Weak topologies, and determining covers

東京学芸大学 田中祥雄 (Yoshio Tanaka)

We assume that spaces are regular T_1 , and maps are continuous and onto.

For a cover \mathcal{P} of a space X, X is determined by \mathcal{P} [6], if X has the weak topology with respect to \mathcal{P} [3]; that is, $G \subset X$ is open in X if $G \cap P$ is open in P for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Here, we can replace "open" by "closed". We call such a cover \mathcal{P} a determining cover in [20].

We recall that a space X is respectively a sequential space [4]; k-space; quasi-k-space [11] if X has a determining cover by (compact) metric subsets; compact subsets; countably compact subsets. Sequential spaces are k-spaces, and k-spaces are quasi-k-spaces.

As is well-known, every sequential space; k-space; quasi-k-space is respectively characterized as a quotient space of a (locally compact) metric space; locally compact (paracompact) space; M-space.

Let \mathcal{P} be a collection of subsets of a space X. Then, \mathcal{P} is closure-preserving (abbreviated by CP), if for any subfamily \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P} , $cl(\bigcup \{P: P \in \mathcal{P}'\}) = \bigcup \{clP: P \in \mathcal{P}'\}$. Also, \mathcal{P} is hereditarily closure-preserving (abbreviated by HCP), if for any subcollection $\mathcal{P}' = \{P_{\alpha} : \alpha\}$ of \mathcal{P} , and any $\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha\}$ such that $A_{\alpha} \subset P_{\alpha}$, the collection $\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha\}$ is CP.

For a closed cover \mathcal{F} of a space X, X is dominated by \mathcal{F} [7] if \mathcal{F} is a CP cover, and any $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is a determining cover of the union of \mathcal{P} . (Sometimes, we also say that X has the Whitehead weak topology; Morita weak topology (in the sense of [9]); or hereditarily weak topology, with respect to \mathcal{F}). We call such a closed cover \mathcal{F} a dominating cover in [20].

A space X having an increasing determining cover $\{X_n : n \in N\}$ is called the *inductive limit* of $\{X_n : n \in N\}$. When the X_n are closed in X, $\{X_n : n \in N\}$ is a dominating cover of X. Also, every CW-complex has a dominating cover by compact metric subsets.

 $Open\ covers \Rightarrow Determining\ covers \Leftarrow Dominating\ covers \Leftarrow HCP\ closed\ covers \Leftarrow Locally\ finite\ closed\ covers.$

Eery space having a determining cover by sequential spaces (resp. k-spaces; quasi-k-spaces) is a sequential space (resp. k-space; quasi-k-space).

While, every space having a dominating cover by paracompact spaces (resp. normal spaces) is paracompact (resp. normal); see [7] or [10].

Concerning "preservations" of weak topologies, we have the following natural questions (Q1), (Q2) and (Q3), and the same questions which are replaced "determining" by "dominating".

- (Q1) Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map, and let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X (resp. Y). Under what conditions, is $f(\mathcal{P}) = \{f(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ (resp. $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) = \{f^{-1}(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$) a determining cover of Y (resp. X)?
- (Q2) Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of a space X. For a (or any) subset $S \subset X$, under what conditions, is $\mathcal{P}|S = \{P \cap S : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ a determining cover of S?
- (Q3): For each i = 1, 2, let \mathcal{P}_i be a determining cover of a space X_i . Under what conditions, is $\mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2 = \{P_1 \times P_2 : P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i\}$ a determining cover of $X_1 \times X_2$?

In [20], we gave some related answers to the question (Q3) (containing countable products of weak topologies), and their applications to products of paracompact spaces. For products of weak topologies (determining covers), see [19]. In this paper, let us give some related answers to the questions (Q1) and (Q2) in Section 1 and 2, respectively. Related to (Q3), we also give some results on countable products of spaces having certain determing covers in Section 3, containing additional matters to [20].

We recall some elementary facts which will be used in this paper. For basic matters on weak topologies, see [17] or [18], for example.

- Fact A: (1) Let \mathcal{C} be a determining cover of X. Let \mathcal{P} be a cover of X. If each element of \mathcal{C} is contained in some element of \mathcal{P} , then \mathcal{P} is a determining cover of X.
- (2) Let $\{P_{\alpha} : \alpha\}$ be a determining cover of X. If each P_{α} has a determining cover \mathcal{P}_{α} , then $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} : \alpha\}$ is a determining cover of X.
- (3) Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X. If S is a closed or open subset of X, then $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.
- (4) For a determining cover \mathcal{P} of a space X^{ω} , $\mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2 \times \cdots$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} , where $\mathcal{P}_i = P_i(\mathcal{P})$ for the projection P_i from X^{ω} onto the *i*-th coordinate space X.

