Enbeddings of derived functor modules into degenerate principal series

Hisayosi Matumoto

(松本久義)

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba, Tokyo
153-8914, JAPAN

(東京大学 大学院 数理科学研究科)

e-mail: hisayosi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

\S 1. Formulation of the problem

Let G be a real linear reductive Lie group and let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. We denote by \mathfrak{g}_0 (resp. \mathfrak{g}) the Lie algebra of G (resp. $G_{\mathbb{C}}$) and denote by σ the complex conjugation on \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{g}_0 . We fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G and denote by θ the corresponding Cartan involution. We denote by θ the complexified Lie algebra of K.

We fix a prabolic subgroup P of G with θ -stable Levi part M. We denote by N the nilradical of P. We denote by \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{m} , and \mathfrak{n} the complexified Lie algebras of P, M, and N, respectively. We denote by $P_{\mathbb{C}}$, $M_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $N_{\mathbb{C}}$ the analytic subgroups in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{m} , and \mathfrak{n} , respectively.

For $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, we define

$$\delta(X) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)|_{\mathfrak{n}} \right).$$

Then, δ is a one-dimesional representation of m. We see that 2δ lifts to a holomorphic group homomorphism $\xi_{2\delta}:M_{\mathbb C}\to{\mathbb C}^{\times}$. Defining $\xi_{2\delta}|_{N_{\mathbb C}}$ trivial, we may extend $\xi_{2\delta}$ to $P_{\mathbb C}$. We put $X=G_{\mathbb C}/P_{\mathbb C}$. Let $\mathcal L$ be the holomorphic line bundle on X corresponding to the canonical divisor. Namely, $\mathcal L$ is the $G_{\mathbb C}$ -homogeneous line bundle on X associated to the character $\xi_{2\delta}$ on $P_{\mathbb C}$. We denote the restriction of $\xi_{2\delta}$ to P by the same letter.

For a character $\eta: P \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we consider the unnormalized parabolic induction "Ind $_P^G(\eta)$. Namely, "Ind $_P^G(\eta)$ is the K-finite part of the space of the C^{∞} -sections of the G-homogeneous line bundle on G/P associated to η . "Ind $_P^G(\eta)$ is a Harish-Chandra (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module.

If G/P is orientable, then the trivial G-representation is the unique irreducible quotient of ${}^u \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\xi_{2\delta})$. If G/P is not orientable, there is a character ω on P such that ω is trivial on the identical componnent of P and the trivial G-representation is the unique irreducible quotient of ${}^u \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\xi_{2\delta} \otimes \omega)$.

Let \mathcal{O} be an open G-orbit on X. We put the following assumption:

Assumption 1.1 There is a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{O}$. Under the above assumption, \mathfrak{q} has a Levi decompsition $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$ such that \mathfrak{l} is a θ and σ -stable Levi part. In fact \mathfrak{l} is unique, since we have $\mathfrak{l} = \sigma(\mathfrak{q}) \cap \mathfrak{q}$.

For each open G-orbit \mathcal{O} on X, we put

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathrm{H}^{\dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})_{K\text{-finite}}.$$

Namely, in the termilogy in [Vogan-Zuckerman 1984], we have $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}(0)$.

We consider the following problem:

Problem 1.2 Is there an embedding: $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow {}^{u}\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{G}(\xi_{2\delta})$ or $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow {}^{u}\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{G}(\xi_{2\delta} \otimes \omega)$?

§ 2. Complex groups

Let G be a connected real split reductive linear Lie group. Here, we consider Problem 1.2 for the complexification $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ rather than G itself. Embedding $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ into $G_{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{\mathbb{C}}$ via $g \leadsto (g, \sigma(g))$, we may regard $G_{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{\mathbb{C}}$ as a complexification of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Each parabolic subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of a parabolic subgroup of G. Let F be a parabolic subgroup of G. Then, the complexification of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with $F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ via the above embedding $F_{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, the complex generalized flag variety for $F_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $F_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$. We fix a $F_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq F_{\mathbb{C}} \times F_{$

We easily have:

Proposition 2.1. $X \times X$ has a unique $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit (say \mathcal{O}_0). $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the Assumption 1.1 if and only if $w_0 w_{\mathfrak{p}} = w_{\mathfrak{p}} w_0$.

We consider " $\xi_{2\delta}$ " for G. Then the character $\xi_{2\delta} \boxtimes \xi_{2\delta}$ on $P_{\mathbb{C}} \times P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the " $\xi_{2\delta}$ " for $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. For characters μ and ν of $P_{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote the restriction of $\mu \boxtimes \nu$ to $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ realized as a real form of $P_{\mathbb{C}} \times P_{\mathbb{C}}$ as above by the same letter.

For the complex case, we have:

Theorem 2.2. ([Vogan-Zuckerman 1984])

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_0} \cong {}^u\mathsf{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{2\delta}\boxtimes 1) \cong {}^u\mathsf{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(1\boxtimes \xi_{2\delta}).$$

Therefore, Problem 1.2 reduced to the problem of the existence of intertwining operators. For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the following generalized Verma module:

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}(t\delta) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} \xi_{t\delta}.$$

The following result is well-known.

