  $V \ge V^*$ . We have by [5]

Theorem 3.1 Assume that the set

$$\mathcal{E} := \{(z,s) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbf{R}; z = \eta - T\xi, s \geq \varphi(\xi) - \psi(\eta), \xi \in C, \eta \in Q\}$$

is convex and closed in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ . If V is finite, then  $V = V^*$  holds and there exists  $\xi \in C$  such that  $T\xi \in Q$  and  $V = \varphi(\xi) - \psi(T\xi)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $V = \inf\{s; (0, s) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ . Let V be finite. Then  $(0, V) \in \mathcal{E}$ , since  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed, and this gives the existence of  $\xi \in C$  with the claimed property. In order to prove  $V \leq V^*$ , let t < V. Then  $(0, t) \notin \mathcal{E}$ . Hence from the strong separation theorem there exists  $(\zeta, \tau) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\zeta(0) + \tau t < \zeta(z) + \tau s \quad \forall (z, s) \in \mathcal{E}.$$
 (3.1)

Since  $(0, V + r) \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $r \ge 0$ , we obtain from (3.1) that  $\tau > 0$ . Dividing (3.1) by  $\tau$  and rewriting  $\zeta/\tau$  as  $\zeta$ , we obtain

$$t \leq \zeta(z) + s \quad \forall (z,s) \in \mathcal{E},$$

hence in particular

$$t \le \zeta(\eta - T\xi) + \varphi(\xi) - \psi(\eta)$$

for all  $\xi \in C$ ,  $\eta \in Q$ , and therefore  $t \leq \psi^*(\zeta) - \varphi_T^*(\eta) \leq V^*$ . Since t < V was arbitrary, we obtain  $V \leq V^*$ .  $\square$ 

# 4 Duality between (P) and $(D_0)$

We are going to derive the strong duality relation  $V(P) = V(D_0)$  from Theorem 3.1. We assume (E.1) and specify the data of Theorem 3.1 as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}\coloneqq\mathcal{Y},\,\mathcal{Z}\coloneqq\mathcal{X},\,\mathcal{W}\coloneqq\mathcal{X}^*;\,C\coloneqq\mathcal{Y},\,Q\coloneqq\{\eta\in\mathcal{X};\eta=f_2 \text{ on } X_2\};$$

$$T\xi:=\partial\xi,\quad arphi(\xi):=F(\xi),\quad \psi(\eta):=((f_1,\eta)),\quad \zeta(\eta):=((\eta,\zeta))$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{Y}, \eta \in \mathcal{X}, \zeta \in \mathcal{X}^*$ . Then we have for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

$$arphi(\xi) - \psi(T\xi) = F(\xi) - ((f_1, \partial \xi)) = E(\xi).$$

Therefore V = V(P). For all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}^*$  we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi_T^*(\zeta) &= \sup\{((\zeta, \partial \xi)) - F(\xi); \xi \in C\} \\ &= \sup\{\langle d\zeta, \xi \rangle - F(\xi); \xi \in \mathcal{Y}\} = G(d\zeta), \\ \psi^*(\zeta) &= \inf\{((\zeta - f_1, \eta)); \eta \in Q\} \\ &= \inf\{((\zeta - f_1, \eta \varepsilon_{X_2} + \eta \varepsilon_{X_1})); \eta \in Q\} \\ &= ((\zeta, f_2)) + \inf\{((\zeta - f_1, \eta \varepsilon_{X_1})); \eta \in Q\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore  $\psi^*(\zeta) = ((\zeta, f_2))$  if  $\zeta - f_1 = 0$  on  $X_1$ , and  $\psi^*(\zeta) = -\infty$  otherwise. Thus  $V^* = V(D_0)$ .

In order to apply Theorem 3.1 we need another hypothesis:

(H.1) The level sets 
$$\{\xi \in \mathcal{Y}; F(\xi) - \langle w, \xi \rangle \leq \alpha\}$$
  $(\alpha \in \mathbf{R})$ 

are weakly compact in  $\mathcal{Y}$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that (E.1) holds, that V(P) is finite and that (H.1) is satisfied. Then  $V(P) = V(D_0)$  and problem (P) has an optimal solution.

