NONEXISTENCE OF STABLE INTEGRAL CURRENTS

QING-MING CHENG* AND KATSUHIRO SHIOHAMA**
(成 廣 明) (塩 浜 序 + 字).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the nonexistence of stable integral p-currents in compact submanifolds of m-dimensional submanifolds in Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^{m+1} and \mathbb{R}^{m+2} . The existence theorems due to Federer and Fleming in [3] state that for any compact Riemannian manifold M, any non-trivial integral homology class in $\mathcal{H}_p(M,Z)$ corresponds to a stable integral current, where $\mathcal{H}_p(M,Z)$ is the pth singular homology group with integer coefficients. The Federer-Fleming theorem and the calculus of variations were employed by Lawson and Simons [4] in the study of the topology and geometry of submanifolds of standard spheres. They proved the following:

Thorem LS1. There are no stable integral p-currents in spheres of dimension m, where 0 .

In [4], Lawson and Simons conjectured the follwing:

Conjecture of Lawson and Simons. There are no stable integral p-currents in any compact, simply-connected Riemannian manifold of dimension m which is 1/4-pinched, where 0 .

This conjecture is also open now. It is very well-known that any compact, simply-connected Riemannian manifold of dimension m which is 1/4-pinched is homeomorphic to sphere. On the other hand, any compact connected convex hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} is diffeomorphic to sphere. So we can consider the following problem:

Problem. Do there exist stable integral p-currents in any compact connected convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} .

For this problem, the first author proved the following in [1]:

Theorem C. Let M be an m-dimensional compact hypersurface with positive Ricci curvature in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Assume that M does not admit any point $x \in M$ at which M has only two distinct principal curvatures λ_1 and λ_0 such that

$$\max\{\lambda_1,\lambda_0\} \geq (3+2\sqrt{2})\min\{\lambda_1,\lambda_0\}.$$

Key words and phrases. stable integral current, homology group, second fundamental form.

^{*} Research partially Supported Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture

^{**} Research partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Co-operative Research No. 06302007 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification 49Q15, 53C40

Then for any $p\varepsilon(0,m)$, there are no stable integral p-currents in M and

$$\mathcal{H}_p(M,Z)=0.$$

Corollary 1. Let M be an m-dimensional compact hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} with sectional curvature $K(M) > A\lambda^2 (A \ge \frac{1}{3+2\sqrt{2}}$ is constant). Then for any $p\varepsilon(0,m)$, there are no stable integral p-currents in M and

$$\mathcal{H}_p(M,Z)=0.$$

Lawson and Simons [4] also studied the nonexistence of stable integral p-currents in compact submanifolds of standard spheres $S^m(c)$. They showed that

Thorem LS2. Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of $S^m(c)$. Then, for given integer $p\epsilon(0,n)$ there is no stable integral p-current in N and $\mathcal{H}_p(N,Z) = \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(N,Z) = 0$ if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < p(n-p)c$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in $S^m(c)$.

We emphasize that their techniques can be applied in more general settings. This observation makes it possible to obtain further results on the non-existence of stable integral currents.

Throughout this paper let N be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian submanifold in an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold M which is a submanifold of \mathbf{R}^{m+1} or \mathbf{R}^{m+2} . Let h' be the second fundamental form of N in M and $\{e_i, e_{\alpha}\}$ an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_xN for a point $x \in N$ where $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following statement (A) for various ambient submanifolds of Euclidean spaces:

(A) For given integer $p\epsilon(0,n)$ there is no stable integral p-current in N and $\mathcal{H}_p(N,Z) = \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(N,Z) = 0$.

The same notation and formulas as in [1] and [2] will be used throughout this paper. We first prove the generalized version of the Lawson-Simons theorem [4] as follows.

Theorem 1 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of M^m which is an m-dimensional hypersurface of an m+1-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Then the statement (A) is true if

(0)
$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < 2p(p-n)\lambda(x)^2$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in M^m , and $\lambda(x)^2$ is the maximum at x of the square of principal curvatures of M^m .

Remark 1. If M^m is \mathbf{R}^m , then $\lambda = 0$. The condition (0) then becomes

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < 0.$$

Hence Xin's result in [5] is a special case of our Theorem 1.

In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1, if M has nonnegative Ricci curvature, then a simple computation shows that the sectional curvature of M is non-negative. In this case we prove

Theorem 2 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of M^m and M^n an m-dimensional hypersurface with nonnegative Ricci curvature of an m+1- dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Then the statement (A) holds if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < \frac{1}{4}p(p-n)\lambda(x)^2$$

is satisfied for any $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in M^m , and $\lambda(x)^2$ is the maximum at x of the square of principal curvatures of M^m .