A cover \mathcal{P} of X is point-countable if every $x \in X$ is in at most countably many $P \in \mathcal{P}$. A decreasing sequence (A_n) of non-empty subsets of X is a q-

sequence (resp. k-sequence) [8], if $C = \bigcap \{A_n : n \in N\}$ is countably compact (resp. compact) in X, and each open subset U with $C \subset U$ contains some A_n (equivalently, for any $x_n \in A_n$, $\{x_n : n \in N\}$ has an accumulation point in C).

- Fact B: (1) Let \mathcal{P} be a point-countable determining cover of X. Then, for each q-sequence (A_n) in X, some A_n is contained in a finite union of elements of \mathcal{P} ([14, Lemma 6]).
- (2) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \gamma\}$ be a dominating cover of X. For each $\alpha \leq \gamma$, let $L_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha} \bigcup \{X_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$. Then $\{clL_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \gamma\}$ is a determining cover of X such that, for each q-sequence (A_n) in X, some A_n meets only finitely many L_{α} (cf. [16, Lemma 2.5]).

1. Maps

- Example 1.1. (1) An open map $f: X \to Y$ with each $f^{-1}(y)$ at most two points, and X has a discrete, closed and open cover \mathcal{F} by compact subsets, but $f(\mathcal{F})$ is not a CP cover (hence, not a dominating cover).
- (2) An open map $g: X \to Y$ with each $g^{-1}(y)$ at most two points, and Y has a countable determining cover \mathcal{F} by convergent sequences (or, a dominating cover by compact metric subsets), but $g^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is not a determining cover of X.
- **Theorem 1.2.** (1) Let $f: X \to Y$ be a quotient map. If \mathcal{P} is a determining cover of X, as is well-known, $f(\mathcal{P})$ is a determining cover of Y.
 - (2) Let $f: X \to Y$ be a closed map. Then the following hold.
 - (a) If \mathcal{F} is a dominating cover of X, $f(\mathcal{F})$ is a dominating cover of Y.
- (b) If \mathcal{P} is a determining (resp. dominating) cover of Y, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ is a determining (resp. dominating) cover of X ([13, Lemma 1.2]).
- Corollary 1.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a closed map such that each $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact (resp. countably compact; first countable). Then X is a k-space ([1]) (resp. quasi-k-space; sequential space ([13])) if (and only if) Y is so respectively.
 - Corollary 1.4. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a map. Then the following hold.
- (1) Let X be a k-space. If \mathcal{P} is a determining cover of Y, then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ is a determining cover of X.
- (2) Let X be a quasi-k-space. If \mathcal{F} is a dominating (resp. point-countable closed) cover of Y, then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is a dominating (resp. point-countable closed) cover of X.

The author doesn't know whether the above (1) remains true under X being a quasi-k-space. This is affiramative if any countably compact subset of Y is closed (as Y is a sequential space, or a space whose points are G_{δ} -sets, for example).

2. Subsets

For an open (resp. HCP closed) cover \mathcal{P} of X, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining (resp. dominating) cover of S for any $S \subset X$. But, we have the following example. Here, the Arens' space S_2 is the space obtained from the disjoint union $\Sigma\{L_n: n=0,1,\cdots\}$ of the convergent sequence $\{1/n: n\in N\}\cup\{0\}$ by identifying each $1/n\in L_0$ with $0\in L_n$ $(n\geq 1)$. The quotient space S_2/L_0 is called the sequential fan which is denoted by S_ω .

Example 2.1. The Arens' space S_2 has the obvious increasing and dominating countable cover \mathcal{F} by compact metric subsets, but for $S = S_2 - \{1/n \in L_0 : n \in N\}$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is not a determining cover of S.

