Hierarchical Variational Principles of Irreversible Processes in Dynamical and Thermal Disturbance

中野藤生 Huzio Nakano

3-110, Issikisinmati 2-401, Nakagawaku, Nagoya 454, Japan

Quantum variational principles of irreversible processes in linear response which have been developed for the electric conduction by the present author and his coworker are generalized to the transport phenomena in thermal disturbance. The princple is also presented on the quantum fluctuation in the system, manifesting the fluctuation-dissipation law. version is concerned with the dynamical stage and independent irreversibility. By means of contractions of informations, the principle is converted into the more coarse grained one, thus concerned with irreversibility. In the first step the sion takes place from the dynamical to kinetic stages and second from the kinetic to thermohydrodynamical stages.

§1.Introduction

We have developed the variational principle of irreversible processes in the linear response theory, taking the electrical conduction in solids as a typical example. (1)2)3)4) In the paper we generalize the principle to the transport in the driven by the thermal disturbance based on the theory Nakajima⁵. He generalized the local equilibrium distribution function to the local equilibrium density matrix as the from which the state of the molecular state deviate. We also the principle to the theory of quantum-mechanical fluctuation the aged system⁶ which generalized Onsager's stochastic thermodynamical theory giving the well-known reciprocity relation. thus obtained in the deepest microscopic information is reduced to the more coarse grained contracting the informations in the density matrix of the system in the same way as in the our previous papers mentioned above. contraction is made in two steps successively and as a variational principles at the levels of kinetic and thermohydrodynamical stages is derived.

In §2 we revise the previous variational principle on the electric conduction due to the applied electric field based on the von-Neumann eqution, by replacing the density matrix of the grand

canonical form for equilibrium with that for the local equilibrium. 6 Thus the variational principle is generalized to the thermally as well as dynmaically driven systems.

In §3 we discuss the thermal fluctuation in the aged system on the basis of the theory due to Nakajima et al. 7,8) and propose the variational principle οf the same form as in the fluctuation-dissipation phenomena, manifesting the applying the information contractions, we obtain a hierachy variational principles depicting the various dynamical of the system.

§2. Formulation for the system in thermal distsurbance

The density matrix $\rho(t)$ for the system exposed to an electric field $\mathbf{E}(t)$ obeys a von-Neumann equation

$$ih \partial \rho / \partial t = [H - P \cdot E(t), \rho] \tag{1}$$

where P represents the polarization operator of the system. We assume that the system is maintained at local equilibrium in thermal contact with the surrounding heat bath with temperatures varying in space, with transport phenomena being accompanied.

We can write he density matrix for this system as

$$\rho(t) = \rho_1 + \rho_1(t) \tag{2}$$

in terms of the local equilibrium part

$$\rho_{L} = \operatorname{Kexp} \left[- \int \{ \beta_{L}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) + \xi_{L}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) \} d^{3} \mathbf{r} \right]$$
 (3)

and the deviation ρ_1 (t). In (3) K is a nomalization constant, and $\beta_L(\mathbf{r})$ and $\xi_L(\mathbf{r})$ are local values of the inverse temperature and of the chemical potential divided by temperature, respectively. They are thermodynamically conjugate to the energy density $u(\mathbf{r})$ and the number density $n(\mathbf{r})$, respectively. Integrations of these densities give

$$H = \int u(r) d^3 r$$
, $N = \int n(r) d^3 r$, (4)

where H and N are the Hamiltonian and the total electrons, respectively. The local parameters $\beta_{L}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\xi_{L}(\mathbf{r})$ determined from the local averages $u_{L}(r)$ and $n_{L}(r)$ \mathbf{of} energy and number densities with respect to the local as well asequilibrium density matrices as

$$u_{L}(\mathbf{r}) = \int Tr[\rho(t)u(\mathbf{r})]d^{3}\mathbf{r} = \int Tr[\rho_{L}u(\mathbf{r})]d^{3}\mathbf{r}, \qquad (5)$$

$$n_{L}(r) = \int Tr[\rho(t)n(r)]d^{3}r = \int Tr[\rho_{L}n(r)]d^{3}r.$$
 (6)

respectively.