**Proof.** The result follows from Theorem 3.1. We only have to show that the convex set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(z, s) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{R}; z = \eta - \partial \xi, s \ge \varphi(\xi) - \psi(\eta), \xi \in C, \eta \in Q\}$$

is closed in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  bears the weak topology. Since the set X of nodes is countable,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a metrizable space under the weak topology (cf. [2], p. 32). Therefore the weak closedness in  $\mathcal{X}$  means the sequential weak closedness (cf. [2], p. 20). Thus we have to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is sequentially closed. Let  $\{(z_n, s_n)\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $z_n \to \bar{z}$  pointwise and  $s_n \to \bar{s}$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . There exist  $\xi_n \in C$  and  $\eta_n \in Q$  such that  $z_n = \eta_n - \partial \xi_n$ ,  $s_n \geq F(\xi_n) - ((f_1, \eta_n))$ . Then

$$s_n \geq F(\xi_n) - ((f_1, \partial \xi_n + z_n))$$
  
=  $F(\xi_n) - \langle df_1, \xi_n \rangle - ((f_1, z_n)).$ 

Because of (E.1),  $\{((f_1, z_n))\}$  converges to  $((f_1, \bar{z}))$ . Thus the sequence  $\{((f_1, z_n))\}$  remains bounded. Since  $\{s_n\}$  is also bounded, we see that the sequence  $\{F(\xi_n) - < df_1, \xi_n > \}$  is bounded from above. Thus, because of (H.1), all  $\xi_n$  are contained in a weakly compact subset of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Since the set Y of arcs is countable,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is metrizable under the weak topology. Hence the weak compactness of a closed set in  $\mathcal{Y}$  means the sequential weak compactness (cf. [2], p. 21). So, by choosing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\{\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise to some  $\bar{\xi} \in C$ . Then  $\partial \xi_n \to \partial \bar{\xi}$  pointwise, and  $\eta_n = \partial \xi_n + z_n \to \bar{\eta} = \partial \bar{\xi} + \bar{z} \in Q$  pointwise. Thus  $\bar{z} = \bar{\eta} - \partial \bar{\xi}$  and  $\bar{s} \geq F(\bar{\xi}) - ((f_1, \bar{\eta}))$ , since F is weakly lower semicontinuous. Thus  $(\bar{z}, \bar{s}) \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed.  $\Box$ 

# 5 Duality between $(P_0)$ and (D)

Now we are going to derive the duality relation  $V(P_0) = V(D)$ . We assume (E.2) and specify the data of Theorem 3.1 as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{X},\,\mathcal{Z}:=\mathcal{Y},\,\mathcal{W}:=\mathcal{Y}^*;\,C:=\{\xi\in\mathcal{X};\xi=f_1 ext{ on } X_1\},\,Q:=\mathcal{Y};$$

$$T\xi \coloneqq d\xi, \; \psi(\eta) \coloneqq -G(\eta), \; arphi(\xi) \coloneqq -((\xi,f_2)), \; \zeta(\eta) \coloneqq -<\eta,\zeta>$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}, \eta \in \mathcal{Y}, \zeta \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ .

Then for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$  there holds

$$\varphi(\xi) - \psi(T\xi) = -((\xi, f_2)) + G(d\xi) = -E^*(\xi).$$

Therefore V = -V(D). For all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  there holds

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi^*(\zeta) &=& \inf\{-<\eta, \zeta> + G(\eta); \eta \in Q\} = -F(\zeta), \\ \varphi^*_T(\zeta) &=& \sup\{-< d\xi, \zeta> + ((\xi, f_2)); \xi \in C\} \\ &=& \sup\{((\xi, -\partial \zeta + f_2)); \xi \in C\} \\ &=& \sup\{((\xi \varepsilon_{X_2}, -\partial \zeta + f_2)); \xi \in C\} - ((f_1, \partial \zeta)). \end{array}$$

Therefore  $\varphi_T^*(\zeta) = -((f_1, \partial \zeta))$  if  $-\partial \zeta + f_2 = 0$  on  $X_2$ , and  $\varphi_T^*(\zeta) = +\infty$  otherwise. Hence  $\psi^*(\zeta) - \varphi_T^*(\zeta) = -E(\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  which are feasible for  $(P_0)$ , and  $\psi^*(\zeta) - \varphi_T^*(\zeta) = -\infty$  otherwise. Thus  $V^* = -V(P_0)$ .

