

Berezin Transforms and Laplace-Beltrami Operators on Homogeneous Siegel Domains

— commutativity, symmetry of the domain and a Cayley transform —

TAKA AKI NOMURA¹

(Kyoto University)

1. Preliminaries

Homogeneous Siegel domains are described in terms of normal j -algebras (cf. [15]), of which we are going to give the definition. Let \mathfrak{g} be a split solvable Lie algebra, J a linear operator on \mathfrak{g} with $J^2 = -I$ and ω a linear form on \mathfrak{g} . Then the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, J, \omega)$ is called a *normal j -algebra* if

$$(1.1) \quad [Jx, Jy] = [x, y] + J[Jx, y] + J[x, Jy] \quad (\text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \langle x | y \rangle_\omega := \langle [Jx, y], \omega \rangle \text{ defines a } J\text{-invariant inner product on } \mathfrak{g}.$$

We describe here some basic facts about normal j -algebras following [15] and [17] (see also [16]). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, J, \omega)$ be a normal j -algebra. Let $\mathfrak{n} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ be the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{a} the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{n} in \mathfrak{g} relative to the inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$. Evidently we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$. Moreover, \mathfrak{a} is a commutative subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})$ consists of semisimple operators on \mathfrak{g} . For every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we set

$$\mathfrak{n}_\alpha := \{x \in \mathfrak{n} ; [h, x] = \langle h, \alpha \rangle x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Take all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ and $J\mathfrak{n}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{a}$, and number them as $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. We have $\dim \mathfrak{a} = r$ and $\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_k} = 1$ for every k . The number r is called the *rank* of the normal j -algebra \mathfrak{g} . We can reorder $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$, if necessary, so that all the α such that $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ (such an α is called a *root* of the normal j -algebra) are of the following form (some roots might be missing):

$$\begin{array}{llll} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_m + \alpha_k) & (1 \leq k < m \leq r), & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_m - \alpha_k) & (1 \leq k < m \leq r), \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_k & (1 \leq k \leq r), & \alpha_k & (1 \leq k \leq r). \end{array}$$

¹E-mail: nomura@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp

We note that if α, β are distinct roots, then \mathfrak{n}_α is orthogonal to \mathfrak{n}_β . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}(0) &:= \mathfrak{a} \oplus \sum_{m>k} \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m - \alpha_k)/2}, & \mathfrak{g}(1/2) &:= \sum_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i/2}, \\ \mathfrak{g}(1) &:= \sum_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i} \oplus \sum_{m>k} \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m + \alpha_k)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Understanding $\mathfrak{g}(i) = 0$ for $i > 1$, we have $[\mathfrak{g}(i), \mathfrak{g}(j)] \subset \mathfrak{g}(i+j)$. Moreover

$$J\mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m - \alpha_k)/2} = \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m + \alpha_k)/2} \quad (m > k), \quad J\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i/2} = \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i/2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r),$$

so that $J\mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{g}(1)$ and $J\mathfrak{g}(1/2) = \mathfrak{g}(1/2)$. Taking $E_i \in \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) such that $\alpha_k(JE_i) = \delta_{ki}$, we put $H_i := JE_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$(1.3) \quad H := H_1 + \dots + H_r, \quad E := E_1 + \dots + E_r.$$

We write down here the constants used frequently in this note:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} n_{mk} &:= \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m - \alpha_k)/2} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m + \alpha_k)/2} \quad (1 \leq k < m \leq r), \\ b_i &:= \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha_i/2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r), \\ d_j &:= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k>j} n_{kj} + \sum_{i<j} n_{ji} \right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq r). \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ be the connected and simply connected Lie group corresponding to \mathfrak{g} . Note that $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . We denote by $G(0)$ the corresponding subgroup $\exp \mathfrak{g}(0)$ of G . The group $G(0)$ acts on $V := \mathfrak{g}(1)$ by adjoint action. Let Ω be the $G(0)$ -orbit through E . By [17, Theorem 4.15] Ω is a regular open convex cone in V , and $G(0)$ acts on Ω simply transitively. Being invariant under J , the subspace $\mathfrak{g}(1/2)$ is considered as a *complex* vector space by means of $-J$. We shall write this complex vector space by U . We put $W := V_{\mathbb{C}}$, the complexification of V . The conjugation of W relative to the real form V is written as $w \mapsto w^*$. The real bilinear map Q defined by

$$Q(u, u') := \frac{1}{2} ([Ju, u'] - i[u, u']) \quad (u, u' \in \mathfrak{g}(1/2))$$

turns out to be a complex sesqui-linear (complex linear in the first variable and antilinear in the second) Hermitian map $U \times U \rightarrow W$ which is Ω -positive. This means that

$$Q(u', u) = Q(u, u')^* \quad (u, u' \in U), \quad Q(u, u) \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for all } u \in U \setminus \{0\}.$$