Proposition 2.3. For $t_1, t_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$,

$${}^{u}\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{t_{1}\delta}\boxtimes\xi_{t_{2}\delta})\cong\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}(-t_{1}\delta)\boxtimes M_{\mathfrak{p}}(-t_{2}\delta)\right)_{K_{\mathbb{C}}-finite}^{*}$$

So, our Problem 1.2 is seriouly related to the existence of homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules. In fact, the following result is known.

Theorem 2.4. ([Matumoto 1993])

Let t be a non-negative even integer. Then we have

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}}(-(t+2)\delta) \hookrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}(t\delta)$$

if and only if w_0w_p is a Duflo involution in the Weyl group for $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$.

If w_0w_p is a Duflo involution, using Propostion 2.2 we have:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} {}^{u}\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(1\boxtimes 1) & \longrightarrow & {}^{u}\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(1\boxtimes \xi_{2\delta}) \\ & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^{u}\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{2\delta}\boxtimes 1) & \longrightarrow & {}^{u}\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{2\delta}\boxtimes \xi_{2\delta}). \end{array}$$

In fact, we have:

Theorem 2.5. $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_0} \hookrightarrow {}^u \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{2\delta} \boxtimes \xi_{2\delta})$ if and only if $w_0 w_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Duflo involution in the Weyl group for $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$.

§ 3. Type A case

As we seen in the case of complex groups, the statement in Problem 1.2 is not correct in general. However, for type A groups, we have affirmative answers.

3.1 $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$

We retain the notation in §2. We fix a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} such that $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. We denote by Π the basis of the root system with respect to $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ corresponding to \mathfrak{b} . We denote by S the subset of Π corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . Assumption 1.1 holds if and only if S is compatible with the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram. For a Weyl group of the type A, each involution is a Duflo involution. Hence, we have:

Theorem 3.6. Under Assumption 1.1, we have $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_0} \hookrightarrow {}^{u} \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{\sigma}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(\xi_{2\delta} \boxtimes \xi_{2\delta})$.

3.2 $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$

Speh proved any derived functor module of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ is parabolically induced from the external tensor product of some so-called Speh representations and possibly a one-dimensional representation. Using this fact, we can reduce Problem 1.2 to embedding Speh representations into degenerate principal series. More pricisely, we consider $G = \mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ and let P be a maximal parabolic subgroup whose Levi part is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Then, $X = G_{\mathbb{C}}/P_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a unique open G-orbit (say \mathcal{O}). In this setting, Assuption 1.1 holds. The fine structure of degenerate principal series for P has already been studied precisely. ([Sahi 1995], [Zhang 1995], [Howe-Lee 1999], [Barbasch-Sahi-Speh 1988]) From their results, we have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} & \hookrightarrow {}^{u}\mathsf{Ind}_{P}^{G}(\xi_{2\delta}) & \text{if n is odd,} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} & \hookrightarrow {}^{u}\mathsf{Ind}_{P}^{G}(\xi_{2\delta}\otimes\omega) & \text{if n is even.} \end{array}$$

We can deduce an affirtive answer to Problem 1.2 from this.

3.3 $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$

In this case, we also have an affirmative answer to Problem 1.2. The argument is similar to (and easier than) the case of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

3.4 U(m, n)

Let $G = \mathrm{U}(m,n)$ and let P be an arbitrary prarabolic subgroup of G. In this case, Assumption 1.1 automatically holds. We denote by $\mathcal V$ the set of open G-orbits on $X = G_{\mathbb C}/P_{\mathbb C}$. In fact, we have:

$$\operatorname{Socle}({}^{u}\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(\xi_{2\delta})) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

References

[Barbasch-Sahi-Speh 1988] D. Barbasch, S. Sahi, B. Speh, Degenerate series representations for $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and Fourier analysis. in : Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XXXI (Rome, 1988), 45–69.

[Howe-Lee 1999] R. Howe, S. T. Lee, Degenerate principal series representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. J. Funct. Anal. 166 (1999), 244-309.

[Johnson 1990] Degenerate principal series and compact groups, *Math. Ann.* 287 (1990), 703-718.

[Lee 1994] S. T. Lee, On some degenerate principal series representations of U(n, n), J. Funct. Anal. 126 (1994), 305-366.

[Matsuki 1988] T. Matsuki, Closssure relations for orbits on affine symmetric sspaces under the action of parabolic subgroups, Intersections of associated orbit *Hiroshima Math.* J. 18 (1988), 59-67.

[Matumoto 1993] H. Matumoto, On the existence of homomorphisms between scalar generalized Verma modules, in: Contemporary Mathematics, 145, 259-274, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993. .

[Sahi 1993] S. Sahi, Unitary representations on the Shilov boundary of a symmetric tube domain, in: "Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras" *Contemporary Math.* vol. 145, American Mathematical Society 1993, 275-286.

[Sahi 1995] S. Sahi, Jordan algebras and degenerate principal series, J. Reine Angew. Math. 462 (1995), 1-18.

[Speh 1983] B. Speh, Unitary representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ with non-trivial (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology Invent Math. 71 (1983), 443-465.

[Vogan 1997] D. A. Vogan Jr., Cohomology and group representations, in "Representation theory and automorphic forms (Edinburgh, 1996)", Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 61. 219-243, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[Vogan-Zuckerman 1984] D. A. Vogan Jr. and G. Zuckerman, Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology, *Compositio Math.* 53 (1984), 51-90.

[Zhang 1995] G. K. Zhang, Jordan algebras and generalized principal series representations, *Math. Ann.* 302 (1995), 773-786.