We prepare

**Proposition 5.1** Let  $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ , and let  $a \in X$ . If  $\{d\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise and if  $\{\xi_n(a)\}$  converges, then  $\{\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise to some  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Proof.** For every  $x \in X$  select a finite path  $p_x \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  from a to x. Then

$$< d\xi_n, p_x> = ((\xi_n, \partial p_x)) = \xi_n(x) - \xi_n(a).$$

Since  $\{\langle d\xi_n, p_x \rangle\}$  converges and  $\{\xi_n(a)\}$  converges,  $\{\xi_n(x)\}$  converges, too. Since this holds for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise to some  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$ 

We further introduce the following hypothesis:

(H.2) The level sets 
$$\{\eta \in \mathcal{Y}; G(\eta) - \langle \eta, w \rangle \leq \alpha\}$$
  $(\alpha \in \mathbf{R})$ 

are weakly compact in  $\mathcal{Y}$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$ .

**Theorem 5.1** Assume that (E.2) holds, that V(D) is finite, that  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and that (H.2) is satisfied. Then  $V(P_0) = V(D)$  and problem (D) has an optimal solution.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.1. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we shall show that the convex set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(z,s) \in \mathcal{Y} imes \mathbf{R}; z = \eta - d\xi, s \geq arphi(\xi) - \psi(\eta), \xi \in C, \eta \in Q\}$$

is sequencially weakly closed in  $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\{(z_n, s_n)\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $z_n \to \bar{z}$  pointwise, and  $s_n \to \bar{s}$ . There exist  $\xi_n \in C$  and  $\eta_n \in Q$  such that

$$z_n = \eta_n - d\xi_n, \ s_n \ge -((\xi_n, f_2)) + G(\eta_n).$$

By Proposition 2.1, there exists  $w \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  such that  $\partial w = f_2$  on  $X_2$ . From  $\xi_n \in C$  we obtain then

$$((\xi_n, f_2)) = ((\xi_n, \partial w)) - ((f_1, \partial w))$$

$$= \langle d\xi_n, w \rangle - ((f_1, \partial w))$$

$$= \langle \eta_n - z_n, w \rangle - ((f_1, \partial w)).$$

Thus

$$s_n \ge \langle z_n, w \rangle + ((f_1, \partial w)) - \langle \eta_n, w \rangle + G(\eta_n).$$

Since  $\{\langle z_n, w \rangle\}$  converges to  $\langle \bar{z}, w \rangle$ , we see that the sequence  $\{-\langle \eta_n, w \rangle + G(\eta_n)\}$  is bounded from above. Using hypothesis (H.2), by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we may assume that  $\{\eta_n\}$  converges pointwise to some  $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Then  $\{d\xi_n\}$  converges also pointwise to  $\bar{\eta} - \bar{z}$ . Since  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\xi_n \in C$ , we see that  $\xi_n(a) = f_1(a)$  for some  $a \in X_1$ . From Proposition 5.1 it follows that  $\{\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise to some  $\bar{\xi} \in C$ . Then  $\{d\xi_n\}$  converges pointwise to  $d\bar{\xi}$ , so that  $d\bar{\xi} = \bar{\eta} - \bar{z}$ . Altogether we obtain that

$$\bar{z} = \bar{\eta} - d\bar{\xi}, \ \bar{s} \ge -((\bar{\xi}, f_2)) + G(\bar{\eta}),$$

since G is weakly lower semicontinuous. Thus  $(\bar{z}, \bar{s}) \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed.  $\square$ 

## 6 Applications

As applications of our duality results, we obtain generalizations of some fundamental inverse relations from [3] and [6] which play important roles in the discrete potential theory (cf. [7]).

We let F and G be as before. In addition we assume that F and G are nonnegative and symmetric, and that G is homogeneous of degree q > 1 and G is homogeneous of degree p > 1, with 1/p + 1/q = 1.

In connection with problems  $(P_0)$  and (D) we choose  $f_2 = 0$  (so that (E.2) holds), and we assume that  $f_1 \neq 0$  (so that  $X_1 \neq \emptyset$ ). For all  $\eta \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  we let  $I(\eta) := ((f_1, \partial \eta))$ . We define

$$eta := \inf\{pG(du); u \in \mathcal{X}, \ u = f_1 \ ext{on} \ X_1\}$$
 $lpha_0 := \inf\{qE(\eta); \eta \in \mathcal{Y}^*, \ \partial \eta = 0 \ ext{on} \ X_2, \ I(\eta) = 1\}.$ 

It is obvious that  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ , and

$$V(D)=\frac{-1}{p}\beta.$$

Moreover we have

$$egin{array}{lll} V(P_0) &=& \inf\{F(w)-I(w); w\in \mathcal{Y}^*, \ \partial w=0 \ ext{on} \ X_2\} \ &=& \inf\{\inf\{|t|^q F(\eta)-t; \eta\in \mathcal{Y}^*, \partial \eta=0 \ ext{on} \ X_2, \ I(\eta)=1\}; t\in \mathbf{R}\} \ &=& \inf\{rac{|t|^q lpha_0}{q}-t; t\in \mathbf{R}\} \ &=& -rac{1}{p}lpha_0^{-p/q}. \end{array}$$