With these data we define the *Siegel domain* D corresponding to the normal j -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, J, \omega)$ to be

$$D := \{(u, w) \in U \times W ; w + w^* - Q(u, u) \in \Omega\}.$$

Note that we take a generalized *right* half plane rather than a more familiar upper half plane.

Consider the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_D := \mathfrak{g}(1) + \mathfrak{g}(1/2)$. It is at most 2-step nilpotent. Let $N_D = \exp \mathfrak{n}_D$ be the corresponding connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group contained in G . We write the elements of N_D by $n(a, b)$ ($a \in \mathfrak{g}(1)$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}(1/2)$). The group N_D acts on D by

$$(1.5) \quad n(a, b) \cdot (u, w) = (u + b, w + ia + \frac{1}{2}Q(b, b) + Q(u, b)) \quad ((u, w) \in D).$$

On the other hand, the adjoint action of $G(0)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(1/2)$ commutes with J . This implies that $G(0)$ acts on U complex-linearly. Moreover the adjoint action of $G(0)$ on $V = \mathfrak{g}(1)$ extends complex-linearly to W , so that $G(0)$ acts on D complex-linearly. Hence $G = N_D \rtimes G(0)$ acts on D simply transitively. To see this more explicitly, put $e := (0, E) \in D$. Then given $z = (u, w) \in D$, we can find a unique $h \in G(0)$ satisfying $hE = \operatorname{Re} w - Q(u, u)/2$. Taking $n = n(\operatorname{Im} w, u) \in N_D$, we see by (1.5) that $z = nh \cdot e$.

For every $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ let $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the one-dimensional representation of $A := \exp \mathfrak{a}$ defined by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{s}} \left(\exp \sum_k t_k H_k \right) = \exp \left(\sum_k s_k t_k \right) \quad (t_1, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Let $N := \exp \mathfrak{n}$. It is clear that $G = N \rtimes A$. We extend $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}$ to a one-dimensional representation of G by defining $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}(n) = 1$ for $n \in N$. Let us define functions $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}$ ($\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r$) on Ω by $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(hE) = \chi_{\mathbf{s}}(h)$ ($h \in G(0)$). Evidently it holds that

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(hx) = \chi_{\mathbf{s}}(h)\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(x) \quad (h \in G(0), x \in \Omega).$$

We know that $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}$ extends to a holomorphic function on the tube domain $\Omega + iV$ (cf. for example [7, Corollary 2.5]).

For $h \in G(0)$, let $\operatorname{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}(1)}(h) := (\operatorname{Ad} h)|_{\mathfrak{g}(1)}$. Moreover let $\operatorname{Ad}_U(h)$ stand for the *complex* linear operator on U defined by the adjoint action of $h \in G(0)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(1/2)$, and $\det \operatorname{Ad}_U(h)$ its determinant as a complex linear operator. Then, with $\mathbf{d} := (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ and $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, \dots, b_r)$, we have for $h \in G(0)$

$$(1.7) \quad \det \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}(1)}(h) = \chi_{\mathbf{d}}(h), \quad |\det \operatorname{Ad}_U(h)|^2 = \chi_{\mathbf{b}}(h).$$

By [6, §5] or [18, §II.6], it is known that D has a Bergman kernel κ . If $\text{Hol}(D)$ denotes the Lie group of the holomorphic automorphisms of D , then κ satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad \kappa(z_1, z_2) = \kappa(g \cdot z_1, g \cdot z_2) \det g'(z_1) \overline{\det g'(z_2)} \quad (g \in \text{Hol}(D), z_1, z_2 \in D),$$

where $g'(z)$ is the complex Jacobian map of g at $z \in D$. The description of the simple transitive action of G on D together with the property (1.7) and (1.8) shows

$$(1.9) \quad \kappa(z_1, z_2) = C \cdot \Delta_{-2d-b}(w_1 + w_2^* - Q(u_1, u_2)) \quad (z_j = (u_j, w_j) \in D)$$

with $C = \kappa(e, e)\Delta_{2d+b}(2E) > 0$. We put $\eta := \Delta_{-2d-b}$ in what follows for simplicity.

