A generalization of a non-symmetric numerical semigroup generated by three elements

神奈川工科大学 米田 二良 (Jiryo Komeda) Kanagawa Institute of Technology

§1. Non-symmetric numerical semigroups generated by three elements.

Let \mathbb{N} be the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. Let H be a numerical semigroup of genus g, i.e., a subsemigroup of \mathbb{N} whose complement $\mathbb{N}\backslash H$ consists of g elements. We denote by g(H) the genus of H. We set

$$c(H) = \min\{c \in \mathbb{N} | c + \mathbb{N} \subset H\},\$$

which is called the *conductor* of H. Then $c(H) \leq 2g(H)$. A numerical semigroup H is said to be *symmetric* if c(H) = 2g(H). Let $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ be the minimal set of generators for H. We set

$$\alpha_i = \min\{\alpha | \alpha a_i \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n \rangle\}$$

where for any non-negative integers b_1, \ldots, b_m the set $< b_1, \ldots, b_m >$ means the semigroup generated by b_1, \ldots, b_m .

Example 1. i) Let H = <4,5,6>. Then g(H)=4 and c(H)=8. Hence H is symmetric. If we set $a_1=4$, $a_2=5$ and $a_3=6$, then $\alpha_1=3$, $\alpha_2=2$ and $\alpha_3=2$. ii) Let H=<4,5,7>. Then g(H)=4 and c(H)=7. Hence H is non-symmetric. If we set $a_1=4$, $a_2=5$ and $a_3=7$, then $\alpha_1=3$, $\alpha_2=3$ and $\alpha_3=2$.

Remark 2 (Herzog [1]). Let H be a non-symmetric numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. Then

$$\alpha_1 a_1 = \alpha_{12} a_2 + \alpha_{13} a_3$$
, $\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{21} a_1 + \alpha_{23} a_3$ and $\alpha_3 a_3 = \alpha_{31} a_1 + \alpha_{32} a_2$

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{32}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{23}$ and $0 < \alpha_{ij} < \alpha_j$, all i, j. In this case α_{ij} 's are uniquely determined.

Proposition 3. Let the notation be as in Remark 2. Then we have $\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix} = a_3$.

Example 4. Let $H = \langle a_1 = 4, a_2 = 5, a_3 = 7 \rangle$. Then

$$3a_1 = a_2 + a_3, 3a_2 = 2a_1 + a_3, 2a_3 = a_1 + 2a_2$$

and

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 7 = a_3.$$

§2. Numerical semigroups of quasi-toric type.

In this section we introduce the notion of a numerical semigroup of quasi-toric type and give several examples.

Definition 5. i) Let H be a numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. A system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 a_1 = \alpha_{12} a_2 + \dots + \alpha_{1n} a_n \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n a_n = \alpha_{n1} a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{nn-1} a_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$\alpha_j = \alpha_{1j} + \dots + \alpha_{j-1j} + \alpha_{j+1j} + \dots + \alpha_{nj}$$

for any j and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} < \alpha_j$ for all i, j is said to be neat.

ii) A numerical semigroup H is said to be neat if it has a neat system of relations.

Example 6. i) Any non-symmetric numerical semigroup H with $\sharp M(H)=3$ is neat by Remark 2.

ii) Let $H=< a_1=20, a_2=24, a_3=25, a_4=31>$. We have a unique neat system of relations

$$4a_1 = a_2 + a_3 + a_4$$
, $4a_2 = 2a_1 + a_3 + a_4$, $3a_3 = a_1 + a_2 + a_4$ and $3a_4 = a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3$,

which implies that H is neat.

Definition 7. Let H be a numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. The \mathbb{Z} -module

$$R = \{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n | \sum_{i=1}^m r_i a_i = 0 \}$$

is called a relation module for H.

Lemma 8. Let the notation be as in Definition 7. Then a relation module for H is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank n-1.

