# ON SPECIAL VALUES OF TENSOR PRODUCT L-FUNCTIONS OF AN INNER FORM OF GSP(4) AND GL(2)

### KAZUKI MORIMOTO

(GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY)

ABSTRACT. We consider the Rankin-Slebrg integral which represents degree 8 tensor product L-functions for quaternion unitary groups and  $GL_2$ . Using this integral representation, we prove the algebraicity of special values.

#### 1. Set up

Let F be a number field and E a quadratic extension. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the similitude unitary group  $G_n = \operatorname{GU}(n, n)$ :

$$G_n(F) = \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}(2n, E) \mid {}^t g^{\sigma} J_n g = \lambda_n(g) J_n, \ \lambda_n(g) \in F^{\times} \right\}$$

where  $\sigma$  is non-trivial element in  $\operatorname{Gal}(E/F)$  and

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $E \subset D$  be a quaternion algebra over F. For  $x \in D$ , we mean the canonical involution by  $\bar{x}$ . For a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  with entries in D, we denote the matrix  $(\overline{a_{ij}})$  by  $\bar{A}$ .

Let us define the quaternion similitude unitary group  $H_D$  by

$$H_D(F) = \left\{ g \in \operatorname{GL}(2,D) \mid {}^t_{\mathcal{G}} \overline{g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g = \lambda(g) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda(g) \in F^{\times} \right\}.$$

When  $D \simeq M_2(F)$ , we have an isomorphism

$$H_D(F) \simeq \operatorname{GSp}(4, F) = G_2(F) \cap \operatorname{GL}(4, F).$$

We note that we can take  $\varepsilon \in F^{\times}$  such that

$$D\simeq \left\{ egin{pmatrix} a & arepsilon b\ b^\sigma & a^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \mid a,b\in E 
ight\}.$$

Thus we may suppose that  $D \subset \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(E)$ , so that we can consider  $H_D$  as a subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}(4, E)$ . In fact,  $H_D$  can be embedded into  $G_2$ , and we fix it. Let us define a subgroup H of  $G_1 \times G_2$  by

$$H = \{ (g_1, h_2) \in G_1 \times H_D \mid \lambda_1(g_1) = \lambda_2(h_2) \},\$$

and we regard H as a subgroup of  $G_3$  by the following embedding

$$H \ni \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right) \hookrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & B \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in G_3.$$

### 2. GLOBAL INTEGRAL

Let P = MN denote the Siegel parabolic subgroup of  $G_3$  where

$$M(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \cdot ({}^{t}g^{\sigma})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(E), \lambda \in F^{\times} \right\},$$
$$N(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_{3} & X\\ 0 & 1_{3} \end{pmatrix} \mid {}^{t}X^{\sigma} = X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{3 \times 3}(E) \right\}.$$

Let  $\nu$  be a character of  $\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}/E^{\times}$  and  $\tau$  a character of  $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}/F^{\times}$ . Then we define a character  $\nu \otimes \tau$  of  $P(\mathbb{A}_F)$  by

$$(\nu \otimes \tau) \left[ \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \cdot ({}^t g^{\sigma})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_3 & X \\ 0 & 1_3 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \nu(\det g) \cdot \tau(\lambda).$$

Let  $\delta_P$  denote the modulus character of  $P(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . Then let  $I(s, \nu \otimes \tau)$  denote the normalized degenerate principal series representation  $\operatorname{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_F)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_F)}((\nu \otimes \tau) \cdot \delta_P^s)$  of  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . Here we employ the normalized induction so that  $I(s, \nu \otimes \tau)$  is unitarizable when  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$ . Then for a holomorphic section  $f^{(s)}$  of  $I(s, \nu \otimes \tau)$  we have the Siegel Eisenstein series defined by

$$E(g, f^{(s)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \setminus G(F)} f^{(s)}(\gamma g).$$

This series is absolutely convergent in the right half plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$  (Langlands [5]).

Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible cuspidal representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}/E^{\times}$  such that

(2.0.1) 
$$\chi|_{\mathbb{A}_{n}^{\times}} = \omega_{\sigma}$$

where  $\omega_{\sigma}$  denotes the central character of  $\sigma$ . Since we have the isomorphism

$$G_1(F) \simeq \left( \operatorname{GL}(2, F) \times E^{\times} \right) / \{ (a, a^{-1}) \mid a \in F^{\times} \},$$

we can regard  $\sigma \boxtimes \chi$  as the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $G_1(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and we denote it by  $\pi$ . Let  $V_{\pi}$  be the space of automorphic forms for  $\pi$ .

Let  $(\Pi, V_{\Pi})$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $H_D(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . Let  $\omega_{\Pi}$  denote the central character of  $\Pi$ . Then we study a global integral defined by

(2.0.2) 
$$Z(f^{(s)}, \phi, \Phi) = \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}_F)H(F)\setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)} E(f^{(s)}, h)\Psi(g_1)\Phi(h_2)dh$$

for  $f^{(s)} \in I(s, \nu \otimes \tau), \Psi \in V_{\pi}$  and  $\Phi \in V_{\Pi}$ , where  $Z = Z_G \cap H$ ,  $Z_{G_3}$  denotes the center of  $G_3$ , and  $h = (g_1, h_2) \in H$ . Here in order for the integral (2.0.2) to be well-defined, we assume that

$$\omega_{\Pi} \cdot \omega_{\sigma} \cdot \tau^2 \cdot (\nu|_{\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}})^3 = 1.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** For  $Re(s) \gg 0$ , we have

$$Z(f^{(s)},\Psi,\Phi)=\int_{S(\mathbb{A}_F)\setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)}f^{(s)}(\eta h)W_{\Psi}(g_1)B_{\Phi}(h_2)dh$$

where  $B_{\Phi}$  is the Bessel model of  $\Phi$  with respect to a non-split torus and  $W_{\Psi}$  is the Whittaker model of  $\Psi$ , and S is defined as follows: Let us define the Bessel subgroups R of  $H_D$  by

$$R(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a^{\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a^{\sigma} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \varepsilon b & c\\ 0 & 1 & c^{\sigma} & b\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G_2(F) \mid a \in E^{\times}, \ b \in F, \ c \in E \right\}.$$

Then a subgroup S of H is defined by

$$S = \{(\varphi(r), r) \mid r \in R\}$$

where we denote

$$\varphi \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \varepsilon b & c \\ 0 & 1 & c^{\sigma} & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark.** Our integral representation is a generalization to the similitude quaternion unitary case of Saha's interpretation [11] of Furusawa's integral [2]. Note that we unfold the Rankin-Selberg integral involving the Siegel Eisenstein series on  $G_3$ directly without recourse to the Klingen Eisenstein series on  $G_2$ . Thus even when  $H_D \simeq \text{GSp}(4)$ , our local integral is totally different from Saha's.

In order for our investigation to be non-vacuous, we assume that

 $\Pi$  has a Bessel model of non-split type.

We note that by the result of Li [6], any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $H_D(\mathbb{A})$  has a Bessel model of this type if D does not split. Moreover if  $D \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(F)$ , i.e.,  $H_D \simeq \operatorname{GSp}(4)$ ,  $\Pi$  has a Whittaker model or a Bessel model of some type. If  $\Pi$  is associated to a holomorphic cusp form, it is non-generic, and Pitale-Schmidt [8] shows that it does not have a Bessel model of split type. Thus such automorphic representations satisfy the above assumption.

The uniqueness of Bessel model is expected for any irreducible admissible representations of  $H_D(F_v)$ . However as far as the author knows, there is no reference which proves the uniqueness in general. For example, for unramified representations of  $GSp(4, F_v)$ , Sugano [12] proves the uniqueness. Then by the uniqueness of Bessel model and Whittaker model, we obtain

$$Z(s) = \prod_{v \notin S} Z_v(W_{\Psi,v}, B_{\Phi,v}, f_v^{(s)}) \cdot Z_S(W_{\Psi,S}, B_{\Phi,S}, f_S^{(s)}).$$

Here S is a finite set of places such that any place  $v \notin S$  is finite and satisfies

- (1) 2 does not divide v
- (2)  $E_v/F_v$  is unramified quadratic extension or  $E_v \simeq F_v \oplus F_v$
- (3)  $\Pi_v, \pi_v, \nu_v, \tau_v$  are unramified.
- (4)  $D(F_v) \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(F_v).$

Then Furusawa and Ichino computed unramified local integrals explicitly.

