On anti-structurable algebras¹

NORIAKI KAMIYA²

Center for Mathematical Sciences, University of Aizu, 965-8580 Aizuwakamatsu, Japan E-mail: kamiya@u-aizu.ac.jp

DANIEL MONDOC³

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, 22 100 Lund, Sweden E-mail: Daniel.Mondoc@math.lu.se

SUSUMU OKUBO⁴

Department of Physics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627 U.S.A. E-mail: okubo@pas.rochester.edu

1 Definitions and preamble

1.1 (ε, δ) -Freudenthal Kantor triple systems

We are concerned in this paper with triple systems which have finite dimension over a field Φ of characteristic $\neq 2$ or 3, unless otherwise specified.

In order to render this paper as self-contained as possible, we recall first the definition of a generalized Jordan triple system of second order (for short GJTS of 2nd order).

A vector space V over a field Φ endowed with a trilinear operation $V \times V \times V \to V$, $(x, y, z) \longmapsto (xyz)$ is said to be a GJTS of 2nd order if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$(ab(xyz)) = ((abx)yz) - (x(bay)z) + (xy(abz)), \tag{1.1}$$

$$K(K(a,b)x,y) - L(y,x)K(a,b) - K(a,b)L(x,y) = 0,$$
(1.2)

¹This paper is a survey note. The details will be published elsewhere.

²Research partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 19540042 (C),(2)), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

³Research partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 19540042 (C),(2)).

⁴Research supported by U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER40685.

where L(a,b)c := (abc) and K(a,b)c := (acb) - (bca).

A Jordan triple system (for short JTS) satisfies (1.1) and the following condition

$$(abc) = (cba). (1.3)$$

We can generalize the concept of GJTS of 2nd order as follows (see [13], [14], [17]-[21], [52] and the earlier references therein).

For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\delta = \pm 1$, a triple product that satisfies the identities

$$(ab(xyz)) = ((abx)yz) + \varepsilon(x(bay)z) + (xy(abz)), \tag{1.4}$$

$$K(K(a,b)x,y) - L(y,x)K(a,b) + \varepsilon K(a,b)L(x,y) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$L(a,b)c := (abc), \quad K(a,b)c := (acb) - \delta(bca), \tag{1.6}$$

is called an (ε, δ) -Freudenthal Kantor triple system (for short (ε, δ) -FKTS). **Remark**. We note that

$$K(b,a) = -\delta K(a,b). \tag{1.7}$$

Remark. The concept of GJTS of 2nd order coincides with that of (-1, 1)-FKTS. Thus we can construct the simple Lie algebras by means of the standard embedding method ([6], [13]-[17], [21], [24], [26], [35], [52]).

For an (ε, δ) -FKTS U we denote

$$A(a,b) := L(a,b) - \varepsilon L(b,a), \tag{1.8}$$

where L(a,b) is defined by (1.6). Then A(a,b) is an anti-derivation of U since we notice that

$$[A(a,b), L(c,d)] = L(A(a,b)c,d) - L(c,A(a,b)d).$$
(1.9)

An (ε, δ) -FKTS U is called *unitary* if the identity map Id is contained in $\kappa := K(U, U)$ i.e., if there exist $a_i, b_i \in U$, such that

$$\Sigma_i K(a_i, b_i) = Id. \tag{1.10}$$

If U is an (ε, δ) -FKTS and $a, b \in U$ then (a, b) is called a *left neutral pair* if L(a, b) = Id.

For $\delta = \pm 1$, a triple system $(a, b, c) \mapsto [abc], a, b, c \in V$ is called a δ -Lie triple system (for short δ -LTS) if the following three identities are fulfilled

$$[abc] = -\delta[bac],$$

$$[abc] + [bca] + [cab] = 0,$$

$$[ab[xyz]] = [[abx]yz] + [x[aby]z] + [xy[abz]],$$
(1.11)

where $a, b, x, y, z \in V$. An 1-LTS is a *LTS* while a -1-LTS is an *anti-LTS*, by [14].

1.2 δ -structurable algebras

The motivation for the study of such nonassociative algebras is as follows. The existence of the class of nonassociative algebras called structurable algebras is an important generalization of Jordan algebras giving a construction of Lie algebras. Hence from our concept, by means of triple products, we define a generalization of such class to construct Lie superalgebras as well as Lie algebras.