Definition 9. Let S be a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z}^n . S is said to be *saturated* if the condition $nr \in S$, where n is a positive integer and r an element of \mathbb{Z}^n , implies that $r \in S$.

Definition 10. Consider an order on the set

$$I = \{(i, j) | 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n, i \ne j\},\$$

which is fixed. Let H be a neat numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

Take a neat system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{21}a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n1}a_1 = \alpha_1a_1 = \alpha_{12}a_2 + \dots + \alpha_{1n}a_n \\ \dots \\ \alpha_{1n-1}a_{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{n-2n-1}a_{n-1} + \alpha_{nn-1}a_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1}a_{n-1} \\ = \alpha_{n-11}a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1n-2}a_{n-2} + \alpha_{n-1n}a_n \\ \alpha_{1n}a_n + \dots + \alpha_{n-1n}a_n = \alpha_na_n = \alpha_{n1}a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{nn-1}a_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

Assume that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} & \cdots & -\alpha_{1n-1} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 & \cdots & -\alpha_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\alpha_{n-11} & -\alpha_{n-12} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We set $N = \sharp \{(i,j) | \alpha_{ij} \neq 0\} - (n-1)$. We associate the vector in \mathbb{Z}^N with $\alpha_{ij}a_j$ by induction on i which means the i-th relation in the neat system of relations. Let i be fixed. Let (k_i, l_i) be the maximum of the set

$$L_i = \left(\{ (j,i) | \alpha_{ji} \neq 0 \} \cup \{ (i,j) | \alpha_{ij} \neq 0 \} \right) \cap \left(I \setminus \bigcup_{p=1}^{i-1} L_p \right).$$

We number successively the elements (i, j) of the set L_i by $\sigma(i, j)$ in the given order if $(i, j) \neq (k_i, l_i)$. We associate the vector $b_{\sigma(i,j)} = e_{\sigma(i,j)}$ with $\alpha_{ij}a_j$ if $(i, j) \neq (k_i, l_i)$. For $\alpha_{k_i l_i}a_{l_i}$ we consider

$$\alpha_{k_i l_i} a_{l_i} = \cdots \pm \alpha_{pq} a_p \cdots$$

from the i- th relation in the neat system. Using the relation we can associate the vector b_{N+i} with $\alpha_{k_i l_i} a_{l_i}$, because we already have associated some vector with $\alpha_{pq} a_p$. Thus, we can construct the subsemigroup $S = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_{N+n-1} \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}^N . The neat numerical semigroup H is said to be of quasi-toric type if the semigroup S is saturated.

The reason why H is said to be of quasi-toric type is that if the associated semigroup S is saturated then the affine scheme Spec k[S] becomes an affine toric variety where k is an algebraically closed field.

Remark 11. Let a neat system of relations be fixed. Then the property of "quasitoric type" does not depend on the choices of the numbering of the elements of M(H) and the order on the set

$$I=\{(i,j)|1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq n, i\neq j\}.$$

Example 12. Let H be a non-symmetric numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. We have the neat system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 a_1 = (\alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31})a_1 = \alpha_{12}a_2 + \alpha_{13}a_3 \\ \alpha_2 a_2 = (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{32})a_2 = \alpha_{21}a_1 + \alpha_{23}a_3 \\ \alpha_3 a_3 = (\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{23})a_3 = \alpha_{31}a_1 + \alpha_{32}a_2 \end{cases}$$

We define the order on the set

$$\{(i,j)|i,j=1,2,3 \text{ and } i \neq j\}$$

as follows: $(i,j) \leq (i',j')$ if "j < j'" or "j = j', $i \leq i'$ ". The associated subsemigroup S of \mathbb{Z}^4 is generated by $b_1 = e_1$, $b_2 = e_2$, $b_3 = e_3$, $b_4 = e_4$, $b_5 = (1,1,-1,0)$ and $b_6 = (-1,0,1,1)$. Then S is saturated, which implies that H is of quasi-toric type.