2. Cayley transform

Let D_v be the directional derivative in the direction $v \in V$ given by

$$D_v f(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(x + tv) \right|_{t=0}.$$

For every $x \in \Omega$ we define $\mathcal{I}(x) \in V^*$ to be $-\nabla \log \eta(x)$, that is,

$$\langle v, \mathcal{I}(x) \rangle = -D_v \log \eta(x) \quad (v \in V).$$

\mathcal{I} is called the *pseudoinverse map*. By [3, §2], \mathcal{I} gives a diffeomorphism of Ω onto the dual cone Ω^* in V^* , where

$$\Omega^* := \{ \xi \in V^* ; \langle x, \xi \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

The group $G(0)$ acts also on V^* by the coadjoint action: $h \cdot \xi = \xi \circ h^{-1}$, where $h \in G(0)$ and $\xi \in V^*$. It is easy to show by using (1.6) that \mathcal{I} is $G(0)$ -equivariant:

$$\mathcal{I}(hx) = h \cdot \mathcal{I}(x) \quad (h \in G(0), x \in \Omega).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{I}(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{I}(x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$, and $G(0)$ acts on Ω^* simply transitively. Moreover, \mathcal{I} can be extended to a rational map $W \rightarrow W^*$ [4, Satz I.2.3].

In order to find an inverse map of \mathcal{I} , we need to dualize the above matters concerning \mathcal{I} . First we define $E_1^*, \dots, E_r^* \in V^*$ by

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^r x_j E_j + \sum_{m>k} X_{mk}, E_i^* \right\rangle = x_i \quad (x_j \in \mathbb{R}, X_{mk} \in \mathfrak{n}_{(\alpha_m + \alpha_k)/2}),$$

and for every $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$,

$$E_{\mathbf{s}}^* := s_1 E_1^* + \dots + s_r E_r^* \in V^*.$$

We can show that $\mathcal{I}(E) = E_{2d+b}^*$. Next we put $\mathbf{s}^* := (s_r, \dots, s_1)$ and set

$$\chi_{\mathbf{s}^*}^* := \chi_{-\mathbf{s}^*}, \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{s}^*}^*(h \cdot E_{2d+b}^*) := \chi_{\mathbf{s}^*}^*(h) \quad (h \in G(0)).$$

Δ_s^* is a function on Ω^* such that $\Delta_s^*(h \cdot \xi) = \chi_s^*(h)\Delta_s^*(\xi)$ for $h \in G(0)$ and $\xi \in V^*$. We define $\eta^* := \Delta_{-2d^*-b^*}^*$ and

$$\langle \mathcal{I}^*(\xi), f \rangle := -D_f \log \eta^*(\xi) \quad (\xi \in \Omega^*, f \in V^*).$$

Thus $\mathcal{I}^*(\xi) \in V$ and \mathcal{I}^* gives a diffeomorphism of Ω^* onto Ω . Moreover, \mathcal{I}^* is $G(0)$ -equivariant, that is, $\mathcal{I}^*(h \cdot \xi) = h(\mathcal{I}^*(\xi))$ for any $h \in G(0)$. We can prove that \mathcal{I}^* is extended to a rational map $W^* \rightarrow W$.

Proposition 2.1. $\mathcal{I}^* = \mathcal{I}^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([11]). (1) \mathcal{I} is holomorphic on $\Omega + iV$, and \mathcal{I}^* is holomorphic on $\Omega^* + iV^*$.

(2) $\mathcal{I}(\Omega + iV)$ is contained in the holomorphic domain of \mathcal{I}^* , and $\mathcal{I}^*(\Omega^* + iV^*)$ is contained in the holomorphic domain of \mathcal{I} .

Remark 2.3. In general we cannot have $\mathcal{I}(\Omega + iV) \subset \Omega^* + iV^*$ if Ω is no longer selfdual. This failure is given by an example where Ω is the Vinberg cone. See [11] for details.