Our start point briefly described in a historical setting is the construction of Lie (super)algebras starting from a class of nonassociative algebras. Hence within the general framework of (ε, δ) -FKTSs $(\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1)$ and the standard embedding Lie (super)algebra construction studied in [6],[7],[13]-[15], [26] (see also references therein) we define δ -structurable algebras as a class of nonassociative algebras with involution which coincides with the class of structurable algebras for $\delta = 1$ as introduced and studied in [1], [2]. Structurable algebras are a class of nonassociative algebras with involution that include Jordan algebras (with trivial involution), associative algebras with involution, and alternative algebras with involution. They are related to GJTSs 2nd order (or (-1,1)-FKTSs) as introduced and studied in [33], [34] and further studied in [3], [4], [32], [41]-[44], [49] (see also references therein). Their importance lies with constructions of five graded Lie algebras

$$\mathcal{L}(U) := L(\varepsilon, \delta) = L_{-2} \oplus L_{-1} \oplus L_0 \oplus L_1 \oplus L_2, \quad [L_i, L_j] \subseteq L_{i+j}. \tag{1.12}$$

For $\delta = -1$ the anti-structurable algebras defined here are a new class of nonassociative algebras that may similarly shed light on the notion of (-1, -1)-FKTSs hence (by [6], [7]) on the construction of Lie superalgebras and Jordan algebras as it will be shown.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \bar{})$ be a finite dimensional nonassociative unital algebra with involution (involutive anti-automorphism, i.e. $\overline{\overline{x}} = x, \overline{xy} = \overline{y}\,\overline{x}, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$) over Φ . The identity element of \mathcal{A} is denoted by 1. Since $\operatorname{char}\Phi \neq 2$, by [1] we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{H} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} | \overline{a} = a\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} | \overline{a} = -a\}$.

Suppose $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. Put [x, y] := xy - yx and [x, y, z] := (xy)z - x(yz). Note that

$$\overline{[x,y,z]} = -[\overline{z},\overline{y},\overline{x}]. \tag{1.13}$$

The operators L_x and R_x are defined by $L_x(y) := xy$, $R_x(y) := yx$. For $\delta = \pm 1$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ define

$$^{\delta}V_{x,y} := L_{L_x(\overline{y})} + \delta(R_x R_{\overline{y}} - R_y R_{\overline{x}}), \tag{1.14}$$

$${}^{\delta}B_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z) := {}^{\delta}V_{x,y}(z) = (x\overline{y})z + \delta[(z\overline{y})x - (z\overline{x})y], x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}.$$
 (1.15)

 $^+B_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)$ is called the *triple system obtained from the algebra* $(\mathcal{A},^-)$. We will call $^-B_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)$ the anti-triple system obtained from the algebra $(\mathcal{A},^-)$. We write for short

$$V_{x,y} := {}^{\delta}V_{x,y}, \quad B_{\mathcal{A}} := ({}^{\delta}B_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{A}). \tag{1.16}$$

Remark. The upper left index notation is chosen in order not to be mixed with the upper right index notation of [1] which has a different meaning.

A unital non-associative algebra with involution $(\mathcal{A}, \bar{})$ is called a *structurable algebra* if the following identity is fulfilled

$$[V_{u,v}, V_{x,y}] = V_{V_{u,v}(x),y} - V_{x,V_{v,u}(y)}, \tag{1.17}$$

for $V_{u,v} = {}^+V_{u,v}$, $V_{x,y} = {}^+V_{x,y}$, $u,v,x,y \in \mathcal{A}$, and we will call $(\mathcal{A},^-)$ an anti-structurable algebra if the identity (1.17) is fulfilled for $V_{u,v} = {}^-V_{u,v}$, $V_{x,y} = {}^-V_{x,y}$. If $(\mathcal{A},^-)$ is structurable then, by [34], the triple system $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called a generalized Jordan triple system (abbreviated GJTS) and by [8], $B_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a GJTS

of 2nd order, i.e. satisfies the identities (1.4) and (1.5). If $(A, \bar{})$ is anti-structurable then we call B_A an anti-GJTS.

