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Abstract
Let \( \hat{u}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(x) t^n \), \( (t, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d \), be a formal power series solution of a nonlinear partial differential equation in a complex domain. We study the multisummability of \( \hat{u}(t, x) \), which implies existence of a genuine solution \( u(t, x) \) with \( u(t, x) \sim \hat{u}(t, x) \) as \( t \to 0 \) in strong sense. This article is a continuation of [7] in which linear partial differential equations were studied.
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0 Introduction

In this article we study formal solutions of some nonlinear partial differential equations in the complex domain. It is an important problem to study the existence of genuine (true) solutions with given formal solutions. This problem was studied in [6] for general nonlinear partial differential equations, where the existence of genuine solutions were obtained. Recently we have the theory of multisummability of formal power series (see [1]). Multisummability of a formal power series \( \hat{\Phi}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(x) t^n \) means the existence of a holomorphic function \( \Phi(t, x) \) on a sectorial region with \( \Phi(t, x) \sim \hat{\Phi}(t, x) \) in much stronger sense. It is shown in [2], [3], [4] and [5] that formal power series solutions of ordinary differential equations are multisummable. The multisummability of formal solution was not studied in [6], because equations studied were more general. As for formal series solutions of partial differential equations, it is shown in [7] that they are multisummable for some class of linear partial differential equations. We generalize this result for nonlinear partial differential equations. The details will be published elsewhere ([9]).
1 Borel and Laplace transforms

In order to introduce the notion of multi-summability of formal power series we first define Laplace transform, Borel transform and their formal theory. For more detailed results of this topic we refer to [1]. The coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ is denoted by $(t,x) = (t,x_1,\cdots,x_d) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d$. For a region $\Omega$, $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is the set of all holomorphic functions on $\Omega$. We often use the following notations about sectorial regions. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta, \rho > 0$ set $S(\theta, \delta, \rho) = \{0 < |t| < \rho; |\arg t - \theta| < \delta\}$ ($S^*(\theta, \delta, \rho) = \{0 < |\xi| < \rho; |\arg \xi - \theta| < \delta\}$). $S(\theta, \delta) = S(\theta, \delta, \infty)$ ($S^*(\theta, \delta) = S^*(\theta, \delta, \infty)$) is an infinite sector in $t$-space (resp. $\xi$-space). $\theta$ is often called a direction. We also put $S_{(0)}(\theta, \delta) = \{t \in S(\theta, \delta); 0 < |t| < \omega(\arg t)\}$ ($S^*_{(0)}(\theta, \delta) = \{\xi \in S^*(\theta, \delta); 0 < |\xi| < \omega(\arg \xi)\}$) called a sectorial neighborhood of $t = 0$ (resp. $\xi = 0$), where $\omega(\cdot) > 0$ is a positive continuous function on $(\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta)$.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be an open polydisk with center $x = 0$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\gamma > 0$. $\text{Exp}_{(\gamma)}(S^* \times U)$ is the set of all $\phi(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{O}(S^* \times U)$ such that for $(\xi, x) \in (S^* \cap \{||\xi|| \geq 1\}) \times U$

\[
|\phi(\xi, x)| \leq C\exp(c|\xi|^\gamma)
\]

for some constants $C$ and $c$.

Let $\phi(\xi, x) \in \text{Exp}_{(\gamma)}(S^* \times U)$ such that for $(\xi, x) \in (S^* \cap \{0 < |\xi| \leq 1\}) \times U$

\[
|\phi(\xi, x)| \leq C|\xi|^\epsilon (\epsilon > 0).
\]

Then $\gamma$-Laplace transform $(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\theta}\phi)(t, x)$ is defined by

\[
(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma,\theta}\phi)(t, x) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\frac{\xi}{t})^\gamma \phi(\xi, x) d\xi^\gamma,
\]