**Proposition 2.2** (Furusawa-Ichino, Appendix in [7]). Suppose  $v \notin S$ . For normalized spherical vectors  $W_v$ ,  $B_v$  and  $f_v^{(s)}$ , we have

$$Z_{\nu}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} L\left(6s+i, \nu|_{F_{\nu}^{\times}} \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{\nu}/F_{\nu}}^{i+3}\right)^{-1} \cdot L\left(3s+\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \times \sigma \times (\nu|_{F^{\times}})^{2} \times \tau\right)$$

where we normalize the measure on  $H(F_v)$  suitably, and  $\varepsilon_{E_v/F_v}$  is the quadratic character of  $F_v^{\times}$  corresponding to  $E_v$  via local class field theory.

## 3. MAIN THEOREM

Assume that

$$H_D(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \operatorname{GSp}(4,\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad F = \mathbb{Q}.$$

We possibly have  $D \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$ . We suppose that the central characters of II and  $\pi$  are trivial.

Suppose that the archimedean component  $II_{\infty}$  of II is the holomorphic discrete series of  $PGSp(4,\mathbb{R})$  with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\ell(e_1 + e_2)$  with even integer  $\ell$  where we define

$$e_i\left(\begin{pmatrix}t_1&&\\&t_2&\\&&t_1^{-1}\\&&&t_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right)=t_i\quad t_i\in\mathbb{G}_m.$$

Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation associated to a new form of weight  $\ell$ . Then we consider an automorphic form  $\Psi \in V_{\sigma}$  as the automorphic form on  $G_1(\mathbb{A})$  by extending it trivially, i.e.

$$\Psi(ag) = \Psi(g)$$

for  $a \in \mathbb{A}_E^{\times}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $\ell > 6$ . Let  $\Phi \in V_{\Pi}$  and  $\Psi \in V_{\sigma}$  be arithmetic automorphic forms in the sense of Harris [4]. Then for an integer m such that  $2 < m \leq \frac{\ell}{2} - 1$ , we have

$$\frac{L(m,\Pi\times\sigma)}{\pi^{4m}\langle\Psi\otimes\Phi,\Psi\otimes\Phi\rangle}\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{L(m,\Pi\times\sigma)}{\pi^{4m}\langle\Psi\otimes\Phi,\Psi\otimes\Phi\rangle}\right)^{\tau}=\frac{L(m,\Pi^{\tau}\times\sigma^{\tau})}{\pi^{4m}\langle\Psi^{\tau}\otimes\Phi^{\tau},\Psi^{\tau}\otimes\Phi^{\tau}\rangle}$$

for all  $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . Here we define

$$\langle \Psi \otimes \Phi, \Psi \otimes \Phi \rangle = \int_{Z_H(\mathbb{A}_Q)H(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_Q)} |\Psi(g_1)\Phi(h_2)|^2 dh$$

where we denote  $h = (g_1, h_2) \in H(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , and dh is the Tamagawa measure on  $H(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

We can prove this by a similar way with Garrett-Harris [3]. For a detail of the proof, we refer to [7].

3.1. **Period Relation.** Let  $(\Pi, V_{\Pi})$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GSp}(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  as in Theorem 3.1. Further we assume that  $\Pi$  is tempered and non-endoscopic. We suppose that there exists an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation  $(\Pi_D, V_{\Pi_D})$  of  $H_D(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that for every place v such that  $H_D(\mathbb{Q}_v) \simeq \operatorname{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_v),$ 

$$\Pi_v \simeq \Pi_{D,v}.$$

Then  $\Pi_D$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.1. Comparing the equations in Theorem 3.1 for  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi_D$ , we obtain the following relation.