Proposition 13. Let H be a neat numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ such that it has a neat system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 a_1 = \alpha_{12} a_2 + \dots + \alpha_{1n} a_n \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n a_n = \alpha_{n1} a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{nn-1} a_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} & \cdots & -\alpha_{1n-1} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 & \cdots & -\alpha_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\alpha_{n-11} & -\alpha_{n-12} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

- i) If there is some j_0 with $1 \le j_0 \le n$ such that $\alpha_{ij_0} > 0$ for all i, then H is of quasi-toric type.
- ii) If there is some i_0 with $1 \le i_0 \le n$ such that $\alpha_{i_0j} > 0$ for all j, then H is of quasi-toric type.

Example 14. Let $H = \langle a_1 = 20, a_2 = 24, a_3 = 25, a_4 = 31 \rangle$. We have a neat system of relations

$$4a_1 = a_2 + a_3 + a_4$$
, $4a_2 = 2a_1 + a_3 + a_4$, $3a_3 = a_1 + a_2 + a_4$ and $3a_4 = a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3$.

Since we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 4 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 31 \neq 0,$$

by Proposition 13 H is of quasi-toric type (Cf. Example 6 ii)).

Proposition 15. Let H be a neat numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ such that it has a neat system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{1}a_{1} = \alpha_{1n}a_{n} + \alpha_{12}a_{2} \\ \alpha_{2}a_{2} = \alpha_{21}a_{1} + \alpha_{23}a_{3} \\ \dots \\ \alpha_{i}a_{i} = \alpha_{ii-1}a_{i-1} + \alpha_{ii+1}a_{i+1} \ (2 \le i \le n-1) \\ \dots \\ \alpha_{n}a_{n} = \alpha_{nn-1}a_{n-1} + \alpha_{n1}a_{1} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} & \cdots & -\alpha_{1n-1} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 & \cdots & -\alpha_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\alpha_{n-11} & -\alpha_{n-12} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

where we set $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ if $\alpha_{ij}a_j$ does not appear in the system of relations. Then H is of quasi-toric type.

Example 16 (Komeda [3]). For any $n \geq 5$, let H_n be the numerical semigroup with

$$M(H_n) = \{a_1 = n, a_2 = n+1, a_3 = 2n+3, a_4 = 2n+4, \dots, a_{n-1} = 2n+n-1\}.$$

Then we have a neat system of relations

$$\alpha_1 a_1 = 4a_1 = a_2 + a_{n-1}, \ \alpha_2 a_2 = 3a_2 = a_1 + a_3, \ \alpha_3 a_3 = 2a_3 = 2a_2 + a_4,$$

$$\alpha_i a_i = 2a_i = a_{i-1} + a_{i+1} \ (4 \le i \le n-2), \ \alpha_{n-1} a_{n-1} = 2a_{n-1} = 3a_1 + a_{n-2}.$$

By Proposition 15, H_n is a neat numerical semigroup of quasi-toric type.

Theorem 17. Let H be a neat numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$. Then H is of quasi-toric type.

Proof. Let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1a_1=\alpha_{12}a_2+\alpha_{13}a_3+\alpha_{14}a_4\\ \alpha_2a_2=\alpha_{21}a_1+\alpha_{23}a_3+\alpha_{24}a_4\\ \alpha_3a_3=\alpha_{31}a_1+\alpha_{32}a_2+\alpha_{34}a_4\\ \alpha_4a_4=\alpha_{41}a_1+\alpha_{42}a_2+\alpha_{43}a_3 \end{array} \right.$$

be a unique neat system of relations for H. We note that

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} & -\alpha_{13} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 & -\alpha_{23} \\ -\alpha_{31} & -\alpha_{32} & \alpha_3 \end{vmatrix} > 0.$$

By Proposition 13 first we may assume that $\alpha_1 a_1 = \alpha_{12} a_2 + \alpha_{14} a_4$, which implies that $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{43}$. Moreover, we have

