## On quotients of Hom-functors

### D.Tambara

### Department of Mathematical Sciences, Hirosaki University

### 1. Introduction

A hom-functor on a category C is the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(-, X)$  for an object X of C. We consider the quotient functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(-, X)/G$  by a subgroup G of Aut X. We are interested in replacing hom-functors in the definitions of limit and adjoint by quotients of hom-functors.

## 2. limit

We recall the definition of limit in terms of hom-functor. Set denotes the category of sets. For a small category C,  $[C^{op}, Set]$  denotes the category of contravariant functors  $C \to Set$ .  $[C^{op}, Set]$  has limits. For instance, the product  $F \times G$  of F and G in  $[C^{op}, Set]$  is given by

$$(F \times G)(A) = F(A) \times G(A)$$
 for  $A \in C$ .

And the final object 1 of  $[C^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$  is given by

 $1(A) = \{1\}$  for  $A \in C$ .

For  $X \in C$ , the hom-functor  $h_X$  is defined by

$$h_X(A) = \operatorname{Hom}(A, X).$$

A functor  $F: C^{\text{op}} \to \text{Set}$  is said to be representable if  $F \cong h_X$  for some X. For  $X_1, X_2, Z \in C$  we have

Z is a product of  $X_1$  and  $X_2 \iff h_Z \cong h_{X_1} \times h_{X_2}$ .

Therefore

product of two objects exists in C

 $\iff$  product of two representable functors is representable.

And similarly

a final object exists in  $C \iff 1$  is representable.

The existence of a limit in C is thus expressed as the representability of a limit of hom-functors. We first aim to replace representability by familial representability.

# 3. Sum of hom-functors

A functor  $F: C^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is said to be familially representable if

 $F\cong \coprod h_{X_i}$ 

for some family  $X_i$  of objects in C ([Carboni and Johnstone]).

**Theorem 1.** Let C be a finite category. The following conditions are equivalent to each other.

(i)  $h_X \times h_Y$  and 1 are familially representable  $(\forall X, Y \in C)$ .

(ii) Finite limits of hom-functors are familially representable.

(iii) Pushouts and coequalizers exist in C.

(iv) Finite connected limits exist in C.

Moreover these conditions imply that all morphisms of C are epimorphisms.

Remark. "(iii)  $\implies$  (iv)" is generally true.

For the proof of the theorem we may follow the proof of the general representability theorem in [Freyd and Scedrov]. It simplifies owing to our finiteness assumption. We may also use the characterization of familially representable functors ([Leinster]).

An interest with such categories comes from an attempt to define general Burnside rings. Suppose that C satisfies (i) of Theorem 1. For any  $X, Y \in C$  we take isomorphisms

$$h_X imes h_Y \cong \coprod h_{Z_i}$$

 $1 \cong \prod h_{W_j}.$ 

and

Then the free abelian group based on the isomorphism classes of objects of C becomes a ring by setting

$$[X][Y] = \sum [Z_i],$$
  
$$1 = \sum [W_j].$$

Here [X] stands for the isomorphism class of an object X. This ring may be called the Burnside ring of C.

# 4. The Burnside ring of a finite category

Let C be a finite category. Assume that C satisfies the following conditions. (B1) For every  $X, Y \in C$  there exists a unique family of integers  $c_Z^{XY}$  such that

$$|\operatorname{Hom}(A,X)||\operatorname{Hom}(A,Y)| = \sum_{Z} c_{Z}^{XY} |\operatorname{Hom}(A,Z)| \quad (\forall A \in C).$$

(Here |S| stands for the cardinality of a set S.)

(B2) There exists a unique family of integers  $d_Z$  such that

$$1 = \sum_{Z} d_{Z} |\operatorname{Hom}(A, Z)| \quad (\forall A \in C).$$

Then the free abelian group based on the isomorphism classes of objects of C becomes a ring:

$$[X][Y] = \sum_{Z} c_{Z}^{XY}[Z],$$
$$1 = \sum_{Z} d_{Z}[Z].$$

**Theorem.** ([Yoshida]) Assume that a finite category C satisfies the following conditions.

(Y1) C has the unique epi-mono factorization property.

(Y2) C has the coequalizer

$$\operatorname{Coeq}(X \stackrel{1}{\rightrightarrows} X)$$

for any  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut} X$ .

Then C satisfies (B1) and (B2).