As a corollary to Theorem 2 we obtain a slight extension of the Lawson-Simons theorem for product Riemannian manifolds:

Corollary 2, (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^k \times S^{m-k}(c)$. Then the statement (A) is true if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i, e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i, e_i), h'(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)\rangle] < 0$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in $\mathbb{R}^k \times S^{m-k}(c)$.

Example (cf. Zhang [7]). We consider the ellipsoid

$$M = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{m+1}; \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 + \frac{x_{m+1}^2}{c^2} = 1\}.$$

Let N be a compact submanifold of M. By direct computation, we can prove that if for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < n(n-p)c$$

then the statement (A) holds. In this case, h' is the second fundamental form of N in M.

We next discuss the case where M is a Riemannian product manifold: $M = M_1 \times M_2$, and each M_i (i = 1, 2) is an m_i -dimensional hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^{m_i+1} of positive Ricci curvature.

Theorem 3 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of $M = M_1^{m_1} \times M_2^{m_2}$, where $M_i^{m_i} (i = 1, 2)$ is an m_i -dimensional hypersurface with positive Ricci curvature of an $m_i + 1$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m_i+1} . Then statement (A) is true if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < \frac{1}{4}p(p-n)(\lambda(x)^2 + \mu(x)^2)$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in M and $\lambda(x)^2$ and $\mu(x)^2$ are the maximums at x of the square of principal curvatures of $M_1^{m_1}$ and $M_2^{m_2}$ respectively.

With the same notation as in Theorem 3, we prove the following:

Theorem 4 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let $M_i^{m_i}$ (i = 1, 2) have distinct principal curvatures other than two. Then the statement (A) holds if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i, e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i, e_i), h'(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)\rangle] < 0$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_{\alpha}\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Here h' is the second fundamental form of N in M.

Corollary 3 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let N be an n-dimensional compact submanifold of $M = S^{m_1}(c_1) \times S^{m_2}(c_2)$, where $S^{m_i}(c_i)(i=1,2)$ is an m_i -dimensional sphere of constant curvature c_i . Then the statement (A) holds if

$$\sum_{i,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_i,e_\alpha)\|^2 - \langle h'(e_i,e_i),h'(e_\alpha,e_\alpha)\rangle] < 0$$

is satisfied for every $x \in N$ and any orthonormal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ of $T_x N$, $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\alpha = p + 1, \dots, n$. Where h' is the second fundamental form of N in M.

Remark 2. When $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, Corollary 3 reduces to Zhang's Theorem 2 in [6].

PROOF OF THEOREMS

Let D be the Euclidean flat connection of \mathbf{R}^{m+1} . Since N is a submanifold of M^m , N is also a submanifold in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} . Let ∇' and ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connections of N with respect to M^m and \mathbf{R}^{m+1} , respectively. Also let $\chi(T^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1},N))$, $\chi(T^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1},M^m))$ and $\chi(T^{\perp}(M^m,N))$ be the respective spaces of normal vector fields. For any simple p-vector $\xi \in \wedge^p T_x N$ and for any vector field V tangent to N, let Ψ be the flow generated by V. Define

$$Q_{\xi}(V) = \frac{d^2 \|\Psi_{t*}\xi\|}{dt^2}|_{t=0}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since N is a submanifold of M^m , it is also a submanifold in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Let ∇' and ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connections of N with respect to M^m

and \mathbf{R}^{m+1} respectively. The shape operator A_{η} determined by $\eta \epsilon \chi(T^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1}, N))$ is given by

$$(1) -A_{\eta}Y = (D_Y\eta)^T,$$

where $Y \in \chi(TN)$. If $\eta \in \chi(T^{\perp}(M^m, N))$, then

(2)
$$A_{\eta}Y = -(D_{Y}\eta)^{T} = -(\overline{\nabla}_{Y}\eta + \overline{h}(\eta, Y))^{T}$$
$$= -(\overline{\nabla}_{Y}\eta)^{T} = A'_{\eta}Y,$$

where $Y \in \chi(TN)$, ∇ and h are the Levi-Civita connection and the second fundamental form on M^m with respect to \mathbf{R}^{m+1} and A'_{η} is the shape operator determined by $\eta \in \chi(T^{\perp}(M^m, N))$. If η is the normal to M^m , then

$$A_{\eta}Y = (\bar{A}_{\eta}Y)^{T},$$

where A_{η} is the so-called shape operator determined by $\eta \in \chi(T^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1}, M^m))$.