"
$$\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{21} a_1 + \alpha_{23} a_3$$
 or $\alpha_{23} a_3 + \alpha_{24} a_4$ "

and

"
$$\alpha_4 a_4 = \alpha_{41} a_1 + \alpha_{43} a_3$$
 or $\alpha_{42} a_2 + \alpha_{43} a_3$ ".

i) $\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{21} a_1 + \alpha_{23} a_3$, $\alpha_4 a_4 = \alpha_{41} a_1 + \alpha_{43} a_3$. Then $\alpha_3 a_3 = \alpha_{32} a_2 + \alpha_{34} a_4$. This case is reduced to Proposition 15.

ii) $\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{21} a_1 + \alpha_{23} a_3$, $\alpha_4 a_4 = \alpha_{42} a_2 + \alpha_{43} a_3$. Then $\alpha_3 a_3 = \alpha_{31} a_1 + \alpha_{34} a_4$. Hence we get $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{42}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{43}$ and $\alpha_4 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{34}$. We introduce the order on the set

$$I = \{(i, j) | 1 \le i \le 4, 1 \le j \le 4, i \ne j\}$$

as follows:

 $(i,j) \leq (i',j')$ if "j < j'" or " $j = j', i \leq i'$ ". Then we get the associated subsemigroup

$$S = \langle b_1 = e_1, \ldots, b_5 = e_5, b_6, b_7, b_8 \rangle$$

of \mathbb{Z}^5 through the method in Definition 10 where $b_6 = e_1 + e_2 - e_3$, $b_7 = e_1 + e_4 - e_3$ and $b_8 = e_2 + e_5 - e_4$. We can show that S is saturated.

- iii) $\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{23} a_3 + \alpha_{24} a_4$, $\alpha_4 a_4 = \alpha_{41} a_1 + \alpha_{43} a_3$. In the similar way to ii) we can show that H is of quasi-toric type.
- iv) $\alpha_2 a_2 = \alpha_{23} a_3 + \alpha_{24} a_4$, $\alpha_4 a_4 = \alpha_{42} a_2 + \alpha_{43} a_3$. In this case at most one α_{i1} appears. This contradicts the neatness of H.

 Q.E.D.

Problem 18. Let $n \geq 5$. If H is a neat numerical semigroup with $\sharp M(H) = n$, then is it of quasi-toric type?

§3. Numerical semigroups of toric type.

We study the relation between a 1-neat numerical semigroup and a numerical semigroup of toric type whose definitions are given in this section.

Definition 19. Let H be a neat numerical with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ such that it has a neat system of relations

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 a_1 = \alpha_{12} a_2 + \dots + \alpha_{1n} a_n. \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n a_n = \alpha_{n1} a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{nn-1} a_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

It is said to be 1-neat if

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\alpha_{12} & \cdots & -\alpha_{1n-1} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_2 & \cdots & -\alpha_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\alpha_{n-11} & -\alpha_{n-12} & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = a_n.$$

Example 20. By Proposition 3 a non-symmetric numerical semigroup H with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is 1-neat.

Proposition 21. Let H be a numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. Let $\mathbf{r}_i = (r_{i1}, r_{i2}, \ldots, r_{in})$ be an element of the relation module R for H with

 $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Assume that

$$\begin{vmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n-1} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ r_{n-11} & r_{n-12} & \cdots & r_{n-1n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \pm a_n.$$

Then $\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{n-1}$ form a basis for the \mathbb{Z} -module R.