So, if V(D) is finite and  $\neq 0$ , the duality relation  $V(P_0) = V(D)$  takes the form

$$\beta^{1/p}\alpha_0^{1/q}=1.$$

From Theorem 5.1 we obtain therefore

Corollary 6.1 Assume that  $\beta$  is finite and  $\neq 0$ , and that (H.2) is satisfied. Then  $\beta^{1/p}\alpha_0^{1/q}=1$ .

On the other hand, if we define

$$egin{array}{ll} eta_0 &:=& \inf\{pG(du); u \in \mathcal{X}^*, \ u = f_1 \ ext{on} \ X_1\} \ lpha &:=& \inf\{qE(\eta); \eta \in \mathcal{Y}, \ \partial \eta = 0 \ ext{on} \ X_2, \ I(\eta) = 1\}. \end{array}$$

then  $V(D_0) = -\beta_0/p$  and  $V(P) = -\alpha^{-p/q}/p$ . We obtain from Theorem 4.1

Corollary 6. 2 Assume that (E.1) and (H.1) are satisfied, and that  $\alpha$  is finite and  $\neq 0$ . Then  $\beta_0^{1/p}\alpha^{1/q}=1$ .

From Corollary 6.1 we can obtain Theorem 5.1 in [3]. To be more specific, assume that A is an arbitrary subset of X, and B is a nonempty subset of X which is disjoint with A. Let us take

$$X_1 := A \cup B$$
,  $X_2 := X \setminus (A \cup B)$ ,  $f_1 := \varepsilon_B$ ,  $f_2 = 0$ .

Then

$$I(\eta) = \sum_{x \in B} \partial \eta(x).$$

In case  $\partial \eta = 0$  on  $X_2$ ,  $I(\eta)$  is called the strength of  $\eta$  on B. Let, as in [3],

$$egin{array}{lll} d_p(A,B) &:=& \inf\{pG(du); u\in\mathcal{X},\; u=0\; ext{on}\; A,\; u=1\; ext{on}\; B\}=eta \ d_{q,0}^*(A,B) &:=& \inf\{qF(\eta); \eta\in\mathcal{Y}^*, \partial\eta=0\; ext{on}\; X\setminus(A\cup B),\; I(\eta)=1\}=lpha_0. \end{array}$$

Notice that Corollary 6.1 gives a sufficient condition for the validity of the inverse relation

$$(d_{\mathbf{p}}(A,B))^{1/\mathbf{p}}\cdot (d_{\mathbf{q},0}^*(A,B))^{1/\mathbf{q}}=1.$$

Observe that from  $\eta \in \mathcal{Y}^*$  and  $\partial \eta = 0$  on  $X \setminus (A \cup B)$  it follows that

$$\sum_{x \in B} \partial \eta(x) = - \sum_{x \in A} \partial \eta(x).$$

**Remark 6.1** Let  $r \in \mathcal{Y}$  be strictly positive and take F as

$$F(w) := rac{1}{q} \sum
olimits_{y \in Y} r(y) |w(y)|^q.$$

Then we have

$$G(w) = rac{1}{p} \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)^{1-p} |w(y)|^p.$$

Notice that  $pG(du) = D_p(u)$  (Dirichlet sum of u of order p) and  $qF(w) = H_q(w)$  (the energy of w of order q) (cf. [3]). We see that F satisfies (H.1) and that G satisfies (H.2).

#### References

- [1] E. Blum and W. Oettli, Mathematische Optimierung, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [2] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators Part I: General Theory, John Wiley and Sons, 1957.
- [3] T. Nakamura and M. Yamasaki, Generalized extremal length of an infinite network, Hiroshima Math. J. 6(1976), 95-111.
- [4] R. T. Rockafellar, Conjugate Duality and Optimization (Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 16), SIAM, Philadelphia, 1974.
- [5] M. Yamasaki, Some generalizations of duality theorems in mathematical programming problems, Math. J. Okayama 14(1969), 69-81.
- [6] M. Yamasaki, Extremum problems on an infinite network, Hiroshima Math. J. 5(1975),223-250
- [7] M. Yamasaki, Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks, Hiroshima Math. J. 7(1976), 135-146.