2 Several properties

2.1 Properties satisfying the second order condition

From now on we assume $\delta = -1$ and let $(\mathcal{A}, \bar{\ })$ be an anti-structurable algebra. Define $C(a, b, c) \in End \mathcal{A}$ by

$$C(a,b,c)d := [a\overline{b}, \overline{d}, c] - [a, \overline{b}, \overline{d}]c, \quad a,b,c,d \in \mathcal{A}.$$
 (2.18)

We say that A satisfies condition C if

$$C(x, y, w) - C(w, y, x) = C(w, x, y) - C(y, x, w), \quad x, y, w \in \mathcal{A}.$$
 (2.19)

Theorem 2.1 Let $(A, ^-)$ be an anti-structurable algebra. Then the second order condition (1.5) and condition $\mathfrak C$ are equivalent.

Remark. An anti-structurable algebra satisfying the condition \mathcal{C} is a (-1, -1)-FKTS.

2.2 Lie admissible structures

Theorem 2.2 Let $(A, \bar{})$ be an anti-structurable algebra such that $\bar{} = Id$. Then A is a LTS with respect to the new product $[x, y, z] = B_A(x, y, z) - B_A(y, x, z), x, y, z \in A$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $(A, ^-)$ be an anti-structurable algebra satisfying the second order condition (1.5). Then

i) A is a Lie admissible, i.e. the Jacobi identity is fulfilled:

$$[[x,y],z]+[[y,z],x]+[[z,x],y]=0,\,x,y,z\in\mathcal{A},$$

ii) [x, y, z] + [z, y, x] is totaly symmetric in any exchanges of $x, y, z \in A$, iii) [h, x, y] = [x, h, y] = [x, y, h] = 0, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}, x, y \in A$.

Theorem 2.4 Let $(A, \bar{})$ be an anti-structurable algebra satisfying the second order condition (1.5) and let $F(x, y, z) \in End A$ be defined by

$$F(x, y, z)w := [x\overline{y}, w, z] + [z, x\overline{y}, w] + ([x, y, w] - [y, x, w])z, \quad x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}.$$
(2.20)

Then it satisfies

i)
$$F(x, y, z) = -F(y, x, z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathcal{A},$$

ii)
$$F(x, y, z) + F(y, z, x) + F(z, x, y) = 0, \quad x, y, z \in A.$$

Remark. We have also K(u,v)K(x,y) + K(x,y)K(u,v) = K(K(u,v)x,y) + K(x,K(u,v)y), for $x,y,u,v \in \mathcal{A}$ so the set of $K(x,y),x,y \in \mathcal{A}$ form a Jordan algebra (see [30] for details).

3 Examples of anti-structurable algebras with left neutral pairs

We give examples of anti-structurable algebras with left neutral pairs and invertible elements.

Let $U := \mathcal{M}_{k,k}(\Phi)$ denote the space of square matrices of order k over Φ . Then, by [29], U with the product $(xyz) = xy^{\top}z - zy^{\top}x + zx^{\top}y$, where x^{\top} denotes the transposed matrix of x is an anti-structurable algebra satisfying the second order condition (1.5).

Let $(u, v), u, v \in U$ be a left neutral pair, i.e. L(u, v) = Id, and denote

$$GL_k(\Phi) := \{ A \in \mathcal{M}_{k,k}(\Phi) | \det A \neq 0 \}.$$

If $u \in GL_k(\Phi)$ then set $v = (u^{\top})^{-1}$, where the involution is transposition and so $L(u,v)z = uu^{-1}z - zu^{-1}u + zu^{\top}(u^{\top})^{-1} = z$. Thus there exists a left neutral pair $(u,(u^{\top})^{-1})$. Also we have

$$U_u z = u^\top z u - u^\top z u + u^\top u z, \quad U_{(u^\top)^{-1}} = (u^\top)^{-1} ((u^\top)^{-1}) (u^\top)^\top z = (u^\top)^{-1} u^{-1} z$$

thus by straightforward calculation follows $U_u U_{(u^\top)^{-1}} z = z$. Then the map U_u is invertible. This implies that with any element $u \in GL_k(\Phi)$ there can be constructed a left neutral pair $(u, (u^\top)^{-1})$.

Set $O(\Phi) := \{A \in \mathcal{M}_{k,k}(\Phi) | AA^{\top} = Id\}$. Then in the example above, if any element $u \in O(\Phi)$ it follows that (u, u) is a left neutral pair, i.e. u is a left unit element.

Theorem 3.1 Let U be a (-1,-1)-FKTS. Then, the following are equivalent i) (u,v) is a left neutral pair,

ii) (v, u) is a left neutral pair.

Proof. We shall prove that L(u, v) = Id if and only if L(v, u) = Id.