A space is Fr'echet, if whenever $a \in clA$, then there exists a sequence in A converging to the point a. We recall that a space X is a k'-space [1], if whenever $a \in clA$, then there exists a compact subset K of X such that $a \in cl(A \cap K)$. Let us recall other related spaces due to [8]. A space X is a countably bi-quasi-k-space if, whenever $x \in clA_n$ with $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ $(n \in N)$, there exists a q-sequence (B_n) such that $x \in cl(A_n \cap B_n)$ for all $n \in N$. If the A_n are all the same set, then such a space is a singly bi-quasi-k-space. Féchet spaces and locally compact spaces are k'-spaces. k'-spaces, and M-spaces (generally, countably bi-quasi-k-spaces) are singly bi-quasi-k-spaces. Singly bi-quasi-k-spaces are quasi-k-spaces. For properties related to dominating or point-countable determining covers among singly bi-k-spaces (or, singly bi-quasi-k-spaces), see [15] or [21].

- **Theorem 2.2.** (1) Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X. For $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S if S has a determining cover by open or closed sets in X, in particular, S is a k-space. When \mathcal{P} is point-countable and closed, the same result holds if S is a quasi-k-space.
- (2) Let \mathcal{F} be a dominating cover of X. For $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is a dominating cover if S has a determining cover by open or closed subsets in X, or S is a quasi-k-space.

Corollary 2.3. Let \mathcal{F} be a dominating cover of X by Fréchet spaces. For $S \subset X$, the following are equivalent.

- (a) S has a dominating cover $\mathcal{F}|S$.
- (b) S has a determining cover $\mathcal{F}|S$.

- (c) S is a quasi-k-space.
- (d) S is a sequential space.

Theorem 2.4. (1) Let \mathcal{P} be a cover of X. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) For any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.
- (b) For any $A \subset X$ and any $a \in clA$, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $a \in cl_P(A \cap P)$.
 - (2) Let \mathcal{F} be a closed cover of X. Then the following are equivalent.
 - (a) For any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is a dominating cover of S.
 - (b) For any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is CP in X.

Corollary 2.5. Let X be a singly bi-quasi-k-space, and let \mathcal{F} be a dominating (resp. point-countable determining closed) cover of X. Then, for any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is a dominating (resp. determining) cover of S.

Corollary 2.6. (1) For a space X, the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is Fréchet.
- (b) X has a determining cover \mathcal{P} by compact metric subsets such that for any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.
- (c) X is a sequential space, and for any determining cover \mathcal{P} of X and any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.
 - (2) For a space X, the following are equivalent.
 - (a) X is a k'-space.
- (b) X has a determining cover \mathcal{P} by compact subsets such that for any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.

Corollary 2.7. Let X be a sequential space. If any subset of X is a quasi-k-space, then X is Fréchet (cf. [5]).

Corollary 2.8. (1) Let X have a determining cover \mathcal{P} by Fréchet spaces. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is Fréchet.
- (b) For any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{P}|S$ is a determining cover of S.
- (2) Let X have a dominating (or point-countable determining closed) cover \mathcal{F} by k'-spaces. Then the following are equivalent.
 - (a) X is a k'-space.
 - (b) For any $S \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|S$ is a determing cover of S.

Remark 2.9. Not every compact sequential space is Fréchet (the space Ψ^* in [5, Example 7.1], for example). Thus, in (c) \Rightarrow (a) of Corollary 2.6(1), we can't replace "determining" by "dominating". While, under X being a k-space, (c) implies X is a k'-space, but the converse need not hold even if

X is compact sequential. Also, in (a) \Rightarrow (b) of Corollary 2.8(2), we can't replace "dominating" by "determining".

Question 2.10. (1) Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X. Let $S \subset X$, and S be a quasi-k-space. Is $\mathcal{P}|S$ a determining cover of S?

- (2) Let \mathcal{F} be a dominating cover of X. For any $S \subset X$, let $\mathcal{F}|S$ be a determining cover of S. Is $\mathcal{F}|S$ a dominating cover of S?
- (3) Let X be a k-space. For any determining cover \mathcal{P} of X, and any $S \subset X$, let $\mathcal{P}|S$ be a determining cover of S. Is X Fréchet?

3. Countable products

In this section, we consider countable products of weak topologies, as additional matters to Section 4 in [20]. For finite products of weak topologies in terms of Question 3, see [19] or [20]. First, let us give the following notations.

For a cover \mathcal{P} of a space, let $[\mathcal{P}] = \{A : A \text{ is a finite union of elements of } \mathcal{P}\}, \mathcal{P}^* = \{P \cup F : P \in \mathcal{P}, F \text{ is finite}\}, \text{ and let } \mathcal{P}^\circ = \{intP : P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$

Remark 3.1. (1) For a space $X = F_1 + F_2$, $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2\}$ is a determining cover of X, but $\mathcal{F}^{\omega}(=\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \times \cdots)$ is not a determining cover of X^{ω} .