The following diagram shows the relationship between Theorem 1 and Yoshida's theorem:

$$\begin{split} [X][Y] &= \sum c_Z^{XY}[Z], \\ \text{pushout, coequalizer exist} \implies 1 = \sum d_Z[Z], \\ c_Z^{XY}, d_Z \in \mathbb{N} \\ \downarrow & \qquad \downarrow \\ \text{epi-mono factorization,} \\ \text{Coeq}(X \rightrightarrows X) \text{ exist} \implies \begin{split} [X][Y] &= \sum c_Z^{XY}[Z], \\ &= \sum d_Z[Z], \\ c_Z^{XY}, d_Z \in \mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

A problem will be to characterize categories satisfying (B1) and (B2).

Here are examples of generalized Burnside rings. Let G be a finite group.

(1) Let C be the category whose objects are G-sets G/H for all subgroups H, and whose morphisms are G-maps. Then C satisfies the condition of Theorem 1. The resulting ring is the ordinary Burnside ring of G.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subgroups of G which is closed under conjugation and intersection. Let C be the category whose objects are G-sets G/H for  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then C satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.

(3) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all *p*-centric subgroups of G. Let C be the category whose objects are G-sets G/H for  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then C satisfies the condition that  $h_X \times h_Y$  are familially representable ([Diaz and Libman], [Oda]). Further examples of  $\mathcal{F}$  are found in [Oda and Sawabe].

(4) For a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  a certain category  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$  is defined. Then  $C = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^c)$  satisfies the condition that  $h_X \times h_Y$  are familially representable ([Puig], [Diaz and Libman]).

# 5. Finiteness of connected components of powers of a functor

**FinSet** denotes the category of finite sets. Let K be a finite category. We say  $G \in [K, \mathbf{FinSet}]$  is connected if G is nonempty and never expressed as a sum of nonempty objects. Every  $F \in [K, \mathbf{FinSet}]$  is a sum of connected objects, each of which we call a connected component of F. For  $F \in [K, \mathbf{FinSet}]$  and  $n \ge 0$  we have

$$F^n = F \times \cdots \times F$$

in  $[K, \mathbf{FinSet}]$ .

**Theorem 2.** For  $F \in [K, FinSet]$ , the following are equivalent.

(i) Connected components of  $F^n$  for all n have only finitely many isomorphism classes.

(ii)  $F(\alpha)$  is injective for every morphism  $\alpha$  of K.

This theorem relates to Theorem 1 as follows: Let  $F: K \to \mathbf{FinSet}$  satisfy (ii) of Theorem 2. Let C be a representative system of isomorphism classes of connected components of  $F^n$  for all n. Then C is finite. View C as a category (a full subcategory of  $[K, \mathbf{FinSet}]$ ). For  $X, Y \in C, X \times Y$  is a sum of objects of Cand 1 is a sum of objects of C. So C satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 1.

Conversely every finite category satisfying condition (i) of Theorem 1 arises this way.

# 6. Quotient of hom-functor

Let C be a category. Let X be an object of C and G a subgroup of Aut X. We define the functor  $h_X/G: C^{\text{op}} \to \mathbf{Set}$  by

$$(h_X/G)(A) = \operatorname{Hom}(A, X)/G.$$

Here  $\operatorname{Hom}(A, X)/G$  is the quotient set relative to the natural action of G on  $\operatorname{Hom}(A, X)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let C be a finite category. The following conditions are equivalent to each other.

(i)  $h_X \times h_Y$  and 1 are isomorphic to sums of quotients of hom-functors  $(\forall X, Y)$ .

(ii) Finite limits of hom-functors are isomorphic to sums of quotients of homfunctors.

(iii) Pushouts exist in C.

(iv) Finite simply connected limits exist in C.

Remark. "(iii)  $\implies$  (iv)" is true for a general C ([Paré]).

## 7. Category with pushouts

We here give an example of a category with pushouts. Let P be a partially ordered set. Suppose that a group G acts on P:

 $\sigma \in G, x \in P \rightsquigarrow x^{\sigma} \in P.$ 

The category PG is defined as follows.

(object) Objects of PG are elements of P. (morphism) For  $x, y \in P$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{PG}(x,y) = \{ \sigma \mid \sigma \in G, x \leq y^{\sigma} \}.$$

(composition) Composition is given by multiplication in G.

**Proposition.** If P has pushouts, then so does PG.

That P has pushouts means that if  $z \le x, z \le y$ , then there exists  $\sup(x, y)$ .

Suppose that for each  $x \in P$  a subgroup  $K_x$  of G is given. Assume the following conditions hold.