Dividing the local parameters as

$$\beta_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \beta + \beta'(\mathbf{r}), \qquad \xi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \xi + \xi'(\mathbf{r})$$
 (7)

into the uniform values β and ξ and small deviations β' and ξ which are sowly-varying with space and time, we expand (3) as

$$\rho_{L}(t) = \rho_{C} \left[1 - \beta^{-1} \int d^{3} \mathbf{r} \int_{0}^{\beta} e^{\lambda H} (\beta' u + \xi' n) e^{-\lambda H} d\lambda\right]$$
 (8)

into a power series of β ' and ξ ' up to the first order, where

$$\rho_{c} = \text{Kexp}(-\beta H - \xi N) \tag{9}$$

is the density matrix for the system at thermal equilibrium. The integration with sapce in (8) extends all over the system. Substituting (8) into the von-Neumann equation (1), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\lambda \left[d^3 r e^{\lambda H} \left[j \cdot E(t) - \beta^{-1} (\dot{\beta}' u + \dot{\xi}' n + \beta' \dot{u} + \xi' \dot{n}) \right] e^{-\lambda H} \right] (10)$$

in the linear approximation with respect to the external disturbance. Here, in contrast to the fact that $\dot{\beta}$ and $\dot{\xi}$ simply denote the derivatives of β and ξ with time, respectively, \dot{u} and \dot{n} stand for the changes with time according to the Heisenberg equation of

motion and obey the equation of continuity, so that

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{i} [\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{n}] / \mathbf{h} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} / \mathbf{e}, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{i} [\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{u}] / \mathbf{h} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, \tag{11}$$

where j and w denote the electric current and energy current densities, respectively. In conformity of the left-hand side with the right-hand side in (10), we put

$$\rho_1 = \int_0^\beta d\lambda \int d^3 \mathbf{r} e^{\lambda H} \Phi e^{-\lambda H}. \tag{12}$$

in (10) and perform partial integrations with space coordinates to get

$$\partial \Phi / \partial t + i [H, \Phi] / \hbar = \Pi + \beta^{-1} (\dot{\beta}' u + \dot{\xi}' n)$$
 (13)

for the deviation operator Φ . Here we have defined

$$\Pi = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{X}_1' + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 \tag{14}$$

in terms of j and w or the heat flow q given by

$$q = w - \mu j/e$$
, (15)
and their thermodynamically conjugate forces defined, respectively,

and their thermodynamically conjugate forces defined, respectively as

$$X_1 = E - e^{-1} \nabla \mu, \quad X_2 = -T^{-1} \nabla T, \quad X_1 = E - e^{-1} T \nabla (\mu/T).$$
 (16)

When x_1 and x_2 as well as β ' and ξ ' depend on time as

$$X_1(t) = X_1 e^{st}, X_2(t) = X_2 e^{st}, X_1'(t) = X_1' e^{st}, (t<0)$$
 (17a)

on the one hand and

$$X_1(t) = X_1 e^{-st}$$
, $X_2(t) = X_2 e^{-st}$, $X_1'(t) = X_1' e^{-st}$, $(t \ge 0)$ (17b)

on the other hand, we assume

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi^{(+)} e^{-st}$$
 (18a)

and

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi^{(-)} e^{st}, \qquad (18b)$$

respectively, where s will tends to plus zero in the following. Substituting (17a) and (17a) and next (18b) and (19b) into (14), we get

$$L_s \Phi^{(+)} = \Pi, \tag{19a}$$

$$L_{-s}\Phi^{(-)}=\Pi, \qquad (19b)$$

respectively, where no contribution comes from the terms other than Π in the integrand on the right-hand side of (14) as s \rightarrow +0. A superoperator L_s acting on an operator Φ is defined by

$$L_s \Phi = s\Phi + i[H, \Phi]/\hbar$$
, (20) and satisfies

$$(\Phi, L_s \Psi) = -(\Psi, L_{-s} \Phi) \tag{21}$$

with respect to the inner product which is been defined between a pair of operators Φ and Ψ as

$$(\Phi, \Psi) = (\Psi, \Phi) = \int_{\theta}^{\theta} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{C} e^{\lambda H} \Phi e^{-\lambda H} \Psi) d\lambda. \qquad (22)$$