$d\xi^\gamma = \gamma \xi^{\gamma-1} d\xi$, which is holomorphic on $S_{(0)}(\theta, \pi/2\gamma+\delta) \times U$. Let $\psi(t, x)$ be a holomorphic function in $S_{(0)}(\theta, \pi/2\gamma+\delta) \times U$ with $|\psi(t, x)| \leq C|t|^\epsilon (\epsilon > 0)$. Let $\xi \neq 0$ with $|\arg \xi - \theta| < \delta$ and $C$ be a contour in $S_{(0)}(\theta, \pi/2\gamma+\delta)$ from $0 \exp(i(\theta' + \arg \xi))$ to $0 \exp(i(-\theta' + \arg \xi))$ with $\pi/2\gamma < \theta' < \pi/2\gamma + \min\{\theta + \delta - \arg \xi, \arg \xi - \theta + \delta\}$. Then $\gamma$-Borel transform $(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\theta}\psi)(\xi, x)$ is defined by

\[
(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\theta}\psi)(\xi, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \exp\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right)^\gamma \psi(t, x) dt^{-\gamma}.
\]
Let $\phi_i(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}(U \times S_{\{0\}}^{\ast}(\theta, \delta)) (i = 1, 2)$ satisfying $|\phi_i(x, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^\epsilon - \gamma$ ($\epsilon > 0$). Then $\gamma$-convolution of $\phi_1(x, \xi)$ and $\phi_2(x, \xi)$ is defined by

$$
(\phi_1 \ast_\gamma \phi_2)(x, \xi) = \int_0^{\xi} \phi_1((\xi^\gamma - \eta^\gamma)^{1/\gamma}, x) \phi_2(\eta, x) d\eta^\gamma \quad \xi \in S_{\{0\}}^{\ast}(\theta, \delta).
$$

Let $0 < \gamma < \gamma'$ and $\kappa^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} - (\gamma')^{-1}$. Set

$$
A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta} := B_{\gamma', \theta} \mathcal{L}_{\gamma, \theta},
$$

which is called $(\gamma', \gamma)$-acceleration in the direction $\theta$. It was introduced by Ecalle and shown that $A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta}$ can be extended to $\phi(\xi, x) \in Exp\{\kappa\}(S^{\ast} \times U)$ with (1.2) and $(A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta}\phi)(\xi, x)$ is holomorphic in $S_{\{0\}}^{\ast}(\theta, \pi/2\kappa + \delta) \times U$. We have the following basic relations

**Lemma 1.2.** (1). Let $\phi_i(\xi, x) \in Exp\{\gamma\}(S^{\ast} \times U) (i = 0, 1, 2)$ with (1.2). Then

$$
B_{\gamma, \theta} \mathcal{L}_{\gamma, \theta}\phi_0 = \phi_0,
$$

$$
(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma, \theta}\phi_1)(\mathcal{L}_{\gamma, \theta}\phi_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\gamma, \theta}(\phi_1 \ast_\gamma \phi_2).
$$

(2). Let $\phi_i(\xi, x) \in Exp\{\kappa\}(S^{\ast} \times U) (i = 1, 2)$ with (1.2). Then

$$
(A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta}\phi_1) \ast_\gamma (A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta}\phi_2) = A_{\gamma', \gamma, \theta}(\phi_1 \ast_\gamma \phi_2).
$$

The preceding theory is analytical. For our aim let us define formal $\gamma$-Borel transform.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $\hat{v}(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(x)t^n \in \mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$. We say that $\hat{v}(t, x)$ has Gevrey order $s$ in $t$, if there are positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
\sup_{x \in U} |v_n(x)| \leq AB^n \Gamma(sn + 1).
$$

The totality of such formal series is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]_s$.

Let $\hat{v}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(x)t^n \in t\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$. Then formal $\gamma$-Borel transform $(\hat{B}_{\gamma}\hat{v})(\xi, x)$ is defined by

$$
(\hat{B}_{\gamma}\hat{v})(\xi, x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(x)\xi^{n-\gamma}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma}\right)}.
$$
In general $(\hat{B}_{\gamma} \hat{v})(\xi, x)$ is a formal series in $\xi$. But if $\hat{v}(t, x) \in t\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$, then $(\hat{B}_{\gamma} \hat{v})(\xi, x)$ is convergent, hence, it is holomorphic in $\{0 < |\xi| < \rho_0\}$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$.