(2) Let X be the sequential fan S_{ω} (or the Arens' space S_2). Then, for any (countable) determining closed cover \mathcal{F} by (compact) metric subsets in X, $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ is not a determining cover of X^{ω} by means of Theorem 3.2(2) below.

As a generalization of sequential spaces, we recall that a space X has countable tightness, $t(X) \leq \omega$, if whenever $a \in clA$, $a \in clC$ for some countable $C \subset A$ (equivalently, X has a determining cover by countable subsets); see [8]. While, as a generalization of countably bi-quasi-k-spaces, let us consider the following property (P), referring to [6, (3.1)].

(P): For each decreasing sequence (A_n) in X with $\bigcap \{clA_n : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a countably compact set K of X with $K \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in N$.

Theorem 3.2. (1) Let X^{ω} be a sequential space. Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X. Then $\mathcal{P}^{*\omega}$ (hence, $[\mathcal{P}]^{\omega}$) is a determining cover of X^{ω} ([13]).

- (2) Let X^{ω} be a quasi-k-space. Let \mathcal{P} be a dominating or point-countable determining cover of X. Then the following hold.
 - (a) $[\mathcal{P}]^{\omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} .
- (b) If $t(X) \leq \omega$, then X has property (P), hence $[\mathcal{P}]^{\circ \omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} .

A space X is a bi-k-space [8] if, whenever \mathcal{A} is a filterbase with $x \in clA$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a k-sequence (B_n) in X such that $x \in cl(A \cap B_n)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \in N$. Locally compact spaces, first countable spaces, or paracompact M-spaces are bi-k-spaces. Bi-k-spaces are k-spaces which are countably bi-quasi-k. Every countable product of bi-k-spaces is a bi-k-space ([8]), hence a k-space.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a bi-k-space, and let \mathcal{P} be a determing cover of X. Then the following hold.

- (a) $[\mathcal{P}]^{\omega}$ is a determing cover of X^{ω} if X is sequential, or \mathcal{P} is a point-countable cover.
- (b) $[\mathcal{P}]^{\circ\omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} if \mathcal{P} is a dominating cover, a point-countable closed cover, or a point-countable cover with $t(X) \leq \omega$.

Corollary 3.4. Let X have a dominating or point-countable determining closed cover \mathcal{F} by first countable spaces. Then the following properties are equivalent ([20]).

- (a) X^{ω} is a quasi-k-space.
- (b) X^{ω} is a sequential space.
- (c) $\mathcal{F}^{*\omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} .
- (d) $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ (actually, $[\mathcal{F}]^{\circ \omega}$) is a determining cover of X^{ω} .
- (e) $[\mathcal{F}]^{\circ}$ is an open cover of X.
- (f) X is first countable.

Corollary 3.5. Let X satisfy (a), (b), or (c) below. If X^{ω} is a quasi-k-space, then X is metric.

- (a) X has a dominating cover by metric spaces.
- (b) X is a paracompact space having a point-countable determining closed cover by metric spaces.
- (c) X has a point-countable determining cover by locally separable, metric spaces.

Corollary 3.6. Let X have a dominating or point-countable determining closed cover \mathcal{F} by locally compact spaces (resp. bi-k-spaces). Then the implications (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c), and (d) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (b) hold. When $t(X) \leq \omega$, (a) \sim (e) are equivalent.

- (a) X^{ω} is a quasi-k-space.
- (b) X^{ω} is a k-space.
- (c) $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} .
- (d) $[\mathcal{F}]^{\circ}$ is an open cover of X.
- (e) X is a locally compact space (resp. bi-k-space).

Remark 3.7. (CH). " $t(X) \leq \omega$ " is essential in Corollary 3.6 (the implication (b) \Rightarrow (d) or (e)), and so is in Theorem 3.2(2). Actually, under (CH), there exists a space X having a countable dominating cover \mathcal{F} by compact subsets, and X^{ω} is a k-space, but X is not locally compact ([2]) (hence, $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ is a determing cover of X^{ω} , but $[\mathcal{F}]^{\circ}$ is not an open cover of X, and X doesn't have property (P)).

Finally, let us give questions on products of weak topologies. First, let us review some related mattes.