Let H be a neat numerical semigroup with $M(H)=\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$ with its fixed neat system of relations. We set $N=\sharp\{(i,j)|\alpha_{ij}\neq 0\}-(n-1)$. Let $S=< b_1,\ldots,b_{N+n-1}>$ of \mathbb{Z}^N be the associated subsemigroup. Let k be a field. Let $\varphi_H: k[X]=k[X_1,\ldots,X_n]\longrightarrow k[H]=k[t^h]_{h\in H}$ be a k-algebra homomorphism sending X_i to $t^{a_i},\ \pi: k[Y]=k[Y_1,\ldots,Y_{N+n-1}]\longrightarrow k[S]=k[T^b]_{b\in S}$ a k-algebra homomorphism sending Y_l to $T^{b_l},\ \eta: k[Y]\longrightarrow k[X]$ a k-algebra homomorphism sending Y_l to $g_l=X_j^{\alpha_{ij}}$ if b_l corresponds to $\alpha_{ij}a_j$ and $\zeta: k[\mathbb{N}^N]=k[t_1,\ldots,t_N]\longrightarrow k[H]$ a k-algebra homomorphism sending t_i to $t^{w(g_i)}$ where the weight w on k[X] is defined by $w(X_i)=a_i$ and w(c)=0 for $c\in k^\times$. By the definition of b_l 's, ζ extends to $\zeta': k[S]\longrightarrow k[H]$. Then we get $\varphi_H\circ \eta=\zeta'\circ \pi$, which implies that $\mathrm{Ker}\ \varphi_H\supseteq \eta(\mathrm{Ker}\ \pi)$.

Definition 22. A neat numerical semigroup H is said to be of toric type if it is of quasi-toric type and we have an isomorphism $k[H] \cong k[S] \otimes_{k[Y]} k[X]$, that is to say, Ker $\varphi_H = (\eta(\text{Ker }\pi))$.

Remark 23 (Komeda [2]). A numerical semigroup of toric type is Weierstrass, where a numerical semigroup H is said to be *Weierstrass* if there is a pointed non-singular complete curve (C, P) over an algebraically closed field such that

$$H = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | \text{ there is a rational function } f \text{ on } C \text{ with } (f)_{\infty} = nP\}.$$

Example 24. Any non-symmetric numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is of toric type, because we know that the ideal Ker φ_H is generated by

$$X_1^{\alpha_1} - X_2^{\alpha_{12}} X_3^{\alpha_{13}}, X_2^{\alpha_2} - X_1^{\alpha_{21}} X_3^{\alpha_{23}} \text{ and } X_3^{\alpha_3} - X_1^{\alpha_{31}} X_2^{\alpha_{32}} \text{ (Herzog [1])}.$$

We note that H is 1-neat (Cf. Example 20).

Example 25. For any integer $n \geq 5$, let H_n be a numerical semigroup with

$$M(H_n) = \{a_1 = n, a_2 = n+1, a_3 = 2n+3, a_4 = 2n+4, \dots, a_{n-1} = 2n+n-1\}$$

(Cf. Example 16). Then the ideal Ker φ_{H_n} is generated by

$$X_2^3 - X_1 X_3, X_2 X_j - X_1 X_{j+1} (3 \le j \le n-2), X_2 X_{n-1} - X_1^4,$$

$$X_3X_j - X_2^2X_{j+1}(3 \le j \le n-2), X_3X_{n-1} - X_2^2X_1^3,$$

$$X_iX_j - X_{i-1}X_{j+1} (4 \le i \le n-2, i \le j \le n-2), X_iX_{n-1} - X_{i-1}X_1^3 (4 \le i \le n-1).$$

It is proved that H_n is of toric type. In this case H_n is also 1-neat.

Theorem 26. A 1-neat numerical semigroup with $M(H) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ is of toric type.

Problem 27. Let $n \geq 5$. If H is a 1-neat numerical semigroup with $\sharp M(H) = n$, is it of toric type?

References

- [1] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. Manuscripta Math. 3 (1970), 175-193.
- [2] J. Komeda, On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four. J. Reine Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 68-86.
- [3] J. Komeda, On primitive Schubert indices of genus g and weight g-1. J. Math. Soc. Japan 43 (1991), 437-445.