Now considering E_{2d+b}^* naturally as an element of W^* , we define

$$C(w) := E_{2d+b}^* - 2\mathcal{I}(w + E) \in W^* \quad (w \in W).$$

It is evident that C is a rational mapping $W \rightarrow W^*$ which is holomorphic on $\Omega + iV$. Let U^\dagger denote the space of all antilinear forms on U . We set for $z = (u, w) \in U \times W$

$$C(z) := (2\mathcal{I}(w + E) \circ Q(u, \cdot), C(w)) \in U^\dagger \times W^*.$$

Clearly C is a rational map $U \times W \rightarrow U^\dagger \times W^*$. It should be noted that if $z = (u, w) \in D$, then we have $w \in \Omega + iV$, so that $C(z)$ is holomorphic on D . We call C a *Cayley transform*. This is a slight modification of Penney's [14]. By a verbal translation of Penney's proof [14] we have

Proposition 2.4. *The image $C(D)$ of D is bounded.*

To give the inverse map of C explicitly we note first that

$$(2.1) \quad \langle v_1 | v_2 \rangle_\eta := D_{v_1} D_{v_2} \log \eta(E) \quad (v_1, v_2 \in V)$$

defines an inner product on V (see [3, §2]). Extending this inner product to a complex bilinear form (denoted by the same symbol $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\eta$) on $W \times W$, we define $\tilde{f} \in W$ and $\hat{w} \in W^*$ for $f \in W^*$ and $w \in W$ respectively by

$$\langle w' | \tilde{f} \rangle_\eta = \langle w', f \rangle, \quad \langle w', \hat{w} \rangle = \langle w' | w \rangle_\eta \quad (w' \in W).$$

Next we put

$$(2.2) \quad (u_1 | u_2)_\eta := \langle Q(u_1, u_2) | E \rangle_\eta \quad (u_1, u_2 \in U).$$

It is easy to see that this is a Hermitian inner product on U . Now define linear maps $F \mapsto \tilde{F}$ from U^\dagger to U and $u \mapsto \hat{u}$ from U to U^\dagger by

$$(\tilde{F} | u')_\eta = \langle u', F \rangle, \quad \langle u', \hat{u} \rangle = (u | u')_\eta \quad (u' \in U).$$

Obviously they are inverse to one another. Moreover, for every $w \in W$, let $\varphi(w)$ be the complex linear operator on U determined through

$$(2.3) \quad (\varphi(w)u_1 | u_2)_\eta = \langle Q(u_1, u_2) | w \rangle_\eta \quad (u_1, u_2 \in U).$$

Clearly $\varphi(E)$ is the identity operator, and it is easy to see that $\varphi(w^*) = \varphi(w)^*$. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} B(f) &:= 2\mathcal{I}^*(E_{2d+b}^* - f) - E \in W \quad (f \in W^*), \\ \mathcal{B}(F, f) &:= (\varphi(E - \tilde{f})^{-1}\tilde{F}, B(f)) \in U \times W \quad ((F, f) \in U^\dagger \times W^*). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that both B and \mathcal{B} are rational mappings.

Theorem 2.5 ([11]). $\mathcal{C} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(D)$ is biholomorphic and birational with $\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 2.6. Suppose that D is *quasisymmetric* in this remark. This means that Ω is selfdual with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\eta$ defined by (2.1). We identify V^* with V and W with W^* by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\eta$. Then by [1, Proposition 3] the product \circ defined by

$$\langle v_1 \circ v_2 | v_3 \rangle_\eta := -\frac{1}{2} D_{v_1} D_{v_2} D_{v_3} \log \eta(E) \quad (v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V)$$

is a Jordan algebra product, so that V is a Euclidean Jordan algebra in the sense of [5]. The identity element is E , and by the above identification we have $\mathcal{I}(x) = x^{-1}$, the Jordan algebra inverse of x . Identifying further U^\dagger with U by means of $(\cdot | \cdot)_\eta$ in (2.2), we get

$$\mathcal{C}(u, w) = (2\varphi(w + E)^{-1}u, (w - E)(w + E)^{-1}).$$

Thus our \mathcal{C} coincides with Dorfmeister's in [2, (2.8)] for quasisymmetric D . We note that the map $w \mapsto \varphi(w)$ with $\varphi(w)$ as in (2.3) is a representation of the complex Jordan algebra $W = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the present case (cf. [2, Theorem 2.1]).