Let (S,ξ) be an oriented p-rectifiable set. For $x\in\mathcal{S}$, we have a tangent p-space $T_x\mathcal{S}\subset T_xN$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_i,e_\alpha\}$ of T_xN such that $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_x\mathcal{S}$ and $\xi=e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_p$. Suppose that $\{\eta_u\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_x^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1},N)$. Let $A_u=A_{\eta_u}$. Then $\{e_i,e_\alpha,\eta_u\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^{m+1} . Hence

(4)
$$\operatorname{tr} Q_{\xi} = \sum Q_{\xi}(e_i) + \sum Q_{\xi}(e_{\alpha}) + \sum Q_{\xi}(\eta_u).$$

Making use of the proof given for the Theorem appearing in [1], we have

(5)
$$\operatorname{tr}Q_{\xi} = \sum_{i,\alpha,u} [2\langle A_{u}(e_{i}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle A_{u}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle A_{u}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle].$$

At a point $x \in N$, we take an orthonormal basis $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-n}, \eta\}$ of $T_x^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1}, N)$ so that $\{\eta_v\}$ and η are the orthonormal bases of $T_x^{\perp}(M^m, N)$ and $T_x^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1}, M^m)$, respectively, and

(6)
$$\bar{A}_{\eta}(\tilde{e}_a) = -\lambda_a \tilde{e}_a \quad \text{for} \quad a = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\{\tilde{e}_a\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_xM^m and A_η is the so-called shape operator

determined by $\eta \epsilon \chi(T^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}^{m+1}, M^m))$. From (2), (3) and (5), we obtain

(7)
$$\operatorname{tr}Q_{\xi}$$

$$= \sum_{v=1}^{m-n} \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle A_{v}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle A_{v}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle A_{v}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle]$$

$$+ \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle A_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle A_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle A_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle]$$

$$= \sum_{v=1}^{m-n} \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle A'_{v}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle A'_{v}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle A'_{v}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle]$$

$$+ \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle]$$

$$= \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_{j}, e_{\alpha})\|^{2} - \langle h'(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}), h'(e_{j}, e_{j}) \rangle]$$

$$+ \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle],$$
(8)
$$\sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha} \rangle^{2} - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle]$$

(8)
$$\sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle A_{\eta}(e_{j}), e_{\alpha}\rangle^{2} - \langle A_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha}\rangle\langle A_{\eta}e_{j}, e_{j}\rangle]$$
$$= \sum_{j,\alpha} \{2[\sum_{a} e_{j}^{a} e_{\alpha}^{a} \lambda_{a}]^{2} - \sum_{a} (e_{j}^{a})^{2} \lambda_{a} \sum_{a} (e_{\alpha}^{a})^{2} \lambda_{a}\},$$

where $e_j = \sum_a e_j^a \tilde{e}_a$, $e_\alpha = \sum_a e_\alpha^a \tilde{e}_a$. Since $||e_j|| = ||e_\alpha|| = 1$ and $\langle e_j, e_\alpha \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{a} (e^{a}_{j})^{2} = 1, \quad \sum_{a} (e^{a}_{\alpha})^{2} = 1, \quad \sum_{a} e^{a}_{j} e^{a}_{\alpha} = 0.$$

Making use of assertion (1) in the following Lemma 1, we get

(9)
$$\sum_{j,\alpha} \left[2 \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_{\alpha} \rangle^2 - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_j \rangle \right] \leq 2p(n-p)\lambda^2,$$

where λ^2 is the maximum of the square of principal curvatures of M^m at the corresponding point. According to (7), (9) and the assumption in Theorem 1, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr} Q_{\xi} \\ &= \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_j,e_{\alpha})\|^2 - \langle h'(e_{\alpha},e_{\alpha}),h'(e_j,e_j)\rangle] \\ &+ \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j),e_{\alpha}\rangle^2 - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}),e_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j),e_j\rangle] \\ &= \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_j,e_{\alpha})\|^2 - \langle h'(e_{\alpha},e_{\alpha}),h'(e_j,e_j)\rangle] \\ &+ 2p(n-p)\lambda^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{tr} Q_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_n} n \operatorname{tr} Q_{\xi_n} d\mathcal{H}^p(x) < 0.$$

This implies that there are no stable integral p-currents in N. By Theorem FF in [1], we have

$$\mathcal{H}_p(N,Z) = \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(N,Z) = 0.$$

Lemma 1. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m$ be real numbers satisfying $\sum_j a_j^2 = \sum_j b_j^2 = 1$ and $\sum_j a_j b_j = 0$. Then we have the following:

(1) For given real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$,

$$2(\sum_j \lambda_j a_j b_j)^2 - \sum_j \lambda_j a_j^2 \sum_j \lambda_j b_j^2 \le 2\lambda^2.$$

(2) For given nonnegative real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$,

$$2(\sum_{j} \lambda_j a_j b_j)^2 - \sum_{j} \lambda_j a_j^2 \sum_{j} \lambda_j b_j^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \lambda^2.$$

Here λ^2 is the maximum of λ_i^2 .