(i)  $\sigma \in K_x \implies x^{\sigma} = x$ (ii)  $x \leq y \implies K_x \leq K_y$ (iii)  $K_x^{\sigma} = K_{x^{\sigma}}$ We then define the category D as follows. (object) Objects of D are elements of P. (morphism) For  $x, y \in P$  we set

$$\operatorname{Hom}_D(x, y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{PG}(x, y)/K_y.$$

Here  $K_y$  acts on  $\operatorname{Hom}_{PG}(x, y)$  by multiplication in G.

(composition) The composition of D is induced by that of PG.

**Proposition.** If P has pushouts, then so does D.

#### 8. Adjoint

We recall the definition of adjoint in terms of hom-functor. Let  $F: B \to C$  and  $G: C \to B$  be functors. "G is a right adjoint of F" means

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(F(X), Y) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{B}(X, G(Y))$$
  
(naturally in X, Y).

This isomorphism, X viewed a variable, is written as

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(F(-), Y) \cong h_{G(Y)}$ (naturally in Y).

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(F(-), Y) = h_{Y} \circ F$  denoted by  $F^{*}(h_{Y})$ , this is written as

 $F^*(h_Y) \cong h_{G(Y)}.$ 

Thus

F has a right adjoint  $\iff F^*(h_Y)$  are representable for all  $Y \in C$ .

We next aim to replace representability in the right-hand side by familial representability.

## 9. Discrete fibration

Recall that a functor  $F \colon B \to C$  is called a discrete fibration if the following condition holds.

$$\forall g \colon F(X) \to Y' \quad \text{morphism of } C,$$
  
 $\exists ! f \colon X \to X' \quad \text{morphism of } B,$   
 $F(f) = g.$ 

If  $F: B \to C$  is a discrete fibration, then

$$F^*(h_Y) \cong \coprod_{X \in F^{-1}(Y)} h_X$$

for every  $Y \in C$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $F: B \to C$  be a functor. The following are equivalent.

(i)  $F^*(h_Y)$  are familially representable for all  $Y \in C$ .

(ii) There exists a factorization

$$\begin{array}{c} C' \\ F' \nearrow \quad \downarrow \pi \\ B \xrightarrow{F} \quad C \end{array}$$

such that F' has a right adjoint and  $\pi$  is a discrete fibration.

### 10. Condition (G)

Here we aim to replace representability in the definition of adjoint by being isomorphic to a sum of quotients of hom-functors.

Let  $F: B \to C$  be a functor. We introduce the condition (G) for F. It consists of the following:

(i)

$$g \colon F(X) \to Y'$$
$$\implies \exists f \colon X \to X', \ F(f) = g.$$

(ii)

$$f_1 \colon X \to X'_1, \ f_2 \colon X \to X'_2, \ F(f_1) = F(f_2)$$
$$\implies \exists u \colon X'_1 \to X'_2, \ F(u) = 1, \ f_2 = uf_1.$$

If condition (G) holds, then  $F^*(h_Y)$  is isomorphic to a sum of quotients of homfunctors for every  $Y \in C$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $F: B \to C$  be a functor. Assume that C is finite. The following are equivalent.

(i)  $F^*(h_Y)$  are isomorphic to sums of quotients of hom-functors for all  $Y \in C$ .

(ii) There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} B' & \xrightarrow{F'} & C' \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\ \mu & & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{F} & C \end{array}$$

such that F' has a right adjoint,  $\nu$  is full and dense, and  $\pi$  satisfies condition (G).

### References

[1] A.Carboni and P.Johnstone, Connected limits, familial representability and Artin glueing, Math.Struct.Comp.Science 5 (1995), 441–459.

[2] A.Diaz and A.Libman, The Burnside ring of fusion systems, Advances in Math. 222 (2009), 1943–1963.

[3] P.J.Freyd and A.Scedrov, "Categories, Allegories", North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

[4] T.Leinster, The Euler characteristic of a category, Documenta Math. 13 (2008), 21–49.

[5] R.Paré, Simply connected limits, Can.J.Math. 42 (1990), 731–746.

[6] L.Puig, Frobenius categories, J.Algebra 303 (2006), 309–357.

[7] F.Oda, The generalized Burnside ring with respect to p-centric subgroups, J.Algebra 320 (2008), 3726-3732.

[8] F.Oda and M.Sawabe, A collection of subgroups for the generalized Burnside rings, Advances in Math. 222 (2009), 307–317.

[9] T.Yoshida, On the Burnside rings of finite groups and finite categories, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 11 (1987), 337–353.