3. A characterization of symmetric Siegel domains

By definition, the spaces $\mathfrak{g}(1/2)$ and $V = \mathfrak{g}(1)$ have the real inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$ of (1.2). We first export this inner product to V^* canonically by identifying V^* with V by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$. Note that this identification is not quite the same as in Remark 2.6 in general. The real inner product on V^* obtained this way is again denoted by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$, which is extended naturally to a Hermitian inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)_\omega$ on W^* . On the other hand the complex vector space U has a Hermitian inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)_\omega$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad (u_1 | u_2)_\omega := 2 \langle Q(u_1, u_2), \omega \rangle = \langle [Ju_1, u_2], \omega \rangle - i \langle [u_1, u_2], \omega \rangle.$$

We note that $\operatorname{Re}(u_1 | u_2)_\omega = \langle u_1 | u_2 \rangle_\omega$ for $u_1, u_2 \in U$. By a procedure similar to the above we introduce a Hermitian inner product $(\cdot | \cdot)_\omega$ on U^\dagger by importing the Hermitian inner product (3.1) from U .

Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the *Koszul form* given by

$$\langle x, \beta \rangle := \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}(Jx) - J \circ (\operatorname{ad} x)) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

It is known by [10] (see also [9, §5]) that $\langle [Jx, y], \beta \rangle$ is (the real part of) the inner product on \mathfrak{g} induced by the Bergman metric of the corresponding Siegel domain D up to a positive multiple. Indeed we can show that $\beta|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is equal to E_{2d+b}^* extended to \mathfrak{n} by zero-extension.

Theorem 3.1 ([12]). *One has $\|\mathcal{C}(g \cdot e)\|_\omega = \|\mathcal{C}(g^{-1} \cdot e)\|_\omega$ for all $g \in G$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) D is symmetric,
- (2) $\omega|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is equal to a positive number multiple of $\beta|_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Remark 3.2. Since $\mathcal{C} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(D)$ is biholomorphic with $\mathcal{C}(e) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{C}(g \cdot e)\|_\omega &= \|\mathcal{C}(g^{-1} \cdot e)\|_\omega \quad \text{for all } g \in G \\ \iff \|h \cdot 0\|_\omega &= \|h^{-1} \cdot 0\|_\omega \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{C} \circ G \circ \mathcal{C}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Berezin transforms

For simplicity we set

$$\lambda_0 := \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \frac{b_j + d_j + p_j/2}{b_j + 2d_j},$$

where $p_j := \sum_{k>j} n_{kj}$. Let $\lambda > \lambda_0$. This is the condition for the non-triviality of certain Hilbert spaces $H_\lambda^2(D)$ of holomorphic functions on D (cf. [17] or [7]). Let κ

be the Bergman kernel of D (see (1.9)). The *Berezin kernel* A_λ on D is given by

$$A_\lambda(z_1, z_2) := \left(\frac{|\kappa(z_1, z_2)|^2}{\kappa(z_1, z_1)\kappa(z_2, z_2)} \right)^\lambda \quad (z_1, z_2 \in D).$$

We put $a_\lambda(g) := A_\lambda(g \cdot e, e)$ ($g \in G$). Then it is easy to see that $a_\lambda(g) = a_\lambda(g^{-1})$. We know that a_λ is integrable on G with respect to the left Haar measure. Consider the space $L^2(G)$ on G for the left Haar measure. The *Berezin transform* B_λ , when transferred to $L^2(G)$, is given by the convolution operator

$$B_\lambda f(x) := \int_G f(y) a_\lambda(y^{-1}x) dy = f * a_\lambda(x) \quad (f \in L^2(G)).$$

On the other hand, the inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$ on \mathfrak{g} defines a left invariant Riemannian metric on G , relative to which we have the Laplace-Beltrami operator \mathcal{L}_ω on G . In order to express \mathcal{L}_ω in terms of the elements of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$, we set for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$Xf(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f((\exp -tX)x) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad \tilde{X}f(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f(x \exp tX) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

These are extended to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by homomorphisms. Though the following lemma holds for any connected Lie group, we write it down here in our situation. See [19, Theorem 1] for a proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Take $\Psi \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which one has $\langle X | \Psi \rangle_\omega = \text{tr ad}(X)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\omega = -\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{\Psi}$, where $\Lambda := X_1^2 + \cdots + X_{2N}^2$ with an orthonormal basis $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{2N}$ of \mathfrak{g} relative to $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\omega$.*

We note that $\Psi \in \mathfrak{a}$ in our case.