The variational principle is thus presented as follows. Let us make the functional

$$W(\Phi^{(+)},\Phi^{(-)}) = (\Phi^{(+)} - \Phi^{(-)}, j \cdot X_1 + q \cdot X_2) + (\Phi^{(-)}, L_s \Phi^{(+)})$$
 (23)

stationary with respect to $\Phi^{(+)}$ and $\Phi^{(-)}$ in the limit s $\rightarrow 0$. Thus the solutions satisfy (19a) and (19b), respectively, and are written

$$\Phi^{(\pm)} = \Phi_1^{(\pm)} \cdot \mathbf{X}_1 + \Phi_2^{(\pm)} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 \tag{24}$$

in terms of the vector operators ϕ_1 (t) and ϕ_2 (t) satisfying

$$L_s \Phi_i^{(+)} = j_i, \quad (i=1,2)$$
 (25a)

$$L_{-s} \Phi_{i}^{(-)} = j_{i}.$$
 (25b)

respectively, where we have redefined the flows as

$$\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j}, \quad \mathbf{j}_2 = \mathbf{q}, \tag{26}$$

in conformity with the forces x_1 and x_2 . We can adopt w as y_2 in place of q, by replacing x_1 with x_1 , in (24).

A pair of flows are coupled to each other in the functional

$$W(\Phi_{i}^{(+)},\Phi_{j}^{(-)}) = (\Phi_{i}^{(+)},\mathfrak{f}_{j}) - (\Phi_{j}^{(-)},\mathfrak{f}_{i}) + (\Phi_{j}^{(-)},L_{s}\Phi_{i}^{(+)}), \quad (27)$$

which is made stationary by the solutions of (25a) and (25b). The stationary value gives the transport coefficent

$$L_{i|i} = (\Phi_{i}^{(+)}, \mathfrak{f}_{i}) = (\Phi_{i}^{(+)}, L_{-s}\Phi_{i}^{(-)}) = -(\Phi_{i}^{(-)}, \mathfrak{f}_{i}) = -(\Phi_{i}^{(-)}, L_{s}\Phi_{i}^{(+)}) \quad (28)$$

in terms of the solutions of (25a) and (25b).

In discussing the time reversal symmetry, \overline{Q} denotes the time reversal of an operator Q. In the Schrödinger representation, the time reversal is performed by taking the complex conjugate: e.g., $\overline{x}=x$ and $\overline{p}=-p$. The Hamiltonian H(H) of the system which is exposed to a magnetic field H is transformed as

$$H(H) = H(-H). \tag{29}$$

In the absence of magnetic field, to which we confine ourselves, H=H(0) is real and invaraiant with time inversion: H=H. Since H is real, if $|n\rangle$ is an eigenket, then its complex conjugate $|\overline{n}\rangle$ which denotes the time reversed state of $|n\rangle$ is also an eigenket with the same eigenvalue E_n as $|n\rangle$: that is,

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$
, $H|\overline{n}\rangle = E_n|\overline{n}\rangle$. (30)

We also have

$$\langle \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathbf{n}} | \overline{\mathbf{m}} \rangle$$
, $\langle \mathbf{m} | \mathbf{Q} | \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathbf{n}} | \overline{\mathbf{Q}} | \overline{\mathbf{m}} \rangle$, (31)

where Q is hermitian. Otherwise, \overline{Q} should be read the Hermite conjugate \overline{Q}^{\dagger} of \overline{Q} .