If L(u, v) = Id then [L(u, v), L(v, x)] = 0 so L((uvv), x) - L(v, (vux)) = 0, by (1.4), hence L(v, x - (vux))v = 0, since L(u, v) = Id. Now, since U_v is invertible follows from the last identity that (vux) = x, hence L(v, u) = Id.

Conversely, if L(v, u) = Id follows then that L(u, v) = Id, by an analogous proof. \square

References

- [1] Allison B.N., A class of nonassociative algebras with involution containing the class of Jordan algebras. Math. Ann. **237** (1978), no. 2, 133-156.
- [2] Allison B.N., Models of isotropic simple Lie algebras. Comm. Algebra 7 (1979), no. 17, 1835-1875.
- [3] Asano H.; Yamaguti K., A construction of Lie algebras by generalized Jordan triple systems of second order. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 42 (1980), no. 3, 249-253.
- [4] Asano H., Classification of non-compact real simple generalized Jordan triple systems of the second kind. Hiroshima Math. J. 21 (1991), 463-489.
- [5] Bertram W., Complex and quaternionic structures on symmetric spaces correspondence with Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. Theory of Lie Groups and Manifolds, ed. R. Miyaoka and H. Tamaru, Sophia Kokyuroku in Math. 45 (2002), 61-80.
- [6] Elduque A.; Kamiya N.; Okubo S., Simple (-1, -1) balanced Freudenthal Kantor triple systems. Glasg. Math. J. 11 (2003), no. 2, 353-372.
- [7] Elduque A.; Kamiya N.; Okubo S., (-1, -1) balanced Freudenthal Kantor triple systems and noncommutative Jordan algebras. J. Algebra **294** (2005), no. 1, 19-40.
- [8] Faulkner J.R., Structurable triples, Lie triples, and symmetric spaces. Forum Math. 6 (1994), 637-650.
- [9] Frappat L.; Sciarrino A.; Sorba P., Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebras, Academic Press, San Diego, California 92101-4495, USA, 2000, xxii+410 pp.
- [10] Jacobson N., Lie and Jordan triple systems, Amer. J. Math. **71** (1949), 149-170.
- [11] Jacobson N., Structure and representations of Jordan algebras. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. XXXIX Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1968, x+453 pp.
- [12] Kac V.G., Lie superalgebras. Advances in Math. 26 (1977), no. 1, 8-96.
- [13] Kamiya N., A structure theory of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. J. Algebra 110 (1987), no. 1, 108-123.
- [14] Kamiya N., A construction of anti-Lie triple systems from a class of triple systems, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. **22** (1988), 51-62.

- [15] Kamiya N., A structure theory of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. II. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 38 (1989), no. 1, 41-60.
- [16] Kamiya N., On (ε, δ) -Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. *Nonassociative algebras and related topics* (Hiroshima, 1990), 65-75, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [17] Kamiya N. The construction of all simple Lie algebras over \mathbb{C} from balanced Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. Contributions to general algebra, 7 (Vienna, 1990), 205-213, Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky, Vienna, 1991.
- [18] Kamiya N. On Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems and generalized structurable algebras. *Non-associative algebra and its applications* (Oviedo, 1993), 198-203, Math. Appl., 303, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.
- [19] Kamiya N., On the Peirce decompositions for Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 6, 1833-1844.
- [20] Kamiya N., On a realization of the exceptional simple graded Lie algebras of the second kind and Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 46 (1998), no. 1, 55-65.
- [21] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., On δ -Lie supertriple systems associated with (ε, δ) Freudenthal-Kantor supertriple systems. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 43 (2000), no. 2, 243-260.
- [22] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., A construction of Jordan superalgebras from Jordan-Lie triple systems, *Lecture Notes in pure and appl. math.* 211, Nonass. alg. and its appl. (ed. Costa, Peresi, etc.), (2002), 171-176, Marcel Dekker Inc.
- [23] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., A construction of simple Jordan superalgebra of F type from a Jordan-Lie triple system. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **181** (2002), no. 3, 339-348.
- [24] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., Construction of Lie superalgebras $D(2, 1; \alpha)$, G(3) and F(4) from some triple systems. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **46** (2003), no. 1, 87-98.
- [25] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., On generalized Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems and Yang-Baxter equations, *Proc. XXIV Inter. Colloq. Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, IPCS, vol. 173 (2003), 815-818.
- [26] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., A construction of simple Lie superalgebras of certain types from triple systems. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **69** (2004), no. 1, 113-123.