Theorem 4.2 ([13]). *Let $\lambda > \lambda_0$ be fixed. Then, B_λ commutes with \mathcal{L}_ω if and only if D is symmetric and $\omega|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is equal to a positive number multiple of $\beta|_{\mathfrak{n}}$.*

We indicate here how Theorem 4.2 is derived from Theorem 3.1.

- (1) B_λ commutes with $\mathcal{L}_\omega \iff (-\tilde{\Lambda} + \tilde{\Psi})a_\lambda = (-\Lambda + \Psi)a_\lambda$.
- (2) Since $a_\lambda(g) = a_\lambda(g^{-1})$, we have $\tilde{X}a_\lambda(g) = Xa_\lambda(g^{-1})$ for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $g \in G$.
- (3) $(\Lambda - \Psi)a_\lambda(g) = \lambda a_\lambda(g) (\lambda \|\mathcal{C}(g \cdot e)\|_\omega^2 - \langle \Psi, \alpha \rangle)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

References

- [1] J. E. D'Atri and I. D. Miatello, *A characterization of bounded symmetric domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **276** (1983), 531–540.
- [2] J. Dorfmeister, *Quasisymmetric Siegel domains and the automorphisms of homogeneous Siegel domains*, Amer. J. Math., **102** (1980), 537–563.

- [3] J. Dorfmeister, *Homogeneous Siegel domains*, Nagoya Math. J., **86** (1982), 39–83.
- [4] J. Dorfmeister and M. Koecher, *Relative Invarianten und nicht-assoziative Algebren*, Math. Ann., **228** (1977), 147–186.
- [5] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [6] S. G. Gindikin, *Analysis in homogeneous domains*, Russian Math. Surveys, **19-4** (1964), 1–89.
- [7] H. Ishi, *Representations of the affine transformation groups acting simply transitively on Siegel domains*, J. Funct. Anal., **167** (1999), 425–462.
- [8] S. Kaneyuki, *On the automorphism groups of homogeneous bounded domains*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **14** (1967), 89–130.
- [9] S. Kaneyuki, *Geometry of complex bounded domains*, Lecture Notes **3**, Sophia University, 1978 (in Japanese).
- [10] J. L. Koszul, *Sur la forme hermitienne canonique des espaces homogènes*, Canad. J. Math., **7** (1955), 562–576.
- [11] T. Nomura, *On Penney’s Cayley transform of a homogeneous Siegel domain*, Preprint (Jan. 2000).
- [12] T. Nomura, *A characterization of symmetric Siegel domains through a Cayley transform*, in preparation.
- [13] T. Nomura, *Berezin transforms and Laplace-Beltrami operators on homogeneous Siegel domains*, in preparation.
- [14] R. Penney, *The Harish-Chandra realization for non-symmetric domains in \mathbb{C}^n* , in “Topics in geometry in memory of Joseph D’Atri”, Ed. by S. Gindikin, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996, 295–313.
- [15] I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, *Automorphic functions and the geometry of classical domains*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [16] H. Rossi, *Lectures on representations of groups of holomorphic transformations of Siegel domains*, Lecture Notes, Brandeis Univ., 1972.
- [17] H. Rossi and M. Vergne, *Representations of certain solvable Lie groups on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions and the application to the holomorphic discrete series of a semisimple Lie group*, J. Funct. Anal., **13** (1973), 324–389.
- [18] I. Satake, *Algebraic structures of symmetric domains*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton Univ. Press, Tokyo-Princeton, 1980.
- [19] H. Urakawa, *On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **31** (1979), 209–226.
- [20] È. B. Vinberg, *The theory of convex homogeneous cones*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., **12** (1963), 340–403.

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 Kyoto University
 Sakyo-ku 606-8502
 Kyoto
 Japan