Lemma 1 can be proved by the same method as the lemma in [1].

Proof of Theorem 2. Since M^m is a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} with nonnegative Ricci curvature, we know that M^m is of nonnegative sectional curvature (see-[1]). Hence assertion (2) of Lemma 1 and the same arguments appearing in the proof of Theorem 1 imply that Theorem 2 holds.

Proof of Corollary 2. Since $\mathbf{R}^k \times S^{m-k}(c)$ is a hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^{m+1} with nonnegative Ricci curvature and there are only two distinct constant principal curvatures 0 and \sqrt{c} , we have

$$\sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \bar{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_{\alpha} \rangle^2 - \langle \bar{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \bar{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_j \rangle] \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$trQ_{\xi}$$

$$= \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_j, e_{\alpha})\|^2 - \langle h'(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}), h'(e_j, e_j) \rangle]$$

$$+ \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_{\alpha} \rangle^2 - \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_{\alpha}), e_{\alpha} \rangle \langle \overline{A}_{\eta}(e_j), e_j \rangle]$$

$$= \sum_{j,\alpha} [2\|h'(e_j, e_{\alpha})\|^2 - \langle h'(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}), h'(e_j, e_j) \rangle]$$

$$< 0.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{tr}Q_{\mathcal{S}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{S_n} n \mathrm{tr}Q_{m{\xi}_n} d\mathcal{H}^p(x) < 0.$$

We obtain the result that there are no stable integral p-currents in N and

$$\mathcal{H}_p(N,Z) = \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(N,Z) = 0.$$

This proves Corollary 2.

Using proofs similar to that given for Theorem 1, the following Lemma 2 yields Theorems 3 and 4.

Lemma 2 (Cheng and Shiohama [2]). Let a_i , b_i , d_j and e_j be real numbers $(i = 1, \dots, m_1; j = 1, \dots, m_2)$ satisfying $\sum_i a_i^2 + \sum_j e_j^2 = \sum_i b_i^2 + \sum_j d_j^2 = 1$ and $\sum_i a_i b_i + \sum_j d_j e_j = 0$. Then the following holds:

(1) For given positive real numbers λ_i and μ_j ,

(11)
$$2[(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i} b_{i})^{2} + (\sum_{j} \mu_{j} d_{j} e_{j})^{2}] - \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i}^{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} b_{i}^{2} - \sum_{j} \mu_{j} d_{j}^{2} \sum_{j} \mu_{j} e_{j}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (\lambda^{2} + \mu^{2}).$$

(2) For given positive real numbers λ_i and μ_j , where neither the number of distinct λ_i nor the number of distinct μ_j is not two,

(12)
$$2[(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i} b_{i})^{2} + (\sum_{j} \mu_{j} d_{j} e_{j})^{2}] - \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} a_{i}^{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} b_{i}^{2} - \sum_{j} \mu_{j} d_{j}^{2} \sum_{j} \mu_{j} e_{j}^{2} \leq 0,$$

where λ^2 and μ^2 are the maximum of λ_i^2 and μ_j^2 , respectively.

This Lemma 2 can be proved by making use of the method of Lagrange multipliers (see [2] for in details).

REFERENCES

- 1. Q. M. Cheng, Nonexistence of stable currents, Ann. Global Analysis and Geom., 13 (1995), 197-205.
- 2. Q. M. Cheng & K.Shiohama, Nonexistence of stable currents II, Kyushu J. Math., 51 (1997), 1-16.
- 3. H. Federer & M. Fleming, Normal and integral currents, Ann. of Math., 72 (1960), 458-520.
- 4. H. B. Lawson & J. Simons, On stable currents and their application to global problems in real and complex geometry, Ann. of Math., 98 (1973), 427-450.
- 5. Y. L. Xin, An application of integral currents to the vanishing theorems, Sci. Sinica, Ser. A, 27 (1984), 233-241.

- 6. X. S. Zhang, Nonexistence of stable currents in submanifolds of a product of two spheres, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 44 (1991), 325-336.
- 7. X. S. Zhang, Geometry and topology of submanifolds immersed in space forms and ellipsoids, Kodai Math. J., 17 (1994), 262-272.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, JOSAI UNIVERSITY, SAITAMA, SAKADO 350-02, JAPAN

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 812, JAPAN