Remark 3.8. (1) Let X be a sequential space (resp. paracompact space). Then X^{ω} is a sequential space (resp. k-space) iff X is a quasi-k-space (see [12] for the finite products).

- (2) Let \mathcal{P} be a determining cover of X. Then (a) and (b) below hold.
- (a) Let X^2 be a k-space. Then \mathcal{P}^2 is a determining cover of X^2 if X is a sequential space, or each element of \mathcal{P} is a k-space (see [19] or [20]), in particular, \mathcal{P} is a closed cover.
- (b) Let X^{ω} be a k-space. Then $[\mathcal{P}]^{\omega}$ is a determining cover of X^{ω} if \mathcal{P} is a dominating or point-countable cover, or X is sequential (for example, the elements of \mathcal{P} are sequential).

In view of Remark 3.8, the author has Question 3.9 below, in particular. For (1), $X^2 \in [\mathcal{P}]^2$. Also, the compactness of X is essential even if \mathcal{P} is a countable HCP closed cover by separable metric subsets. If (1) is affirmative, then so is the question for X being a bi-k-space (generally, space with X^{ω} a k-space). For (2), any \mathcal{F}^n $(n \in N)$ is a determing cover of X^n . (3) is affirmative if X is sequential, or \mathcal{P} is dominating or point-countable. If X is paracompact, then any \mathcal{F}^n $(n \in N)$ is a determing cover of X^n .

- Question 3.9. (1) Let X be a compact space, and let \mathcal{P} be a countable determining cover of X. Is \mathcal{P}^2 a determining cover of X^2 ?
- (2) Let X be a compact space (or space with X^{ω} a k-space), and let \mathcal{F} be a determining closed cover of X. Is $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ a determing cover of X^{ω} ?
- (3) Let \mathcal{F} be a determining cover of X by compact subsets. Let X^{ω} be a quasi-k-space (in particular, let X be a countably compact space). Is $[\mathcal{F}]^{\omega}$ a determining cover of X^{ω} ?

References

[1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 1965, 1-62.

- [2] H. Chen, An answer to a conjecture on the countable products of k-spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123(1995), 583-587.
- [3] J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, INC., Boston, 1966.
- [4] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice, Fund. Math., 57(1965), 107-115.
- [5] S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice II, Fund. Math., 61(1967), 51-56.
- [6] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math., 113(1984), 303-332.
- [7] E. Michael, Continuous selections. I, Annals of Math., 63(1956), 361-382.
- [8] E. Michael, A quintuple quotient quest, General topology and Appl., 2(1972), 91-138.
- [9] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, Proc. Japan Acad., 29(1953), 537-543.
- [10] K. Morita, On spaces having the weak topology with respect to closed coverings, II, Proc. Japan Acad., 30(1954), 711-717.
- [11] J. Nagata, Quotient and bi-quotient spaces of M-spaces, Proc. Japan Acad., 45(1969), 25-29.
- [12] Y. Tanaka, On quasi-k-spaces, Proc. Japan Acad., 10(1970), 1074-1070.
- [13] Y. Tanaka, On sequential spaces, Science Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A., 11(1971), 68-72.
- [14] Y. Tanaka, Point-countable k-systems and products of k-spaces, Pacific.
 J. Math., 101(1982), 199-208.
- [15] Y. Tanaka, Decompositions of spaces determined by compact subsets, Proc. Amer. Math., 97(1986), 549-469.
- [16] Y. Tanaka, Necessary and sufficient conditions for products of k-spaces, Topology Proc., 14(1989), 281-312.
- [17] Y. Tanaka, Metrization II, Topics in General Topology, K. Morita and J. Nagata, Elsevier Science B. V., 1989, 275-314.

- [18] Y. Tanaka, Quotient spaces and decompositions, In Encyclopedia of General Topology, K. P. Hart, J. Nagata and J. E. Vaughan eds, Elsevier Science B. V., 2004, 43-46.
- [19] Y. Tanaka, Products of weak topologies, Topology Proc., 29(2005), 361-376.
- [20] Y. Tanaka, Products of weak topologies, and k-spaces, in: Set Theoretic and Geometric Topology and Its Applications, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University 1419(2005), 1-9.
- [21] Y. Tanaka and I. Yoshioka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, and singly bi-quasi-k-spaces, Questions and Answers in General Topology, 23(2005), 35-54.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Tokyo, 184-8501, JAPAN E-mail address: ytanaka@u-gakugei.ac.jp