Concerning the matrix element on the left-hand side of (20a) for the Hermitian operator $\Phi^{(+)}$, we have the relation

$$\langle m | L_s \Phi^{(+)} | n \rangle = -\langle \overline{n} | L_s \overline{\Phi^{(+)}} | \overline{m} \rangle,$$
 (32)

where $\omega_{mn} \equiv (E_m - E_n)/\hbar$. Taking II as Q in (31), we get

$$\langle \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{\Pi} \mid \mathbf{n} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{\overline{n}} \mid \mathbf{\Pi} \mid \mathbf{\overline{m}} \rangle, \tag{33}$$

since $\overline{\Pi}=-\Pi$. Taking into consideration (20a), (32) and (33), we find that $\overline{\Phi}^{(+)}$ satisfies (20b). Thus we can assume

$$\overline{\Phi^{(+)}} = \Phi^{(-)}, \tag{34}$$

and simply write $\Phi^{(+)}$ as Φ and $\Phi^{(-)}$ as $\overline{\Phi}(\overline{\Phi_i})$. Defining

$$D_{m,n} \equiv (\rho_n - \rho_m) / (E_m - E_n), \qquad (35)$$

we can demonstrate

$$(\phi_{i}^{(-)}, L_{s}\phi_{i}^{(+)}) = \sum \sum D_{m,n} \langle m | \phi_{i}^{(-)} | n \rangle \langle n | L_{s}\phi_{i}^{(+)} | m \rangle$$

$$\sum \sum D_{\bar{m},\bar{n}} \langle m | \phi_i^{(-)} | n \rangle \langle n | L_s \phi_i^{(+)} | m \rangle = (\phi_i^{(-)}, L_s \phi_i^{(+)}), \quad (36)$$

based on the relations (32) and (21) and the identities

$$\rho_{\bar{n}} = \rho_{n} , \qquad D_{\bar{m}, \bar{n}} = D_{m, n}$$
 (37)

due to the degeneracy (32) in the energy eigenvalues. Taking into account (36) in (28) leads to Onsager's reciprocity relation7,

$$(L_{ij})_{\mu\nu} = (L_{ji})_{\nu\mu}$$
 (38)

Substituting the solution of (25a)/(25b) into (28), we obtain

$$(\mathbf{L}_{i\,j})_{\mu\nu} = \int_{a}^{a} dt (j_{i\,\mu}(t), j_{j\,\nu})$$
 (39)

in the limit $s\to +0$, where $j_{i\mu}$ and $j_{j\nu}$ denote the μ -component of j_i and the ν -component of j_j , respectively. When the system is isotropic or has a cubic symmetry, (38) is rewritten as

$$(L_{ij})_{\mu\nu} = L_{ij} \delta_{\nu\mu}, \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji} \quad (\mu, \nu = x, y \text{ or } z)$$
 (40)

in terms of the Kronecker delta symbol $\delta_{\nu\mu}$ and

$$L_{i,j} = \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha} dt \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\lambda \operatorname{Tr} \{ \rho_{c} j_{i} (t - i\hbar\lambda) j_{j} \}, \qquad (41)$$

where $j_{i\mu}$ and $j_{j\mu}$ have been abbreviated as j_i and j_j , respectively. When the system is exposed to an external magnetic field μ , the Schrödinger equations (30) are replaced with

$$H(H) \mid n \rangle = E_n \mid n \rangle$$
, $H(-H) \mid \overline{n} \rangle = E_n \mid \overline{n} \rangle$, (42)

based on (29). Thus the field dependence of (37) is written as

$$\rho_{\bar{n}}(\mathbf{H}) = \rho_{n}(-\mathbf{H}). \qquad D_{\bar{m},\bar{n}}(\mathbf{H}) = D_{m,n}(-\mathbf{H}), \qquad (43)$$

wherefrom we obtain

$$(L_{ij}(H))_{\mu\nu} = (L_{ji}(-H))_{\nu\mu} \qquad (\mu, \nu=x, y, z)$$
 (44)

for the magnetic field-dependent coefficient $L_{i,j}(H)$.