- [27] Kamiya N., Examples of Peirce decomposition of generalized Jordan triple system of second order-Balanced cases-. *Noncommutative geometry and representation theory in mathematical physics*, 157-165, Contemp. Math., 391, AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [28] Kamiya N.; Okubo S., Composition, quadratic, and some triple systems. Non-associative algebra and its applications, 205-231, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 246, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [29] Kamiya N.; Mondoc D., A new class of nonassociative algebras with involution. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 84 (2008), no. 5, 68-72.
- [30] Kamiya N.; Mondoc D.; Okubo S., A structure theory of (-1,-1)-Freudenthal Kantor triple systems, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. **81** (2010), 132-155.
- [31] Kamiya N.; Mondoc D., On anti-structurable algebras and extended Dynkin diagrams, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 3 (2009), no. 3, 185-192.
- [32] Kaneyuki S.; Asano H., Graded Lie algebras and generalized Jordan triple systems. Nagoya Math. J. 112 (1988), 81-115.
- [33] Kantor I.L., Graded Lie algebras. Trudy Sem. Vect. Tens. Anal. 15 (1970), 227-266.
- [34] Kantor I.L., Some generalizations of Jordan algebras. Trudy Sem. Vect. Tens. Anal. 16 (1972), 407-499.
- [35] Kantor I.L. Models of exceptional Lie algebras. Soviet Math. Dokl. Vol. 14 (1973), no. 1, 254-258.
- [36] Kantor I.L. A generalization of the Jordan approach to symmetric Riemannian spaces. *The Monster and Lie algebras* (Columbus, OH, 1996), 221-234, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 7, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [37] Kantor I.L.; Kamiya N., A Peirce decomposition for generalized Jordan triple systems of second order. Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 12, 5875-5913.
- [38] Koecher M., Embedding of Jordan algebras into Lie algebras I. II., Amer.
 J. Math 89 (1967), 787-816 and 90 (1968), 476-510.
- [39] Lister W.G., A structure theory of Lie triple systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 217-242.

- [40] Meyberg K., Lectures on algebras and triple systems, *Lecture Notes*, The University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va., 1972, v+226 pp.
- [41] Mondoc D., Models of compact simple Kantor triple systems defined on a class of structurable algebras of skew-dimension one. Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), no. 10, 3801-3815.
- [42] Mondoc D., On compact realifications of exceptional simple Kantor triple systems. J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 1 (2007), no. 1, 29-40.
- [43] Mondoc D., Compact realifications of exceptional simple Kantor triple systems defined on tensor products of composition algebras. J. Algebra 307 (2007), no. 2, 917-929.
- [44] Mondoc D., Compact exceptional simple Kantor triple systems defined on tensor products of composition algebras. Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), no. 11, 3699-3712.
- [45] Neher E., Jordan triple systems by the grid approach. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1280, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. xii+193 pp.
- [46] Okubo S., Introduction to octonion and other non-associative algebras in physics. *Montroll Memorial Lecture Series in Mathematical Physics*, 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, xii+136 pp.
- [47] Okubo S.; Kamiya N., Jordan-Lie superalgebra and Jordan-Lie triple system. J. Algebra 198 (1997), no. 2, 388-411.
- [48] Okubo S.; Kamiya N., Quasi-classical Lie superalgebras and Lie supertriple systems. Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 8, 3825-3850.
- [49] Okubo S., Symmetric triality relations and structurable algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. **396** (2005), 189-222.
- [50] Scheunert M., The theory of Lie superalgebras. An introduction. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 716. Springer, Berlin, 1979, x+271 pp.
- [51] Tits J., Une classe d'algèbres de Lie en relation avec les algèbres de Jordan. Nederl. Acad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **65** (1962), 530-535.
- [52] Yamaguti K.; Ono A. On representations of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems $U(\varepsilon, \delta)$, Bull. Fac. School Ed. Hiroshima Univ., 7 (1984), no. II, 43-51.
- [53] Zhevlakov K.A.; Slinko A.M.; Shestakov I.P.; Shirshov A.I., Rings that are Nearly Associative, *Academic Press, Inc., New York-London*, 1982, xi+371 pp.
- [54] Zelmanov E., Primary Jordan triple systems. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. (1983), no. 4, 23-37.