**Corollary 3.1.** For any arithmetic forms  $\Phi \in V_{\Pi}$  and  $\Phi_D \in V_{\Pi_D}$ , we have

$$\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle / \langle \Phi_D, \Phi_D \rangle \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and

$$\left(\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle / \langle \Phi_D, \Phi_D \rangle \right)^{\tau} = \langle \Phi^{\tau}, \Phi^{\tau} \rangle / \langle \Phi_D^{\tau}, \Phi_D^{\tau} \rangle$$

for any  $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

Here we define the pairing  $\langle \Phi_D, \Phi_D \rangle$  by

$$\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle = \int_{Z_{H_D}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})H_D(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus H_D(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})} |\Phi_D(h)|^2 \, dh$$

where dh is the Tamagawa measure on  $H_D(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , and we define  $\langle \Phi, \Phi \rangle$  similarly.

# 3.2. Remarks on Theorem 3.1.

3.2.1. critical point. The critical points in Theorem 3.1 does not cover all critical points on the right half plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ . Indeed the critical points for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{6}$  are not included due to the analytic property of Eisenstein series.

3.2.2. Split case. When  $H_D \simeq \text{GSp}(4)$ , similar results are proved by many people. Furusawa [2] discovered an integral representation of this *L*-function and he proved the algebriacity at the rightmost critical point for Siegel cusp forms and elliptic cusp form of full level. Pitale-Schmidt [9] extended his result with respect to the level of elliptic cusp forms, and Saha [10] extended with respect to both of levels of Siegel cusp forms and elliptic cusp form. Saha [11] also proved the algebraicity for other critical points combining the pull-back formula and differential operators. On the other hand, Böcherer-Heim [1] showed the algebraicity at all critical points in the full modular balanced mixed weight case using Heim's integral representation.

3.2.3. Yoshida's Conjecture. When the irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GSp(4, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  is associated to a Siegel cusp form, our result is compatible with Yoshida's calculation [13] on Deligne peirod.

Acknowledgements. This article is based on a talk delivered by the author at the 2012 RIMS conference *Automorphic forms and automorphic L-functions*. The author thanks the organizer of the conference, Tomonori Moriyama and Atsushi Ichino, for the opportunity to speak.

The research of the author was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (23-6883) and JSPS Institutional Program for Young Researcher Overseas Visits project: Promoting international young researchers in mathematics and mathematical sciences led by OCAMI.

#### References

- Böcherer, S., and B. Heim. "Critical values of L-functions on GSp<sub>2</sub> × Gl<sub>2</sub>." Mamthmatische Zeitschrift 254, no. 3 (2006): 485-503.
- [2] Furusawa, M. "On L-functions for  $GSp(4) \times GL(2)$  and their special values." Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 438 (1993): 187-218.
- [3] Garrett, P., and M. Harris. "Special values of triple product *L*-functions." American Journal of Mathematics 115 (1993): 161-240.
- [4] Harris, M. "Arithmetic vector bundles and automorphic forms on Shimura varieties. I." Inventiones Mathathematicae 82 (1985): 151-189.
- [5] Langlands, R. On the Functional Equations Satisfied by Eisenstein Series. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 544: Springer, 1976.
- [6] Li, J.-S. "Nonexistence of singular cusp forms." Compositio Mathematica 83, no. 1 (1992): 43-51.
- [7] Morimoto, K. "On L-functions for quaternion unitary groups of degree 2 and GL(2) (with an Appendix by M. Furusawa and A. Ichino)." preprint
- [8] Pitale, A., and R. Schmidt. "Bessel models for lowest weight representations of GSp(4, ℝ)." International Mathematics Research Notices 2009, no. 7 (2009): 1159–1212.
- [9] Pitale, A., and R. Schmidt. "Integral representation for L-functions for  $GSp(4) \times GL(2)$ ." Journal of Number Theory 129 (2009): 1272–1324.
- [10] Saha, A. "L-functions for holomorphic forms on  $GSp(4) \times GL(2)$  and their special values." International Mathematics Research Notices 2009, no. 10 (2009): 1773-1837.
- [11] Saha, A. "Pullbacks of Eisenstein series from GU(3,3) and critical L-values for  $GSp(4) \times GL(2)$ ." Pacific Journal of Mathematics 246, no. 2 (2010): 435-486.
- [12] Sugano, T. "On holomorphic cusp forms on quaternion unitary groups of degree 2." Journal of the Faculty of Science. Section I A. Mathematics 31, no. 3 (1985): 521-568.
- [13] Yoshida, H. "Motives and Siegel modular forms." American Journal of Mathematics 123 (2001): 1171-1197.