2 Multisummability of formal series

Now let us proceed to define multisummability of $\hat{v}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(x)t^n \in t\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$. Let $0 < k_r < k_{r-1} < \cdots < k_1 < k_0 = +\infty$ and define $\kappa_i$ by $\kappa_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} - k_{i-1}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^{r}$ be real constants such that $|\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}| \leq \pi/2\kappa_i$. Set $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_r)$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r)$. We call $\theta$ a multidirection.

Then $\hat{v}(t, x) \in t\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$ is $k$-summable in multidirection $\theta$, if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. $\hat{v}(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]_{\frac{1}{k}}$. Then $v^r(\xi, x) := (\hat{B}_{k} \hat{v})(\xi, x)$ converges uniformly on $\{0 < |\xi| < \rho_0\} \times U$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$.

2. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, r - 1, r\}$. $v^i(\xi, x)$ has the holomorphic prolongation to $S_i^* := S^*(\theta_i, \delta_i)$ for some $\delta_i > 0$ with exponential growth of order $\kappa_i$,

$$|v^i(\xi, x)| \leq C \exp(c|\xi|^\kappa_i) \text{ on } (S_i^* \cap \{|\xi| \geq 1\}) \times U. \quad (2.1)$$

If $i \neq 1$, define $v^{i-1}(\xi, x) := (A_{k_i, k_{i-1}, \theta_i, \delta_i} v^i)(\xi, x)$, which is holomorphic in $S_{i-1}^*(\theta_i, \pi/2\kappa_i + \delta_i) \times U$.

Then $k$-sum of $\hat{v}(t, x)$ in multidirection $\theta$ is defined by $(L_{k_i} v^i)(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}(S_{i-1}^* \times U)$, $S_1 = S(\theta_1, \pi/2\gamma_1 + \delta_1)$, and denoted by $v(t, x)$. It holds that

$$v^r(\xi, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(x)\xi^{n-k_r}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{k_r})} \quad \text{in } \{0 < |\xi| < \rho_0\} \times U \quad (2.2)$$

$$v^{i-1}(\xi, x) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(x)\xi^{n-k_{i-1}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{k_{i-1}})} \quad \text{in } S_{i-1}^*(\theta_i, \pi/2\kappa_i + \delta_i) \times U$$

We have, by considering the behavior at $\xi = 0$ and (2.1)

$$|v^i(\xi, x)| \leq A|\xi|^{1-k_i} \exp(c|\xi|^\kappa_i) \quad \text{on } S_i^* \times U. \quad (2.3)$$
Let \( \dot{v}(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(x) t^n \in \mathcal{O}(U)[[t]] \). Set \( \hat{w}(t, x) := (\dot{v}(t, x) - v_0(x)) \in t\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]] \). If \( \dot{w}(t, x) \) is \( k \)-summable in multidirection \( \theta \), we say that \( \dot{v}(t, x) \) is \( k \)-summable in multidirection \( \theta \). Set \( \hat{w}^i(\xi, x) = (\hat{B}_k \hat{w})(\xi, x) \) and \( \hat{w}^{i-1}(\xi, x) = (A_{k_{i-1}, k_i, \theta_i, \nu})(\xi, x) \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq r \). \( k \)-sum of \( \dot{v}(t, x) \) is defined by \( v_0(x) + (L_{k_1, \theta_1} w^1)(t, x) \) and denoted by \( v(t, x) \). \( v(t, x) \) is holomorphic in \( S_{t, 0} \times U \) and \( v(t, x) \sim \dot{v}(t, x) \) as \( t \to 0 \) in \( S_{t, 0} \times U \). There are other equivalent definitions of \( k \)-summability multidirection \( \theta \). We refer [1] for this topics.

3 Formal power series solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations

First we introduce notations about partial differential equations. As before \( (t, x) = (t, x_1, \cdots, x_d) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d \). For multi-indices \( \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d) = (\alpha_0, \alpha') \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}, |\alpha| = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i \) and \( \vartheta^\alpha \partial^\alpha = (t \partial_t)^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d} \). Let \( M \) be the cardinal number of the set \( \Delta_m = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}; |\alpha| \leq m \} \). For \( A = (\alpha_0, \alpha \in \Delta_m) \in \mathbb{N}^M \) and \( Z = (Z_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Delta_m) \) define

\[
|A| = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_m} A_{\alpha}, \quad Z^A = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_m} Z_{\alpha}^A.
\]

So \( Z^A \) is a monomial in \( \{Z_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta(m)} \) with degree \( |A| \). Set \( \mathbb{N}^{M*} := \mathbb{N}^M - \{0\} = \{ A \in \mathbb{N}^M; |A| \geq 1 \} \). For \( A \in \mathbb{N}^{M*} \), set \( m_A = max\{ |\alpha|; A_{\alpha} \neq 0 \} \).