§3.Fluctuating system

Assuming that the local temperature and chemical potential fluctuate slowly in the aged system where much faster fluctuations in molecular level occur, we write the density matrix of this aged system as⁷

$$\rho(t) = \operatorname{Kexp} \left\{ - \int \{ \beta_{L}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) + \xi_{L}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) + \Phi(t) \} d^{3} \mathbf{r} \right\}, \tag{45}$$

where $\Phi(t)$ denotes the rapid molecular fluctuation. We divide $\beta_{l}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\xi_{l}(\mathbf{r})$ as in (7), where β and ξ denote the equilibrium value and β ' and ξ ' are not deviations driven externally but internal thermal fluctuations. Substituting (7) into (45), we regard β ' and ξ ' as well as Φ to be small and expand into a power series of these, we get

$$\rho(t) = \rho_{c} \left[1 - \beta^{-1} \int d^{3} \mathbf{r} \int_{\theta}^{\beta} e^{\lambda H} \left(\beta \Phi + \beta' \mathbf{u} + \xi' \mathbf{n}\right) e^{-\lambda H} d\lambda\right], \tag{46}$$

where ρ_c denotes the equilibrium density matrix (9).

Substituting (46) into the von-Neumann equation

$$i\hbar \partial \rho / \partial t = [H, \rho], \tag{47}$$

for the isolated system with the Hamiltonian H, we get (13) again, where we have to notice the physical meaning. What we are concerned with here is the fluctuations in the aged system, in contrast with

the dissipations in the system driven externally in the last section. By taking into consideration that the regression of the thermal fluctuations are much slower than that of the fluctuations in molecular level, $\beta^{-1}(\dot{\beta}'u+\dot{\xi}'n)$ can be neglected in comparison with Π on the right-hand side of (13). Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \Phi] = j \cdot X_1 + q \cdot X_2 = j \cdot X_1' + w \cdot X_2. \tag{48}$$

If we assume the regression of $\Phi(t)$ as well as $\chi_1(t)$ and $\chi_2(t)$ in the form (19a) and (18a), we obtain (20a). Assuming (19b) and (18b) instead, we get (20b). Thus the variational principle concerning (23) applied to the dissipation phenomena in the driven system is here applicable to the thermal fluctuation in the aged system, so that it is needless to repeat the argument therein. This formal equivalence manifests the fluctuation-dissipation law in the form of the variational principle.

The variational principle concerning (24) can be rewritten in the time space in the form

$$\int_{0}^{\tau} dt \int d^{3} r [(\Phi(t-T), \Pi(t)) - (\Phi(t), \Pi(t-T)) - (\Phi(t-T), 2\Phi(t)/2t + L\Phi(t))]$$
 (49) where

$$\Pi(t) = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{X}_1(t) + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2(t) = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{X}_1'(t) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2(t). \tag{50}$$

Let us make (49) stationary w. r. t. $\Phi(t)$, we get the von-Neumann equation (48) in the time range -T < t < T. In the limit $T \to \infty$, (49) is rewritten as (24) in terms of the Lapalace transform

$$\Phi^{(+)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \Phi(t) dt, \qquad \Phi^{(-)} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{st} \Phi(t) dt. \qquad (51)$$

§4. Informational contractions and hierarchy

The principle developed in the foregoing sections is concerned with the dynmaicl or initial-mixing stage, where the von Neumann equation governs the principle. The time reversal symmetry satisfied by that principle is broken by eliminating the even component with respect to time reversal which is found to be irrerevant. Thus the principle is transformed into the kinetic stage inplicating irreversibility. The density operators introduced by (19a) and (19b) are divided as

$$\Phi^{(+)} = \Phi' + \Phi'', \quad \Phi^{(-)} = -\Phi' + \Phi'',$$
 (52)

where Φ ' and Φ " are odd and even components with respect to time reversal, respectively. That is,

$$\overline{\Phi}' = -\Phi', \quad \overline{\Phi}'' = \Phi''.$$
 (53)

The even component Φ " is irrelevant in the variation of (24), because it is decoupled with the term of external disturbance. By making (24) stationary as to Φ ", this is eliminated irrespective of the external term and expressed in terms of Φ ' as a result. Substituting this expression into (24), we get

$$W(\Phi') = 2(\Phi', \Pi) - (\Phi', L\Phi'), \qquad (54)$$

which is maximized w. r. t. Φ '. The superoperator L is defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\Phi}' = ([\mathbf{H}, [\mathbf{H}, \Phi]] + \mathbf{h}^2 \mathbf{s}^2 \Phi) / (\mathbf{h}^2 \mathbf{s})$$
(55)