Let \( U_0 \subset \mathbb{C} (U \subset \mathbb{C}^d) \) be an open polydisk with center \( t = 0 \) (resp. \( x = 0 \)) and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^M \) be a neighborhood of \( Z = 0 \). Set \( \partial = t \partial_t \). Let \( L(u) = L(t, x, \vartheta^\alpha \partial^\alpha u) \) be a nonlinear partial differential equation with order \( m \) of the form

\[
L(u) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{N}^{M*}} c_A(t, x) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_m} (\vartheta^\alpha \partial^\alpha u)^{A_{\alpha}} + f(t, x),
\]

where \( L(t, x, Z) = \sum_{A \in \mathbb{N}^{M*}} c_A(t, x) Z^A + f(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}(U_0 \times U \times \Omega) \) and \( f(t, x) = L(t, x, 0) \). In this article for simplicity we assume \( L(t, x, Z) \) is holomorphic on \( U_0 \times U \times \Omega \). Let \( e_A \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( c_A(t, x) = t^{e_A} b_A(t, x) \) with \( b_A(0, x) \neq 0 \). The linear part of \( L(u) \) denoted by \( L_{lin}(t, x, \vartheta, \partial_\tau)u \), that is,

\[
L_{lin}(t, x, \vartheta, \partial_\tau)u = \sum_{\{A \in \mathbb{N}^{M*}; |A| = 1\}} c_A(t, x) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_m} (\vartheta^\alpha \partial^\alpha u)^{A_{\alpha}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}} c_{\alpha}(t, x) \vartheta^\alpha \partial^\alpha u.
\]
Further we extract from $L_{\text{lin}}(t, x, \theta, \partial_x)$ the terms of ordinary differential operator with respect to $t$. It is denoted by $L_{\text{lin}, \theta}(t, x, \theta)$ and is of the form

$$L_{\text{lin}}(t, x, \theta, \partial_x) = \sum_{h=0}^{m} c_h(t, x) \theta^h = \sum_{h=0}^{m} t^h b_h(t, x) \theta^h. \quad (3.2)$$

In the present article we consider nonlinear partial differential equations which are regarded as perturbations of ordinary differential operators in some sense. In order to explain the meaning of perturbations we define the characteristic polygon. We denote by \( \lrcorner(a, b) \) an infinite rectangle with lower right corner \((a, b)\), \( \lrcorner(a, b) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x \leq a, y \geq b\} \).

Define a convex set \( \Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) by

$$\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}} = \text{the convex hull of } \bigcup_{h=0}^{m} \lrcorner(h, e_h), \quad (3.3)$$

which is called the characteristic polygon of $L_{\text{lin}, \theta}$. The boundary of $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}$ consists of a vertical half line $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(0)$, segments \( \{\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(i)\}_{i=1}^{p^*} \) and a horizontal half line $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(p^*)$. Let $\gamma_i$ be the slope of $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(i)$. Then \( 0 = \gamma_{p^*} < \gamma_{p^*-1} < \cdots < \gamma_1 < \gamma_0 = +\infty \). Let \( \{(m_i, e(i)) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \leq i \leq p^* - 1\} \) be the set of vertices of $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}$, where \( e(i) := e_{m_i} \) and \( 0 \leq m_{p^*-1} < \cdots < m_1 < m_0 = m \).