and satisfies the relations

$$(\Phi, \hat{\Gamma}\Psi) = (\Psi, \hat{\Gamma}\Phi), \qquad (56)$$

$$(\Phi, \hat{\Gamma}\Phi) \ge 0. \tag{57}$$

The maximization of (61) demands

of Φ ', where the maximum is the observed value (Φ ', Π) of Π and a traxpot coefficient in a special case. This variational principle is formally the same as the the Umeda-Kohler-Sondheimer(UKS) principle which was originally presented on the Boltzmann equation for the conduction electrons in solids⁸ and for molecules in gases⁹. It is noticed that eq. (58) as well as the Boltzmann equation are self-adjoint in contrast with the von-Neumann equation (20a) whose adjoint is given by (20b).

For brevity we here confine ourselves to the isotropic or cubic system and delete the suffix for the orthogonal component. The solution of (58) can be written as

$$\Phi' = X_1 \Phi_1 + X_2 \Phi_2$$
, (59)

which maximizes (54) if Φ_n satisfies a Boltzamann type equation

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}\Phi_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{n}} \qquad (\mathbf{n}=1,2). \tag{60}$$

The maximum is written as

$$W(\Phi') = L_{1,1} X_1^2 + 2L_{1,2} X_1 X_{2,1} + L_{2,2} X_2^2,$$
(61)

in terms of the matric transport coefficient

$$L_{mn} = (\Phi_m, j_n) = (\Phi_m, L\Phi_n) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} (j_m, e^{i\hat{l}t - st} j_n) dt \quad (s \to \infty). \tag{62}$$

The solution of (60) maximizes

$$W(\Phi_n) = 2(\Phi_n, j_n) - (\Phi_n, \hat{L}\Phi_n)$$
 (n=1,2), (63)

where the maximum is equal to L_{nn} . Substituting (66) into the averages of the flow $J_m = (\Phi', j_m)$, we obtain

$$J_{m} = \sum_{m,n} L_{m,n} X_{n}$$
 (m=1,2),

where $L_{m,n}$ defined as (62) satisfies Onsager's reciprocity⁷

$$L_{m,n} = L_{n,m}$$
 (m, n=1,2). (65)

Substituting (59) and (15) into (54) and taking into account (62) and (64), we obtain

$$W(J) = \sum_{n} [2J_n X_n - (L^{-1})_{m,n} J_m J_n]$$
 (66)

in terms of the inverse L^{-1} to L. Assuming that $\{L_{m\,n}\}$ is known in (66) for the system concerned, we obtain a variational principle of Onsager's type⁷, where (66) is maximized w. r. t. $\{J_n\}$.

§4.Conclusion

The quantum variational principle of irreversible processes based on the von Neumann equation is generalized to the transport due to the thermal disturbance, where a pair of solution are coupled with each other similarly to the incoming and outgoing waves in the scattering theory. It describes the dynamical stage, having no concern with irreversibility, where the pair of solutions of the von-Neumann equation are adjoint to each other, in contrast with the self-adjoint Boltzmann equation.

By contracting the even component Φ " of the density matrix, we enter in the kinetic regime which concerns the irreversibility, and get the UKS principle on the odd component as a maximum problem. By means of a further contraction, we enter in the thermohydrodynamical regime, where we gain the Onsager type variational principle on the flow quantities describing the transport.

References

- 1) H. Nakano, Proc. Phys. Soc. 82, 757 (1963).
- 2)H.Nakano, Intnatl.J.Mod.Phys.B,7,2397(1993).
- 3) H. Nakano and M. Hattori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 83, 1115 (1990).
- 4) M. Hattori and H. Nakano, Intnatl. J. Mod. Phys. B, 9, 1227 (1995).
- 5)S.Nakajima, Prog.Theor.Phys.20(1958).
- 6)S.Nakajima, Busseiron Kenkyu 102,24(1956)(in Japanese).
 R.Kubo, M.Yokota and S.Nakajima, J.Phys.Soc.Jap.12,1203(1957).
- 7)M.Hattori and H.Nakano, Intnatl.J.Mod.Phys.B, 10, 2651(1996).