The endpoints of the segment $\Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(i)$ are \((m_{i-1}, e(i-1))\) and \((m_i, e(i))\). Set \( I_i = \{h \in \mathbb{N}; (h, e_h) \in \Sigma_{L_{\text{lin}, \theta}}(i)\} \) and define subsets $\mathcal{N}_i$ of $\mathbb{N}^{M*}$ for \( 1 \leq i \leq p^* \) by

$$\mathcal{N}_i = \{A = (A_{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{N}^{M*}; |A| = 1 \text{ and } A_{\alpha} = 1 \text{ for some } \alpha = (h, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \text{ with } h \in I_i\}. \quad (3.4)$$

We assume the following:

$$b_{m_i}(0, 0) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \quad 0 \leq i \leq p^* - 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Suppose (C.0) holds. Then there is $R > 0$ such that for all \( 0 \leq i \leq p^* - 1 \)

$$b_{m_i}(0, x) \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \{|x| \leq R\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Set for \( 1 \leq i \leq p^* - 1 \)

$$\begin{align*}
B_i^1(\xi, x) &= \sum_{(h, \nu') \in I_i} b_h(0, x) (\gamma_i \xi)^h, \\
B_i^\alpha(\xi, x) &= \sum_{(h, \nu') \in I_i} b_h(0, x) (\gamma_i \xi)^{h-m_i}. \quad (3.7)
\end{align*}$$
Then $B_i(\xi, x) = (\gamma_i \xi)^{m_i}B_i^0(\xi, x)$ and $B_i^0(\xi, x)$ is a polynomial in $4$ with degree $(m_{\text{z-1}} - m_i)$ and $B_i^0(0, x) = b_{m_i}(0, x) \neq 0$ on $\{|x| \leq R\}$.

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose (C.0) holds and $p^* \geq 2$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p^* - 1\}$. Set $Z_i(r) = \bigcup_{|x| \leq r} \{\xi; B_i^0(\xi^\gamma, x) = 0\}$. A singular direction of level $\gamma_i$ on $\{|x| \leq r\}$ is an argument of an element of $Z_i(r)$. We denote by $\Xi_i(r)$ the totality of singular directions on $\{|x| \leq r\}$ of level $\gamma_i$.

We give other conditions.

(C.1)

(1). There exists a formal solution $\hat{u}(t, x) = \sum_{n=\nu_0}^{\infty} u_n(x)t^n \in \mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$ of $L(\hat{u}) = 0$ with $\nu_0 \geq 1$.

(2). The following holds for all $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p^*\}$. For $A \in \mathbb{N}^{M*} - \Omega_i$

$$e_A + (|A| - 1)\nu_0 - e(i - 1) > \gamma_i(m_A - m_{i-1}).$$

(3.8)
The condition (C.1)-(2) ((3.8)) means that $L(\cdot)$ is a perturbation of $L_{\lim, \theta}$ in some sense. We note that $e_A + (|A| - 1)nu_0 - e(i - 1) = \gamma_i(M_A - m_{i-1})$ holds if and only if $A \in \mathfrak{N}_i$, which means $e(h) - e(i - 1) = \gamma_i(h - m_{i-1})$ for $h \in \mathcal{L}_i$.

**Remark 3.2.** (1) The condition (C.1)-(2) depends on $\nu_0$.

(2) It is obvious that (3.8) holds for large $|A|$. Hence it is enough to expand $c_A(t, x)$ with respect to $t$, $c_A(t, x) = t^{e_A}b_A'(t, x)$ ($e_A \leq e_A'$), so that

$$e_A' + (|A| - 1)nu_0 - e(i - 1) > \gamma_i(M_A - m_{i-1}).$$

Consider nonlinear partial differential equation

$$L(u) := L(t, x, \theta^0 \partial_x u) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (EQ)

Then our main result is

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that $p^* \geq 2$, (C.0) and (C.1) hold. Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{p^*-1})$ be a multidirection such that $[\theta_i - \delta_i, \theta_i + \delta_i] \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}(R)} = \emptyset$ for $\delta_i > 0$. Then $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{O}(U')[[t]]$ is $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{p^*-1})$-summable in the multidirection $\theta$ for a polydisk $U' \subset U$.

Set $S_i^* = S^*(\theta_i, \delta_i)$. Then the assumption $[\theta_i - \delta_i, \theta_i + \delta_i] \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}(R)} = \emptyset$ means $B^0_i(\xi^n, x)$ is invertible on $S_i^* \times \{|x| \leq R\}$, which is used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

We give an outline of the proof of Theorem 3.3. For the details of it we refer to [9]. First we show

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $\hat{u}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(x)t^n$ be a formal solution of $L(\hat{u}) = 0$. Then there are constants $A$ and $B$ such that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq AB^n\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{p^*-1}}\right)$$

in a neighborhood $V$ of $x = 0$.

It follows from Proposition 3.4 that

$$\hat{B}_{p^*-1}(\xi, x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n(x)\xi^{n-\gamma_{p^*-1}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma_{p^*-1}}\right)}$$

is holomorphic in $\{\xi; 0 < |\xi| < \rho\} \times V$. Set $\phi(\xi, x) = \hat{B}_{p^*-1}(\xi, x)$. As for holomorphic extension of $\phi(\xi, x)$ to an infinite sector with respect to $\xi$, we have
Proposition 3.5. Let $\theta_{p-1}$ be a direction and $\delta_{p-1} > 0$ be a small constant such that $[\theta_{p-1} - \delta_{p-1}, \theta_{p-1} + \delta_{p-1}] \cap \Xi(R) = \emptyset$. Set $S_{p-1}^* = S^*(\theta_{p-1}, \delta_{p-1})$. Then $\phi(\xi, x)$ is holomorphically extensible to $S_{p-1}^* \times W$ and $\phi \in \text{Exp}(\kappa_{p-1})(S_{p-1}^* \times W)$ for a neighborhood $W$ of $x = 0$.

By Proposition 3.5, $(A_{\gamma_{p-2}, \gamma_{p-1}, \theta_{p-1}} \hat{B}_{\gamma_{p-1}} u)(\xi, x)$ is defined, and we can show that it belongs to $\text{Exp}(\kappa_{p-2})(S_{p-2}^* \times W')$. Let $S_{p-2}^* = S^*(\theta_{p-2}, \delta_{p-2})$, so $(A_{\gamma_{p-3}, \gamma_{p-2}, \theta_{p-2}} A_{\gamma_{p-2}, \gamma_{p-1}, \theta_{p-1}} \hat{B}_{p-1} u)(\xi, x)$ is defined. Consequently, by continuing these processes,

$$u(t, x) = (L_{\gamma_1, \theta_1} A_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \theta_2} \cdots A_{\gamma_{p-1}, \gamma_{p-2}, \theta_{p-2}} \hat{B}_{\gamma_{p-1}} u)(t, x) \quad (3.11)$$

can be defined, hence, $u(t, x)$ is $\gamma$-summable in the direction $\theta$, $u(t, x)$ is $\gamma$-sum of $\phi(t, x)$ and $\phi(u) = 0$ holds.

4 Remarks and Generalization

(1) In this article we assume for simplicity that $L(t, x, Z)$ is holomorphic in a full neighborhood $U_0 \times U \times \Omega$. We can show the similar result as Theorem 3.3 under the following condition:

$$L(t, x, Z)$$

is the $\gamma$-sum of $\tilde{L}(t, x, Z) \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \Omega[[t]])$ which is $\gamma$-summable in multidirection $\theta$.

(2) We give comments about more general nonlinear partial differential equations to which we can apply the preceding results.

Let $K(t, x, \varphi^a \partial_x^\nu u) = 0$ be a nonlinear partial differential equation with order $m$, where $K(t, x, Z), (t, x, Z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^M$, and be holomorphic in a neighborhood of $(t, x, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$ with $K(0, 0, 0) = 0$. Suppose that there exists a formal power series $\tilde{u}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u_n(x)t^n$ in $\mathcal{O}(U)[[t]]$ satisfying $K(\tilde{u}) = 0$. Let $\nu_0 \geq 1$ and $v_{\nu_0-1}(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu_0-1} u_n(x)t^n$.

Consider

$$L(\nu_0; u) := K\left(t, x, \varphi^a \partial_x^\nu (v_{\nu_0-1} + u)\right) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

which depends on $v_{\nu_0-1}(t, x)$ and has a formal power series solution $\tilde{u}(t, x) = \sum_{n=\nu_0}^{\infty} u_n(x) t^n$. If $L(\nu_0; u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, then we have multi-summability of the formal power series solution, that is, there exists a solution $u(t, x)$ represented such as (3.11) and $u(t, x) \sim \tilde{u}(t, x)$ as $t \to 0$ in some sector.
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